OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS & ROAD SEGMENTS IN THE CITY OF KIRKLAND

01/01/2019 - 12/31/2021

Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 407, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a

								#	# #	# P	# B I		
		DDIMADY	PLOCK	INTERSECTING	DEDODT			I	FV	E	K		
JURISDICTION	CITY	TRAFFICWAY	NUMBER	TRAFFICWAY	NUMBER	DATE	INJURY TYPE	J	T H	S	S	JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP	FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK
City Street	Kirkland	84TH AVE NE	13195	NE 132ND ST	EA77786	11/03/2020	Possible Injury	1	0 2	0	0/	At Intersection and Related	Entering at angle
City Street	Kirkland	84TH AVE NE	0	NE 132ND ST	EA47207	06/29/2020	No Apparent Injury	0	0 2	0	07	At Intersection and Related	Entering at angle
City Street	Kirkland	JUANITA DR NE	0	NE 132ND ST	E910989	04/12/2019	Possible Injury	2	0 2	0	0/	At Intersection and Related	From opposite direction - one left turn - one straight
City Street	Kirkland	JUANITA DR NE	12807	NE 132ND ST	EA95481	12/21/2020	No Apparent Injury	0	0 1	. 0	0/	At Intersection and Not Related	Fire Hydrant
City Street	Kirkland	JUANITA DR NE	12807	NE 132ND ST	EB83899	10/28/2021	No Apparent Injury	0	0 2	0	0/	At Intersection and Related	From same direction - both going straight - one stopped - rear-end
City Street	Kirkland	NE 132ND ST	8400	84TH AVE NE	EB69100	09/18/2021	No Apparent Injury	0	03	0	0/	At Intersection and Related	Entering at angle
City Street	Kirkland	NE 132ND ST	7700	JUANITA DR NE	EB86485	10/31/2021	Possible Injury	1	0 2	0	0/	At Intersection and Related	Entering at angle

From City

C	ity	of K	lirkla	and	
Trar	nspo	ortat	tion	Grou	р

Collision Summary Report

From 1/1/2019 to 12/31/2021

Total Collisions: 4

Injury Collisions: 2

Fatal Collisions: 0

JUANITA DR NE & NE 132ND ST

ATTACHMENT 9

1/26/23

Page 1 of 2

19-12327	4/12/2019	09:08 JUANITA DR NE	E & NE 132ND ST	0'	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight		Adv. Weather: Cl	ear / Partly	Cloudy
E910989	Approach Tur	n	Curve 8	k Grade		Road: Wet	P	ossible Injury	# Inj: 2	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (UT)	North Contrib Circ: Inatte	Making Left Turn ntion			Male Had Not Been	Age: 44 Drinking	No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 Possible Ir	Motor Vehicle (4D) njury	South Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead			Male Had Not Been	Age: 26 Drinking	No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
20-40979	12/21/2020	22:56 JUANITA DR N	E & NE 132ND ST	10'	Direction: South	Dark - Stre	et Lights (On Adv. Weather: Ra	aining	
EA95481	Parked Vehicl	e / Fixed Object	Curve in	n Sag		Road: Snowy /	Slush N	o injury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (SD)	North Contrib Circ: Excee	Going Straight Ahead ding Reas. Safe Speed			Female	Age: 17	No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2	Property Owner	Contrib Circ:				Not Stated	Age:			
21-38859	10/28/2021	15:38 JUANITA DR NI	E & NE 132ND ST	0'	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight		Adv. Weather: Ra	aining	
21-38859 EB83899	10/28/2021 Rear-End	15:38 JUANITA DR NI	E & NE 132ND ST Straight	0' t & Grac	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet	N	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury	aining # Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
21-38859 EB83899 Unit 1 No Injury	10/28/2021 Rear-End Motor Vehicle (UT)	15:38 JUANITA DR NI North Contrib Circ: Exceed	E & NE 132ND ST Straight Going Straight Ahead ding Stated Speed Limit	0' t & Grac	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet Male	N Age: 55	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder	iining # Inj: 0 r Belt Used	# Killed: 0
21-38859 EB83899 Unit 1 No Injury Unit 2 No Injury	10/28/2021 Rear-End Motor Vehicle (UT) Motor Vehicle (UT)	15:38 JUANITA DR N North Contrib Circ: Exceed North Contrib Circ: None	E & NE 132ND ST Straight Going Straight Ahead ding Stated Speed Limit Making Left Turn	0' t & Grac	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet Male Male	N Age: 55 Age: 52	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder	aining # Inj: 0 r Belt Used r Belt Used	# Killed: 0
21-38859 EB83899 Unit 1 No Injury Unit 2 No Injury 21-39169	10/28/2021 Rear-End Motor Vehicle (UT) Motor Vehicle (UT) 10/31/2021	15:38 JUANITA DR N North Contrib Circ: Exceed North Contrib Circ: None	E & NE 132ND ST Straight Going Straight Ahead ding Stated Speed Limit Making Left Turn E & NE 132ND ST	0' t & Grac 0'	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet Male Male ed Daylight	N Age: 55 Age: 52	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder Adv. Weather: Clo	aining # Inj: 0 r Belt Used r Belt Used ear / Partly	# Killed: 0 Cloudy
21-38859 EB83899 Unit 1 No Injury Unit 2 No Injury 21-39169 EB86485	10/28/2021 Rear-End Motor Vehicle (UT) Motor Vehicle (UT) 10/31/2021 Right Angle /	15:38 JUANITA DR N North Contrib Circ: Exceed North Contrib Circ: None 12:01 JUANITA DR N Broadside	E & NE 132ND ST Straight Going Straight Ahead ding Stated Speed Limit Making Left Turn E & NE 132ND ST Curve &	0' t & Grac 0' & Level	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet Male Male Daylight Road: Daylight Road: Dry	N Age: 55 Age: 52	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder Adv. Weather: Clo ossible injury	aining # Inj: 0 r Belt Used r Belt Used ear / Partly # Inj: 1	# Killed: 0 Cloudy # Killed: 0
21-38859 EB83899 Unit 1 No Injury Unit 2 No Injury 21-39169 EB86485 Unit 1 No Injury	10/28/2021 Rear-End Motor Vehicle (UT) Motor Vehicle (UT) 10/31/2021 Right Angle/ Motor Vehicle (2D)	15:38 JUANITA DR N North Contrib Circ: Exceed North Contrib Circ: None 12:01 JUANITA DR N Broadside East Contrib Circ: Did No	E & NE 132ND ST Straight Going Straight Ahead ding Stated Speed Limit Making Left Turn E & NE 132ND ST Curve & Merging; entering traffic ot Grant R/W to Vehicle	0' t & Grac 0' & Level	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight Road: Wet Male Male Daylight Road: Dry Male	N Age: 55 Age: 52 Pr Age: 62	Adv. Weather: Ra o injury No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder No Traffic Control Lap & Shoulder Adv. Weather: Clo ossible injury Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	aining # Inj: 0 r Belt Used r Belt Used ear / Partly # Inj: 1	# Killed: 0 Cloudy # Killed: 0

Settings for Query:

Start Date: 1/1/2019 End Date: 12/31/2021 Street: JUANITA DR NE Cross Street: NE 132ND ST Within Distance of: 50 Sorted By: Date and Time

Injury Co Fatal Col	Ilisions: 3 Iisions: 0				,			
NE 132N	D ST & 84TH AVE N	IE						Page 1
17-8813	3/15/2017	07:05 84TH AVE NE &	NE 132ND ST	0' Direc	tion: Not Stated	Dawn	Adv. Weather: Rai	ning
E656103	Pedestrian /	Bicycle Involved	Straight	& Level	Roa	ad: Wet Po	ossible injury	# Inj: 1 # Kille
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (SD)	West Contrib Circ: Did No	Making Left Turn t Grant R/W to Pedestrian /	Pedalcyclist	Ma Hao	ale Age: 76 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
Unit 2 Possible In	Pedestrian jury	East Contrib Circ: None			Ma Hao	ale Age: 16 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign	
17-20541	6/17/2017	17:53 84TH AVE NE &	NE 132ND ST	0' Direc	tion: Not Stated	Daylight	Adv. Weather: Rain	ning
E682299	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight	& Level	Roa	ad: Wet Po	ossible injury	# Inj: 6 # Kille
Unit 1 Possible In	Motor Vehicle (4D) jury	South Contrib Circ: Disrega	Going Straight Ahead ard Stop Sign / Flashing Red		Fer Hao	male Age: 49 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
Unit 2 Possible In	Motor Vehicle (UT) ijury	East Contrib Circ: None	Making Left Turn		Ma Hao	ale Age: 39 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
17-37319	10/25/2017	20:48 NE 132ND ST &	84TH AVE NE	0' Direc	tion: Not Stated	Dark - Street Lights (On Adv. Weather: Clea	ar / Partly Cloudy
E728197	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight	& Level	Roa	ad: Wet N	o injury	# Inj: 0 # Kille
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (4D)	North Contrib Circ: Disrega	Going Straight Ahead ard Stop Sign / Flashing Red		Ma Had	ale Age: 41 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (UT)	West Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead		Ma Hao	ale Age: 42 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
20-19732	6/29/2020	16:58 84TH AVE NE &	NE 132ND ST	0' Direc	tion: Not Stated	Daylight	Adv. Weather: Clea	ar / Partly Cloudy
EA47207	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight	& Level	Roa	ad: N	o injury	# Inj: 0 # Kille
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (SEDA	N) South Contrib Circ: Driver	Going Straight Ahead Distractions Outside Vehicle		Ma Had	ale Age: 25 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	West Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead		Fer Had	male Age: 55 d Not Been Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
20-35736	11/3/2020	17:57 84TH AVE NE &	NE 132ND ST	0' Direc	tion: Not Stated	Dark - Street Lights (On Adv. Weather: Clea	ar / Partly Cloudy
EA77786	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight	& Level	Roa	ad: Wet Po	ossible injury	# Inj: 1 # Kille
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (4D)	South Contrib Circ: Exceed	Going Straight Ahead ling Reas. Safe Speed		Ma	Age: 43	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder I	Belt Used
Unit 2	Motor Vehicle (UT)	West	Going Straight Ahead		Fer	male Age: 34	Stop Sign	

City of Kirkland Transportation Group

Collision Summary Report

From 1/1/2017 to 12/31/2022

Total Collisions: 7

Possible Injury

Contrib Circ: None

Lap & Shoulder Belt Used

ATTACHMENT 9

1/31/23

Page 1 of 2

Killed: 0

NE 132N	O ST & 84TH	AVE NE										ATT	FACHMENT	Page 2 of 2
21-34212	9/18/	2021 16:2	22 NE	E 132ND ST &	84TH AVE NE		0'	Direction: Not Stat	ed Daylig	ght		Adv. Weather: Ra	aining	,
EB69100	Right	Angle / Broa	dside			Straight &	Grad	e	Road: We	et	No inj	ury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	e (PK)	South Contrib	Circ: Disrega	Going Straight Ah rd Traffic Sign and	ead Signals			Male	Age: 2	1 Sto	p Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	e (UT)	West Contrib	Circ: None	Going Straight Ah	ead			Female	Age: 4	8 Sto	p Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 3 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	e (HB)	Contrib	Circ:					Female	Age: 3	4	Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
22-1495	1/12/	2022 19:0	00 84	TH AVE NE &	NE 132ND ST		0'	Direction: Not Stat	ed Dark-	Street Light	s On	Adv. Weather: Cl	ear / Partly	Cloudy
EC12521	Right	Angle / Broa	dside						Road: Dry	/	No inj	ury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	e (SD)	North Contrib	Circ: None	Going Straight Ah	ead			Female	Age: 3	9 Sto	p Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	e (SD)	West Contrib	Circ: None	Going Straight Ah	ead			Male	Age: 5	1 Sto	p Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	

Settings for Query:

Start Date: 1/1/2017 End Date: 12/31/2022 Street: NE 132ND ST Cross Street: 84TH AVE NE Within Distance of: 50 Sorted By: Date and Time

	City of Kirkland Transportation Group	ATTACHMENT 9
From 1/1/2019 to 12/31/2021		
Total Collisions: 0	Collision Summary Report	1/26/23
Injury Collisions: 0		
Fatal Collisions: 0		
82ND AVE NE & NE 132ND ST		Page 1 of 1

Settings for Query:

Start Date: 1/1/2019 End Date: 12/31/2021 Street: 82ND AVE NE Cross Street: NE 132ND ST Within Distance of: 50 Sorted By: Date and Time **Collision Summary Report**

From 1/1/2019 to 12/31/2021

Total Collisions: 3

Injury Collisions: 1

Fatal Collisions: 0

84TH AVE NE from NE 132ND ST to NE 136TH ST

1/27/23

Page 1 of 1

ATTACHMENT 9

20-19732	6/29/20	20 10	6:58	84TH AVE NE 8	NE 132ND ST		0'	Direction: Not State	d Daylight		Adv. Weather:	Clear / Partly	Cloudy
EA47207	Right An	igle / Bro	badside			Straight &	Level		Road:	N	o injury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (S	SEDAN)	So Cont	outh rib Circ: Driver	Going Straight Ahe Distractions Outside	ead e Vehicle			Male Had Not Been	Age: 25 Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle		W Cont	est rib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahe	ead			Female Had Not Been	Age: 55 Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
20-35736	11/3/20	20 17	7:57	84TH AVE NE 8	NE 132ND ST		0'	Direction: Not State	d Dark - Stre	et Lights (On Adv. Weather:	Clear / Partly	Cloudy
EA77786	Right An	igle / Bro	badside			Straight &	Level		Road: Wet	Po	ossible injury	# Inj: 1	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (4	ID)	So Cont	uth rib Circ: Exceed	Going Straight Ahe ding Reas. Safe Spee	ead ed			Male	Age: 43	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
Unit 2 Possible In	Motor Vehicle (L jury	JT)	W Cont	est rib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahe	ead			Female	Age: 34	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
21-34212	9/18/20	21 10	6:22	NE 132ND ST &	84TH AVE NE		0'	Direction: Not State	d Daylight		Adv. Weather:	Raining	
EB69100	Right An	igle / Bro	badside			Straight &	Grad	e	Road: Wet	N	o injury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (F	РК)	So Cont	outh rib Circ: Disreg	Going Straight Ahe ard Traffic Sign and	ead Signals			Male	Age: 21	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (U	JT)	W Cont	est rib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahe	ead			Female	Age: 48	Stop Sign Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	
Unit 3 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (H	HB)	Cont	rib Circ:					Female	Age: 34	Lap & Should	ler Belt Used	

Segment Length: 0.22 miles (1,174')

Settings for Query:

Start Date: 1/1/2019 End Date: 12/31/2021 Street: 84TH AVE NE between NE 132ND ST and NE 136TH ST Include Intersection Related: True Sorted By: Date and Time **Collision Summary Report**

From 1/1/2019 to 12/31/2021

Total Collisions: 3

Injury Collisions: 1

Fatal Collisions: 0

NE 132ND ST from 80TH AVE NE to 84TH AVE NE

ATTACHMENT 9

Page 1 of 1

1/27/23

20-19732	6/29/2020	16:58 84TH AVE NE 8	& NE 132ND ST	0'	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight		Adv. Weather: Cl	ear / Partly	Cloudy
EA47207	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight &	& Leve	9	Road:	N	o injury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (SEDAN	 South Contrib Circ: Driver 	Going Straight Ahead Distractions Outside Vehicle			Male Had Not Been	Age: 25 Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle	West Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead			Female Had Not Been	Age: 55 Drinking	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
20-35736	11/3/2020	17:57 84TH AVE NE 8	& NE 132ND ST	0'	Direction: Not State	ed Dark - Stre	et Lights (On Adv. Weather: Cl	ear / Partly	Cloudy
EA77786	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight 8	& Leve	9	Road: Wet	Po	ossible injury	# Inj: 1	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (4D)	South Contrib Circ: Excee	Going Straight Ahead ding Reas. Safe Speed			Male	Age: 43	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 Possible In	Motor Vehicle (UT) jury	West Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead			Female	Age: 34	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
21-34212	9/18/2021	16:22 NE 132ND ST 8	& 84TH AVE NE	0'	Direction: Not State	ed Daylight		Adv. Weather: Ra	aining	
EB69100	Right Angle /	Broadside	Straight 8	& Grad	le	Road: Wet	N	o injury	# Inj: 0	# Killed: 0
Unit 1 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (PK)	South Contrib Circ: Disreg	Going Straight Ahead ard Traffic Sign and Signals			Male	Age: 21	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 2 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (UT)	West Contrib Circ: None	Going Straight Ahead			Female	Age: 48	Stop Sign Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	
Unit 3 No Injury	Motor Vehicle (HB)	Contrib Circ:				Female	Age: 34	Lap & Shoulder	r Belt Used	

Segment Length: 0.25 miles (1,323')

Settings for Query:

Start Date: 1/1/2019 End Date: 12/31/2021 Street: NE 132ND ST between 80TH AVE NE and 84TH AVE NE Include Intersection Related: True Sorted By: Date and Time

Appendix D

Level of Service and Queue Calculation Worksheets

2022 Existing AM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Juanita Dr NE & NE 132nd St

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nd	St					04/12/2023
	4	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	ĥ			च	
Traffic Volume (vph)	54	57	139	71	81	454	
Future Volume (vph)	54	57	139	71	81	454	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	0		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	0		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				1			
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	6%	0%	1%	3%	3%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

2.5					
WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
7	1	el l			र्च
54	57	139	71	81	454
54	57	139	71	81	454
0	0	0	1	1	0
Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
-	None	-	None	-	None
0	100	-	-	-	-
0	-	0	-	-	0
-5	-	0	-	-	-5
87	87	87	87	87	87
6	0	1	3	3	1
62	66	160	82	93	522
	2.5 WBL 54 54 0 Stop - 0 0 0 -5 87 6 2	2.5 WBL WBR 54 57 54 57 54 57 54 57 57 57 57 87 87 87 87 6 0 62 66	2.5 WBR NBT WBL WBR NBT 1 1 1 54 57 139 54 57 139 0 0 0 Stop Free None - 0 100 55 0 6 0 6 0 6 160	Rest Rest Rest WBL WBR NBT NBR MBL WBR NBT NBR MBL Free NBT NBT 54 57 139 71 54 57 139 71 54 57 139 71 0 0 0 1 Stop Stop Free Free None - None - 0 100 - - 0 100 - - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - -5 - 0 - -5 - 0 - 87 87 87 87 6 0 160 82	Z.5 WBR NBT NBR SBL WBL WBR NBT NBR SBL M 1 1 1 1 54 57 139 71 81 54 57 139 71 81 0 0 0 1 1 Stop Free Free Free None - None - 0 100 - - - 0 100 - - - - 0 100 - - - - 0 100 - - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - 5 - 0 - - - 5 - 0

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	1ajor1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	910	202	0	0	243	0	
Stage 1	202	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	708	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.46	5.7	-	-	4.13	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.46	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.46	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.554	3.3	-	-	2.227	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	386	868	-	-	1317	-	
Stage 1	870	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	586	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	347	867	-	-	1316	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	347	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	869	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	527	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.4		0		1.2		
HCM LOS	В						

	NOT				0.01	0.D.T
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBK /	/VBLn1 \	WBLn2	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	347	867	1316	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.179	0.076	0.071	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	17.6	9.5	7.9	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.6	0.2	0.2	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & N	E 132nd 3	St									04/	12/2023
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	←	•	1	Ť	1	5	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	33	129	17	45	127	25	46	42	70	48	36	71
Future Volume (vph)	33	129	17	45	127	25	46	42	70	48	36	71
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			12.0			14.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	20		4	4		20	22		2	2		22
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	5%	12%	7%	6%	12%	2%	2%	1%	6%	3%	3%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	14.4
Intersection LOS	В

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	33	129	17	45	127	25	46	42	70	48	36	71
Future Vol, veh/h	33	129	17	45	127	25	46	42	70	48	36	71
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	5	12	7	6	12	2	2	1	6	3	3
Mvmt Flow	52	202	27	70	198	39	72	66	109	75	56	111
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	14.6			15.7			13.4			13.5		
HCM LOS	В			С			В			В		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	29%	18%	23%	31%	
Vol Thru, %	27%	72%	64%	23%	
Vol Right, %	44%	9%	13%	46%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	158	179	197	155	
LT Vol	46	33	45	48	
Through Vol	42	129	127	36	
RT Vol	70	17	25	71	
Lane Flow Rate	247	280	308	242	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.417	0.474	0.522	0.414	
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.079	6.104	6.101	6.147	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	593	590	591	584	
Service Time	4.127	4.151	4.145	4.195	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.417	0.475	0.521	0.414	
HCM Control Delay	13.4	14.6	15.7	13.5	
HCM Lane LOS	В	В	С	В	
HCM 95th-tile Q	2	2.5	3	2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

04/1	2/2023
------	--------

	≯		\mathbf{r}	<	←	•	•	Ť	-	1	Ţ	~
Lane Group	FBI	FBT	FBR	• WBI	WBT	WBR	NBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	• SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	02.1
Traffic Volume (vph)	71	83	10	53	72	111	3	3	53	29	5	145
Future Volume (vph)	71	83	10	53	72	111	3	3	53	29	5	145
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	59		7	7		59	23					23
Peak Hour Factor	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					68	68		12	12			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	

Int Delay, s/veh	11												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	71	83	10	53	72	111	3	3	53	29	5	145	
Future Vol, veh/h	71	83	10	53	72	111	3	3	53	29	5	145	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	59	0	7	7	0	59	23	0	0	0	0	23	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	118	138	17	88	120	185	5	5	88	48	8	242	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		ſ	Minor1		N	Ainor2			
Conflicting Flow All	364	0	0	162	0	0	927	930	154	877	846	295	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	390	390	-	448	448	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	537	540	-	429	398	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1206	-	-	1417	-	-	251	269	897	234	262	731	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	638	611	-	551	535	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	532	524	-	566	567	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1138	-	-	1408	-	-	131	206	891	168	201	675	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	206	-	168	201	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	561	538	-	461	466	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	303	456	-	448	499	-	
A service a sla										00			

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	3.7	1.7	12	29.6	
HCM LOS			В	D	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	609	1138	-	-	1408	-	-	434
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.161	0.104	-	-	0.063	-	-	0.687
HCM Control Delay (s)	12	8.5	0	-	7.7	0	-	29.6
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	Α	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.6	0.3	-	-	0.2	-	-	5.1

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	٨		+	•	1	1
	-				-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	f,		- W	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	167	235	6	6	0
Future Volume (vph)	2	167	235	6	6	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15			15		
Peak Hour Factor	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Synchro 11 Report Page 7

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	FRI	FBT	WRT	WRR	SBI	SBR
Wovernent				VVDI		ODIX
Lane Configurations		- କି	- Fe		- Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	167	235	6	6	0
Future Vol, veh/h	2	167	235	6	6	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	15	0	0	15	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	62	62	62	62	62	62
Heavy Vehicles, %	100	2	1	100	100	0
Mvmt Flow	3	269	379	10	10	0

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	404	0	-	0	674	399	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	399	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	275	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	7.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	776	-	-	-	207	586	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	407	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	507	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	765	-	-	-	200	578	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	200	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	399	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	500	-	
Annroach	FR		WR		SB		
HCM Control Delay	0.1		0		23.0		
HCM LOS	0.1		0		20.0		
					0		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		765	-	-	-	200	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.004	-	-	-	0.048	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.7	0	-	-	23.9	
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	С	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

		~			1)
		•			÷	*
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ન	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	46	46	53	124	29
Future Volume (vph)	13	46	46	53	124	29
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			35	35	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			14.9	8.2	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			13			13
Peak Hour Factor	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	4%	4%	3%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Tura:	Other					

Other

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- W			र्भ	î,	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	13	46	46	53	124	29
Future Vol, veh/h	13	46	46	53	124	29
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	13	0	0	13
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	61	61	61	61	61	61
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	4	4	3	0
Mvmt Flow	21	75	75	87	203	48
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	477	240	264	0	-	0
Ctore 1	240					

Conflicting Flow All	477	240	264	0	-	0	
Stage 1	240	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	237	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.2	5.62	4.14	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.236	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	645	831	1289	-	-	-	
Stage 1	872	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	873	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	591	821	1273	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	591	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	808	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	863	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NR		SB		
Approach	ED		IND		30		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.5		3.7		0		
HCM LOS	В						

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1273	-	756	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.059	-	0.128	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	0	10.5	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	0.4	-	-	

2022 Existing Afternoon Peak Hour

ATTACHMENT 9

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St 04/12/2														
	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		\$			4			4			4			
Traffic Volume (vph)	26	81	7	80	106	32	9	50	70	37	52	21		
Future Volume (vph)	26	81	7	80	106	32	9	50	70	37	52	21		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%			
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35			
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765			
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			12.0			14.9			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	54		9	9		54	53		7	7		53		
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	15%	10%	29%	1%	6%	3%	11%	6%	1%	5%	6%	0%		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)														
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop			
Intersection Summary														
Area Type:	Other													
Control Type: Unsignalized														

Synchro 11 Report Page 4

04/	12/2023
-----	---------

ATTACHMENT 9

ntersection	
ntersection Delay, s/veh	9.9
ntersection LOS	А

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	81	7	80	106	32	9	50	70	37	52	21
Future Vol, veh/h	26	81	7	80	106	32	9	50	70	37	52	21
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Heavy Vehicles, %	15	10	29	1	6	3	11	6	1	5	6	0
Mvmt Flow	31	98	8	96	128	39	11	60	84	45	63	25
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	9.6			10.5			9.4			9.4		
HCM LOS	А			В			А			А		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	7%	23%	37%	34%	
Vol Thru, %	39%	71%	49%	47%	
Vol Right, %	54%	6%	15%	19%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	129	114	218	110	
LT Vol	9	26	80	37	
Through Vol	50	81	106	52	
RT Vol	70	7	32	21	
Lane Flow Rate	155	137	263	133	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.213	0.199	0.35	0.189	
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.936	5.21	4.799	5.125	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	720	683	743	693	
Service Time	3.017	3.293	2.871	3.209	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.215	0.201	0.354	0.192	
HCM Control Delay	9.4	9.6	10.5	9.4	
HCM Lane LOS	A	А	В	А	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.8	0.7	1.6	0.7	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3:

3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St 04/1														
	٦	-	*	4	+	*	•	1	1	*	Ļ	~		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4			
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	88	15	27	99	29	7	0	33	20	11	39		
Future Volume (vph)	28	88	15	27	99	29	7	0	33	20	11	39		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%			
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25			
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250			
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	99		6	6		99	52					52		
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	5%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Parking (#/hr)					18	18		15	15					
Shared Lane Traffic (%)														
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop			
Intersection Summary														
	0 //													

Other

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	4.6												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	88	15	27	99	29	7	0	33	20	11	39	
Future Vol, veh/h	28	88	15	27	99	29	7	0	33	20	11	39	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	99	0	6	6	0	99	52	0	0	0	0	52	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	35	110	19	34	124	36	9	0	41	25	14	49	
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor?			

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Ainor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	259	0	0	135	0	0	490	523	126	519	514	293	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	196	196	-	309	309	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	294	327	-	210	205	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1317	-	-	1462	-	-	492	462	930	432	429	733	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	810	742	-	670	630	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	719	651	-	769	711	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1193	-	-	1454	-	-	399	392	925	358	364	631	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	399	392	-	358	364	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	779	714	-	588	556	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	599	574	-	711	684	-	
Annroach	FR			WR			NR			SB			

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.7	1.3	10.1	14.3	
HCM LOS			В	В	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	752	1193	-	-	1454	-	-	473
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.066	0.029	-	-	0.023	-	-	0.185
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.1	8.1	0	-	7.5	0	-	14.3
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.7

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	≯		+	*		1
	-				•	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	eî 👘		- Y	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	140	154	6	6	1
Future Volume (vph)	1	140	154	6	6	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	22			22		
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	100%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
	501	FOT	MOT		0.51	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBI	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		्स	4		- ¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	140	154	6	6	1
Future Vol, veh/h	1	140	154	6	6	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	22	0	0	22	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles. %	100	2	1	100	100	100
Mymt Flow	1	165	181	7	7	1

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	210	0	-	0	374	207	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	207	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	167	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	8.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	4.2	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	943	-	-	-	384	602	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	571	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	613	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	923	-	-	-	367	589	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	367	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	558	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	600	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1		0		14.5		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		923	-	-	-	388	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	-	0.021	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.9	0	-	-	14.5	
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.1	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	٠	\sim	•	ŧ	T	1
	-	•	``	•	Ŧ	•
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ની	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	19	18	103	88	13
Future Volume (vph)	15	19	18	103	88	13
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			35	35	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			14.9	8.2	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			25			25
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Heavy Vehicles (%)	7%	0%	6%	3%	5%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Tura	Other					

Other

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.8					
Movement	FBI	FRR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	100		NDL		1001	
		10	10	102	•	10
Traffic Vol, ven/n	10	19	10	103	00	13
Future vol, ven/n	15	19	18	103	88	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	_ 25	_ 0	_ 0	_ 25
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	¥ 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	74	74	74	74	74	74
Heavy Vehicles %	7	0	6	3	5	0
Mymt Flow	20	26	24	130	110	18
	20	20	24	100	113	10
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	l	Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	340	153	162	0	-	0
Stage 1	153	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	187		-	-		
Critical Hdwy	5.27	56	1 16			
Critical Hduny Sta 1	1.07	5.0	4.10	=	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.27	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Howy Stg 2	4.27	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hawy	3.563	3.3	2.254	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	723	922	1393	-	-	-
Stage 1	908	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	887	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	675	900	1360	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	675	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	869	_	-	_	-	_
Stage 2	866	_	_	_	_	_
	000	2	-	_	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9		1.1		0	
HCM LOS	A					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1360	-	785	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.018	-	0.059	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.7	0	9.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	-
		Л	А	Л	-	-

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.1

0.2

_

-

2022 Existing PM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Juanita Dr NE & NE 132nd St

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nd	St					04/12/2023
	4	•	Ť	*	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	1	eî 👘			स्	
Traffic Volume (vph)	66	136	412	59	67	300	
Future Volume (vph)	66	136	412	59	67	300	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	0		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	0		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.4					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	1	et i			र्च
Traffic Vol, veh/h	66	136	412	59	67	300
Future Vol, veh/h	66	136	412	59	67	300
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	100	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	-5	-	0	-	-	-5
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	1	2	2	1
Mvmt Flow	73	149	453	65	74	330

Major/Minor	Minor1	1	Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	964	486	0	0	518	0	
Stage 1	486	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	478	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.4	5.71	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	373	624	-	-	1048	-	
Stage 1	713	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	717	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	341	624	-	-	1048	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	341	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	713	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	655	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.5		0		1.6		
HCM LOS	В						

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR V	NBLn1 V	VBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	341	624	1048	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.213	0.24	0.07	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	18.4	12.6	8.7	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	В	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.8	0.9	0.2	-	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St 04/12/:												12/2023
	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	←	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	41	123	16	66	131	40	11	57	71	28	32	22
Future Volume (vph)	41	123	16	66	131	40	11	57	71	28	32	22
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			12.0			14.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	36		4	4		36	16		1	1		16
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	18%	0%	1%	11%	3%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	10	
Intersection LOS	А	

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	41	123	16	66	131	40	11	57	71	28	32	22
Future Vol, veh/h	41	123	16	66	131	40	11	57	71	28	32	22
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0	18	0	1	11	3	0
Mvmt Flow	47	140	18	75	149	45	13	65	81	32	36	25
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	9.8			10.5			9.8			9.3		
HCM LOS	A			В			А			А		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	8%	23%	28%	34%	
Vol Thru, %	41%	68%	55%	39%	
Vol Right, %	51%	9%	17%	27%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	139	180	237	82	
LT Vol	11	41	66	28	
Through Vol	57	123	131	32	
RT Vol	71	16	40	22	
Lane Flow Rate	158	205	269	93	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.227	0.277	0.356	0.138	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.165	4.873	4.759	5.342	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	687	730	749	663	
Service Time	3.254	2.95	2.831	3.441	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.23	0.281	0.359	0.14	
HCM Control Delay	9.8	9.8	10.5	9.3	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	А	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.9	1.1	1.6	0.5	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

04/12/2023

	≯	+	1	4	ł	•	•	Ť	*	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	• WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	• SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	23	101	3	16	108	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
Future Volume (vph)	23	101	3	16	108	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	39		13	13		39	3		10	10		3
Peak Hour Factor	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					8	8		0	0			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Heavy Vehicles, % Mvmt Flow 04/12/2023

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	4.3												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			4			4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	23	101	3	16	108	49	10	3	8	41	15	23	
Future Vol, veh/h	23	101	3	16	108	49	10	3	8	41	15	23	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	39	0	13	13	0	39	3	0	10	10	0	3	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		I	Ainor1		N	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	257	0	0	157	0	0	477	520	165	491	488	226	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	219	219	-	267	267	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	258	301	-	224	221	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1320	-	-	1435	-	-	502	463	885	453	445	803	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	788	726	-	711	662	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	751	669	-	754	698	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1271	-	-	1417	-	-	440	421	866	409	405	771	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	440	421	-	409	405	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	757	698	-	666	626	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	681	633	-	713	671	-	
Annraach	ГР			\ \ /D			ND			CD			

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.4	0.7	12.1	14.9	
HCM LOS			В	В	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	537	1271	-	-	1417	-	-	473
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.054	0.025	-	-	0.016	-	-	0.232
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.1	7.9	0	-	7.6	0	-	14.9
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.9

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	≯	-	Ļ	*	1	~
	EDI	EDT		\//DD	CDI	CDD
	EDL			VVDR	<u>JDL</u>	SDK
Lane Configurations		. .	- Fe		<u> </u>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	9	138	161	11	13	7
Future Volume (vph)	9	138	161	11	13	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	29			29		
Peak Hour Factor	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71
Heavy Vehicles (%)	11%	2%	1%	0%	8%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Synchro 11 Report Page 7

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		्स	1 2		- M	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	138	161	11	13	7
Future Vol, veh/h	9	138	161	11	13	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	29	0	0	29	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	71	71	71	71	71	71
Heavy Vehicles, %	11	2	1	0	8	0
Mvmt Flow	13	194	227	15	18	10
ivivmt flow	13	194	221	15	18	10

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	271	0	-	0	484	264	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	264	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	220	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.21	-	-	-	8.48	7.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	7.48	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	7.48	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.299	-	-	-	3.572	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1242	-	-	-	406	724	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	662	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	710	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1208	-	-	-	379	704	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	379	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	636	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	690	-	
Approach	FB		WR		SB		
HCM Control Delay s	0.5		0		13.5		
HCM LOS	0.0		U		10.0 R		
					U		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1208	-	-	-	452	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.01	-	-	-	0.062	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8	0	-	-	13.5	
HCM Lane LOS		Α	А	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	٠	\mathbf{i}	•	+	1	1
	-	•	``		•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ર્સ	f)	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	4	6	119	83	0
Future Volume (vph)	1	4	6	119	83	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			35	35	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			14.9	8.2	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			14			14
Peak Hour Factor	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

-

-

А

0

А

-

А

0

-

-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Synchro 11 Report Page 10

2024 No Action AM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings . ~

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nc	04/12/2023					
	4	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	eî 👘		<u>۲</u>	•	
Traffic Volume (vph)	56	59	145	74	84	472	
Future Volume (vph)	56	59	145	74	84	472	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	150		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	1		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				1			
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	6%	0%	1%	3%	3%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.3					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	- ሽ	1	1		- ሽ	↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	56	59	145	74	84	472
Future Vol, veh/h	56	59	145	74	84	472
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	100	-	-	150	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	1	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	-5	-	0	-	-	-5
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	6	0	1	3	3	1
Mvmt Flow	64	68	167	85	97	543

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	/lajor1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	948	211	0	0	253	0	
Stage 1	211	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	737	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.46	5.7	-	-	4.13	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.46	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.46	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.554	3.3	-	-	2.227	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	370	859	-	-	1306	-	
Stage 1	864	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	572	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	342	858	-	-	1305	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	438	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	863	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	530	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12		0		1.2		
HCM LOS	В						

Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	NBT		NRI n1	WRI n2	SBL	SBT
					ODL	001
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	438	858	1305	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.147	0.079	0.074	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14.6	9.6	8	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.5	0.3	0.2	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & N	E 132nd	St									04/	12/2023
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	4	←	•	1	Ť	1	5	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	29	113	15	47	132	26	48	44	73	71	45	165
Future Volume (vph)	29	113	15	47	132	26	48	44	73	71	45	165
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	20		4	4		20	22		2	2		22
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	5%	12%	7%	6%	12%	2%	2%	1%	6%	3%	3%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Intersection Intersection Delay, s/veh 22.4 Intersection LOS C

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	29	113	15	47	132	26	48	44	73	71	45	165
Future Vol, veh/h	29	113	15	47	132	26	48	44	73	71	45	165
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	5	12	7	6	12	2	2	1	6	3	3
Mvmt Flow	45	177	23	73	206	41	75	69	114	111	70	258
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	17.1			21.3			16.6			29.5		
HCM LOS	С			С			С			D		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	29%	18%	23%	25%	
Vol Thru, %	27%	72%	64%	16%	
Vol Right, %	44%	10%	13%	59%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	165	157	205	281	
LT Vol	48	29	47	71	
Through Vol	44	113	132	45	
RT Vol	73	15	26	165	
Lane Flow Rate	258	245	320	439	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.494	0.491	0.626	0.789	
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.902	7.208	7.037	6.47	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	521	498	513	562	
Service Time	4.973	5.283	5.104	4.47	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.495	0.492	0.624	0.781	
HCM Control Delay	16.6	17.1	21.3	29.5	
HCM Lane LOS	С	С	С	D	
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.7	2.7	4.3	7.4	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

04/	12/2023
-----	---------

	≯	+	1	•	Ļ	*	•	Ť	*	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	• NBR	SBL	• SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	71	86	10	55	166	111	3	3	55	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	71	86	10	55	166	111	3	3	55	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	59		7	7		59	23					23
Peak Hour Factor	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					68	68		12	12			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	

Int Delay, s/veh	3.2												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			¢			¢			¢		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	71	86	10	55	166	111	3	3	55	0	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	71	86	10	55	166	111	3	3	55	0	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	59	0	7	7	0	59	23	0	0	0	0	23	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	118	143	17	92	277	185	5	5	92	0	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		ľ	Minor1		l	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	521	0	0	167	0	0	972	1100	159	1049	1016	452	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	395	395	-	613	613	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	577	705	-	436	403	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1056	-	-	1411	-	-	234	214	892	174	202	589	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	634	608	-	436	439	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	506	442	-	561	564	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	997	-	-	1402	-	-	191	159	886	121	150	544	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	191	159	-	121	150	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	548	525	-	358	377	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	450	379	-	433	487	-	
Ammanah										00			

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	3.9	1.3	11.8	0	
HCM LOS			В	А	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	631	997	-	-	1402	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.161	0.119	-	-	0.065	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.8	9.1	0	-	7.7	0	-	0
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.6	0.4	-	-	0.2	-	-	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	٨		Ŧ	•	5	2
		-			-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	f,		- Y	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	145	335	6	6	0
Future Volume (vph)	2	145	335	6	6	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15			15		
Peak Hour Factor	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
	501	FDT	MOT			000
Movement	EBL	ERI	WRI	WBK	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		् सी	4		- ¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	145	335	6	6	0
Future Vol, veh/h	2	145	335	6	6	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	15	0	0	15	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	62	62	62	62	62	62
Heavy Vehicles, %	100	2	1	100	100	0
Mymt Flow	3	234	540	10	10	0

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Aajor2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	565	0	-	0	800	560	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	560	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	240	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	7.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	659	-	-	-	159	455	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	306	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	539	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	650	-	-	-	154	449	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	154	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	300	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	531	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1		0		29.9		
HCM LOS					D		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		650	-	-	-	154	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-	-	-	0.063	
HCM Control Delay (s)		10.6	0	-	-	29.9	
HCM Lane LOS		В	А	-	-	D	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	•	~	4	•	I	1
		•	7	I	+	•
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ની	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	72	166	46	50	129	29
Future Volume (vph)	72	166	46	50	129	29
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			13			13
Peak Hour Factor	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	4%	4%	3%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
	Other					

Other

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	8.2					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- ¥			्स	- 1 +	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	72	166	46	50	129	29
Future Vol, veh/h	72	166	46	50	129	29
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	13	0	0	13
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	61	61	61	61	61	61
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	2	4	4	3	0
Mvmt Flow	118	272	75	82	211	48

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ma	ajor2					
Conflicting Flow All	480	248	272	0	-	0				
Stage 1	248	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	232	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	5.2	5.62	4.14	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.2	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.2	-	-	-	-	-				
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.236	-	-	-				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	643	824	1280	-	-	-				
Stage 1	867	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	876	-	-	-	-	-				
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	589	814	1264	-	-	-				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	589	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 1	804	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	865	-	-	-	-	-				
Approach	EB		NB		SB					
HCM Control Delay, s	15.4		3.8		0					
HCM LOS	С									

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1264	-	730	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.06	-	0.534	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	0	15.4	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	Α	С	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	3.2	-	-	

2024 No Action Afternoon Peak Hour

ATTACHMENT 9

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & N	IE 132nd 3	St									04/	24/2023
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	70	6	83	110	33	9	52	73	52	66	47
Future Volume (vph)	22	70	6	83	110	33	9	52	73	52	66	47
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	54		9	9		54	53		7	7		53
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Heavy Vehicles (%)	15%	10%	29%	1%	6%	3%	11%	6%	1%	5%	6%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	10.4
Intersection LOS	В

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	70	6	83	110	33	9	52	73	52	66	47
Future Vol, veh/h	22	70	6	83	110	33	9	52	73	52	66	47
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Heavy Vehicles, %	15	10	29	1	6	3	11	6	1	5	6	0
Mvmt Flow	27	84	7	100	133	40	11	63	88	63	80	57
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	9.8			11.2			9.7			10.3		
HCM LOS	А			В			А			В		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	7%	22%	37%	32%	
Vol Thru, %	39%	71%	49%	40%	
Vol Right, %	54%	6%	15%	28%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	134	98	226	165	
LT Vol	9	22	83	52	
Through Vol	52	70	110	66	
RT Vol	73	6	33	47	
Lane Flow Rate	161	118	272	199	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.23	0.181	0.383	0.286	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.128	5.53	5.06	5.182	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	701	649	713	696	
Service Time	3.157	3.562	3.072	3.198	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.23	0.182	0.381	0.286	
HCM Control Delay	9.7	9.8	11.2	10.3	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	В	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.9	0.7	1.8	1.2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82r</u>

3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy	/ & NE 1	32nd S	t								04/	24/2023
	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	←	•	1	Ť	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	92	16	28	128	29	7	0	34	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	28	92	16	28	128	29	7	0	34	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	99		6	6		99	52					52
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	5%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)				18	18	18	15	15	15			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	2.3

3 ·												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		- 4 >			4.			4.			4.	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	92	16	28	128	29	7	0	34	0	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	28	92	16	28	128	29	7	0	34	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	99	0	6	6	0	99	52	0	0	0	0	52
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	35	115	20	35	160	36	9	0	43	0	0	0

Major/Minor	Major1	Major2				Minor1			Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	295	0	0	141	0	0	501	566	131	564	558	329	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	201	-	347	347	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	365	-	217	211	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1278	-	-	1455	-	-	484	436	924	400	402	698	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	805	739	-	635	602	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	713	627	-	762	706	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1158	-	-	1447	-	-	437	369	919	330	340	601	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	437	369	-	330	340	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	774	710	-	556	531	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	659	553	-	703	678	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.7	1.1	10	0	
HCM LOS			В	А	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	773	1158	-	-	1447	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.066	0.03	-	-	0.024	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	10	8.2	0	-	7.5	0	-	0
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	٠		-	•	5	1
		-			-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	eî 👘		- Y	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	126	185	6	6	1
Future Volume (vph)	1	126	185	6	6	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	22			22		
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	100%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Synchro 11 Report Page 7

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	FBI	FBT	WRT	WRR	SBI	SBR
				TIDI(
Lane Configurations		- 	- P		Ϋ́	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	126	185	6	6	1
Future Vol, veh/h	1	126	185	6	6	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	22	0	0	22	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	100	2	1	100	100	100
Mvmt Flow	1	148	218	7	7	1

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Aajor2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	247	0	-	0	394	244	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	244	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	150	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	8.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	4.2	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	909	-	-	-	369	565	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	535	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	631	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	890	-	-	-	353	553	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	353	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	523	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	618	-	
Approach	FB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1		0		14.9		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		890	-	-	-	372	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	-	0.022	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.1	0	-	-	14.9	
HCM Lane LOS		Α	A	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.1	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

					1	,
		•		I	ŧ	*
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			च	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	33	71	18	103	92	13
Future Volume (vph)	33	71	18	103	92	13
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			25			25
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Heavy Vehicles (%)	7%	0%	6%	3%	5%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
	Other					

Other

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
	07					
int Delay, s/ven	J.1					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- M			et.	ţ,	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	33	71	18	103	92	13
Future Vol. veh/h	33	71	18	103	92	13
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	25	0	0	25
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-		-
Veh in Median Storage	# 0	-	_	0	0	-
Grade %				-8	10	
Brak Hour Faster	-0	- 74	- 74	-0	74	-
	74	14	14	14	14	74
Heavy venicies, %	1	0	0	3	5	0
MVMt Flow	45	96	24	139	124	18
Maior/Minor	Minor2		Maior1		Maior2	
Conflicting Flow All	345	158	167	0		0
Stage 1	158	-	-	-	_	-
Stage 2	187	_		_		
Critical Udway	5.07	5.6	1 16	-	-	-
Critical Holys	0.27	5.0	4.10	-	-	-
	4.27	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Howy Stg 2	4.27	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hawy	3.503	3.3	2.254	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	/20	916	1387	-	-	-
Stage 1	905	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	887	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	672	894	1354	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	672	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	866	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	866	-	-	-	-	-
Ŭ						
					0.5	
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.4		1.1		0	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Long/Major Munt		NDL	NDT	EDI -1	CDT	000
	_		INDI	EDLIII	301	SBK
Capacity (veh/h)		1354	-	809	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.018	-	0.1/4	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.7	0	10.4	-	-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

А

0.1

А

-

В

0.6

-

-

-

-

Synchro 11 Report Page 10 2024 No Action PM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Juanita Dr NE & NE 132nd St

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nc	l St					04/12/2023
	4	•	1	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	1		<u>۲</u>	↑	
Traffic Volume (vph)	69	141	429	61	70	312	
Future Volume (vph)	69	141	429	61	70	312	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	150		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	1		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ľ	1	et -		ľ	•
Traffic Vol, veh/h	69	141	429	61	70	312
Future Vol, veh/h	69	141	429	61	70	312
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	100	-	-	150	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	1	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	-5	-	0	-	-	-5
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	1	2	2	1
Mvmt Flow	76	155	471	67	77	343

Conflicting Flow All 1002 505 0 0 538 0 Stage 1 505 - - - - - Stage 2 497 - - - - - Critical Hdwy 5.4 5.71 - 4.12 - - Critical Hdwy Stg 1 4.4 - - - - - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 - - - - - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 - - - - - Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.309 - 2.218 - - Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.309 - 2.218 - - Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 358 610 - 1030 - - Stage 1 702 - - - - - Nov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - - - <	Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	/lajor1		Major2			
Stage 1 505 -	Conflicting Flow All	1002	505	0	0	538	0		
Stage 2 497 -	Stage 1	505	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy 5.4 5.71 - 4.12 - Critical Hdwy Stg 1 4.4 - - - - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 - - - - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 - - - - Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.309 - 2.218 - Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 358 610 - 1030 - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 707 - - - - Platoon blocked, % - - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - - - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6	Stage 2	497	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 4.4 - - - - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 - - - - Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.309 - 2.218 - Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 358 610 - 1030 - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 707 - - - - Platoon blocked, % - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 -	Critical Hdwy	5.4	5.71	-	-	4.12	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 4.4 -	Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.4	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.309 - - 2.218 - Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 358 610 - - 1030 - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 707 - - - - Platoon blocked, % - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Approach WB NB SB - HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 -	Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.4	-	-	-	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 358 610 - - 1030 - Stage 1 702 - - - - - Stage 2 707 - - - - - Platoon blocked, % - - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 - -	Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	-	-	2.218	-		
Stage 1 702 - - - - - Stage 2 707 - - - - - Platoon blocked, % - - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - 1030 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - - Stage 1 702 - - - - Stage 2 654 - - - - Approach WB NB SB - - HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 -	Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	358	610	-	-	1030	-		
Stage 2 707 -	Stage 1	702	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, % - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - - 1030 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - - - Stage 1 702 - - - Stage 2 654 - - - Approach WB NB SB HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6	Stage 2	707	-	-	-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 331 610 - - 1030 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 - <td>Platoon blocked, %</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 460 -	Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	331	610	-	-	1030	-		
Stage 1 702 -	Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	460	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2 654 -	Stage 1	702	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach WB NB SB HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 HCM LOS B	Stage 2	654	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach WB NB SB HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 HCM LOS B									
HCM Control Delay, s 13.4 0 1.6 HCM LOS B	Approach	WB		NB		SB			
HCMLOS B	HCM Control Delay, s	13.4		0		1.6			
	HCM LOS	В							

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR V	VBLn1 \	WBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	460	610	1030	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.165	0.254	0.075	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14.4	12.9	8.8	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	В	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.6	1	0.2	-	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & N	E 132nd 3	St									04/	12/2023
	۶	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	←	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	128	17	69	136	42	11	59	74	29	33	23
Future Volume (vph)	43	128	17	69	136	42	11	59	74	29	33	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	36		4	4		36	16		1	1		16
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	18%	0%	1%	11%	3%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Finn Hill Middle School PM Peak Hour - 2024 No Action Synchro 11 Report Page 4

0.3
В
l

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	43	128	17	69	136	42	11	59	74	29	33	23
Future Vol, veh/h	43	128	17	69	136	42	11	59	74	29	33	23
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0	18	0	1	11	3	0
Mvmt Flow	49	145	19	78	155	48	13	67	84	33	38	26
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	10.1			10.8			10			9.5		
HCM LOS	В			В			А			А		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	8%	23%	28%	34%	
Vol Thru, %	41%	68%	55%	39%	
Vol Right, %	51%	9%	17%	27%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	144	188	247	85	
LT Vol	11	43	69	29	
Through Vol	59	128	136	33	
RT Vol	74	17	42	23	
Lane Flow Rate	164	214	281	97	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.242	0.292	0.374	0.148	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.325	5.019	4.802	5.515	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	679	720	738	654	
Service Time	3.325	3.019	2.896	3.521	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.242	0.297	0.381	0.148	
HCM Control Delay	10	10.1	10.8	9.5	
HCM Lane LOS	А	В	В	А	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.9	1.2	1.7	0.5	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

04/1	2/2023
------	--------

٠	_	\mathbf{i}	_	Ļ	•	•	ŧ	*	1	T	1
-		•	•		-	``	I		•	•	
EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
	4			4			4			4	
23	105	3	17	112	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
23	105	3	17	112	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
	0%			-3%			0%			3%	
	25			25			25			25	
	507			410			266			250	
	13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
39		13	13		39	3		10	10		3
0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
				8	8		0	0			
	Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
	EBL 23 23 1900 39 0.72 0%	 ▲ ▲ ▲ ▲ 23 105 23 105 1900 1900 0% 25 507 13.8 39 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 	EBL EBT EBR 23 105 3 23 105 3 1900 1900 1900 0% 25 507 13.8 39 13 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 0%	EBL EBT EBR WBL 23 105 3 17 23 105 3 17 23 105 3 17 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% 25 507 507 13.8 39 39 13 13 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 0% Free	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT 4 4 4 23 105 3 17 112 23 105 3 17 112 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% -3% 25 25 507 410 13.8 11.2 39 13 13 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 0% 0% 1% 8 Free Free	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR 4 4 4 4 4 23 105 3 17 112 49 23 105 3 17 112 49 23 105 3 17 112 49 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% -3% 25 25 507 410 13.8 11.2 39 13 13 39 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 0% 0% 1% 0% 8 8 8 8 8	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% -3% 25 25 507 410 13.8 11.2 39 3 39 3 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0% 3% 0% 0% 1% 0% 8 8 8 8 8	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT 4 4 4 4 10 3 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% -3% 0% -3% 0% 0% 25 25 25 507 410 266 266 13.8 11.2 7.3 39 3 0.72 0.74	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 0% -3% 0% 0% -3% 0% 0% 1900 <t< td=""><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 1900 100 100</td><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 1900 <td< td=""></td<></td></t<>	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 1900 100 100	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 23 105 3 17 112 49 10 3 8 41 15 1900 <td< td=""></td<>

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.3											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	23	105	3	17	112	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
Future Vol, veh/h	23	105	3	17	112	49	10	3	8	41	15	23
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	39	0	13	13	0	39	3	0	10	10	0	3
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-
Peak Hour Factor	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	32	146	4	24	156	68	14	4	11	57	21	32
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	263	0	0	163	0	0	493	536	171	507	504	232
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	225	225	-	277	277	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	268	311	-	230	227	-
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3

Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1313	-	-	1428	-	-	490	454	878	440	435	797	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	782	721	-	701	654	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	742	662	-	748	693	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1264	-	-	1410	-	-	428	411	859	396	394	765	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	428	411	-	396	394	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	751	692	-	656	617	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	671	625	-	707	665	-	
-													

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.4	0.7	12.3	15.3	
HCM LOS			В	С	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	525	1264	-	-	1410	-	-	460
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.056	0.025	-	-	0.017	-	-	0.239
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.3	7.9	0	-	7.6	0	-	15.3
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.9

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	∕	-	Ļ	*	1	~
	EDI	EDT		\//DD	CDI	CDD
	EDL			VVDR	JDL	SDK
Lane Configurations		. .	- Fe		Y.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	9	144	168	11	13	7
Future Volume (vph)	9	144	168	11	13	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	29			29		
Peak Hour Factor	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71
Heavy Vehicles (%)	11%	2%	1%	0%	8%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्च	el 👘		¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	144	168	11	13	7
Future Vol, veh/h	9	144	168	11	13	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	29	0	0	29	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	! _	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	71	71	71	71	71	71
Heavy Vehicles, %	11	2	1	0	8	0
Mvmt Flow	13	203	237	15	18	10
	Maiant		Major?		Min and	

Conflicting Flow All 281 0 - 0 503 274 Stage 1 - - 274 - Stage 2 - - 229 - Critical Hdwy 4.21 - - 8.48 7.2 - Critical Hdwy Stg 1 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 POt Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - - 651 - - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 365 693 - - - 365 - - - 525 - - - 625 - - - 625 - - - - 680 -	Najor/Winor	Majorí	[Major2		Minor ₂		
Stage 1 - - 274 - Stage 2 - - 229 - Critical Hdwy 4.21 - - 8.48 7.2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Verture - - 680 - - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - 680 - - HCM Control Delay, s	Conflicting Flow All	281	0	-	0	503	274	
Stage 2 - - - 229 - Critical Hdwy 4.21 - - 8.48 7.2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - 680 - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 -	Stage 1	-	-	-	-	274	-	
Critical Hdwy 4.21 - - 8.48 7.2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - 651 - - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 365 693 Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 1 - - - 680 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - VCap-1 Maneuver - - 680 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - 680 - Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - 680 - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5	Stage 2	-	-	-	-	229	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 - - 7.48 - Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - 651 - - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 365 693 Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Vor Cap-2 Maneuver - - 680 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Mov Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 - HCM LOS B - - - -	Critical Hdwy	4.21	-	-	-	8.48	7.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 - - - 7.48 - Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 700 - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - VEX -	Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	7.48	-	
Follow-up Hdwy 2.299 - - 3.572 3.3 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - 700 - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B - - -	Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	7.48	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 1231 - - 391 713 Stage 1 - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B - - -	Follow-up Hdwy	2.299	-	-	-	3.572	3.3	
Stage 1 - - 651 - Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 - HCM LOS B - - -	Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1231	-	-	-	391	713	
Stage 2 - - - 700 - Platoon blocked, % - - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 -	Stage 1	-	-	-	-	651	-	
Platoon blocked, % - - - Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB - HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B - -	Stage 2	-	-	-	-	700	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 1197 - - 365 693 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B - -	Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - - - 365 - Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B -	Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1197	-	-	-	365	693	
Stage 1 - - - 625 - Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B B	Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	365	-	
Stage 2 - - - 680 - Approach EB WB SB - 680 -	Stage 1	-	-	-	-	625	-	
Approach EB WB SB HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B	Stage 2	-	-	-	-	680	-	
Approach EB WB SB HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.8 HCM LOS B								
HCM LOS B	Approach	ED				СD		
HCM Control Delay, s 0.5 0 13.6 HCM LOS B	HCM Control Dolovia					12.0		
HOM LOS B	HOM CONTROL Delay, S	0.5		U		13.0		
						Б		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt EBL EBT WBT WBR SBLn1	Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h) 1197 437	Capacity (veh/h)		1197	-	-	-	437	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.011 0.064	HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.011	-	-	-	0.064	
HCM Control Delay (s) 8 0 13.8	HCM Control Delay (s)		8	0	-	-	13.8	
HCM Lane LOS A A B	HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 0.2	HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.2	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	٠	~	•	+		1
	-	•)	I	•	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			च	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	4	6	124	86	0
Future Volume (vph)	1	4	6	124	86	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			14			14
Peak Hour Factor	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
	0.4					
int Delay, s/ven	0.4					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- W			et.	ţ,	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	4	6	124	86	0
Future Vol, veh/h	1	4	6	124	86	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	14	0	0	14
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<i>‡</i> 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade. %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	3	4	0
Mymt Flow	1	5	7	151	105	Õ
	•	Ū		101	100	Ū
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	284	119	119	0	-	0
Stage 1	119	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	165	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	5.2	5.6	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	781	957	1482	-	-	-
Stage 1	948	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	918	_	_	-	-	-
Platoon blocked. %	0.0			-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	757	944	1462	-	-	-
Mov Cap-7 Maneuver	757	577		_		_
	031	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	901	-	-	-	-	-
Slage 2	906	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9		0.3		0	
HCM LOS	A					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBL	EBLn1	SBL	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1462	-	900	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-	0.007	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.5	0	9	-	-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

A 0

А

-

А

0

-

-

-

-
2024 With Project AM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings . .

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nc	04/12/2023					
	4	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	eî 👘		- N	•	
Traffic Volume (vph)	88	67	145	87	101	472	
Future Volume (vph)	88	67	145	87	101	472	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	150		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	1		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				1			
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	el 🗧		۳	•
Traffic Vol, veh/h	88	67	145	87	101	472
Future Vol, veh/h	88	67	145	87	101	472
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	100	-	-	150	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<i>‡</i> 1	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	-5	-	0	-	-	-5
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	0	1	2	2	1
Mvmt Flow	101	77	167	100	116	543
	Minaud		Maiant		Ma: 0	

iviajor/iviinor	IVIINOF I	IN IN	lajor i		viajorz				
Conflicting Flow All	993	218	0	0	268	0			
Stage 1	218	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	775	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy	5.43	5.7	-	-	4.12	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.43	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.43	-	-	-	-	-			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.527	3.3	-	-	2.218	-			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	357	852	-	-	1296	-			
Stage 1	867	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	561	-	-	-	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	325	851	-	-	1295	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	423	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 1	866	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	511	-	-	-	-	-			
Approach	WB		NB		SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	13.4		0		1.4				
HCM LOS	В								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR V	VBLn1 V	VBLn2	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	423	851	1295	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.239	0.09	0.09	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	16.2	9.7	8.1	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.9	0.3	0.3	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St 04/12/2023													
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	4	←	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$		
Traffic Volume (vph)	29	115	15	47	163	26	56	44	73	79	49	218	
Future Volume (vph)	29	115	15	47	163	26	56	44	73	79	49	218	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%		
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30		
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765		
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	20		4	4		20	22		2	2		22	
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	7%	13%	6%	6%	12%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)													
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop		
Intersection Summary													
Area Type:	Other												
Control Type: Unsignalized													

ntersection Delay, s/veh	47.4
ntersection LOS	E

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	29	115	15	47	163	26	56	44	73	79	49	218
Future Vol, veh/h	29	115	15	47	163	26	56	44	73	79	49	218
Peak Hour Factor	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	7	13	6	6	12	2	2	1	5	2	2
Mvmt Flow	45	180	23	73	255	41	88	69	114	123	77	341
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	21.3			34.1			21.3			81.5		
HCM LOS	С			D			С			F		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	32%	18%	20%	23%	
Vol Thru, %	25%	72%	69%	14%	
Vol Right, %	42%	9%	11%	63%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	173	159	236	346	
LT Vol	56	29	47	79	
Through Vol	44	115	163	49	
RT Vol	73	15	26	218	
Lane Flow Rate	270	248	369	541	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.577	0.555	0.783	1.055	
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.996	8.376	7.938	7.025	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	454	435	457	519	
Service Time	5.996	6.376	5.938	5.025	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.595	0.57	0.807	1.042	
HCM Control Delay	21.3	21.3	34.1	81.5	
HCM Lane LOS	С	С	D	F	
HCM 95th-tile Q	3.6	3.3	6.9	16.1	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

	≯	+	~	-	Ŧ	*	•	Ť	*	1	Ţ	~
Lane Group	FBI	FBT	FBR	WBI	WBT	WBR	NBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	• SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	LBIX		4	TIBIL		4		002	4	0011
Traffic Volume (vph)	101	86	10	55	206	161	3	7	55	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	101	86	10	55	206	161	3	7	55	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	59		7	7		59	23					23
Peak Hour Factor	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					68	68		12	12			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	

Int Delay, s/veh	3.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	101	86	10	55	206	161	3	7	55	0	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	101	86	10	55	206	161	3	7	55	0	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	59	0	7	7	0	59	23	0	0	0	0	23	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	168	143	17	92	343	268	5	12	92	0	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1		I	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	670	0	0	167	0	0	1179	1349	159	1260	1223	559	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	495	495	-	720	720	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	684	854	-	540	503	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	930	-	-	1411	-	-	169	152	892	121	148	508	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	560	549	-	375	386	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	442	378	-	484	501	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	878	-	-	1402	-	-	127	101	886	73	98	469	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	127	101	-	73	98	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	440	430	-	280	327	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	387	320	-	333	393	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	5.2	1	16.6	0	
HCM LOS			С	А	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	419	878	-	-	1402	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.259	0.192	-	-	0.065	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	16.6	10.1	0	-	7.7	0	-	0
HCM Lane LOS	С	В	А	-	А	А	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1	0.7	-	-	0.2	-	-	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	٨	+	t	*	1	~
	EDI	EDT			CDI	CDD
Lane Configurations	EDL			VVDR	<u>JDL</u>	ODK
	0	145	405	0	۳	٥
Future Volume (vph)	2	140	420	0	0	0
Future volume (vpn)	2	145	420	ð 4000	ð 4000	1000
	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mpn)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15			15		
Peak Hour Factor	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6					
	501		MOT		0.51	000
Movement	EBL	ERI	WRI	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		- सी	4		- ¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	145	425	8	8	0
Future Vol, veh/h	2	145	425	8	8	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	15	0	0	15	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	62	62	62	62	62	62
Heavy Vehicles, %	100	2	1	100	100	0
Mymt Flow	3	234	685	13	13	0
	-					

Major/Minor	Major1	1	/lajor2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	713	0	-	0	947	707	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	707	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	240	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	7.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	566	-	-	-	116	360	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	235	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	539	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	558	-	-	-	112	355	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	112	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	230	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	531	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.2		0		41.3		
HCM LOS					Е		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		558	-	-	-	112	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.006	-	-	-	0.115	
HCM Control Delay (s)		11.5	0	-	-	41.3	
HCM Lane LOS		В	А	-	-	E	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.4	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	٠	~	•	+	I	1
	-	•	7	I	*	•
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ન	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	100	218	46	50	142	29
Future Volume (vph)	100	218	46	50	142	29
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			13			13
Peak Hour Factor	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	4%	4%	3%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
	10					
int Delay, s/ven	13					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- W			្ស	1.	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	100	218	46	50	142	29
Future Vol. veh/h	100	218	46	50	142	29
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	13	0	0	13
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	61	61	61	61	61	61
Heavy Vehicles %	0	2	4	4	3	0
Mymt Flow	16/	357	75	82	233	/8
	104	557	15	02	200	-10
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	502	270	294	0	-	0
Stage 1	270	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	232	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	5.2	5.62	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.318	2.236	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	630	804	1256	-	-	-
Stage 1	853	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	876	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked. %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	576	794	1240	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	576	-		-	-	-
Stage 1	790	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	865	_	-	-	_	-
Oldgo Z	000					
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	22.7		3.9		0	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Maior Mymt		NBI	NBT	FBI n1	SBT	SBR
		NDL		COLITI	001	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1240	-	710	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.061	-	0.734	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.1	0	22.7	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	С	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	6.5	-	-	

2024 With Project Afternoon Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St 04													
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			4		
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	72	6	83	125	33	11	52	73	60	69	68	
Future Volume (vph)	22	72	6	83	125	33	11	52	73	60	69	68	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%		
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30		
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765		
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	54		9	9		54	53		7	7		53	
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	13%	33%	1%	6%	3%	9%	6%	1%	5%	6%	0%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)													
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop		
Intersection Summary													
Area Type:	Other												
Control Type: Unsignalized													

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	11
Intersection LOS	В

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	22	72	6	83	125	33	11	52	73	60	69	68
Future Vol, veh/h	22	72	6	83	125	33	11	52	73	60	69	68
Peak Hour Factor	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	13	33	1	6	3	9	6	1	5	6	0
Mvmt Flow	27	87	7	100	151	40	13	63	88	72	83	82
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	10.1			11.9			9.9			11		
HCM LOS	В			В			А			В		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	8%	22%	34%	30%	
Vol Thru, %	38%	72%	52%	35%	
Vol Right, %	54%	6%	14%	35%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	136	100	241	197	
LT Vol	11	22	83	60	
Through Vol	52	72	125	69	
RT Vol	73	6	33	68	
Lane Flow Rate	164	120	290	237	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.239	0.19	0.418	0.344	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.251	5.686	5.182	5.223	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	683	631	694	688	
Service Time	3.286	3.723	3.211	3.255	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.24	0.19	0.418	0.344	
HCM Control Delay	9.9	10.1	11.9	11	
HCM Lane LOS	А	В	В	В	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.9	0.7	2.1	1.5	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St</u>

04/24/2023

	≯	-	1	~	Ļ	*	•	ŧ	*	1	T	~
	_		•	•			`	- 1	<u> </u>	-	•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	39	92	16	30	144	47	7	2	34	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	39	92	16	30	144	47	7	2	34	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	99		6	6		99	52					52
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)				18	18	18	15	15	15			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	2

Int Delay, s/veh	2.4												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	39	92	16	30	144	47	7	2	34	0	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	39	92	16	30	144	47	7	2	34	0	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	99	0	6	6	0	99	52	0	0	0	0	52	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None										
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	49	115	20	38	180	59	9	3	43	0	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1		N	Major2		N	Ainor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	338	0	0	141	0	0	567	643	131	631	624	361	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	229	229	-	385	385	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	338	414	-	246	239	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1232	-	-	1455	-	-	437	394	924	357	364	668	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	778	718	-	602	576	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	681	597	-	732	684	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1116	-	-	1447	-	-	388	327	919	288	302	575	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	388	327	-	288	302	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	737	679	-	519	506	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	627	524	-	662	647	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	2.2	1	10.5	0	
HCM LOS			В	А	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	703	1116	-	-	1447	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.076	0.044	-	-	0.026	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.5	8.4	0	-	7.6	0	-	0
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	•		+			1
	-	-			-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	eî 👘		- Y	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	126	221	8	8	1
Future Volume (vph)	1	126	221	8	8	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	22			22		
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	2%	1%	100%	100%	100%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
	501	FOT	MOT		0.51	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	WRI	WBK	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		्स	4		- ¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	126	221	8	8	1
Future Vol, veh/h	1	126	221	8	8	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	22	0	0	22	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles. %	100	2	1	100	100	100
Mymt Flow	1	148	260	9	9	1
				-		

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Aajor2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	291	0	-	0	437	287	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	287	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	150	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.1	-	-	-	9.4	8.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	8.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.1	-	-	-	4.4	4.2	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	869	-	-	-	337	525	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	497	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	631	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	851	-	-	-	323	514	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	323	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	486	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	618	-	
Annroach	EB		\//R		SB		
HCM Control Dolay s	0.1		0		16		
HCM LOS	0.1		U				
					U		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		851	-	-	-	337	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	-	0.031	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.2	0	-	-	16	
HCM Lane LOS		Α	А	-	-	С	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	-	0.1	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	٠	~	•	+		1
		•)	1	•	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ની	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	100	18	103	95	13
Future Volume (vph)	43	100	18	103	95	13
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			25			25
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	0%	6%	3%	4%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/yeb	15					
In Delay, SIVEII	4.0					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- W			्स	1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	43	100	18	103	95	13
Future Vol, veh/h	43	100	18	103	95	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	25	0	0	25
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<i>‡</i> 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	74	74	74	74	74	74
Heavy Vehicles. %	5	0	6	3	4	0
Mymt Flow	58	135	24	139	128	18
	00	100	27	100	120	10
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	349	162	171	0	-	0
Stage 1	162	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	187	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwv	5.25	5.6	4.16	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.25	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.25	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.545	33	2.254	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	721	912	1382	-		
Stage 1	907		-	_	-	-
Stage 2	807	_	-		_	_
Platoon blocked %	032	-	-	-	_	_
May Cap 1 Manautra	672	000	12/0	-	-	-
Nov Cap-1 Maneuver	670	090	1549	-	-	-
wov Cap-2 Maneuver	6/3	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	868	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	871	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	FB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay	10.8		1 1		0	
HCM LOS	10.0 P		1.1		U	
	в					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1349	-	811	-	_
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.018	-	0.238	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		77	0	10.8	-	_
HCM Lane LOS		Δ	Δ	R	-	-
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)		0.1	-	09	-	-
		0.1	-	0.9	-	_

2024 With Project PM Peak Hour

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Juanita Dr NE & NE 132nd St

1: Juanita Dr NE &	NE 132nd	St					04/24/2023
	4	•	1	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	5	1	f.		<u>۲</u>	↑	
Traffic Volume (vph)	72	149	429	66	74	312	
Future Volume (vph)	72	149	429	66	74	312	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Grade (%)	-5%		0%			-5%	
Storage Length (ft)	0	100		0	150		
Storage Lanes	1	1		0	1		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25		
Link Speed (mph)	25		35			35	
Link Distance (ft)	578		509			466	
Travel Time (s)	15.8		9.9			9.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignalized							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.3					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	٦	1	el 🗧		٦	•
Traffic Vol, veh/h	72	149	429	66	74	312
Future Vol, veh/h	72	149	429	66	74	312
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	100	-	-	150	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	1	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	-5	-	0	-	-	-5
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	1	2	1	1
Mvmt Flow	79	164	471	73	81	343

Major/Minor	Minor1	1	Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1013	508	0	0	544	0	
Stage 1	508	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	505	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	5.4	5.71	-	-	4.11	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.309	-	-	2.209	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	354	608	-	-	1030	-	
Stage 1	701	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	702	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	326	608	-	-	1030	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	455	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	701	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	647	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.6		0		1.7		
HCM LOS	В						
Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	3.5 354 701 702 326 455 701 647 WB 13.6 B	3.309 608 - - 608 - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-	2.209 1030 - - 1030 - - - - - - SB 1.7	- - - - - - - -	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR V	NBLn1 \	WBLn2	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	455	608	1030	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.174	0.269	0.079	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14.6	13.1	8.8	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	В	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.6	1.1	0.3	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: 84th Ave NE & NE 132nd St

2: 84th Ave NE & N	IE 132nd 3	St									04/	24/2023
	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	46	140	19	69	151	42	12	59	74	29	33	26
Future Volume (vph)	46	140	19	69	151	42	12	59	74	29	33	26
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			0%			-5%			4%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		240			502			615			765	
Travel Time (s)		6.5			13.7			14.0			17.4	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	36		4	4		36	16		1	1		16
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	17%	0%	1%	10%	3%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Control Type: Unsignalized												

Intersection Intersection Delay, s/veh 10.7 Intersection LOS B

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	46	140	19	69	151	42	12	59	74	29	33	26
Future Vol, veh/h	46	140	19	69	151	42	12	59	74	29	33	26
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	0	1	0	17	0	1	10	3	0
Mvmt Flow	52	159	22	78	172	48	14	67	84	33	38	30
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Opposing Approach	WB			EB			SB			NB		
Opposing Lanes	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB			NB			EB			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left	1			1			1			1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB			SB			WB			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right	1			1			1			1		
HCM Control Delay	10.5			11.4			10.2			9.7		
HCM LOS	В			В			В			А		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	8%	22%	26%	33%	
Vol Thru, %	41%	68%	58%	38%	
Vol Right, %	51%	9%	16%	30%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	145	205	262	88	
LT Vol	12	46	69	29	
Through Vol	59	140	151	33	
RT Vol	74	19	42	26	
Lane Flow Rate	165	233	298	100	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.248	0.328	0.41	0.155	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.419	5.072	4.955	5.595	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Сар	662	713	729	641	
Service Time	3.452	3.081	2.962	3.632	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.249	0.327	0.409	0.156	
HCM Control Delay	10.2	10.5	11.4	9.7	
HCM Lane LOS	В	В	В	А	
HCM 95th-tile Q	1	1.4	2	0.5	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 3: 82nd Ave NE/Dwy & NE 132nd St

04	121	120	123
- 04	124	120	1ZJ

	≯		\mathbf{x}	_	+	•	•	ŧ	*		T	1
	-	-	•	•		-	`			-	•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	105	3	17	112	68	10	4	8	58	18	34
Future Volume (vph)	32	105	3	17	112	68	10	4	8	58	18	34
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		0%			-3%			0%			3%	
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			25			25	
Link Distance (ft)		507			410			266			250	
Travel Time (s)		13.8			11.2			7.3			6.8	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	39		13	13		39	3		10	10		3
Peak Hour Factor	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					8	8	0	0	0			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Intersection Summary												

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized

SBR

SBT

04/24/2023

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$	

Lane Configurations		4			4			- 44-			- 4 >		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	32	105	3	17	112	68	10	4	8	58	18	34	
Future Vol, veh/h	32	105	3	17	112	68	10	4	8	58	18	34	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	39	0	13	13	0	39	3	0	10	10	0	3	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	-3	-	-	0	-	-	3	-	
Peak Hour Factor	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	44	146	4	24	156	94	14	6	11	81	25	47	

NBL

NBT NBR

SBL

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		N	Ainor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	289	0	0	163	0	0	539	586	171	545	541	245	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	249	249	-	290	290	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	290	337	-	255	251	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	-	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.7	7.1	6.5	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.7	6.1	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-	-	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1284	-	-	1428	-	-	456	425	878	413	412	783	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	759	704	-	688	644	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	722	645	-	723	674	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1236	-	-	1410	-	-	382	381	859	368	369	752	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	382	381	-	368	369	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	720	668	-	636	608	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	634	609	-	674	640	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.8	0.7	13	17.6	
HCM LOS			В	С	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	478	1236	-	-	1410	-	-	437
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.064	0.036	-	-	0.017	-	-	0.35
HCM Control Delay (s)	13	8	0	-	7.6	0	-	17.6
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	Α	-	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	1.5

Lanes, Volumes, Timings <u>4: NE 132nd St & Bus Dwy</u>

	≯	+	t	*	•	1
		-			-	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	- 1 2		- ¥	
Traffic Volume (vph)	9	161	187	11	13	7
Future Volume (vph)	9	161	187	11	13	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)		3%	0%		10%	
Link Speed (mph)		25	25		25	
Link Distance (ft)		410	240		341	
Travel Time (s)		11.2	6.5		9.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	29			29		
Peak Hour Factor	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71
Heavy Vehicles (%)	11%	2%	1%	0%	8%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Control Type: Unsignalized						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		्रम्	el 🗧		Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	161	187	11	13	7
Future Vol, veh/h	9	161	187	11	13	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	29	0	0	29	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	3	0	-	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	71	71	71	71	71	71
Heavy Vehicles, %	11	2	1	0	8	0
Mvmt Flow	13	227	263	15	18	10

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Major2		Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	307	0	-	0	553	300		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	300	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	253	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.21	-	-	-	8.48	7.2		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	7.48	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	7.48	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.299	-	-	-	3.572	3.3		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1204	-	-	-	356	685		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	625	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	674	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1171	-	-	-	332	666		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	332	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	599	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	655	-		
Approach	EB		WB		SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4		0		14.6			
HCM LOS					В			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		1171	-	-	-	403		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.011	-	-	-	0.07		
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	0	-	-	14.6		
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	В		

_

0

-

-

0.2

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 5: 84th Ave NE & Dwy

	≯	\mathbf{r}	•	+	T	1
	-	•	``		¥	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥.			ન	ef 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	4	6	127	89	0
Future Volume (vph)	1	4	6	127	89	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Grade (%)	-6%			-8%	10%	
Link Speed (mph)	25			30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	385			765	420	
Travel Time (s)	10.5			17.4	9.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			14			14
Peak Hour Factor	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%
Parking (#/hr)					0	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					

Area Type: Control Type: Unsignalized

Finn Hill Middle School PM Peak Hour - 2024 With Project

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M	LDR		1	1	OBR
		1	6	127	80	٥
Future Vol. veh/h	1	4	6	127	80	0
Conflicting Dodo #/hr	1	4	14	127	09	14
Conflicting Peas, #/nr	U	U	14 5	U	U	14 Гисс
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	-6	-	-	-8	10	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	2	3	0
Mvmt Flow	1	5	7	155	109	0
Maian/Minan	MinerO		Maiant		Malano	
		400		0	iviaj012	
Conflicting Flow All	292	123	123	0	-	0
Stage 1	123	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	169	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	5.2	5.6	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	4.2	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	775	953	1477	-	-	-
Stage 1	945	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	916	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	751	940	1457	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	751		- 107	_	_	_
Stane 1	028	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	920	-	-	-	-	-
Slaye Z	904	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1		0.3		0	
HCM LOS	A		0.0		-	
	7					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1457	-	895	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-	0.007	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.5	0	9.1	-	-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

A 0

А

0

-

-

-

-

А

-

Appendix E

Existing Trip Generation Study and Trip Rate Calculations

ATTACHMENT 9

Finn Hill Middle School Count Locations for Existing Trip Generation Study



Finn Hill Middle School Trip Generation Study Summary

TENW Project No. 2022-174

				Number of	Trip Gen Rate
Peak Period	Total Trips	% In	% Out	Students ²	(trips/student)
AM Peak	567	51%	49%	672	0.84
Afternoon Peak	247	45%	55%	672	0.37
PM Peak	203	48%	52%	672	0.30

FINN HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL TRIP GENERATION RATES¹

Notes:

1. Based on two-day average of counts conducted on Tuesday, May 10, 2022 and Thursday, May 12, 2022 and historical LWSD middle school trip generation studies.

2. Number of students provided by LWSD on 5/13/22 and includes 672 students at FHMS.



Finn Hill Middle School Trip Generation Study

TENW Project No. 2022-174

2-Day Average Trip Generation

AM PEAK HOUR

		Total Trips - AM Peak Hour											
	Tuesc	lay, May 10,	, 2022	Thurs	day, May 12	, 2022	2-Day Average						
Peak Hour	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL				
7:45-8:45 am	283	271	554	295 284 579			289	278	567				
*School starts at 8:30) AM						51%	49%					

AFTERNOON PEAK HOUR

		Total Trips - Afternoon Peak Hour											
	Tueso	Tuesday, May 10, 2022 Thursday, May 12, 2022 2-Day Average											
Peak Hour	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL				
2:45-3:45 pm	102	124	226	121	146	267	112	135	247				
*School ands at 2.05	DM				15%	55%							

*School ends at 3:05 PM

45% 55%

PM PEAK HOUR

	Total Trips - PM Peak Hour											
	Tueso	lay, May 10	, 2022	Thurs	day, May 12	2, 2022	2-Day Average					
Peak Hour	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL			
4:00-5:00 pm				79	89	168	07	106	202			
4:15-5:15 pm	114	124	238				97	100	205			
							400/	F 20/				

48% 52%

Finn Hill Middle School Trip Generation Study

AM Peak Hour

Day:	Tuesday, May 10,	2022
------	------------------	------

							Off-Site Drop-Off Locations												
	#	#1	#	2	ŧ	3		Α		В		С		D		E			
	West Dwy	West Dwy / NE 132nd Bus Dwy / NE 132nd		Dwy / 84th Ave NE NE 132nd St		32nd St	NE 132nd St		82nd Ave NE		84th Ave NE		84th Ave NE						
	St		9	St EAS TRIPS ONLY		(west of 82nd Ave NE)		(east of 82nd Ave NE)		(south of 132nd)		(north half)		(south half)					
Interval													EAS TRIPS ONLY				Total Trips		
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total
7:45 AM	19	20	0	0			0	0	5	5	0	0			3	3	27	28	55
8:00 AM	27	23	5	2			0	0	10	7	3	2			6	5	51	39	90
8:15 AM	97	69	5	4			3	3	32	26	6	7			9	9	152	118	270
8:30 AM	32	64	1	3			1	0	13	13	5	5			1	1	53	86	139
8:45 AM	4	3	0	2			0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	4	5	9
Poak Hour	175	176	11	9	0	0	4	3	60	51	14	14	0	0	19	18	283	271	554
reak noui	351		351 20		0		7		111		28		0		37		554		
AM Peak Hour

										0	ff-Site Drop	-Off Locatio	ns						
	#	#1	ŧ	‡ 2	ŧ	3		Α		В		С		D		E			
	West Dwy	/ NE 132nd	Bus Dwy /	[/] NE 132nd	Dwy / 84	th Ave NE	NE 13	2nd St	NE 13	2nd St	82nd	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE			
		St	9	St	EAS TRI	PS ONLY	(west of 82	2nd Ave NE)	(east of 82	nd Ave NE)	(south c	of 132nd)	(nort	h half)	(sout	h half)			
Interval													EAS TRI	PS ONLY				Total Trips	
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total
7:45 AM	38	19	0	0			0	0	7	7	0	0			0	0	45	26	71
8:00 AM	29	31	2	0			2	1	13	8	1	1			2	2	49	43	92
8:15 AM	98	69	5	3			3	3	34	32	11	9			7	7	158	123	281
8:30 AM	20	60	1	3			5	5	14	19	0	2			3	3	43	92	135
8:45 AM	9	10	1	2			0	1	0	0	0	0			0	0	10	13	23
Dook Hour	185	179	8	6	0	0	10	9	68	66	12	12	0	0	12	12	295	284	579
Peak Hour	3	64	1	4		0	1	19	1	34	Ĩ	24		0	2	24	5	79	

Afternoon Peak Hour

Day:	Tuesday, May 10, 2022
------	-----------------------

										C	Off-Site Pick	-Up Locatior	าร						
	#	‡1	#	2	#	3		Α		В		С		D		E			
	West Dwy	/ NE 132nd	Bus Dwy /	' NE 132nd	Dwy / 84	th Ave NE	NE 13	32nd St	NE 13	2nd St	82nd	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE			
		St	9	St	EAS TRI	PS ONLY	(west of 82	2nd Ave NE)	(east of 82	nd Ave NE)	(south c	of 132nd)	(nort	h half)	(sout	h half)			
Interval													EAS TRI	PS ONLY				Total Trips	
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total
2:30 PM	16	1	1	0			3	0	1	0	1	0			2	0	24	1	25
2:45 PM	12	5	2	1			9	0	2	0	3	0			5	0	33	6	39
3:00 PM	12	24	8	8			8	16	2	3	3	6			5	11	38	68	106
3:15 PM	10	25	0	2			1	4	1	2	0	1			0	3	12	37	49
3:30 PM	19	13	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	19	13	32
Dook Hour	53	67	10	11	0	0	18	20	5	5	6	7	0	0	10	14	102	124	226
Peak Hour	1	20	2	21		0		38	1	LO	1	13		0	2	24	2	26	

Afternoon Peak Hour

							Off-Site Pick-Up Locations												
	1	#1	#	‡2	ŧ	#3		Α		В		С		D		E			
	West Dwy / NE 132nd Bus Dwy / NE		/ NE 132nd	Dwy / 84	th Ave NE	NE 13	32nd St	NE 13	2nd St	82nd	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE				
	St St		St	EAS TRI	PS ONLY	(west of 82	2nd Ave NE)	(east of 82	nd Ave NE)	(south c	of 132nd)	(nort	h half)	(sout	h half)				
Interval													EAS TRI	PS ONLY				Total Trips	
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total
2:30 PM	12	3	0	0			3	0	0	0	1	0			3	0	19	3	22
2:45 PM	12	1	1	0			10	0	8	1	11	0			3	0	45	2	47
3:00 PM	20	11	6	2			6	19	4	10	2	13			8	11	46	66	112
3:15 PM	13	40	0	5			1	1	3	7	1	2			0	5	18	60	78
3:30 PM	12	18	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	12	18	30
Dook Hour	57	70	7	7	0	0	17	20	15	18	14	15	0	0	11	16	121	146	267
Peak Hour	1	127	1	14		0	3	37		33	2	29		0	2	27	2	67	

PM Peak Hour

Day: Tuesday, May 10, 2022

					Off-Site Locations														
	#	‡1	#	‡2		4		В		С	I	D		E					
	West Dwy	/ NE 132nd	Bus Dwy /	' NE 132nd	NE 13	2nd St	NE 13	2nd St	82nd /	Ave NE	84th A	Ave NE	84th A	Ave NE					
	9	St		St	(west of 82	nd Ave NE)	(east of 82	nd Ave NE)	(south c	of 132nd)	(nort	h half)	(sout	n half)					Hourly
Interval											EAS TRI	PS ONLY				Total Trips			Totals
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total		
4:00 PM	13	13	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	19	15	34		
4:15 PM	22	16	5	2	4	0	2	1	0	0			0	0	33	19	52		
4:30 PM	16	9	6	2	8	2	3	1	0	0			0	0	33	14	47		
4:45 PM	24	39	9	15	2	10	1	2	0	0			0	0	36	66	102	235	4:00 pm - 5:00 pm
5:00 PM	12	15	0	1	0	5	0	4	0	0			0	0	12	25	37	238	4:15 pm - 5:15 pm
5:15 PM	4	14	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0			0	0	5	18	23	209	4:30 pm - 5:30 pm
5:30 PM	6	9	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0			0	0	6	13	19	181	4:45 pm - 5:45 pm
5:45 PM	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	2	2	4	83	5:00 pm - 6:00 pm
Peak Hour	74	79	20	20	14	17	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	114	124	238	Peak Hou	r is 4:15 pm - 5:15 pm
reak noul	1	53	4	10	3	81	1	4		0		0		0	2	38			

PM Peak Hour

Day:	Thursday, May 12, 2022

								Off-Site I	Locations										
	#	‡1	#	‡2		4		В		с		D		E					
	West Dwy	/ NE 132nd	Bus Dwy /	' NE 132nd	NE 13	2nd St	NE 13	2nd St	82nd /	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE	84th /	Ave NE					
	9	St	9	St	(west of 82	nd Ave NE)	(east of 82	nd Ave NE)	(south c	of 132nd)	(nort	h half)	(sout	h half)					Hourly
Interval											EAS TRI	PS ONLY				Total Trips			Totals
Begin	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	Total]	
4:00 PM	4	9	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0			0	0	6	10	16		
4:15 PM	6	4	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0			0	0	9	6	15		
4:30 PM	37	30	5	4	1	1	1	1	0	0			0	0	44	36	80		
4:45 PM	9	34	9	1	0	0	2	2	0	0			0	0	20	37	57	168	4:00 pm - 5:00 pm
5:00 PM	3	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	4	9	13	165	4:15 pm - 5:15 pm
5:15 PM	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	2	3	5	155	4:30 pm - 5:30 pm
5:30 PM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	1	1	76	4:45 pm - 5:45 pm
5:45 PM	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	8	2	10	29	5:00 pm - 6:00 pm
Peak Hour	56	77	17	6	2	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	89	168	Peak Hou	r is 4:00 pm - 5:00 pm
reaktiour	1	33	2	23		4		8		0		0		0	1	68		-	

Appendix F

Historical LWSD Middle School Trip Generation Calculations

LWSD Middle School Trip Generation SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL DATA

AM PEAK

AM Peak Hour Volumes

	RMS (2013) 2-day average	RMS (2015) 2-day average	RHJH (2010) 2-day average	EMS (2016) 2-day average	FHMS (2022) 2-day average]
Peak Hour	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	
7:00 - 8:00	823	827	595	773		
7:15 - 8:15	769	784	558	777		
7:30 - 8:30	557	571	403	672		
7:45 - 8:45					567	adjusted
8:00 - 9:00					520	
	RMS started at 7:50 AM	RMS started at 7:50 AM	RHJH started at 7:45 AM	EMS started at 8:00 AM	FHMS started at 8:30 AM	
	peak = 7:00-8:00	peak = 7:00-8:00	peak = 7:00-8:00	peak = 7:15-8:15	peak should be 7:45-8:45	
Peak Hour % higher than adjacent hour	7.0%	5.5%	6.6%	15.6%	9.0%	
					average of 4 data points to	

apply

AFTERNOON PEAK

Afternoon Peak Hour Volumes

	RMS (2013)	RMS (2015)	RHJH (2010)	EMS (2016)	FHMS (2022)	
	2-day average	2-day average	2-day average	2-day average	2-day average	
Peak Hour	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	
1:45 - 2:45	294	316	236			
2:00 - 3:00	296	327	247	332		
2:15 - 3:15	275	305	214	342		
2:30 - 3:30				295	240	
2:45 - 3:45					247	adjusted
3:00 - 4:00						
	RMS ended at 2:20 PM	RMS ended at 2:20 PM	RHJH ended at 2:15 PM	EMS ended at 2:30 PM	FHMS ended at 3:05 PM	-
	peak = 2:00-3:00	peak = 2:00-3:00	peak = 2:00-3:00	peak = 2:15-3:15	peak should be 2:45-3:45	_
Peak Hour %						
higher than	0.7%	3.4%	4.5%	2.9%	3.0%	
aujacent noui					average of 4 data points to	•

average of 4 data points to apply

LWSD Middle School Trip Generation SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL DATA

AM PEAK

AM Peak Hour Volumes

	RMS 2-day	(2013) average	RMS 2-day	(2015) average	RHJ⊦ 2-day	l (2010) average	EMS 2-day	6 (2016) average	FHM 2-da	IS (2022) y average	
Peak Hour	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	
7:00 - 8:00	<mark>52%</mark>	48%	52%	48%	53%	47%					
7:15 - 8:15	51%	49%	51%	49%	52%	48%	<mark>52%</mark>	48%			
7:30 - 8:30							51%	49%			
7:45 - 8:45									51%	49%	X = adjusted
8:00 - 9:00									50%	50%	
	RMS started a	at 7:50 AM	RMS started a	at 7:50 AM	RHJH started	at 7:45 AM	EMS started a	at 8:00 AM	FHMS starte	d at 8:30 AM	
	peak = 7:00-8	5:00	peak = 7:00-8	:00	peak = 7:00-8	:00	peak = 7:15-8	3:15	peak should	be 7:45-8:45	
Peak Hour % vs. adjacent hour %	1%		1%		1%		1%		1%	average of 4 data points to apply	

AFTERNOON PEAK

Afternoon Peak Hour Volumes

	RMS	S (2013)	RMS	(2015)	RHJF 2 day	I (2010)	EMS	(2016)	FHM	IS (2022)	
	z-day	average	z-uay	average	z-uay	average	z-uay	average	z-ua	y average	
Peak Hour	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %	
1:45 - 2:45	48%	52%	47%	53%	49%	51%					
2:00 - 3:00	<mark>46%</mark>	54%	43%	57%	45%	55%	45%	55%			
2:15 - 3:15							42%	58%			
2:30 - 3:30									49%	51%	
2:45 - 3:45									45%	55%	X = adjusted
3:00 - 4:00											
	RMS ended a	t 2:20 PM	RMS ended at	t 2:20 PM	RHJH ended a	at 2:15 PM	EMS ended a	t 2:30 PM	FHMS ended	d at 3:05 PM	-
	peak = 2:00-3	3:00	peak = 2:00-3	:00	peak = 2:00-3	:00	peak = 2:15-3	:15	peak should	be 2:45-3:45	
Peak Hour % vs. adjacent hour %	-2%		-4%		-4%		-4%		-4%	average of 4 data points to apply	

Finn Hill Middle School (FHMS) Trip Generation Study - 2022 ADJUSTED FOR PEAK HOUR

AM PEAK

15-Minute Volumes

	Tu	esday 5/10	/22	Th	ursday 5/12	2/22	2	-Day Avera	ge
Time Starting	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL
7:45	27	28	55	45	26	71			
8:00	51	39	90	49	43	92			
8:15	152	118	270	158	123	281			
8:30	53	86	139	43	92	135			
8:45	4	5	9	10	13	23			

*School starts at 8:30 AM

AM Peak Hour Volumes

	Tu	esday 5/10	/22	Thu	ursday 5/12	2/22	2-	Day Avera	ge
Peak Hour	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL
7:45 - 8:45	283	271	554	295	284	579	289	278	567
8:00 - 9:00	260	248	508	260	271	531	260	260	520

*School starts at 8:30 AM, peak hour is 7:45-8:45

Peak Hour % higher than adjacent hour 9.0%

AFTERNOON PEAK

15-Minute Volumes

	Τι	uesday 5/10	/22	Th	ursday 5/12	2/22	2.	-Day Avera	ge
Time Starting	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL
2:30	24	1	25	19	3	22			
2:45	33	6	39	45	2	47			
3:00	38	68	106	46	66	112			
3:15	12	37	49	18	60	78			
3:30	19	13	32	12	18	30			

*School ends at 3:05 PM

Afternoon Peak Hour Volumes

	Tu	esday 5/10)/22	Th	ursday 5/12	2/22	2-	Day Avera	ge
Peak Hour	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL
2:30 - 3:30	107	112	219	128	131	259	118	240	
2:45 - 3:45	102	124	226	121	146	267	112	247	

*School ends at 3:05 PM, peak hour is 2:45-3:45

Peak Hour % higher than adjacent hour 3.0%

51%

49%

45%

51%

50%

49%

50%

55%

Appendix G

Detailed Trip Generation Estimate

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Trip Generation Estimate

	Additional		Directional I	Distribution ²	Vehicu	ılar Trip Geı	neration
Time Period	Enrollment ¹	Trip Rate ^{2,3}	Entering	Exiting	In	Out	Total
Daily	200	2.63	50%	50%	263	263	526
AM Peak Hour	200	0.84	51%	49%	86	82	168
Afternoon Peak Hour	200	0.37	45%	55%	33	41	74
PM Peak Hour	200	0.30	48%	52%	29	31	60

Notes:

1. Additional student capacity as a result of the Addition (200 students) provided by LWSD on 5/4/22.

2. Trip rates and directional splits based on trip generation study at KiMS conducted on May 10 and May 12, 2022 and historical LWSD middle school trip generation studies.

3. Daily trip rate based on ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition based on the ratio of daily trip rate to AM peak hour trip rate for LUC 522 (2.10 / 0.67).

Appendix H

Concurrency Test Notice



CITY OF KIRKLAND Department of Public Works 123 Fifth Avenue, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3800 www.kirklandwa.gov

MEMORANDUM

То:	Amy Wasserman, TENW
From:	Rochelle Starrett, Transportation Engineer
Date:	November 9, 2022
Subject:	Finn Hill Middle School Expansion Transportation Concurrency Test Notice, Tran22-00557

The purpose of this memo is to inform you that the proposed Finn Hill Middle School Expansion has passed transportation concurrency. This memorandum will serve as the transportation concurrency test notice and allows the applicant to proceed with other development permits and the SEPA review. This test notice will expire on February 7, 2023 unless a transportation impact analysis report is submitted, or an extension of this notice is granted by February 7, 2023.

Project Description

Finn Hill Middle School is located at 8040 NE 132nd Street on parcel 2426049128, northwest of the intersection of NE 132nd Street/84th Avenue NE. This project will construct an eight classroom addition with capacity for up to 200 students. The site access is expected to remain as it is today with two primary accesses off NE 132nd Street and access to the shared Environmental and Adventure School campus off 84th Avenue NE. The new site circulation changes implemented in September 2022 by the Lake Washington School District are also expected to remain in place. During peak pick up and drop off times, parents at Finn Hill Middle School enter the pick up/drop off area from NE 132nd Street and exit using the 84th Avenue NE entrance. Figure 1 shows the project site location. The project is currently expected to open by the start of the 2024-2025 school year.

Memorandum to Amy Wasserman November 9, 2022 Page 2 of 3



Figure 1. Project Location (Source: TENW)

Trip Generation

Based on the trip generation report from TENW, the proposed project will generate a net new 526 daily vehicle trips, 168 AM peak hour vehicle trips, 74 school PM peak hour vehicle trips, 60 PM peak hour vehicle trips, and 72 PM peak hour person trips.

This memo will serve as the concurrency test notice for the proposed project. Per *Section 25.10.020 Procedures* of the KMC (Kirkland Municipal Code), this Concurrency Test Notice will expire in one year (November 9, 2023) unless a development permit and

Memorandum to Amy Wasserman November 9, 2022 Page 3 of 3

certificate of concurrency are issued, or an extension is granted if a transportation impact analysis report is submitted within 90 days of this notice.

EXPIRATION

The concurrency test notice shall expire and a new concurrency test application is required unless:

- 1. A complete SEPA checklist, traffic impact analysis (TIA) and all required documentation are submitted to the City within 90 calendar days of the concurrency test notice (February 7, 2023).
- A Certificate of Concurrency is issued or an extension is requested and granted by the Public Works Department within one year of issuance of the concurrency test notice. (A Certificate of Concurrency is issued at the same time a development permit or building permit is issued if the applicant holds a valid concurrency test notice.)
- 3. A Certificate of Concurrency shall expire six years from the date of issuance of the concurrency test notice unless all building permits are issued for buildings approved under the concurrency test notice.

APPEALS

The concurrency test notice may be appealed by the public or agency with jurisdiction. The concurrency test notice is subject to an appeal until the SEPA review process is complete and the appeal deadline has passed. Concurrency appeals are heard before the Hearing Examiner along with any applicable SEPA appeal. For more information, refer to the Kirkland Municipal Code, Title 25. If you have any questions, please call me at x3870.

cc: Energov Tran22-00557 Tony Leavitt, Senior Planner

Appendix I

Detailed Trip Distribution Calculations and Existing School Boundary Map



Tyler Technologies, Inc. 11 Cornell Rd. Latham, NY 12110 LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT # 41 Versatrans Base Map



9/29/2020 3:46:23 PM

FINN HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL

Finn Hill Middle School Trip Generation Study Trip Distribution Calculations

<u>AM PEAK HOUR</u>

MAIN DRIVEWAY TRIP DISTRIBUTION (excludes bus driveway)

						Τι	iesday M	lay 10, 20	22											Th	ursday, N	1ay 12, 20)22								2-day	Average		
		to/fror	n west			to/fro	m east			to/fron	n south		TO	TAL		to/fror	m west			to/fro	m east			to/fron	n south		TO	TAL	to/fro	om west	to/fro	om east	to/fror	m south
	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %
82nd									3	2%	7	4%	3	7									3	2%	5	3%	3	5					2%	4%
132nd	62	35%	138	78%	110	63%	31	18%					172	169	71	38%	145	81%	111	60%	29	16%					182	174	37%	80%	62%	17%		
Total	62	35%	138	78%	110	63%	31	18%	3	2%	7	4%	175	176	71	38%	145	81%	111	60%	29	16%	3	2%	5	3%	185	179	37%	80%	62%	17%	2%	4%
																								Rounded	l to use f	or distrib	ution of	new trips	35%	80%	60%	15%	5%	5%

AFTERNOON PEAK HOUR

MAIN DRIVEWAY TRIP DISTRIBUTION (excludes bus driveway)

				•																														
						Т	uesday N	/lay 10, 20	22											Th	nursday, I	May 12, 20	22								2-day /	Average		
		to/fror	n west			to/fro	om east			to/fror	n south		TO	TAL		to/froi	n west			to/fro	om east			to/froi	n south		TO	TAL	to/fro	om west	to/fro	m east	to/fror	m south
	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %
82nd									3	6%	6	9%	3	6									0	0%	11	16%	0	11					3%	13%
132nd	16	30%	40	60%	34	64%	21	31%					50	61	28	49%	39	56%	29	51%	20	29%					57	59	40%	58%	58%	30%		
Total	16	30%	40	60%	34	64%	21	31%	3	6%	6	9%	53	67	28	49%	39	56%	29	51%	20	29%	0	0%	11	16%	57	70	40%	58%	58%	30%	3%	13%
																								Rounde	d to use f	or distrib	ution of r	new trips	35%	60%	60%	30%	5%	10%

<u>PM PEAK HOUR</u>

MAIN DRIVEWAY TRIP DISTRIBUTION (includes bus driveway)

				•																														
						Ti	uesday N	/lay 10, 20	22											Th	ursday, N	/lay 12, 20	22								2-day /	Average		
		to/fror	n west			to/fro	om east			to/fron	n south		TO	TAL		to/froi	m west			to/fro	m east			to/fron	n south		TC	TAL	to/fro	m west	to/fro	m east	to/fron	n south
	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	in %	out	out %	in	out	in %	out %	in %	out %	in %	out %
82nd									3	3%	15	15%	3	15									2	3%	3	4%	2	3					3%	10%
132nd	31	33%	30	30%	60	64%	54	55%					91	84	26	36%	38	46%	45	62%	42	51%					71	80	35%	38%	63%	53%		
Total	31	33%	30	30%	60	64%	54	55%	3	3%	15	15%	94	99	26	36%	38	46%	45	62%	42	51%	2	3%	3	4%	73	83	35%	38%	63%	53%	3%	10%
																								Rounded	l to use f	or distrib	ution of	new trips	30%	35%	65%	55%	5%	10%

WEEKDAY DAILY

Average of AM, Afternoon, and PM	37%	59%	61%	33%	3%	9%
Rounded to Nearest 5	35%	55%	60%	35%	5%	10%

ATTACHMENT 9

AM PEAK HOUR DISTRIBUTION

Turning Movement Count	School Trips 185 in 179 out	Distribution Estimated based on TMC 100% in 100% out	2-day Average Distribution - ROUNDED TO NEAREST 5% 100% in 100% out	DISTRIBUTION WITH NEW CIRCULATION 100% in 100% out	ADDITIONAL EXITING SHIFT	DISTRIBUTION WITH NEW CIRCULATION AND ADDITIONAL EXITING SHIFT 100% in 100% out
Tue 5/10/22 84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd
Si Si<	S o 111 5 5 58 21 20 3 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 58 29 5 5 58 21 0 5 5 58 58 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 5 5 5 6 5 5 5 5 6 5 5 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 8 5 5 5 5 9 5 5 5 5 9 5 5 5 5 9	3% 31% 16% 2% 31% 12% 31% 12%	60% 5% 35% 35% 35% 10% 5% 5% 5% 10% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%	145% 0% 6 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	-35% 0% 5 5 5 5 5	110% 0% 56 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Thur 5/12/22 132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway
P P	RL S0 145 71 364 111 71	81% 50% 60% 38% 50% 16% 38% 50% 16%	80% 35% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 60% 60% 60% 15% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%	80% 55% 60% 145% 80% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%	-30% -30% 0% -30% -30% -35% -35% -35% -35% -35% -35% -35% -35	S0% 60% 35% 0% 6 6
Thur 5/12/22						
84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway
Simply constrained 0 75 3 311 0 59 46 9 5 0 2 8 2 0 0 2 8 3 0 0 0	Bit MitCharge 0 38 0 0 0 38 0 0 0 0 38 0 0 0 0 38 0 0 0 0 38 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Statutions 2	SkibOrivesky 0% 6 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	MthDurivewsy 6 6 9% 8 100% 9% 6 9% 9% 0% 9% 0% 9% 0% 9% 0%	StituDriveney 30% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	Bith/Drivewy 32% 8 0% 8 100% 60% 8 0% 8 0% 8 0% 9% 8
Stitutionenty 0 75 13 311 0 59 46 9 6 0 2 8 6 9 6	S 0	Statutivesy 2 ^k 2 ^k 0% 2 ^k 0% 2 ^k 3 ^k 3 ^k 100% in 100% out	SethOriveway 5 5 0% 6 0% 0% 6 0% 5 6 0% 5 6 0% 5 6 0% 5 6 0% 100% in 100% out external 100% in 100% out driveways	Kith Dariveway g g 0% g	84thDriveney 3 3 0% 30% 0% 0% 30% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% ofference 0% 0% ofference	Bith/Driveway 30% 80 0% 80 0% 80 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Stitutiveney 0 75 13 311 0 59 46 9 8 0 2 8 8 0 0 2 8 8 0 0 0 2 8 8 0	Bittl/Unit/weight Bittl/Unit/weight Bittl/Unit/weight Bittl/Unit/weight Bittl/Unit/weight Bittl/Unit/weight	840/Diversey 2 <t< td=""><td>SetbOriveway 5 5 0% 5 0% 0%</td><td>Attributivessy 2 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 100% in 100% out 100% in 100% out 132nd/uania Drive 4</td><td>84thDriveney 8 0% 30% 0% 30% 0%</td><td>Bith/Drivewy 3 8 0% 8 0% 9 100% 0% 100% in 100% out 132ndJuanita Drive 132ndJuanita Drive</td></t<>	SetbOriveway 5 5 0% 5 0% 0%	Attributivessy 2 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 0% 5 100% in 100% out 100% in 100% out 132nd/uania Drive 4	84thDriveney 8 0% 30% 0% 30% 0%	Bith/Drivewy 3 8 0% 8 0% 9 100% 0% 100% in 100% out 132ndJuanita Drive 132ndJuanita Drive

ATTACHMENT 9

AFTERNOON PEAK HOUR DISTRIBUTION

Turning Movement Count	School Trips	Distribution Estimated based on TMC	2-day Average Distribution - ROUNDED TO NEAREST 5%		DISTRIBUTION WITH NEW CIRCULATION	ADDITIONAL EXITING SHIFT	DISTRIBUTION WITH NEW CIRCULATION AND ADDITIONAL EXITING SHIFT
Tue 5/10/22	57 in 70 out	100% in 100% out	100% in 100% out		100% in 100% out	U% In U% dut	100% in 100% out
84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd		84th/132nd	84th/132nd	84th/132nd
136 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 5 23 20 14 49 23 14 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	35 20% 40%	55 55 60% 5% 45% 5% 45% 20% 5% 5% 20%		50 50 130% 45% 0% 45% 6 6	-25%	105% 0% 0% 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7
Thur 5/12/22	Estimated based on Time		esanaeu				
132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	-	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway	132nd/Driveway
R L 8 L 28 3131 28 396 27 131 L 0 8 131	28	56% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50	60% 35% 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		60% 00% 10% 0% 0% 0%	20% 0% 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	35% 60% 105% 35% 60% 0% 35% 6 0%
Thur 5/12/22 84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway		84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway	84th/Driveway
911 911 911 911 911 911 911 911		% % 0% 0% 0% % % %	0% 0% % estimated		0% % 0% % 0%	20% 20% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0	%0 %2 0% %0 22% 0% 100% %6 %8 %6
		100% in ### out	100% in 100% out external 100% in 100% out driveways		100% in 100% out external 100% in 100% out driveways	0% in 0% out external s 0% in 0% out driveways	100% in 100% out external 100% in 100% out driveways
Tue 5/10/22 132nd/Juanita Drive	132nd/Juanita Drive	132nd/Juanita Drive	132nd/Juanita Drive		132nd/Juanita Drive	132nd/Juanita Drive	132nd/Juanita Drive
0 0 733 70 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100	P R 0 67 23 0 67 16 28 29 28 P R 29 V 16 28 V 16 28 V 10 10 V 10 10	0% 56% 0% 22% 49%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0		0% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	0% 0% 20% 20% 20% 0%	0% 0% 100 10% 40% 35% 40% 35%

PM PEAK HOUR DISTRIBUTION



DAILY DISTRIBUTION









ATTACHMENT 9

302

Appendix J

Daily Trip Distribution and Assignment



Appendix I: Daily Project Trip Distribution and Assignment at Study Intersections

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Distribution based on existing volumes

			Daily Trip Distribution										
		Ea	astboun	d	١	Westbound			Northbound			Southbound	
Code	Intersection	LT	ΤН	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	ΤН	RT	LT	тн	RT
502	Juanita Dr NE/South Holmes Pt Dr NE			mi	nor					ma	ijor		
		1%							14%			24%	1%
503	Juanita Dr NE/NE 141st Street			mi	nor			major					
			1%	1%		1%	14%	1%	14%			19%	
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street			mi	nor					ma	ijor		
		5%	10%			45%		5%			15%	5%	45%
2000	Juanita Dr NE/NE 132nd Street		minor			major							
					25%		15%			15%	20%		

Finn Hill Middle School Addition PM and Daily Trip Assignment

											Daily Trip	Generatior	1	
		New Trips								263 IN			263 OUT	
				Turning Volumes										
				Eastbound	ł		Westboun	d	1	Northboun	d	S	outhboun	d
Code	Study Int	Intersection	LT	ТН	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
		Juanita Dr NE/South Holmes Pt Dr NE			mi	nor					ma	ajor		
502														
		Estimated Daily Trips =	3							37			63	3
		Juanita Dr NE/NE 141st Street			mi	nor					ma	ajor		
503														
		Estimated Daily Trips =		3	3		3	37	3	37			50	
		84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street			mi	nor					ma	ajor		
1000														
		Estimated Daily Trips =	13	26			118		13			39	13	118
		Juanita Dr NE/NE 132nd Street			mi	nor					ma	ijor		
2000														
		Estimated Daily Trips =				66		39			39	53		

Appendix K

Proportional Share Calculations

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Proportional Share Summary

Proportional Share Results

		Intersection	
		Proportional	Significant
Code	Intersection	Share	Intersection?
502	Juanita Dr NE/South Holmes Pt Dr NE	0.34%	NO
503	Juanita Dr NE/NE 141st Street	0.64%	NO
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street	1.94%	YES
2000	Juanita Dr NE/NE 132nd Street	2.28%	YES



DATE:

10/15/2022

Daily Project Traffic Entering the Intersection	'n	Daily Volumes	Enterii Volui	ng Leg mes *	
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Major Street Volume V ₁ =	51.5	37	66	Major
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Minor Street Volume $V_2 =$	3	3		Minor
	*Do not leave cel	I empty for z	ero volum	9	-

Determine Geometric Factors

Num	Number of Lanes			ctors	
Major Street	Minor Street	f ₁	f ₂	f ₃	f ₄
2	2	1.000	1.330	1.000	1.330
2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
				-	
f ₁	f_2	f ₃	f ₄		
0.833	1	0.833	1		

Calculate Base Percentages

P ₁ =V ₁ /(10,000 x f ₁) =	0.62%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	0.06%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	0.41%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	0.12%

Calculate Proportional Share

S ₁ =(P ₁ +P ₂)/2=	0.34%
$S_2 = (P_3 + P_4)/2 =$	0.27%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 0.34% Significant Intersection? no

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW

Input appropriate i	nformation in green cells			¹ See " <i>Intersection Description</i> " worksheet for descriptions	
Project Name: Intersection No.	FHMS Addition	Through Lanes ¹	1. May Change without notice, ca Thang Nguyen 425-587-3869 with		
Major Street ¹	Juanita Dr NE	# of Lanes*=	1	questions	
Minor Street ¹	NE 141st St	# of Lanes*=	1		
DATE: 10/15/20	22				

Daily Project Traffic Entering the Intersect	ion	Daily Volumes	Enterii Volui	ng Leg nes *	
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Major Street Volume V ₁ =	45	40	50	Major
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Minor Street Volume $V_2 =$	23	6	40	Minor
	*Do not leave cel	l empty for z	ero volumo)	

Determine Geometric Factors

Numb	er of Lanes		Geometric Fa	ctors	
Major Street	Minor Street	f ₁	f ₂	f ₃	f ₄
2	2	1.000	1.330	1.000	1.330
2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
				-	
f ₁	f_2	f ₃	f ₄		
0.833	1	0.833	1		

Calculate Base Percentages

P ₁ =V ₁ /(10,000 x f ₁) =	0.54%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	0.46%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	0.36%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	0.92%

Calculate Proportional Share

$S_1 = (P_1 + P_2)/2 =$	0.50%
$S_2 = (P_3 + P_4)/2 =$	0.64%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 0.64% Significant Intersection? no

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW



10/15/2022

Daily Project Traffic Entering the Intersect	ion	Daily Volumes	Enterii Volui	ng Leg mes *	
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Major Street Volume V ₁ =	91.5	13	170	Major
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Minor Street Volume $V_2 =$	78.5	39	118	Minor
	*Do not leave cel	l empty for z	ero volum	9	•

Determine Geometric Factors

Numb	er of Lanes		Geometric Fa	ctors	
Major Street	Minor Street	f ₁	f ₂	f ₃	f ₄
2	2	1.000	1.330	1.000	1.330
2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
f ₁	f_2	f ₃	f ₄		
0.833	1	0.833	1		

Calculate Base Percentages

P ₁ =V ₁ /(10,000 x f ₁) =	1.10%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	1.57%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	0.73%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	3.14%

Calculate Proportional Share

$S_1 = (P_1 + P_2)/2 =$	1.33%
S ₂ =(P ₃ +P ₄)/2=	1.94%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 1.94% Significant Intersection? yes

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW



DATE:

10/15/2022

Daily Project Traffic Entering the Intersect	on	Daily Volumes	Enterii Volui	ng Leg mes *	
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Major Street Volume $V_1 =$	46	39	53	Major
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Minor Street Volume $V_2 =$	105		105	Minor
	*Do not leave cel	l empty for z	ero volum	9	

Determine Geometric Factors

Num	ber of Lanes		Geometric Fa	ctors	
Major Street	Minor Street	f ₁	f ₂	f ₃	f ₄
2	2	1.000	1.330	1.000	1.330
2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
				-	
f ₁	f_2	f ₃	f ₄		
0.833	1	0.833	1		

Calculate Base Percentages

P ₁ =V ₁ /(10,000 x f ₁) =	0.55%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	2.10%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	0.37%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	4.20%

Calculate Proportional Share

S ₁ =(P ₁ +P ₂)/2=	1.33%
S ₂ =(P ₃ +P ₄)/2=	2.28%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 2.28% Significant Intersection? yes

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Proportional Share Summary TEST 840 DAILY TRIPS

Proportional Share Results

		Intersection	
		Proportional	Significant
Code	Intersection	Share	Intersection?
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street	3.11%	YES

Finn Hill Middle School Addition PM and Daily Trip Assignment

[Daily Trip (Generation
New Trips	420 IN	420 OUT

				Turning			Volumes	S													
				Ea	astbou	und			We	stbour	nd		No	rthboui	ıd			Sou	thbou	nd	
Code	Study Int	Intersection	LT		TH		RT	LT		TH	RT	LT		TH	RT		LT		ΤН		RT
		84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street					mi	nor								maj	jor				
1000																					
		Estimated Daily Trips =	21		42					189		21					63		21		189

5/9/2023

% TENW

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Distribution based on existing volumes TEST 840 DAILY TRIPS

			Daily Trip I	istribution				
		Eastbound	Westbound	Northbound	Southbound			
Code	Intersection	LT TH RT	LT TH RT	LT TH RT	LT TH RT			
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street	mi	nor	major				
		5% 10%	45%	5%	15% 5% <mark>45%</mark>			

5/9/2023

% TENW

Input appropriate info	ormation in green cells			¹ See " <i>Inters</i> worksheet f	section Desc or descriptio	ription" ns
Project Name: Intersection No. Major Street ¹ Minor Street ¹	FHMS Addition -Sensitivity Test 100 84th Ave NE NE 132nd Street	for 840 daily trips 0 # of Lanes*= # of Lanes*=	Through Lanes ¹	1. May Cha Thang Nguy questions	nge without r ren 425-587-3	notice, call 1869 with
DATE: 2/8/2023]		Daily	Enteri	ng Leg	
Daily Project Trailic I	sinterino the intersection		Volumes	voiu	mes	
(Total of both approach	hes divided by two) Major	Street Volume V ₁ =	147	21	273	Major
(Total of both approach (Total of both approach	nes divided by two) Major nes divided by two) Minor S	Street Volume $V_1 =$	147 126	21 63	273 189	Major Minor
(Total of both approach (Total of both approach Determine Geometric	nes divided by two) Major nes divided by two) Minor S : Factors	Street Volume $V_1 =$ Street Volume $V_2 =$ *Do not leave cell	147 126 I empty for z	21 63 cero volume	273 189	Major Minor
(Total of both approach (Total of both approach Determine Geometric Nu	mes divided by two) Major mes divided by two) Minor S Factors mber of Lanes	Street Volume V ₁ = Street Volume V ₂ = *Do not leave cell	147 126 I empty for z Geometric Fa	21 63 cero volume	273 189	Major Minor

1.000

1.330

1.000

1.330

2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
f ₁	f_2	f ₃	f ₄		
0.833	1	0.833	1		

2

Calculate Base Percentages

2

l

P ₁ =V ₁ /(10,000 x f ₁) =	1.76%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	2.52%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	1.18%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	5.04%

Calculate Proportional Share

S ₁ =(P ₁ +P ₂)/2=	2.14%
S ₂ =(P ₃ +P ₄)/2=	3.11%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 3.11% Significant Intersection? yes

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW
Finn Hill Middle School Addition

Proportional Share Summary

TEST 840 DAILY TRIPS AND ADDITIONAL 15% OF EXITING TRIPS USING 132ND TO JUANITA DRIVE

Proportiona	l Share	Results	

	r roportional onare nesare	5	
		Intersection	
		Proportional	Significant
Code	Intersection	Share	Intersection?
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street	3.19%	YES

Finn Hill Middle School Addition

Distribution based on existing volumes

TEST 840 DAILY TRIPS AND ADDITIONAL 15% OF EXITING TRIPS USING 132ND TO JUANITA DRIVE TO HEAD NORTH INSTEAD OF USING 84TH

			Daily Trip Distribution														
			Eastbound				Westbound			Northbound				Southbound			
Code	Intersection	LT	Т	1	RT	LT	TH		RT	LT	TH		RT	LT	TH		RT
1000	84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street		minor				minor major										
		5%	10	%			45%			5%				15%	5%		60%
															1		

ATTACHMENT 9

Finn Hill Middle School Addition PM and Daily Trip Assignment

											Daily Trip	Generatio	n	
								420 IN			420 OUT			
								Turning	Volumes					
				Eastbound Westbound				Northbound Southbound			d			
Code	Study Int	Intersection	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
		84th Ave NE/NE 132nd Street		minor major										
1000														
		Estimated Daily Trips =	21	42			189		21			63	21	252

ATTACHMENT 9

Input appropriate inf	ormation in green cells			¹ See "Intersection Description" worksheet for descriptions
Project Name:	FHMS Addition- Sensitivity Test		Through Lanes ¹	1 May Change without notice, call
Intersection No.	1000			Thang Nguyen 425-587-3869 with
Major Street ¹	84th Ave NE	# of Lanes*=	1	questions
Minor Street ¹	NE 132nd Street	# of Lanes*=	1]

DATE:

5/10/2023

Daily Project Traffic Entering the Intersec	tion	Daily Volumes	Enterii Volu	ng Leg mes *	
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Major Street Volume V ₁ =	178.5	21	336	Major
(Total of both approaches divided by two)	Minor Street Volume $V_2 =$	126	63	189	Minor
	*Do not leave cel	I empty for z	ero volum	9	

Determine Geometric Factors

Num	her of Lanes		Geometric Fa	ctors	
Major Street	Minor Street	f ₁	f ₂	f ₃	f ₄
2	2	1.000	1.330	1.000	1.330
2	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1	2	0.833	1.330	0.833	1.330
1	1	0.833	1.000	0.833	1.000
f ₁	f_2	f_3	f_4]	
0.833	1	0.833	1		

Calculate Base Percentages

$P_1 = V_1 / (10,000 \text{ x } f_1) =$	2.14%
P ₂ =V ₂ /(5,000 x f ₂) =	2.52%
P ₃ =V ₁ /(15,000 x f ₃) =	1.43%
P ₄ =V ₂ /(2,500 x f ₄) =	5.04%

Calculate Proportional Share

$S_1 = (P_1 + P_2)/2 =$	2.33%
$S_2 = (P_3 + P_4)/2 =$	3.23%

Intersection Proportional Share = Maximum of S1 and S2 = 3.23% Significant Intersection? yes

1. Number of through lanes. Do not count exclusive turn lanes. Use the smaller number of lanes if the number of lanes is unequal on two legs. For Example, if one minor leg has two lanes and one minor leg has one lane, the number of lanes on the minor leg is one.

Computed By:	ALW
Company:	TENW

Appendix L

Future Year 2024 Volume Adjustments

AM PEAK HOUR VOLUME ADJUSTMENTS FOR MODIFIED CIRCULATION ROUTE



1

AFTERNOON PEAK HOUR VOLUME ADJUSTMENTS FOR MODIFIED CIRCULATION ROUTE



1

ATTACHMENT 10



August 26, 2022

Ina Holzer Senior Project Manager, Lake Washington School District 15212 NE 95th Street Redmond, WA 98052

Re: Arborist Report – LWSD Finn Hill Middle School

The Watershed Company Reference Number: 220510

Dear Ina:

We are pleased to present you with the findings of our tree inventory and assessment for the new classroom addition at Finn Hill Middle School (parcel # 2426049128). ISA Certified Arborist® and Qualified Tree Risk Assessor (TRAQ) Jake Robertson with The Watershed Company, visited the property on July 28, 2022, to inventory and assess trees within the study area.

Tree attributes, including species, size, and condition, were assessed during the on-site inventory, and are summarized in the enclosed Tree Inventory Table. The following document is included with this letter:

• Annotated Tree Map

Site Characterization

The subject parcel is approximately 28.52 acres in size; however, the study area is reduced to approximately 1.42 acres to encompass only the new area of development. The parcel is developed with the middle school and associated landscape and impervious developments. There is an identified wetland to the north of the parcel per King County iMap. There are large groupings of trees along the northern border and the south-western corner of the parcel. The

ATTACHMENT 10 Arborist Report LWSD Finn Hill Middle School August 2022 Page 2

parcel is zoned Low Density Residential Zone (RSA-6).. See Figure 1 for a map of the study area and site vicinity.



Figure 1. Vicinity map showing the approximate location of the study area (outlined in yellow). (*Image courtesy of King County iMap, 2019.*)

Project Description

The project proposes to construct a new addition for classrooms in the northeast corner of the study area outlined in yellow from Figure 1. The goal of the project is to retain inventoried trees, minimizing impacts as much as possible. Per the Tree Protection Plan, prepared by Integrus Architecture (dated June 17, 2022), there are no planned alterations to the driveway or turnaround.

ATTACHMENT 10 Arborist Report LWSD Finn Hill Middle School August 2022 Page 3

Tree Assessment Methods

The trees within the study area were determined to be significant using the definition in the Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) Chapter 95. The City of Kirkland defines a significant tree as any evergreen or deciduous tree, six inches in diameter or greater, measured four-and-a-half feet above existing grade. For the purpose of this study, the health of significant trees shall be depicted using a rating system of Excellent, Good, Fair, and Poor (Table 1).

All significant trees were assigned a unique identification number. Each assessed tree was tagged with a rectangular aluminum, write-on tag that was affixed to the trunk of the tree.

Diameter

The diameter-at-breast-height (DBH) of all subject trees was measured at four-and-a-half feet above the surface of the ground.

Estimated Height

Tree height was determined by a visual estimate.

Canopy Radius

Canopy radius, also known as dripline, was measured horizontally from the center of the trunk to the outermost branch tips. For trees with uneven crowns, the average of two perpendicular radii was recorded.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)

The TPZ is a boundary that denotes the location of tree protection fencing where all constriction activities are prohibited. This boundary is determined outside the Interior Critical Root Zone (ICRZ).

Critical Root Zone

The area encircling the trunk of a tree equal to one foot radius for every inch of DBH.

Interior Critical Root Zone (ICRZ)

An area half the distance of the CRZ that, when impacted, may compromise the structural integrity of the tree.

Condition

A Level 1 visual assessment was used to evaluate the health and condition of all trees within the study area in accordance with ISA and CTLA standards. The condition determination was based on the criteria outlined in Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) Chapter 95.30 – Tree Retention Associated with Development Activity.

The ratings can be summarized in Table 1 below from the City code. Each tree was given a rating from 1-6 (Excellent – Dead/Poor) as summarized below in Table 1.

Condition Rating	Tree Health Twig and leaf density, size and growth, pest/pathogen issues	Tree Structure Root flare, trunk condition. Branch assembly			
	High or above average vigor with little or	Trunk and root flare exhibit no visible defects or			
Excellent	no twig dieback, discoloration or	cavities. Branch structure and attachments are normal			
	defoliation.	for species and free of defects.			
	Vigor is normal for species. No significant	Well-developed structure. Defects are minor and can			
Good	damage due to disease or pests. Any twig	be corrected. Codominant stem formation may be			
	dieback, defoliation or discoloration is	present. Trees in groves may have			
	minor (up to 10% of the crown)	asymmetric/deviations from an open-grown form of			
		the same species.			
	Reduced vigor. Twig dieback, defoliation,	Visible evidence of trunk damage or cavities, large			
	discoloration, and/or dead branches up to	girdling roots or branch attachments that may require			
Fair	30% of the crown. Obvious signs of pest	correction.			
	problems contribute to a lesser condition				
	but is not likely to be fatal.				
	Poor vigor, unhealth and declining. Low	Structural problems cannot be corrected, such as			
	foliage density with extensive (more than	recent change in tree orientation, extensive trunk			
Poor	50%) twig and/or branch dieback.	decay or poor branch attachment. Tree/tree part			
	Smaller-than-normal leaf size and little	failure may occur at any time.			
	evidence of new growth.				

Table 1. Assessment of tree health and condition derived from KZC 95.30.1 – Tree Condition Ratings.

Tree Assessment Results

A total of two (2) trees were inventoried and assessed as part of this study (Table 2). Trees were identified using the tree tags #1 & #2. Species inventoried were Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*).

Diameter

On-site assessed trees range in DBH from 40.6 inches to 50.4 inches. The average diameter is 45.5 inches.

Height

The estimated height of on-site trees within the study area was 95 feet.

Canopy Radius

The canopy radii of on-site assessed trees range from 21 feet to 27 feet, with an average radius of 24 feet.

Condition

Tree #1 is a viable tree in *Fair* condition; this tree had healthy foliage but there was some basal swelling that is uncharacteristic of the species. Tree #2 is a viable tree in *Excellent* condition; there were no structural defects, and the foliage was healthy.

Tree #	Scientific Name / Common Name	DBH (in)	Height (ft)	Canopy Radius (ft)	Condition	Viable (Y/N)	TPZ (ft)	Retention Value	Tree Credits
1	Pseudotsuga menziesii (Douglas-fir)	40.6	95	21	Fair	Y	21	High	11
2	Thuja plicata (Western red cedar)	50.4	95	27	Excellent	Y	25	High	11
Grand	Grand Total								22

Table 2. Summary of on-site significant trees.

Tree #1 is a Landmark Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) with a diameter of 40.6 inches. This tree was found to be in *Excellent* Health and have *Fair* Structure with some basal swelling within the first three feet of height. This tree is of High Retention Value due to size and is viable for retention. The CRZ is 41 feet from the trunk. This tree is closest to the designed classroom addition but is still planned to not be impacted by development. Tree Protection Fencing should be placed around the TPZ, which is at a minimum, 21-feet from the trunk.

ATTACHMENT 10 Arborist Report LWSD Finn Hill Middle School August 2022 Page 6



Figure 2. Landmark Tree #1 with basal swelling (left) and a full canopy (right).

Tree #2 is a Landmark western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) with a diameter of 50.4 inches. This tree was found to be in *Excellent* Health and have *Excellent* Structure. This tree is of High Retention Value due to size and is viable for retention. The CRZ is 51 feet from the trunk. This tree is not planned to be impacted by development. Tree Protection Fencing should be placed around the TPZ, which is at a minimum, 25-feet from the trunk.



Figure 3. Landmark Tree #2 with Excellent Health and Structure.

ATTACHMENT 10 Arborist Report LWSD Finn Hill Middle School August 2022 Page 7

Tree Protection Measures

To ensure the survival of the significant trees assessed within this study, these best management practices should be followed during the development phase:

- **Tree protection barriers:** Per KZM 95.34, temporary protective tree fencing should be erected around the Tree Protection Zone, which should not infringe in the interior critical root zone (ICRZ) of protected trees. Protective tree fencing should consist of 6-foot-high chain link fence with signs that state: "Tree and Soil Protection Area, Entrance Prohibited" on all sides of the fence and provide the city phone number for code enforcement to report violations. Protection barriers are to remain on-site until the Planning Official authorizes their removal.
- **Minimize root zone disturbance:** All construction activities, including staging and driving machinery, should be located outside of the ICRZ. If temporary impacts in the critical root zone (CRZ) are unavoidable, the arborist recommends using one of the following temporary measures to minimize soil compaction and root damage:
 - o Install six to 12 inches of wood chip mulch over the CRZ.
 - Lay down a ³/₄-inch thick plywood sheet or steel plates over at least four inches of wood chip mulch.
 - Apply four to six inches of gravel over staked geotextile fabric.
 - Place commercial logging mats on top of a 4-inch mulch layer.

The plywood, steel plates, gravel, geotextile fabric, mats, and all mulch over four-inches thick **must** be removed after the temporary disturbance is finished.

- **Root pruning:** If mechanical excavation occurs near a tree to remain, the arborist recommends using an air or water excavator and root pruning by hand, or by using a mechanical root pruning tool designed to cut roots. Any roots over one inch that are exposed after mechanical excavation should be clean cut by hand.
- **Maintenance:** The impacts of construction are stressful to trees, which may not show the signs of stress for up to five to ten years after being impacted. Applying one to two inches of water to the root zones each week in the summer during construction will help the trees stay healthy throughout construction.

• **Monitoring:** After construction is complete, the tree protection fencing can be removed. Any branches accidentally broken during construction should be pruned. An ISA certified arborist could assist with health assessment, monitoring, and provide management recommendations for the trees post-construction as the trees recover from the impacts of construction and adapt to their new conditions.

Limitations of This Study

The findings of this report are based on the best available science and are limited to the scope, budget, and site conditions at the time of the assessment. Although the information in this report is based on sound methodology, internal physical flaws (such as cracking or root rot) or other conditions that are not visible cannot be detected with this limited basic visual screening. Trees are inherently unpredictable. Even vigorous and healthy trees can fail due to high winds, heavy snow, ice storms, rain, age, or other causes.

This report is based on the current observable conditions and may not represent future conditions of the trees. Changes in site conditions, including clearing and grading, will alter the condition of remaining trees in a way that is not predictable.

The conclusions contained within this report have been made for permitting purposes only and are not intended for tree risk assessment purposes.

Please call if you have any questions or if we can provide you with any additional information.

Sincerely,

Jake Robertson ISA Certified Arborist® PN-8934A TRAQ

References

- Dunster, J. 2017. Tree Risk Assessment Manual, Second Edition. Champaign, IL: International Society of Arboriculture.
- Fite K., and E.T. Smiley. 2008. Best Management Practices: Managing Trees During Construction. Champaign, IL: International Society of Arboriculture.

ATTACHMENT 10 Arborist Report LWSD Finn Hill Middle School August 2022 Page 10

Annotated Tree Map



EXISTING SCHOOL BUILDING

1 TREE RETENTION PLAN - SCALE: 1"=10'

TREE PROTECTION LEGEND

PROPERTY LINE

TREE PROTECTION FENCE

LIMIT OF WORK LINE

CONTOURS - EXISTING



EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED (SEE TREE INVENTORY FOR TREES TO BE SALVAGED AND/OR TRANSPLANTED ON SITE)

EXISTING TREE NUMBER

EXISTING TREE OUTER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (DRIPLINE)

EXISTING TREE INNER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (1/2 DRIPLINE)

6" DEPTH ARBORIST CHIPS OR SITE GENERATED WOOD CHIPS FROM TREE REMOVAL OVER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS SEE NOTES CERTIFIED ARBORIST SHALL OBSERVE WORK WITHIN DRIPLINE OUTSIDE OF TREE PROTECTION FENCING

TREE PROTECTION NOTES

- 1. SEE SURVEY FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS NO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IS ALLOWED UNTIL TREE PROTECTION FENCING AND ARBORIST CHIP MULCH HAVE BEEN INSTALLED AND ACCEPTED.
- 3. SEE SPECIFICATION SECTION 01 56 39. SCOPE EXCLUDES STUMP REMOVAL/GRINDING.
- 4. SEE SHEET SHEETNUMBER FOR INVENTORY OF EXISTING TREES. 5. PROVIDE PROTECTION OF EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ARBORIST OVERSIGHT, PROTECTION FENCING AT OUTER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE, ARBORIST CHIP MULCH OVER ALL ROOT ZONES, AND SPECIAL PROTECTION MEASURES WITHIN ROOT ZONES THROUGHOUT PROJECT.
- WHERE TREE PROTECTION FENCING AND CONSTRUCTION FENCING COINCIDE, USE ONLY ONE FENCE, WHICHEVER IS MORE STABLE AND PERMANENT.
- 7. SEE SPECIFICATION SECTION 01 56 39 FOR TREE TRUNK HARVESTING/SALVAGE REQUIREMENTS.
- 8. CLEAR ALL UNDERSTORY VEGETATION WITHIN TREE PROTECTION AREAS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF TREE PROTECTION MULCH. REMOVE ALL INVASIVE SHRUBS AND VINES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO BLACKBERRY, HOLLY, AND IVY. REMOVAL SHALL BE BY HAND WITHIN ROOT PROTECTION AREAS. REMOVE ALL ROOTS OF INVASIVE PLANTS.

The Watershed Company arborist visited the site on July 28, 2022 to inventory and assess trees identified on this survey.









1. TREE PROTECTION FENCING PER SEC 015639.





- ZONE A (INTERIOR CRITICAL ROOT ZONE)
 1. NO DISTURBANCE ALLOWED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INSPECTION AND APPROVAL OF METHODS TO MINIMIZE ROOT
- DAMAGE 2. SEVERANCE OF ROOTS LARGER THAN 2" DIA REQUIRES ARBORIST'S APPROVAL
- 3. TUNNELING REQUIRED TO INSTALL LINES 3'-0" BELOW GRADE OR DEEPER
- ZONE B (OUTER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE) 1. OPERATION OF HEAVY EQUIPMENT AND/OR STOCKPILING OF MATERIALS SUBJECT TO ARBORIST'S APPROVAL. SURFACE
- PROTECTION MEASURES REQUIRED: • TEMPORARY ACCESS: MULCH LAYER, 6"-8" DEPTH, & 3/4"
- PLYWOOD SHEETS RECURRING ACCESS: MULCH LAYER, 6"-8" DEPTH, & STEEL
- PLATES 2. TRENCHING ALLOWED AS FOLLOWS: EXCAVATION BY HAND OR WITH HAND-DRIVEN TRENCHER MAY BE REQUIRED;
- AIR TRENCHING; • LIMIT TRENCH WIDTH. DO NOT DISTURB ZONE A; MAINTAIN 2/3 OR MORE OF ZONE B IN UNDISTURBED CONDITION;
- OWNER APPROVAL. 3. TUNNELING MAY BE REQUIRED FOR TRENCHES DEEPER THAN 3'-0"
- FENCING/ROOT PROTECTION 1. FENCING TO BE PROVIDED AND MAINTAINED AT LIMITS OF OUTER CRITICAL ROOT ZONE-SEE PLANS FOR LOCATION
- 2. ARBORIST'S APPROVAL REQUIRED FOR USE/ACCESS WITHIN ZONE B. 3. PERMISSION FOR USE/ACCESS REQUIRES SURFACE
- PROTECTION FOR ALL UNFENCED, UNPAVED SURFACES WITHIN CRITICAL ROOT ZONE
- SURFACE PROTECTION MEASURES 1. TEMPORARY ACCESS:
- MULCH LAYER, 6"-8" DEPTH, & 3/4" PLYWOOD SHEETS 2. RECURRING ACCESS: MULCH LAYER, 6"-8" DEPTH, & STEEL PLATES

— 12' MAX — MAX



ATTACHMENT 11 SUBMITTED TO: Lake Washington School District 15212 NE 95th Street Redmond, Washington 98052



BY: Shannon & Wilson, Inc. 400 N 34th Street, Suite 100 Seattle, Washington 98103

(206) 632-8020 www.shannonwilson.com

CRITICAL AREAS REPORT Finn Hill Middle School Addition Project CITY OF KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON





May 8, 2023 Shannon & Wilson No: 111448-002

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR DOUBLE-SIDED PRINTING

Submitted To: Lake Washington School District 15212 NE 95th Street Redmond, Washington 98052 Attn: Mr. Ryan Ota

Subject: CRITICAL AREAS REPORT, FINN HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION PROJECT, CITY OF KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON

Shannon & Wilson prepared this report and participated in this project as a consultant to the Lake Washington School District. Our scope of services was specified in an agreement dated May 1, 2023, under a notice to proceed provided by Ryan Ota on May 1, 2023. This report presents the findings of our delineation site visit and addresses the wetlands, streams, minor lakes, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and frequently flooded areas critical areas identified within the project area and was prepared by the undersigned.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have questions concerning this report, or we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.

Sarah l. Corbin

Sarah Corbin, PWS, MSES Associate, Senior Biologist/Permitting Specialist

Olivoson

Olivia Sohn Biologist

SCC: KLW/scc:ols

SHANNON & WILSON

CONTENTS

1	Introduction1				
2	Proj	oject Location and Setting1			
3	Wet	Vetlands2			
	3.1	Backg	round Information Review	2	
	3.2	3.2 Methodology			
	3.3	3 Results			
		3.3.1	Wetland A	5	
		3.3.2	Wetland B	7	
4 Streams, Minor Lakes, Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas					
	4.1	Stream	ns		
	4.2	Minor Lakes10			
	4.3	Fish a	nd Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas		
5	Free	quently	Flooded Areas	11	
6 Regulatory Review				11	
	6.1	City o	of Kirkland	11	
		6.1.1	Wetland Buffers	11	
		6.1.2	Vegetative Buffer Standards		
		6.1.3	Public Agency Exception		
	6.2	State 1	Regulations	13	
		6.2.1	Washington State Department of Ecology		
		6.2.2	State Environmental Policy Act		
		6.2.3	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife	14	
	6.3	Feder	al Regulations		
7	Con	clusion		14	
8	Closure14			14	
9	References				

Exhibits

Exhibit 3-1: Background Information Review Findings	2
Exhibit 3-2: Three-Month Precipitation Analysis for 2023	4
Exhibit 3-3: Wetland A	6

CONTENTS

Exhibit 3-4: Altered Beaver Dam at Wetland B Outlet	7
Exhibit 3-5: Wetland B	9
Exhibit 6-1: Public Agency Exception Review Criteria (KZC 90.45.3)	.12

Figures

Figure 1:	Site Location
Figure 2:	Delineation Map

Appendices

Appendix A: Wetland Delineation Methodology Appendix B: Wetland Delineation Data Forms Appendix C: Wetland Rating Form - Western Washington Appendix D: Site Plan and Survey Important Information

CONTENTS

iii

1 INTRODUCTION

Shannon & Wilson was contracted by the Lake Washington School District (the District) to conduct a wetland delineation and complete a critical areas report for the Finn Hill Middle School Addition project (Project) site in the City of Kirkland (City), Washington (Township 26N, Range 4E, Southeast ¼ Section 24). The Project site consists of King County parcel number 2426049128 (Figure 1). The District is proposing to construct a classroom addition that would extend north off of the existing school building. The addition would be south of the paved access road and would be nestled into the general area of the existing school building matrix.

This report is compliant with Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) 90.110 and addresses the following critical areas: (a) wetlands, (b) streams, (c) minor lakes, (d) fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and (e) frequently flooded areas. This report has been prepared in accordance with Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) 90.110.

2 PROJECT LOCATION AND SETTING

The Project is located just over a mile east of Lake Washington, in the northwest corner of the City. The Project parcel was under the jurisdiction of King County (County) until 2011 when the area was annexed by the City (Kirkland, 2023a). Two large parks containing recreation spaces and naturally vegetated areas are in the project vicinity - Saint Edward State Park to the northwest and Big Finn Hill Park to the north. A large wetland complex and trail system in Big Finn Hill Park is adjacent to the north Project site boundary. The remaining surrounding area consists of single-family housing developments, elementary schools, a church, and riparian corridors. The Project is within the Denny Creek drainage basin (Kirkland, 2023c).

The Project parcel is developed and contains the Finn Hill Middle School buildings and other school-related facilities including a track and field, baseball field, tennis court, and a rain garden. Forested and shrub communities are mainly limited to the narrow strips on the north and west parcel boundaries and school landscape areas. The topography on the Project parcel generally slopes away from the southeast corner of the property down to the northern and western site boundaries.

3 WETLANDS

3.1 Background Information Review

Background information pertaining to the Project site was collected and reviewed prior to the wetland delineation fieldwork. These information sources are summarized in Exhibit 3-1.

Information	Key Findings
King County iMap Interactive Mapping Tool, wetland inventory layer (King County, 2023)	The County's wetland inventory map identifies a large wetland complex that extends into the Project area along a portion of Project area's north boundary.
U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USFWS, 2023a)	The USFWS NWI maps two wetlands on the Project area. One is identified as a large complex containing a freshwater pond and a forested, seasonally inundated, palustrine wetland directly north of the Project area.
	A second smaller, forested, seasonally inundated, palustrine wetland is mapped in the southwest corner of the Project area. A narrow, linear finger is shown extending north from the main body of the wetland along the western edge of the track field. The NWI map identifies the finger in proximity, but not connecting to, the larger wetland mapped to the north.
City of Kirkland Maps Portal, Wetlands layer (Kirkland, 2023b) and City of Kirkland Sensitive Areas Map (2023c)	The City's wetland layer identifies both wetlands shown on the NWI map. However, unlike the NWI map, the smaller wetland in the Project area's southwest corner does not extend north along the western track and field edge.
	The City also maps a stream identified as Denny Creek in the center of the large wetland complex to the north. After leaving the wetland, Denny Creek is mapped flowing 1.5 miles to the southwest before entering Lake Washington. The City maps the Project area as within the Denny Creek drainage basin.
U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey interactive mapping system (USDA NRCS, 2023)	The USDA NRCS maps the soil associated with the large wetland at the Project area's northern boundary as a Seattle Muck (hydric). The remainder of the Project area is mapped as Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes. This map unit is not rated as hydric but contains 5% hydric soil components/inclusions.
Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Natural Heritage Program Data Explorer, Rare Plant and Ecosystem Locations (WDNR, 2023)	The Washington Natural Heritage Program Data Explorer does not identify high-value wetlands within the project site.
Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC) Statewide Integrated Fish Distribution (SWIFD) Web Map (NWIFC, 2023)	This mapping resource identifies a Type F stream associated with the large wetland complex to the north (same location as Denny Creek on the City map). The interactive map documents presence/use of resident coastal cutthroat, fall Chinook salmon, coho salmon, sockeye salmon, and winter steelhead trout approximately 0.9 mile downstream of the wetland.

Exhibit 3-1: Background Information Review Findings

Finn Hill Middle School Addition Project Critical Areas Report

Information	Key Findings
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) on the Web (WDFW, 2023)	The WDFW PHS on the Web viewer maps both wetlands shown on the City's sensitive areas map as priority aquatic habitat. The occurrence of little brown bat (<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>) is also mapped for the township. The forested portions of Saint Edward State Park, Big Finn Hill Park, and the Denny Creek riparian corridor are identified as a Biodiversity Area and Corridor. In the lower 0.6 mile of the stream, WDFW documents coho rearing, sockeye presence, and documents that Denny Creek is gradient- accessible to winter steelhead.
Impact and Conceptual Mitigation Plan Sheets for the Finn Hill Junior High Environmental and Adventure School (Watershed, 2009).	The impact and mitigation plan sheets for the previous Finn Hill project identify three wetlands on the Project area: a Category I wetland to the north in the same area identified on the City and NWI maps, and two wetlands in the southwest corner. The smaller of these two is identified as a Category III and the other is identified as a Category II wetland. The plan sheets also identified that buffer averaging and buffer enhancement mitigation has been implemented in the wetland buffer in the northeast corner of the Project area.
USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPAC) Online Mapper (USFWS, 2023b) and USFWS Critical Habitat Online Mapper (USFWS, 2023c)	No designated critical habitat is identified in the Project area; the closest mapped critical habitat is Lake Washington (bull trout). IPAC identifies the potential presence of North American wolverine, marbled murrelet, yellow-billed cuckoo, bull trout, and the monarch butterfly.
National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Endangered Species Act Critical Habitat Mapper, West Coast (NMFS, 2023)	No designated critical habitat is identified in the Project area.

In addition to the above resources, monthly totals and departures from normal precipitation data were collected from the Seattle Sand Point WFO station (U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA], 2023) for the three months preceding the early May 2023 delineation site visit. According to the Seattle station data, monthly precipitation totals demonstrated normal conditions for the three-month period preceding the site visit (Exhibit 3-2).

			-				
	30% Chance Will Have			Condition			Product (Condition
Month	Less Than	More Than	Precipitation (inches)	(Dry, Normal, Wet)	Condition Value ¹	Weighted Value	Value x Weighted Value)
Feb	2.4	4.05	2.46	Normal	2	1	2
Mar	2.79	4.47	2.93	Normal	2	2	4
Apr	2.02	3.45	3.15	Normal	2	3	6
						Sum	12 ²

Exhibit 3-2: Three-Month Precipitation Analysis for 2023

NOTES:

Source: NOAA Regional Climate Centers, Weather Station: Seattle Sand Point WFO, Period of Record: 1992-2022 (NOAA Regional Climate Centers, 2023)

Table methodology adapted from NRCS Engineering Field Handbook, Chapter 19 (U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 1997)

1 Condition Value: Dry = 1, Normal = 2, Wet = 3

2 If sum is 6-9, then period has been drier than normal; if sum is 10-14, then period has been normal; and if sum is 15-18, then period has been wetter than normal.

3.2 Methodology

Shannon & Wilson wetland biologists conducted the delineation fieldwork on May 2 and 3, 2023. Wetlands were identified using methods described in the 1987 *Corps Wetland Delineation Manual* (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [Corps], 1987) and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region* (U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 2010). Appendix A includes a description of the delineation methodology. Offsite portions of wetlands located within 300 feet of the Project area were approximated using field observations from the Project area and public right-of-way, aerial photography, and light detection and ranging (lidar).

Wetland areas were determined using the triple-parameter approach, which considers vegetation types, soil conditions, and hydrologic conditions. Areas were considered to be wetland if they displayed the following wetland indicators: (a) dominant plant species that are considered hydrophytic by the accepted classification indicators, (b) soils that are considered hydric under the federal definition, and (c) indications of wetland hydrology based on federal definition.

Data plots were characterized within wetland and upland plant community types to help describe the general conditions at the site. The data is provided in Appendix B. Wetland boundaries were flagged with pink "wetland boundary" flagging and wetland data plots were identified with orange flagging.

3.3 Results

Portions of two wetlands, Wetlands A and B, were identified and delineated on the Project site. A description of the wetlands follows. Vegetation is described below by common name, with the scientific name and wetland indicator status in parentheses. Soils are described with the associated Munsell® Color Charts color in parentheses.

3.3.1 Wetland A

Wetland A (approximately 0.33 acre/14,600 square feet), located in the southwest corner of the site, is a palustrine, forested, permanently flooded-seasonally flooded-saturated wetland according to the Cowardin System, as described in *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States* (Federal Geographic Data Committee, 2013). The wetland is depressional under the hydrogeomorphic (HGM) classification system (Brinson, 1993). Wetland A is surrounded by slopes with development uphill on all sides, wedged between NE 132nd Street directly to the south, a church parking lot to the west, school ballfields to the east, and a residential neighborhood to the northwest (Figure 2).

Wetland hydrology is primarily driven by runoff from the surrounding slopes. Two stormwater pipes contribute water to the wetland: one from NE 132nd Street and another from the church parking lot. No outlet was observed during the site visit and water is impounded in the closed depression.

The wetland was rated using Ecology's *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington* (Hruby, 2014), and is a Category III wetland (Appendix C). As described below and shown in the wetland rating forms, the wetland's functions are not degraded such that restoration would be required.

The rationale for the rating is outlined below.

- Wetland A was assigned a moderate water quality functions score (7 points) due to the high coverage of dense vegetation that helps filter stormwater and lack of an outlet, which retains pollutants in the wetland, preventing them from flowing to downgradient waterbodies. Additionally, the surrounding development introduces pollutants (e.g., stormwater runoff) and provides the wetland with an opportunity to sequester them. Also, downstream 303(d)-impaired waterbody listings for Denny Creek and Lake Washington increase the wetland's water quality function and value.
- The wetland received a moderate hydrologic functions score (7 points) due to its limited storage capacity and lack of an outlet. The wetland is in a depression surrounded by development impervious surface, receives stormwater discharges, and has a high potential to store flood water. Issues with flooding lower in the basin add to this wetland's value to the surrounding area by mitigating downgradient flooding.

5

Critical Areas Report

 Wetland A received a low habitat functions score (4 points) because its extensive forested community constitutes one structure. Wetland A has a variety of hydroperiods/hydric regimes and contains standing snags and downed wood. However, the amount of high intensity land use in the surrounding area also decreases the wetland's potential to provide connectivity to other habitat.

The KZC Table 90.55.1 requires Category III wetlands with a habitat score of 4 points to maintain a 60-foot buffer. Exhibit 3-3 provides a summary of wetland conditions.

	Wetland A – Information St	ummary	
		WRIA	WRIA 8 Cedar- Sammamish
		Location Within Project Area	Wetland is located in the southwest corner of the Project area and extends off site to the west.
Service W		Buffer Width	60 feet
		Ecology Rating (Hruby, 2014)	Category III
		Wetland Size	Approximately 0.33 acre/14,600 square feet
Photo taken in May 2023 by	Shannon & Wilson.	Cowardin Classification	Palustrine Forested
		HGM Classification	Depressional
		Wetland Data Sheet(s)	Data Plot DP-1 and DP-2
Dominant Vegetation The tree stratum is dominated by red alder (<i>Alnus rubra</i> , FAC). The shrub stratum is dominated by spiraea (<i>Spiraea douglasii</i> , FACW), Himalayan blackberry (<i>Rubus armeniacus</i> , FAC), and salmonberry (<i>Rubus spectabilis</i> , FAC). The herbaceous stratum			The shrub stratum is ickberry (<i>Rubus</i> The herbaceous stratum

Dominant Vegetation	The tree stratum is dominated by red alder (<i>Alnus rubra</i> , FAC). The shrub stratum is dominated by spiraea (<i>Spiraea douglasii</i> , FACW), Himalayan blackberry (<i>Rubus armeniacus</i> , FAC), and salmonberry (<i>Rubus spectabilis</i> , FAC). The herbaceous stratum dominant species is western lady fern (<i>Athyrium cyclosorum</i> , FAC).
Soils	The soil profile was examined to a depth of 17 inches below ground surface (bgs). The upper soil horizon was very dark brown (10YR 2/2) silt loam with 30 percent (%) dark reddish brown (5YR 3/4) redoximorphic concentrations extending to 7 inches bgs. This is underlain by a dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) and black (10YR 21) silt loam with 20% brown (7.5YR 4/4) redoximorphic concentrations in the matrix.
	A hydrogen sulfide odor was observed within 12 inches bgs.
	The soil profile meets the Hydrogen Sulfide (A4), Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11), and Redox Dark Surface (F6) hydric soil indicators.
Hydrology/Hydroperiods	The dominant source of hydrology is runoff that is discharged from two stormwater pipes and overland runoff from the surrounding slopes, where the water is then impounded. The High Water Table (A2), Saturation (A3), and Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) primary hydrology indicators were observed.

Exhibit 3-3: Wetland A