March 2024 Study Session and May Comprehensive Plan Retreat			
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation into the TSP	
Speed limit study	Support for the speed limit policy setting/ study but this should be paired with traffic calming measures.	The speed limit study will is funded and will evaluate proposed policy changes and traffic calming measures. Local funding is supplemented by the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Grant Program through a coordinated regional application facilitated by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) which was recently awarded.	
Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC)	Support for a CKC Action Plan and anticipate future funding	A CKC Action plan is included in the TSP to identify the next priorities for the CKC. Would include public engagement, connections assessment, etc. Staff recommendation is to consolidate and fund existing CKC related programs that facilitate connections and the future vision of the CKC	
Micromobility	How to address new modes and rules along trails and elsewhere	Eastrail coordination on rules and definitions Council request to look at CKC definitions, rules, opportunities and coordinate with Safety Campaign (slated for 2025)	
Complete Street Ordinance	Support for updating the Complete Streets Ordinance	Complete Streets ordinance will be updated either through the TSP process or early in 2025	
Connected Networks	The TSP projects should support connected networks. Request for maps to show vision.	Recommended projects are intended to complete networks. See Attachment B for projects map.	
Transit Projects	didn't see that many transit priority projects by comparison	Any new capital projects related to the K Line would be independently funded and not required to be part of the CFP list. Projects identified in the Transit Implementation Plan have either been implemented, are underway or part of the TSP process.	
Transit Study	Evaluate transit policy, what more Kirkland can do including promoting transit usage	A transit study is included in the TSP and planned for 2025. This could look at advocacy, promoting transit use and other potential strategies.	
Cost/ Benefit	How to tease out lower cost projects with a high	Staff recommendation for the TSP is to fund programs for lower cost transportation infrastructure (neighborhood greenways, crosswalk improvements, lighting, etc.). Other 'low hanging fruit' or pilot projects can also be implemented through other programs such as annual paving and striping programs, in coordination with stormwater projects, etc.	
Roundabouts	Roundabouts should be the default before considering new signals	Action added under policy T-1.2. (Implement the principles of a Safe System Approach by prioritizing safe street designs and strategies) to evaluate roundabouts as a first option for any new intersection improvements before considering new signals	
Early check-in on projects	How can we set-up earlier check-in on projects with the community?	Transportation Group is working closely with the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) group on earlier outreach.	
Trail access to Lake Washington High School	Support for south access to Lake WA HS	Feasibility study for the Juanita Public Pathway (which includes this segment) will be presented to the Transportation Commission in May and then to Council in June, 2024	
Goal language	Language in goals and policies: for people in Kirkland rather than people who work, live, etc. Include socioeconomic status in Equity goal	Updated Technology goal language to note 'for people in Kirkland' instead of 'for people who live, work, etc.'. Updated Equity goal language to include 'socioeconomic status'.	

Stormwater	projects? Larger conversation about regional	Planning level project costs were elevated to address anticipated stormwater related costs. Looking at upfronting projects would happen during the 6-year CIP process. Transportation staff will be working close with the stormwater team.
IPaving and Strining Programs	Implement active transportation when repaving or restriping a program as a default	Policy will get added to the Goals, Policies and Actions section of the TSP

September and November 2023 Study Sessions			
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation	
10-min neighborhoods	Support 10 minute neighborhood concept (ensures we're not planning projects everywhere but areas with a higher need/ density)	Prioritization: The 10-min neighborhood concept is addressed indirectly through the land use element/ scores such as using centers and commercial areas which aligns with the 10-min neighborhood concepts. Other scores include points for access to schools, parks and trails as well as transit access (also aligned with the 10-min neighborhood concept). Because the 10-min neighborhood analysis is not being updated (which included business licenses at that time), the current prioritization framework is meant to be supportive of the 10-min neighborhood concept.	
CKC/ Eastrail	Actions under T-2.3 discusses wayfinding which should be done in coordination and in conjunction with the wider Eastrail corridor. Ideally these are co-branded as Cross Kirkland Corridor and Eastrail.	Policy T-2.3: Make walking, rolling and bicycling more intuitive and easier to navigate Action: Improve wayfinding to and from the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) to destinations (recreational trail wayfinding system) and in coordination and co-branding with the Eastrail	
CKC/ Eastrail	T-2.4 Cross Kirkland Corridor needs more details on "Construct the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) Master Plan Vision". This is as an ambitious project and would like to see how develop the implementation plan and identify priority segments.	Policy T-2.4: Develop signature walking, rolling and bicycling facilities along the Cross Kirkland Corridor and Lake Washington with ample connections to the rest of Kirkland and the region. Action: Develop an action plan that outlines priorities and actions to implement the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) Master Plan vision as well as the Connect, Construct, Complete concept for the Eastrail Corridor	
CKC/ Eastrail	Include the Connect, Construct, Complete concept for the Eastrail	Policy T-10.9: Coordinate with the Eastrail Regional Advisory Committee members and Eastrail Partners to complete the Connect, Construct, Complete vision for the entire Cross Kirkland (CKC) corridor and connections to the larger Eastrail network.	
CKC/ Eastrail	T-4: The item to pursue transit on the Cross Kirkland Corridor may need some caveats on appropriateness of mode (bus, streetcar, etc. vs. light rail) and criteria, to capture previous 2015 Council discussion	Plan Document: This will be discussed in the plan document noting the changes from when the CKC Master Plan was adopted, progress made, coordination with Eastrail on the Connect, Construct, Complete vision and the proposal for the CKC Action Plan.	
Equity	Explore methods of evaluating equity as it relates to transit service that incorporates all neighborhoods and future planning/land uses	Prioritization: This would be addressed through the transit access and land use connection scores.	

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Equity	Don't want to inadvertently lock in low-income patterns in our City. Language in policy is broad enough. Just want to make sure mapping tool does not make us myopic. Map is a current state. We have a vision for housing choices in all neighborhoods for all incomes. Worthwhile goal for a complete community.	Prioritization: While the CIP equity mapping tool does look at the current state of where our priority populations live (low-income, BIPOC, etc.), future land use such as transit supportive and future growth are addressed through other measures (link to land use and transit access).
Equity	Intrigued by Equity map, want to see how that compares to King County Metro priority populations map.	The King County Metro priority population map and the Kirkland CIP Equity map tool uses similar data sets such as locations where priority populations live (low-income, BIPOC, etc.). King County Metro does use ridership data where Kirkland's map does not but they both get at the same concept which is to identify areas where existing priority populations live. For prioritization, the proposal is to use the CIP equity map tool that was developed for Kirkland specifically to help prioritize capital projects in the CIP.
Equity	Equity scoring should reflect any historical gap rather than artificial overlay	Prioritization: The equity map tool provides information about projects that are located within areas with higher concentrations of priority populations. While historical gaps are important, the prioritization process must be replicable and relatively simple to apply to over 400 projects. It's important to note that the prioritization is a tool to help us guide the conversation and not the decisionmaker. Other factors can get into this element of need such as the identified sidewalk gaps on transit arterials, for instance.
General	It would be great to see various project scenarios	Prioritization: Staff plans to bring various scenarios to Council at their March 19th, 2024 study session.
Land Use		Prioritization: commercial and neighborhood centers will now get the same points. The original proposal was to have one be priority over another which garnered much debate at the November study session so the proposal is to keep the scores the same so as not to favor one type of center over another outside of regional centers. This essentially allows more points for neighborhood centers than what was previously proposed.
Land Use	Not just current density but future density	Prioritization: Projects are prioritized based on access to centers which include the NE 85th Station area which would address future growth. In addition, we've added future transit as part of the prioritization process.
II and I Ise	targeted area to demonstrate the connected (10-min) network vs. dispersed improvements.	Prioritization: prioritizes projects along transit corridors and those that are in or connecting to centers
Land Use	that 100% investment in density, transportation, etc.	Prioritization: prioritizes projects along transit corridors and those that are in or connecting to centers
Link to Land Use	Need to get more hyperlocal - let's look forward to what that looks like in the future. Drug stores and grocery stores, etc.	Prioritization: Increased score for neighborhood centers.

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Maintenance	Council supports a separate maintenance goal	New Goal: T-6: Maintenance and Preservation - Ensure adequate resources to preserve and maintain the existing
Walletiance	countri supports a separate maintenance godi	and future transportation system.
Maintenance	Ensure maintenance of the system is supported incrementally as assets are added to the system. Maintenance should not drive decisions about capital investments, particularly related to safety.	Policy T-6.2: Plan for and incrementally increase maintenance resources in line with additional assets as new facilities are built and as the city grows.
Micro-mobility	Define types and allowable locations for alternative electric modes of transportation such as e-bikes, e-scooters, monowheels, etc.	Action: Develop definitions, policies and regulations related to the use of e-bikes and other electric micro-mobility
		devices that address locations of use, safety, education and enforcement Immediate implementation: Working Commute Trip Reduction (CTR) employers and communications staff to reach people outside of Kirkland.
Outreach	Outreach should include people that live outside of Kirkland (reach out to people working here but may not live here, etc.)	Policy T-10.1: Implement Kirkland's Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) roadmap through community coordination and outreach
		Action: Conduct outreach with both Kirkland residents but also those outside of Kirkland, particularly those that work in Kirkland but do not live in the city limits
Partnerships	Be an Active Partner is still a relevant goal to maintain	Goal T-10: Be an Active Partner: Coordinate with a broad range of groups; public and private, to help meet Kirkland's transportation Goals.
Prioritization	Not worth it to add points to annexed area. Not the only annexed area, projects should be based on need. Need is the driver.	Prioritization: No extra points added to annexed area. Need is addressed through various other measures such as safety, missing connections, etc.
Prioritization in general	prioritization is a tool to help us guide the conversation and not just the decisionmaker	This is well stated and staff plans to highlight this point and ask Council for other considerations when looking at packages of projects. This will be part of the discussion at the March 19th study session.
Productivity/ Need	Safety, equity and productivity should be the criteria projects are evaluated on	Project List and multimodal concurrency: All projects will be assessed for which provide additional capacity vs. other types of projects that may have other benefits such as safety.

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
		Policy T-6.3: Maximize the useful lifetime of the transportation network at optimum lifecycle cost.
	Capital projects should consider roundahouts at	Action: Identify opportunities to maximize lifecycle costs through technology and innovations (e.g. roundabouts instead of signals)
Safety	Capital projects should consider roundabouts at intersections and protected bike facilities as the first option	Policy T-1.3: Advance the City's Complete Streets ordinance by accommodating all modes of travel in transportation system projects
		Action: Ensure safety is the first lens through which all capital projects are designed through the development of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP), the Neighborhood Safety Program (NSP), the Neighborhood Traffic Control Program (NTCP), Greenways development, working with the development community, etc.
		Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually.
Safety	reported issues. New information is available (INRIX example) Federal grants encourage looking at other sources of data.	Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources.
		Prioritization: Added language about reported near misses. While Kirkland will still require time to conduct additional near-miss analysis or procure additional data, there are still areas where staff has heard near-misses occurring that will be included in the prioritization process.
Safety	Improvements that get a high safety score should actually be a project that improves safety	Prioritization: Changed language from 'improves safety' to 'project provides a safety improvement' to the safety measures
Safety	Known gaps should get a high rating (vehicle speed, known sidewalk gaps)	Prioritization: Gaps and vehicle speeds will be used to assess the safety score
Safety	Safety should get at least tied with the highest so	Prioritization: Safety now gets the highest score
Safety	Not enough weight to protected bike facilities and low-stress environments	Prioritization: process includes extra points for projects that provide a low-stress environment for people walking and bicycling (such as protected bike lanes on arterials, neighborhood greenways, RRFB's or HAWK signals at crossings, etc.). Added extra points to this category based on Council comment.
Safety	Define low-stress and the objective. Provide it so it is easy for people to choose not to get into their car. (low stress environment)	Prioritization: Added points to projects that provide a low stress environment. Level of stress was also used in the prioritization for sidewalk gaps (in the Active Transportation Plan).

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Safety - CKC	Look at data on CKC for crashes, interested in not just crashes but conflicts i.e. near misses	Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually. Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources Policy T-1.5: Promote and Institutionalize a Culture of Safety Action: Identify opportunities to implement a culture of safety along the Cross Kirkland Corridor and to reduce speeds and potential conflicts
		Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually. Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources. Prioritization: Currently the project is to develop an action plan for the CKC so not adding this to prioritization but the study will look at how to address conflicts.
Safety - Parking Lots	Safety needs to capture partnering with private properties, in particular, owners of parking lots.	Policy T-1.5: Promote and Institutionalize a Culture of Safety Action: Coordinate with the Department of Planning and Building and with private businesses to improve safety in private parking lots (such as dedicated pedestrian pathways, speed control, lighting, etc.), Work with developers and contractors to improve implementation of safe routes of pedestrians and bicyclists through construction zones
Safety - Parking Lots		The capital project list includes projects that are in public right-of-way so prioritization of projects would not address private parking lots. The plan includes an action to work with private businesses to improve safety in private parking lots
Sustainability		Prioritization: The Sustainability category adds 20 points for projects that reduce vehicle miles traveled and support alternatives to single occupancy vehicle trips. Transit and Active Transportation support are also represented by other measures
Sustainability	Salmon killing tire dust, when we have a solution to that? Stormwater solution should be reflected	Prioritization framework does include support for projects that reduce SOV. Otherwise, this will be reflected in planning level cost estimates.
Tech and Innovation	1	Project scenarios: This will be part of the conversation when we look at packages of projects at the March 19th study session.
Timing	Sustainability Master Plan had timeframes on actions. Only Vision Zero has the annual marker, would be helpful to have timeframes for all	The 20-year list feeds the 6-year list which leads to the 2-year budget cycle. Additional time-frame reporting can be discussed when we talk about monitoring in the coming months.

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Trail Connections	Finn hill green loop? Other trails? Change language to 'larger transportation trails such as the CKC', don't want to limit in forward thinking	The prioritization process is meant to be straightforward and also transportation oriented. The CKC is a transportation corridor that connects the entire city north to south and connects to transit centers. Other trails are more local, neighborhood oriented and generally more recreational in nature. While the Finn Hill green loop is meant to connect parks and green spaces, it is still not completed and it would be difficult to identify these segments or other trails throughout the city that are truly transportation oriented. Most other trail systems are within parks or short connector segments.
Transit	Be proactive when advancing goals for transit service and explore additional options outside of existing transit service agencies.	Policy T-3.8: Improve transit service in Kirkland
Transit	T-3 Public Transportation needs an action item on Transit Strategy development	Action: Conduct a transit needs study that evaluates future transit needs that identifies potential alternative transit services (such as circulator services, private shuttles, etc.)
Transit	hyperlocal transit options, transit not just how we're not traditionally thinking about transit but more hyperlocal projects	
Transit Access	Consider mobility share connections as part of the first/ last mile to transit	Policy T-3.3: Prioritize active transportation networks that connect to transit service, providing the critical first and last connections making transit feasible for more people Action: Pursue mobility share options that provide the first/ last mile to transit Policy T-2.1: Make walking, rolling and bicycling safer, easier, accessible and more convenient. Action: Develop polices that will create regulations and incentivize micro-mobility programs (such as bike or scooter share, electric car sharing, micro-mobility hubs, etc.)
Transit Access	Ensure first/ last mile to transit is a core component of the TMP in order to meet modeshift goals	Policy T-3.3: Prioritize active transportation networks that connect to transit service, providing the critical first and last connections making transit feasible for more people
Transit Access	Instead of bus stops, suggest high frequency corridors- local corridors- future routes. Not the stop but service on the route. Access to transit, speed and reliability on arterials. Ridership not just improvements to the system, but we've lost ridership and the infrastructure hasn't changed. Ridership loss more than just infrastructure- also behavior, demand, service, reroutes. Want to see this detail in the KTP.	Prioritization: Took out reference to bus stops and note support of connecting to transit corridors. Plan document: The plan will discuss ridership loss, challenges with lost service and strategies to bring that back ridership such as our Transportation Demand Management program which looks at incentivizing behavior, regional coordination and advocacy, etc.
Transit Access	Prioritize K Line, future transit route	Prioritization: Added future high frequency transit routes to the prioritization measures

	Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
W	/alkahilitv	Would like to see a map of walkability as it relates to density	Plan document: There is not a general citywide map for this outside of identifying sidewalk gaps on arterials and transit routes but the Active Transportation Plan, Appendix B, has a detailed series of maps that outline walk access to transit and activity centers. This information was used to prioritize the pedestrian network (sidewalk gaps and crossings) which is proposed to be used as the prioritization framework for the sidewalk program.