



CITY OF KIRKLAND
Public Works Department
123 Fifth Avenue, Kirkland, WA 98033
425-587-3000

Council Meeting: 11/06/2024
Agenda: Business
Item #: 9. a.

MEMORANDUM

To: Kurt Triplett, City Manager

From: Kim Scrivner, Transportation Planner – Public Works
Doug McIntyre, Transportation Manager – Public Works
Sarah Olson, Deputy Director of Engineering, Planning, and Development Services – Public Works
Truc Dever, Director of Public Works

Date: November 6, 2024

Subject: Draft Transportation Strategic Plan (TSP)

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council provides final comments and/or amendments to the Draft Transportation Strategic Plan with the intention to adopt the final TSP at the November 19, 2024 Council meeting.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

- The TSP is being updated in coordination with the K2044 Comprehensive Plan Update and covers a 20-year planning horizon.
- Primary elements of the TSP are included in the Transportation Element and Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan such as the goals and policies, prioritized and fiscally constrained projects.
- The TSP is a stand-alone and more detailed document that includes the essential components of the Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Element, but with additional context, analysis, mapping, and the full, fiscally unconstrained (unfunded) list of projects identified to meet the goals. The TSP identifies the larger, 20-year vision for how the proposed projects and programs can achieve the stated goals in the TSP.
- The Council will consider the TSP for adoption at the November 16, 2024, Council meeting.

BACKGROUND:

The Draft TSP plays a critical role in directing Kirkland's future transportation studies, implementation, and investments in the transportation system. It covers a 20-year planning horizon and outlines the needed capital investments to support the envisioned land use to 2044. The Plan also addresses new technologies, multimodal transportation, safety, and more. The TSP will guide staff work plans in the near- and long-term and position the City as a regional leader in multimodal transportation.

The Transportation Commission's work program has primarily focused on developing and guiding the TSP since July of 2022, and Council has offered feedback at six of their meetings. A summary of Council's comments and responses can be found in Attachment 1.

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS:

The Transportation Commission held a public hearing on September 25, 2024 to solicit public testimony on the Draft TSP for consideration during their deliberations; ultimately recommending an amended version of the TSP to Council for adoption. The Council reviewed the recommended Draft TSP at its October 1, 2024 meeting and provided additional input and revisions to the TSP, which are summarized in Attachment 1.

Staff seeks Council's input on final amendments presented in the updated TSP draft (Attachment 2) to ensure that the Plan adequately reflects Council direction prior to adoption. Any amendments to the TSP provided at the November 6, 2024 Council meeting will be incorporated into the Final Draft TSP for consideration of adoption at the November 19, 2024 Council meeting.

NEXT STEPS:

Staff seeks final comments or amendments from City Council with the intention of adopting the Transportation Strategic Plan at the November 19th Council meeting. Separately, and following adoption of the TSP, the Transportation Element will be adopted as part of the broader 2044 Comprehensive Plan Update, scheduled for December 10, 2024.

- November 19th – City Council TSP Adoption
- December 10th – Comprehensive Plan Adoption (Transportation Element)

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment 1: Crosswalk of Council Comments
Attachment 2: Draft TSP and Appendices
Attachment 3: Draft Resolution R-5656

City Council - November 6, 2024
ATTACHMENT 1
Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

October 1 2024 Council Meeting (Business Item)		
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Maps	Bicycle Vision map is hard to read, protected bike lanes are difficult to see.	The map was updated to highlight the protected bicycle lanes and shared use paths more prominently.
Maps	The pedestrian vision map does not clearly show the pedestrian vision. What are the key ped corridors such as to CKC, transit, commercial districts?	<p>The pedestrian vision is very detailed, intricate, and difficult to put into one single map. Key corridors to activity centers, schools, transit, etc. come from all directions and one corridor per location is difficult to map. The recommended approach is to point to the prioritized pedestrian network in the ATP.</p> <p>The TSP map that includes crossing priorities now includes the fiscally constrained complete streets projects to help get at the request for showing pedestrian corridors. The text also adds more information about the detailed maps in the Active Transportation Plan (ATP) that will be used as the guide to prioritize pedestrian improvements. The ATP Appendix Appendix B, Project Prioritization, includes a series of 29 maps that show detailed information about walk access to transit and activity centers and Appendix D shows the framework and scores for the pedestrian network which includes a series of detailed maps showing how the pedestrian system is prioritized by each sub-score and shows a final map of prioritized sidewalk projects. These maps are too detailed for the TSP and it is recommended to point to the ATP for this detailed information.</p>
Transit	The Metro Flex Program should be clearly identified as a pilot program and noted that it was a mitigation for lost service.	<p>· Added language in section 3.3.1 (existing conditions): Metro Flex is an on-demand transit service in King County <i>implemented to mitigate reduction in fixed route service or in areas where there is limited to no transit service. This is a pilot program</i> that offers affordable, accessible, and comfortable minivan rides to various local destinations and is still under evaluation.</p> <p>· 5.3.3 – highlighted the phrase ‘will actively explore’ and added language ‘to achieve sustaining service’.</p>
Transit	Stronger language for local transit options, advocating for own transit system	Under Policy T 3.8 - Improve transit service in Kirkland, added an action: Explore options to increase transit service in Kirkland such as funding additional transit service from existing providers, pursue partnerships with a private service provider, or pursue other locally funded transit service such as a circulator shuttle.
Functional Class	Why is Juanita Drive not a principal arterial?	Engineering staff discussed this and still recommend Juanita Dr remain a minor arterial due to many factors, including the low density surroundings, connection to only four collector streets , presence of horizontal curves, no continuous sidewalks), and more.
Mode share table	Include current mode share numbers for context	Table 5.3 was updated to include existing mode share data as well as existing and the goals for mode share for Kirkland's two regional centers.
Multimodal completeness	Include existing numbers for context	Table 5.3 was updated to include show the percentages of modal completeness in 2024 as compared to the 2044 goal.
Language consistency	Refer to school resource officers as community resource officers	Change made in Policy T-10.3.
Language consistency	Ensure consistency of terms such as use of the term 'Master' in some plans that have changed names (such as the Sustainability Master Plan).	This was corrected where it was appropriate. In some places, the plan notes the former name and notes the updated name. Many link references still use the original title. Typos were also corrected.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Sustainable funding	Add specific policy for dedicated sources for preservation program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added language to Policy T-6.1: Prioritize maintenance, operations, and preservation of existing infrastructure and ensure this is adequately resourced <i>with dedicated funding</i>. Under Projects and Implementation, Section 6.2.3 – Additional Funding Opportunities – added language: <i>The City will work regionally and with the State of Washington to identify additional sustainable and stable sources of funding to replace declining gas tax revenues to fund a variety of transportation projects or explore other local options such as a ballot measure.</i>
GHG Targets	List these in the TSP so people don't have to reference another plan.	Added GHG targets included in the Sustainability, Climate and Environment Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan under Goal T-8 where the GHG targets are mentioned. This is noted after the goal and policies for this section.
Non-capital implementation strategies	Share more on the need and what we are trying to accomplish with this list, add transit strategy	Language was added to section 6.1.4 to better explain what the corridor studies intend to accomplish or citywide studies. The transit strategy was added and for this and a few others, the section where this is further described is noted in this list.
Public Comments	The public comments received via the public engagement web-map should include the location data	To link the comment to a location, the X/Y coordinates are now included in the Public Engagement Appendix.

July 16 2024 Study Session		
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Maps	system and ped system vision maps would be helpful (liked the bike system vision maps)	Additional maps outlining the pedestrian vision (gaps on arterials and transit routes) were added in addition to other maps such as regional trail connections, etc.
Functional Class	Functional class is unchanged from 2015	The functional class map now adds roadways that the City intends to update the functional classification through the FHWA process
Level of Service measures	LOS tables – one side and transit routes is unclear phrasing	The table was updated to clarify
Level of Service measures	Sidewalk measure - if our standard is 100% sidewalk on one of arterials and one side of transit routes, we should have a project on the list.	The sidewalk completion program (\$10m over 20-years) is estimated to cover the LOS measure for the remaining sidewalks on one side of arterials and transit routes in addition to the contributions by private development and ongoing capital projects.
Level of Service measures	crosswalk LOS, unusual for a LOS policy to refer to an admin policy	The crosswalk policy removed reference to the public works pre-approved plan policy that can be updated without Council approval.
Parking minimums	Interest in looking at Policy 4.3(d) - is that the start of a conversation around parking minimums and what they mean and their consequences?	Policy 4.3(d) is more of a continuation of conversations about parking minimums and requirements. Parking minimums is not something that the TSP can impact, however, this policy is a directive for Planning and Transportation to continue to work together on the most appropriate end of trip facilities.
124th Ave NE Widening project	Do we anticipate other HAWKS or other crossings in the 5 blocks of widening on 124th Ave NE?	No, there would be no crossings anticipated between the signal at NE 85th Street and Ne 90th Street.
Concurrency	LOS and Concurrency questions	Staff addressed concurrency and level of service with Council at the September 3rd Council meeting with a detailed memo and presentation. https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/city-council/agenda-documents/2024/september-3-2024/9b_business.pdf
Bicycle Parking	Do we have incentives for bicycle parking (policy T 2.1 (b) 0)	No but a dedicated program could include incentives. Private development does include bicycle parking requirements for developments at a certain scale which also include placement, design, etc.

City Council - November 6, 2024
ATTACHMENT 1
Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

May 21 2024 Study Session		
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation (if applicable)
Geographic equity	Do annexed areas of Kingsgate and Finn Hill have similar levels of service?	There has been a significant amount of investments in these areas since the annexation.
Safety	Support of speed reduction and traffic calming elements	Speed Study is underway
Public Engagement	Would like an update on public engagement processes for projects	This topic was planned for the Transportation Commission's June meeting but was postponed due to network outages.
Public Engagement	Ensure engagement includes a larger pool of people and leans on local workers such as unions for teachers, healthcare and custodial workers	Noted. The next large round of public engagement will occur when the draft plan is released.
Parking lot safety	Parking lot safety	Please see responses above

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation (if applicable)
Partnerships	potential partnerships with businesses (suggestion - for sidewalk improvements, etc.). What is that mechanism?	Topic included as a policy to explore.
Funding	Huge cap in needs vs. revenue capacity. A lot of good projects not on the funded list. We should have a conversation about additional funding sources.	Additional funding sources conversation will be forthcoming.
Raising standards for projects	How do we have the discussion about value added additions or raising standards for some projects (wider sidewalks, protected bike lanes)?	Addressed in policies and actions under Policy T-1.2: Implement the principles of a Safe System Approach by prioritizing safe street designs and strategies.
Public Engagement	How do public comments reflect project priorities?	The Council packet describes how public comments informed this project. Staff will also provide additional context at the study session.
Projects Vision	How can we see the full vision and also concurrency projects?	Please see attachments 3 and 5.
Transit priority	What are our policy positions for responding to Metro?	The Transit Study is included in the TSP. Staff is already working with the Transportation Ad-Hoc committee. Staff is already working with the Transportation Ad-Hoc committee on a transit service strategy document.
Cost-Benefit	How can we tease out lower-cost priority projects?	Staff recommendation for the TSP is to fund programs for lower cost transportation infrastructure (neighborhood greenways, crosswalk improvements, lighting, etc.). Other 'low hanging fruit' or pilot projects can also be implemented through other programs such as annual paving and striping programs, in coordination with stormwater projects, etc.
Project comment	Want to avoid project widenings. For example, widening 124th Ave NE.	The widening on 124th Ave NE (between NE 85th St and NE 90th St) are included in the Station Area Plan. This is a complete street project that includes protected bike lanes and K-line transit support.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

March 2024 Study Session and May Comprehensive Plan Retreat		
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation into the TSP
Speed limit study	Support for the speed limit policy setting/ study but this should be paired with traffic calming measures.	The speed limit study will be funded and will evaluate proposed policy changes and traffic calming measures. Local funding is supplemented by the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Grant Program through a coordinated regional application facilitated by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) which was recently awarded.
Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC)	Support for a CKC Action Plan and anticipate future funding	A CKC Action plan is included in the TSP to identify the next priorities for the CKC. Would include public engagement, connections assessment, etc. Staff recommendation is to consolidate and fund existing CKC related programs that facilitate connections and the future vision of the CKC
Micromobility	How to address new modes and rules along trails and elsewhere	Eastrail coordination on rules and definitions Council request to look at CKC definitions, rules, opportunities and coordinate with Safety Campaign (slated for 2025)
Complete Street Ordinance	Support for updating the Complete Streets Ordinance	Complete Streets ordinance will be updated either through the TSP process or early in 2025
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation into the TSP
Connected Networks	The TSP projects should support connected networks. Request for maps to show vision.	Recommended projects are intended to complete networks. See Attachment B for projects map.
Transit Projects	didn't see that many transit priority projects by comparison	Any new capital projects related to the K Line would be independently funded and not required to be part of the CFP list. Projects identified in the Transit Implementation Plan have either been implemented, are underway or part of the TSP process.
Transit Study	Evaluate transit policy, what more Kirkland can do including promoting transit usage	A transit study is included in the TSP and planned for 2025. This could look at advocacy, promoting transit use and other potential strategies.
Cost/ Benefit	How to tease out lower cost projects with a high benefit? Low hanging fruit or pilots.	Staff recommendation for the TSP is to fund programs for lower cost transportation infrastructure (neighborhood greenways, crosswalk improvements, lighting, etc.). Other 'low hanging fruit' or pilot projects can also be implemented through other programs such as annual paving and striping programs, in coordination with stormwater projects, etc.
Roundabouts	Roundabouts should be the default before considering new signals	Action added under policy T-1.2. (Implement the principles of a Safe System Approach by prioritizing safe street designs and strategies) to <i>evaluate roundabouts as a first option for any new intersection improvements before considering new signals</i>
Early check-in on projects	How can we set-up earlier check-in on projects with the community?	Transportation Group is working closely with the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) group on earlier outreach.
Trail access to Lake Washington High School	Support for south access to Lake WA HS	Feasibility study for the Juanita Public Pathway (which includes this segment) will be presented to the Transportation Commission in May and then to Council in June, 2024
Goal language	Language in goals and policies: for people in Kirkland rather than people who work, live, etc. Include socioeconomic status in Equity goal	Updated Technology goal language to note 'for people in Kirkland' instead of 'for people who live, work, etc.'. Updated Equity goal language to include 'socioeconomic status'.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Stormwater	Stormwater requirement costs. Can we upfront projects? Larger conversation about regional water quality/ conservation.	Planning level project costs were elevated to address anticipated stormwater related costs. Looking at upfront projects would happen during the 6-year CIP process. Transportation staff will be working close with the stormwater team.
Paving and Striping Programs	Implement active transportation when repaving or restriping a program as a default	Policy will get added to the Goals, Policies and Actions section of the TSP

September and November 2023 Study Sessions		
Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
10-min neighborhoods	Support 10 minute neighborhood concept (ensures we're not planning projects everywhere but areas with a higher need/ density)	Prioritization: The 10-min neighborhood concept is addressed indirectly through the land use element/ scores such as using centers and commercial areas which aligns with the 10-min neighborhood concepts. Other scores include points for access to schools, parks and trails as well as transit access (also aligned with the 10-min neighborhood concept). Because the 10-min neighborhood analysis is not being updated (which included business licenses at that time), the current prioritization framework is meant to be supportive of the 10-min neighborhood concept.
CKC/ Eastrail	Actions under T-2.3 discusses wayfinding which should be done in coordination and in conjunction with the wider Eastrail corridor. Ideally these are co-branded as Cross Kirkland Corridor and Eastrail.	Policy T-2.3: Make walking, rolling and bicycling more intuitive and easier to navigate Action: Improve wayfinding to and from the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) to destinations (recreational trail wayfinding system) and in coordination and co-branding with the Eastrail
CKC/ Eastrail	T-2.4 Cross Kirkland Corridor needs more details on "Construct the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) Master Plan Vision". This is as an ambitious project and would like to see how develop the implementation plan and identify priority segments.	Policy T-2.4: Develop signature walking, rolling and bicycling facilities along the Cross Kirkland Corridor and Lake Washington with ample connections to the rest of Kirkland and the region. Action: Develop an action plan that outlines priorities and actions to implement the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) Master Plan vision as well as the Connect, Construct, Complete concept for the Eastrail Corridor
CKC/ Eastrail	Include the Connect, Construct, Complete concept for the Eastrail	Policy T-10.9: Coordinate with the Eastrail Regional Advisory Committee members and Eastrail Partners to complete the Connect, Construct, Complete vision for the entire Cross Kirkland (CKC) corridor and connections to the larger Eastrail network.
CKC/ Eastrail	T-4: The item to pursue transit on the Cross Kirkland Corridor may need some caveats on appropriateness of mode (bus, streetcar, etc. vs. light rail) and criteria, to capture previous 2015 Council discussion	Plan Document: This will be discussed in the plan document noting the changes from when the CKC Master Plan was adopted, progress made, coordination with Eastrail on the Connect, Construct, Complete vision and the proposal for the CKC Action Plan (including clarification of modes). The plan also includes Policy T-3.6: Pursue Transit on the CKC.
Equity	Explore methods of evaluating equity as it relates to transit service that incorporates all neighborhoods and future planning/ land uses	Prioritization: This would be addressed through the transit access and land use connection scores.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Equity	Don't want to inadvertently lock in low-income patterns in our City. Language in policy is broad enough. Just want to make sure mapping tool does not make us myopic. Map is a current state. We have a vision for housing choices in all neighborhoods for all incomes. Worthwhile goal for a complete community.	Prioritization: While the CIP equity mapping tool does look at the current state of where our priority populations live (low-income, BIPOC, etc.), future land use such as transit supportive and future growth are addressed through other measures (link to land use and transit access).
Equity	Intrigued by Equity map, want to see how that compares to King County Metro priority populations map.	The King County Metro priority population map and the Kirkland CIP Equity map tool uses similar data sets such as locations where priority populations live (low-income, BIPOC, etc.). King County Metro does use ridership data where Kirkland's map does not but they both get at the same concept which is to identify areas where existing priority populations live. For prioritization, the proposal is to use the CIP equity map tool that was developed for Kirkland specifically to help prioritize capital projects in the CIP.
Equity	Equity scoring should reflect any historical gap rather than artificial overlay	Prioritization: The equity map tool provides information about projects that are located within areas with higher concentrations of priority populations. While historical gaps are important, the prioritization process must be replicable and relatively simple to apply to over 400 projects. It's important to note that the prioritization is a tool to help us guide the conversation and not the decisionmaker. Other factors can get into this element of need such as the identified sidewalk gaps on transit arterials, for instance.
General	It would be great to see various project scenarios	Prioritization: Staff plans to bring various scenarios to Council at their March 19th, 2024 study session.
Land Use	Think about the more hyper local future - corner stores, grocery stores	Prioritization: commercial and neighborhood centers will now get the same points. The original proposal was to have one be priority over another which garnered much debate at the November study session so the proposal is to keep the scores the same so as not to favor one type of center over another outside of regional centers. This essentially allows more points for neighborhood centers than what was previously proposed.
Land Use	Not just current density but future density	Prioritization: Projects are prioritized based on access to centers which include the NE 85th Station area which would address future growth. In addition, we've added future transit as part of the prioritization process.
Land Use	targeted area to demonstrate the connected (10-min) network vs. dispersed improvements.	Prioritization: prioritizes projects along transit corridors and those that are in or connecting to centers
Land Use	some neighborhoods are not as excited about increased density. Should direct investments in areas where people can see what it's like to have that 100% investment in density, transportation, etc.	Prioritization: prioritizes projects along transit corridors and those that are in or connecting to centers
Link to Land Use	Need to get more hyperlocal - let's look forward to what that looks like in the future. Drug stores and grocery stores, etc.	Prioritization: Increased score for neighborhood centers.
Maintenance	Council supports a separate maintenance goal	New Goal: T-6: Maintenance and Preservation - Ensure adequate resources to preserve and maintain the existing and future transportation system.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Maintenance	Ensure maintenance of the system is supported incrementally as assets are added to the system. Maintenance should not drive decisions about capital investments, particularly related to safety.	Policy T-6.2: Plan for and incrementally increase maintenance resources in line with additional assets as new facilities are built and as the city grows.
Micro-mobility	Define types and allowable locations for alternative electric modes of transportation such as e-bikes, e-scooters, monowheels, etc.	Policy T-5.1: Support technology innovations that support reducing greenhouse gas emissions and modes that reduce single occupancy vehicle use Action: Develop definitions, policies and regulations related to the use of e-bikes and other electric micro-mobility devices that address locations of use, safety, education and enforcement
Outreach	Outreach should include people that live outside of Kirkland (reach out to people working here but may not live here, etc.)	Immediate implementation: Working Commute Trip Reduction (CTR) employers and communications staff to reach people outside of Kirkland. Policy T-10.1: Implement Kirkland's Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) roadmap through community coordination and outreach Action: Conduct outreach with both Kirkland residents but also those outside of Kirkland, particularly those that work in Kirkland but do not live in the city limits
Partnerships	Be an Active Partner is still a relevant goal to maintain	Goal T-10: Be an Active Partner: Coordinate with a broad range of groups; public and private, to help meet Kirkland's transportation Goals.
Prioritization	Not worth it to add points to annexed area. Not the only annexed area, projects should be based on need. Need is the driver.	Prioritization: No extra points added to annexed area. Need is addressed through various other measures such as safety, missing connections, etc.
Prioritization in general	prioritization is a tool to help us guide the conversation and not just the decisionmaker	This is well stated and staff plans to highlight this point and ask Council for other considerations when looking at packages of projects. This will be part of the discussion at the March 19th study session.
Productivity/ Need	Safety, equity and productivity should be the criteria projects are evaluated on	Project List and multimodal concurrency: All projects will be assessed for which provide additional capacity vs. other types of projects that may have other benefits such as safety.
Safety	Capital projects should consider roundabouts at intersections and protected bike facilities as the first option	Policy T-6.3: Maximize the useful lifetime of the transportation network at optimum lifecycle cost. Action: Identify opportunities to maximize lifecycle costs through technology and innovations (e.g. roundabouts instead of signals) Policy T-1.3: Advance the City's Complete Streets ordinance by accommodating all modes of travel in transportation system projects Action: Ensure safety is the first lens through which all capital projects are designed through the development of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP), the Neighborhood Safety Program (NSP), the Neighborhood Traffic Control Program (NTCP), Greenways development, working with the development community, etc.

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Safety	Not just historical collisions, but also being proactive looking at near misses or other reported issues. New information is available (INRIX example) Federal grants encourage looking at other sources of data.	<p>Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually.</p> <p>Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources.</p> <p>Prioritization: Added language about reported near misses. While Kirkland will still require time to conduct additional near-miss analysis or procure additional data, there are still areas where staff has heard near-misses occurring that will be included in the prioritization process.</p>
Safety	Improvements that get a high safety score should actually be a project that improves safety	Prioritization: Changed language from 'improves safety' to 'project provides a safety improvement' to the safety measures
Safety	Known gaps should get a high rating (vehicle speed, known sidewalk gaps)	Prioritization: Gaps and vehicle speeds will be used to assess the safety score
Safety	Safety should get at least tied with the highest score	Prioritization: Safety now gets the highest score
Safety	Not enough weight to protected bike facilities and low-stress environments	Prioritization: process includes extra points for projects that provide a low-stress environment for people walking and bicycling (such as protected bike lanes on arterials, neighborhood greenways, RRFB's or HAWK signals at crossings, etc.). Added extra points to this category based on Council comment.
Safety	Define low-stress and the objective. Provide it so it is easy for people to choose not to get into their car. (low stress environment)	Prioritization: Added points to projects that provide a low stress environment. Level of stress was also used in the prioritization for sidewalk gaps (in the Active Transportation Plan).
Safety - CKC	Look at data on CKC for crashes, interested in not just crashes but conflicts i.e. near misses	<p>Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually.</p> <p>Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources</p> <p>Policy T-1.5: Promote and Institutionalize a Culture of Safety</p> <p>Action: Identify opportunities to implement a culture of safety along the Cross Kirkland Corridor and to reduce speeds and potential conflicts</p> <p>Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually.</p> <p>Action: Conduct near-miss analysis at selected intersections and improve methods to record other reported safety issues and explore additional data sources.</p> <p>Prioritization: Currently the project is to develop an action plan for the CKC so not adding this to prioritization but the study will look at how to address conflicts.</p>

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Safety - Parking Lots	Safety needs to capture partnering with private properties, in particular, owners of parking lots.	Policy T-1.5: Promote and Institutionalize a Culture of Safety Action: Coordinate with the Department of Planning and Building and with private businesses to improve safety in private parking lots (such as dedicated pedestrian pathways, speed control, lighting, etc.), Work with developers and contractors to improve implementation of safe routes of pedestrians and bicyclists through construction zones
Safety - Parking Lots	Last vision zero update finding was fatalities occurring in parking lots. How are we prioritizing this?	The capital project list includes projects that are in public right-of-way so prioritization of projects would not address private parking lots. The plan includes an action to work with private businesses to improve safety in private parking lots
Sustainability	Reducing SOV is key for reducing GHG. We should score higher. More important than protecting environmentally sensitive areas.	Prioritization: The Sustainability category adds 20 points for projects that reduce vehicle miles traveled and support alternatives to single occupancy vehicle trips. Transit and Active Transportation support are also represented by other measures
Sustainability	Salmon killing tire dust, when we have a solution to that? Stormwater solution should be reflected	Prioritization framework does include support for projects that reduce SOV. Otherwise, this will be reflected in planning level cost estimates.
Tech and Innovation	many low-tech solutions may be more impactful than one large tech solution	Project scenarios: This will be part of the conversation when we look at packages of projects at the March 19th study session.
Timing	Sustainability Master Plan had timeframes on actions. Only Vision Zero has the annual marker, would be helpful to have timeframes for all	The 20-year list feeds the 6-year list which leads to the 2-year budget cycle. Additional time-frame reporting can be discussed when we talk about monitoring in the coming months.
Trail Connections	Finn hill green loop? Other trails? Change language to 'larger transportation trails such as the CKC', don't want to limit in forward thinking	The prioritization process is meant to be straightforward and also transportation oriented. The CKC is a transportation corridor that connects the entire city north to south and connects to transit centers. Other trails are more local, neighborhood oriented and generally more recreational in nature. While the Finn Hill green loop is meant to connect parks and green spaces, it is still not completed and it would be difficult to identify these segments or other trails throughout the city that are truly transportation oriented. Most other trail systems are within parks or short connector segments.
Transit	Be proactive when advancing goals for transit service and explore additional options outside of existing transit service agencies.	Policy T-3.8: Improve transit service in Kirkland Action: Conduct a transit needs study that evaluates future transit needs that identifies potential alternative transit services (such as circulator services, private shuttles, etc.)
Transit	T-3 Public Transportation needs an action item on Transit Strategy development	
Transit	hyperlocal transit options, transit not just how we're not traditionally thinking about transit but more hyperlocal projects	

ATTACHMENT 1

Incorporation of Council Comments into Transportation Strategic Plan

Theme	Council Comment(s)	Incorporation of Comment/ Staff Recommendation
Transit Access	Consider mobility share connections as part of the first/ last mile to transit	<p>Policy T-3.3: Prioritize active transportation networks that connect to transit service, providing the critical first and last connections making transit feasible for more people</p> <p>Action: Pursue mobility share options that provide the first/ last mile to transit</p> <p>Policy T-2.1: Make walking, rolling and bicycling safer, easier, accessible and more convenient.</p> <p>Action: Develop policies that will create regulations and incentivize micro-mobility programs (such as bike or scooter share, electric car sharing, micro-mobility hubs, etc.)</p>
Transit Access	Ensure first/ last mile to transit is a core component of the TMP in order to meet mode-shift goals	Policy T-3.3: Prioritize active transportation networks that connect to transit service, providing the critical first and last connections making transit feasible for more people
Transit Access	Instead of bus stops, suggest high frequency corridors- local corridors- future routes. Not the stop but service on the route. Access to transit, speed and reliability on arterials. Ridership not just improvements to the system, but we've lost ridership and the infrastructure hasn't changed. Ridership loss more than just infrastructure- also behavior, demand, service, reroutes. Want to see this detail in the KTP.	<p>Prioritization: Took out reference to bus stops and note support of connecting to transit corridors.</p> <p>Plan document: The plan will discuss ridership loss, challenges with lost service and strategies to bring that back ridership such as our Transportation Demand Management program which looks at incentivizing behavior, regional coordination and advocacy, etc.</p>
Transit Access	Prioritize K Line, future transit route	Prioritization: Added future high frequency transit routes to the prioritization measures
Walkability	Would like to see a map of walkability as it relates to density	Plan document: There is not a general citywide map for this outside of identifying sidewalk gaps on arterials and transit routes but the Active Transportation Plan, Appendix B, has a detailed series of maps that outline walk access to transit and activity centers. This information was used to prioritize the pedestrian network (sidewalk gaps and crossings) which is proposed to be used as the prioritization framework for the sidewalk program.



KIRKLAND

Transportation Strategic Plan



Acknowledgments

Elected Officials

Mayor Kelli Curtis
Deputy Mayor Jay Arnold
Councilmember Neal Black
Councilmember Amy Falcone
Councilmember Jon Pascal
Councilmember Penny Sweet
Councilmember John Tymczyszyn
Councilmember Toby Nixon (past member)

Transportation Commission

Chairperson Brian Magee
Vice-Chairperson Patrick Vu
Commissioner Tracey Trexel
Commissioner Kaitlin Goodman
Commissioner Ryan Miller
Commissioner Nithin Balamurugan
Commissioner Faith DeBolt (past member)
Commissioner AJ Antrim (past member)
Commissioner Shree Balamurugan (past member)
Commissioner Samuel Rapoport (past member)

City Staff

Kurt Triplet, City Manager
Julie Underwood, Deputy City Manager of Operations
Truc Dever, Public Works Director
Sarah Olson, Deputy Director of Engineering, Planning, and Development Services – Public Works
Doug McIntyre, Transportation Manager – Public Works
Kimberly Scrivener, Transportation Planner, Project Manager – Public Works
Victoria Kovacs, Transportation Planner – Public Works
Jennifer Palmer, Transportation Engineering Supervisor – Public Works
Janice Swenson, Senior Planner – Planning and Building Department
David Barnes, Senior Planner – Planning and Building Department
Thang Nguyen, Transportation Engineer – Public Works
Rochelle Starrett, Transportation Engineer – Public Works
Iris Cabrera, Transportation Engineer – Public Works
Blair Daly, Transportation Program Coordinator – Public Works
Jessica Clem, Senior Financial Analyst – Public Works
Rod Steitzer, Capital Projects Manager – Public Works (former staff member)
Joel Pfundt, Transportation Manager – Public Works (former staff member)

Consultants

Parametrix



Contents

- 1. Introduction.....1
 - 1.1. Relationship to the Comprehensive Plan and City Programs1
 - 1.2. Approach.....1
 - 1.3. Transportation Concept3
- 2. Related Plans and Studies.....5
 - 2.1. Vision Zero Action Plan (2022)5
 - 2.2. Active Transportation Plan (2022)6
 - 2.3. Sustainability Strategic Plan (2020)6
 - 2.4. Safer Routes to School Action Plans (2020)7
 - 2.5. Intelligent Transportation System Plan (2020)8
 - 2.6. Transit Implementation Plan (2019)8
- 3. Existing Conditions.....10
 - 3.1. Pedestrian Facilities.....10
 - 3.2. Bicycle Facilities16
 - 3.3. Transit20
 - 3.4. Motor Vehicles31
 - 3.5. Freight38
 - 3.6. Safety40
 - 3.7. Operations and Management49
 - 3.8. Environmental Sustainability61
- 4. Community Engagement63
 - 4.1. Public Engagement63
- 5. Transportation Vision, Goals, and Policies.....66
 - 5.1. Safety66
 - 5.2. Active Transportation72



5.3.	Public Transit.....	87
5.4.	Vehicle Network Management.....	94
5.5.	Technology and Emerging Practices	99
5.6.	Maintenance and Preservation	103
5.7.	Equity	105
5.8.	Sustainability	107
5.9.	Link to Land Use	110
5.10.	Be an Active Partner.....	118
6.	Projects and Implementation	122
6.1.	Capital Projects and Programs	122
6.2.	Financial Forecast and Revenues.....	124
6.3.	Future Transportation Investments	128
6.4.	Measures and Performance Monitoring	130
Appendix A: Capital Projects		132
Appendix B: Engagement and Public Comment		133
Appendix C: Traffic Analysis Methodology		134





Figures

Figure 3-1. Existing Sidewalk Availability on Arterials and Collectors13

Figure 3-2. Existing Pedestrian Facilities14

Figure 3-3. Existing Regional Trail System18

Figure 3-4. Existing Bicycle Facilities.....19

Figure 3-5. Existing Metro Transit System.....22

Figure 3-6. Existing Transit Network.....24

Figure 3-7. Existing Metro Flex Service Area25

Figure 3-8. Existing Transit Amenities.....27

Figure 3-9. Existing Transit Service Walksheds.....29

Figure 3-10. Existing Transit Opportunity Scores30

Figure 3-11. Existing Roadway Network.....32

Figure 3-12. Existing Annual Average Daily Traffic Volumes34

Figure 3-13. Existing AM peak Hour Traffic – Intersection LOS Analysis Results36

Figure 3-14. Existing PM Peak Hour Traffic – Intersection LOS Analysis Results.....37

Figure 3-15. Existing Freight Network (2023).....39

Figure 3-16. All Crashes (2018–2022).....41

Figure 3-17. Crash Rates on Major Arterials (2018-2022).....43

Figure 3-18. Crash Rates at Major Signalized Intersections (2018-2022).....44

Figure 3-19. Pedestrian Crashes (2018 – 2022).....47

Figure 3-20. Bicycle Crashes (2018 – 2022)48

Figure 3-21. ITS Infrastructure50

Figure 3-22 Examples of Traffic Control Devices in Kirkland51

Figure 3-23. Neighborhood Traffic Control53

Figure 3-24. TMP and CTR Sites.....56

Figure 3-25. Regulated Parking58



Figure 3-26. Pavement Condition Index60

Figure 3-27. Environmental Features62

Figure 5-1. Sidewalk Availability on Arterials and Non-Arterial Transit Routes.....78

Figure 5-2. Pedestrian Vision Network and Intersection Improvements.....79

Figure 5-3. Bicycle Facility Types and Comfort Level.....81

Figure 5-4. Bicycle Vision Network83

Figure 5-5. Greenways Vision Network85

Figure 5-6. Vehicle Network Projects98

Figure 5-7. Concurrency Projects 115



Tables

Table 3-1. Existing Sidewalk Facilities on Arterials and Collectors	12
Table 3-2. Existing Bicycle Facilities.....	16
Table 3-3. Bus Transit Service	20
Table 3-4. Existing Routes Serving Transit Facilities in Kirkland	23
Table 3-5. Kirkland Transit Centers and Park and Rides	26
Table 3-6. Intersections LOS Methodologies	35
Table 3-7. Crashes by Severity (2018–2022).....	40
Table 3-8. Pedestrian and Bicyclist Crashes by Severity (2018–2022)	45
Table 3-9. Pedestrian and Bicyclist Crashes by Location (2018–2022).....	45
Table 3-10. Pavement Condition Index	59
Table 5-1. Citywide Mode-Share Goals for Peak Hour Trips.....	108
Table 5-2. Traffic Operations Performance in 2044 and Concurrency Projects	114
Table 5-3. Multimodal Level of Completeness	116
Table 6-1. Short-Term Revenue Projections	126
Table 6-2. Long-Term Revenue Projections.....	127
Table 6-3. Project Prioritization Criteria and Scoring	129
Table 6-4. Performance Measures and Evaluation	131





1. Introduction

The Kirkland Transportation Strategic Plan (TSP) defines a comprehensive citywide vision for the City of Kirkland's transportation system to be more safe, connected, and multimodal. The goals, policies, projects, and programs in this Plan support the City's vision for growth in the Kirkland 2044 Comprehensive Plan and will guide the future of the transportation system in Kirkland for the next 20 years.

The TSP addresses the present and future of Kirkland's transportation system, considering how people get around today and how that may change in the future. With limited roadway space and changing travel patterns, Kirkland's transportation system will accommodate people walking, rolling, bicycling, riding transit, and driving for all types of trips with a focus on safety. The vision for Kirkland's transportation system is safe, connected, and multimodal.

City Council Balanced Transportation Goal

Reduce reliance on single-occupancy vehicles and improve connectivity and multimodal mobility in Kirkland in ways that maintain and enhance safety, travel times, health, and transportation choices.

1.1. Relationship to the Comprehensive Plan and City Programs

The TSP is a plan for the next 20 years and was developed in coordination with the Comprehensive Plan to connect the vision for the future of Kirkland's transportation system to the City's 20-year growth strategy and sustainability goals. The goals and policies of the TSP are also reflected in the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan. Forecasts for housing and employment growth from the Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan were used to predict traffic volumes and future traffic operations which informed the development of projects in the TSP.

The set of transportation projects and programs that are expected to be funded through 2044 based on the City's financial plan are incorporated in the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan. The full list of capital projects in the TSP will be regularly reviewed as part of annual updates to the City's six-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). These projects reflect an emphasis on active transportation, multimodal, and safety projects.

1.2. Approach

The Kirkland Transportation vision for 2044 is safe, connected, and multimodal. This means a transportation system that is accessible, well-maintained, and cohesive for everyone. The safety of all road users is fundamental to the future of Kirkland's transportation system, and critical to create a welcoming environment for people walking, rolling, and bicycling. Active transportation connections designed for people of all ages and abilities and access to frequent and reliable transit offer a range of sustainable transportation choices.

The future transportation network will serve the community's transportation needs and improve the safety of people getting around Kirkland on foot, by bicycle or rolling, on transit, and in cars. Sustainability is embedded in the City's transportation goals and policies through a focus on



environmentally sustainable transportation modes and financially sustainable investments in maintenance and new facilities that offer the greatest benefit to the community.

This TSP re-affirms a commitment to a hierarchy of modes as a framework for decision making which was previously adopted in the 2015 TSP, then titled Transportation Master Plan (TMP). This hierarchy prioritizes more vulnerable road users with the four primary modes of travel in the city ranked in the following order: (1) walking, (2) bicycling, (3) transit, (4) driving. This hierarchy is intended to help ensure that the needs of all users are considered in the City's transportation planning process, help guide decision-making on future investments in the transportation system and ensure the safety and comfort of people using all modes of travel by prioritizing the most vulnerable.


Kirkland is also committed to achieving **Vision Zero**, the goal for zero transportation related deaths or serious injuries. The safety of all road users is fundamental to the future of Kirkland's transportation system. To achieve this goal, the City has adopted a **Safe System Approach** to transportation planning and implementation. The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) developed the Safe System Approach to address roadway safety and achieve vision zero goals of safe transportation for all, particularly for those walking, rolling, and bicycling regardless of age or ability. The Safe System Approach focuses on the design and management of transportation systems to reduce the risk of injury from human error. This human-centric approach places safety at the center of road system investment decisions. Kirkland will use this approach as overarching guidance for planning and investing in the City's transportation system.



Complete Streets is a holistic approach to transportation planning and street design that prioritizes safe and accessible transportation for all users. This includes people walking, rolling, bicycling, riding transit and driving with an emphasis on facilities for people of all ages and abilities. The goal is to create streets that accommodate all modes of transportation, ensuring safety, efficiency, and convenience for everyone.



By integrating features like bike lanes, wider sidewalks, crosswalks, and public transit facilities, the Complete Streets approach aims to foster inclusive and sustainable communities.



Kirkland was the first city in Washington State to adopt a complete streets ordinance. The City has continued to amend the Complete Streets Ordinance to incorporate the goals and policies of the TSP and to reflect current best practices in the design and implementation of Complete Streets, including consideration of all transportation modes.

Kirkland Complete Streets Ordinance

KMC 19.08.055

The safe, convenient and comfortable travel of people of all ages and abilities traveling by any combination of foot, bicycle, transit, or motor vehicle shall be accommodated to the maximum extent practical in the scoping, planning, development, and construction, operation and maintenance of all transportation facilities, including the creation of new transportation linkages in order to create a more connected community-wide transportation network.

1.3. Transportation Concept

The City will offer a safe, accessible, well maintained and fully connected transportation system for everyone that lives in, works in, or visits Kirkland. The safety of all road users is fundamental to the future of Kirkland's transportation system and critical to creating a welcoming environment for people walking, rolling, bicycling, and riding transit in addition to people driving. Active transportation connections designed for all ages and abilities and access to frequent and reliable transit offer a range of sustainable transportation choices for all kinds of trips. The 20-year transportation network will serve the community's transportation needs and the safety of people getting around Kirkland on foot, by bike, on transit, and in cars. The Transportation Strategic Plan seeks to invest in all modes of transportation by:

- Focusing comprehensively on safety.



- Emphasizing greater support for bicycle and pedestrian modes.
- Funding maintenance and preservation of existing and new facilities as a priority.
- Making sure growth is on pace with construction of multimodal transportation projects.
- Actively partnering with agencies and groups to improve the local and regional transportation system.

Sustainability is embedded in the principles and goals of the TSP through a focus on environmentally sustainable transportation and financially sustainable investments in sound maintenance and new facilities that offer the greatest benefit to the community. Kirkland's active partnerships with transit service providers and other agencies will be integral to the implementation of the TSP, and the community's vision for the future of transportation in Kirkland.





2. Related Plans and Studies


The City has developed a variety of modal specific planning documents that have informed the projects, goals and policies in the TSP. The modal plans summarized in this section have both contributed to the development of the TSP, but in some cases provide more specific detail and are intended to continue to be relevant and referenced for those specific topics or modes. In cases where there are conflicts between plans, the TSP will supersede other plans, as it is the most up-to-date and significant plan used for transportation planning.

2.1. Vision Zero Action Plan (2022)

The City of Kirkland's first *Vision Zero Action Plan* was developed to implement the Kirkland City Council's Vision Zero goal by identifying clear actions for next steps as well as provide additional data analysis to focus efforts on eliminating all transportation-related serious and fatal injuries in the city. The City Council adopted a zero fatality, zero serious injury safety goal as a part of Kirkland's previous TSP adopted in 2015. The 2044 TSP and the Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Element both include the Safety Goal T-0 stating that by 2035, all transportation-related fatal and serious-injury crashes are eliminated in Kirkland. The Vision Zero Action Plan was adopted in June 2022 to guide progress toward this goal. Vision Zero focuses on a Safe System Approach, which focuses on improving the systems in place and addressing reoccurring safety issues at the root cause.

The Vision Zero Action Plan:

- Evaluates crash data to identify areas with crash patterns and indicators.
- Prioritizes safe street design, operations, and investments.
- Prioritizes building a robust and transparent data framework for evaluating and sharing crash data in the future.
- Promotes and institutionalizes a culture of safety.






2.2. Active Transportation Plan (2022)

The Active Transportation Plan (ATP), adopted in June 2022, reaffirms Kirkland's commitment to a multimodal system of transportation choices by providing network and infrastructure improvement recommendations to enable people of all ages and abilities to safely walk, bike, and roll in Kirkland. The implementation of these recommendations is intended to increase the number of people using active modes for transportation, which provides benefits for public health and the environment and reduces traffic congestion. This also addresses the City Council goal for more balanced transportation and reduced reliance on single-occupancy vehicles.


The three primary goals of the plan are:

- Create a safe, connected pedestrian network where walking is a comfortable and intuitive option as the first choice for many trips.
- Create a connected bicycle network that accommodates people of all ages and abilities to get to destinations such as activity centers, parks, and transit.
- Encourage and incentivize more people to walk and bike and encourage safe behavior for all users of the transportation system.



2.3. Sustainability Strategic Plan (2020)

The primary purpose of the City's Sustainability Strategic Plan (SSP), previously called the Sustainability Master Plan, can be found in the definition of the word "sustainability," which is about meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs. The major needs of the community are cleaner air and water, healthier food to eat, expanding housing options that allow people of all economic means to live in the city, and furthering a more equitable and socially just city that is welcoming and inclusive of all people. The creation of the SSP is the fulfillment of a 2019-2020 Council work plan goal, which was derived from the Environment Element of Kirkland's Comprehensive Plan and builds on Kirkland's progressive environmental heritage.






2.4. Safer Routes to School Action Plans (2020)

The Safer Routes to School Action Plans were developed in cooperation with the Lake Washington School District, law enforcement, design professionals, students, parents, and neighborhood associations. The City Council adopted the plans in September 2020, which identify key steps to make walking, bicycling, and riding the bus to school safer, more convenient, and fun. The Action Plans lay out attainable goals and actions to:

- Engage all demographic groups to ensure safe, healthy, and fair outcomes for all students, including students from low-income families, students of color, and students with disabilities.
- Fill gaps in the sidewalk network and improve crosswalks to make it safer to walk and bike to schools and to bus stops.
- Improve traffic circulation in and around schools through traffic calming, education, and enforcement.
- Promote the benefits and provide incentives to encourage more students to walk, bike, bus, and carpool to school.
- Educate students, parents, and the community about road safety rules for all modes of transportation to reduce collisions and make it safer for all students.
- Deter unsafe driver, pedestrian, and bicyclist behaviors through safe street design, education, meaningful police-community relationships, and enforcement.






2.5. Intelligent Transportation System Plan (2020)

The Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Plan, adopted in May 2020, establishes operational goals of resiliency, reliability, and responsiveness, and provides increased transparency to continuously measure and report on performance. ITS is used in Kirkland to provide efficient, multimodal, transportation mobility aligned with the City's goals and policies.

ITS consists of four different core components, working concurrently to achieve the operational goals.

The four core components are:

- **Field Elements:** Consist of traffic signal controllers/and associated equipment, closed-circuit television (CCTV) cameras, and multimodal detection.
- **Communications Network:** Includes the media (fiber, cellular, or other), equipment, and software to manage communications from the Traffic Management Center (TMC) to the field and between traffic signals.
- **Systems and Software:** Provide traffic signal control, system health monitoring, video management, CCTV camera control, and other functions.
- **Staff and Skills:** Encompass the staff hours and skills needed to operate and maintain the ITS elements.



2.6. Transit Implementation Plan (2019)

The Kirkland Transit Implementation Plan (KTIP) focuses on transit improvements in Kirkland to connect residents and visitors with where they are travelling as efficiently and reliably as possible. The Plan incorporates the work of the regional King County Metro (Metro) and Sound Transit plans and uses previously collected community input that was used to develop the City's Transportation Strategic Plan in 2015. The KTIP was developed based on input from the community during outreach efforts between Fall 2017 and Fall 2018. The KTIP builds on the goals of the 2015 TSP and is the result of a yearlong process that involved local partners, transit agencies, community members, and comprehensive technical analyses to prioritize and identify transit access and speed and reliability projects. Several projects from this plan have already been implemented by the City





and in coordination with Metro and other partners, such as the operational improvements at the South Kirkland Park and Ride in coordination with Metro and the City of Bellevue.





3. Existing Conditions


3.1. Pedestrian Facilities

Facilities for people walking and rolling in Kirkland include sidewalks, neighborhood greenways, on-street walkways, and separated trail or shared-use path facilities.

Sidewalks are hard surface pedestrian paths (typically concrete or asphalt) on one or both sides of a road, primarily with a curb but can sometimes be a pathway separated by a landscape strip or buffer from the street.



Neighborhood greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, and traffic calming and control measures (discussed further in Chapter 6.2). The Rose Hill greenways on NE 75th Street and 128th Avenue NE serve as key pedestrian-bicycle corridors through the North and South Rose Hill neighborhoods.





On-street walkways are at the street level and separate pedestrians from vehicle traffic by paint stripes or extruded curbs. These are typically considered interim treatments until a full sidewalk can be implemented. There are currently on-street walkways along Juanita Drive NE and 116th Avenue NE north of NE 70th Place.



Separated trails are generally smaller street connections that are mostly soft surface and help connect neighborhoods.



Shared Use Paths are wider, higher quality pathways shared with people walking, rolling and bicycling. Shared use paths may be in independent corridors, or adjacent to a roadway. These are typically 10 feet or wider and are hard surface or compact gravel. These include the Cross Kirkland Corridor, the Willows Road Connector, and the Eastrail.





Table 3-1 shows sidewalk availability in mileage on arterial and collector roadways (described in section 3.4). Sidewalks are provided on many of Kirkland’s streets but are intermittent or on one side only in some areas, as shown in Figure 3-1.

TABLE 3-1. EXISTING SIDEWALK FACILITIES ON ARTERIALS AND COLLECTORS

Facility Type	Miles
Both sides	45.1
One side	21.2
None	10.7

Some sidewalk gaps exist on designated school walk routes as well as on arterials, including significant gaps along Juanita Drive, 132nd Avenue NE, and 100th Avenue NE. Kirkland is working to address gaps in the sidewalk network through the City’s Sidewalk Completion Program and other ongoing programs for pedestrian safety. On-street walkways using extruded curb treatments or painted buffers have been used as interim pedestrian facilities within the Finn Hill, Juanita and South Rose Hill neighborhoods. These interim treatments help define walkways until sidewalks are installed. Kirkland Zoning Code Chapter 110 requires public improvements, including sidewalks, in the right-of-way adjacent to- new development, based on street classification. Kirkland also has a number of other paved and unpaved trails and pathways that connect street ends and neighborhoods (see Figure 3-2). Additional sidewalks are being built through the Transportation Benefit District funding, grants, and private development agreements.

The Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) is a 5.75-mile, crushed-gravel, interim trail that provides an important pedestrian and bicycle connection to local destinations and a larger regional trail network. The CKC is part of the regional Eastrail corridor, a 42-mile rail-to-trail corridor spanning from Renton to Snohomish County, with a spur to Redmond. The Eastrail is currently under development with several sections open, including the CKC. As a 10-foot-wide independent corridor, the CKC currently provides a connection through the city for all active transportation modes. The long-term vision in the Cross Kirkland Corridor Master Plan is to use the 100-foot-wide corridor for multimodal use, which could include a paved shared- use path, and transit uses to improve accessibility for all users. The Totem Lake Connector bridge, completed in July 2023, connects two sections of the CKC with a bicycle and pedestrian bridge over the intersection of Totem Lake Boulevard NE and NE 124th Street, which is Kirkland’s largest and busiest intersection.





FIGURE 3-1. EXISTING SIDEWALK AVAILABILITY ON ARTERIALS AND COLLECTORS







FIGURE 3-2. EXISTING PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES





In addition to pedestrian linear facilities, there are a number of crossing treatments such as flashing beacons, curb extensions, pedestrian refuge islands and leading pedestrian intervals to assist with the safety of pedestrians. More information about traffic control devices can be found in section 3.7.





3.2. Bicycle Facilities

Kirkland's bicycle network consists of on-street bicycle lanes, buffered bicycle lanes, protected bicycle lanes (also referred to as separated bicycle lanes), shared -use paths, and neighborhood greenways. There are also intersection treatments for people riding bikes such as green conflict zone markings and bike turning boxes (Figure 3-3). Bicycle lanes are the most prevalent bicycle infrastructure type within the city (Table 3-2).



TABLE 3-2. EXISTING BICYCLE FACILITIES

Facility Type	Miles
Bicycle lane	56.8
Buffered bicycle lane	8.8
Protected bicycle lane	0.3
Other Shared-use paths	0.7
Neighborhood greenway	3.4
CKC	5.7

The regional Lake Washington bicycle loop provides a signed route around Lake Washington via a combination of trails and on-street facilities. Within Kirkland, the Lake Washington loop consists of on-street bicycle lanes, buffered bicycle lanes, and shared lane markings along Lake Washington Boulevard, Market Street, and Juanita Drive. The CKC serves as a major north-south bicycle corridor within the city, connecting to the Eastrail at both the north and south ends, Northup Way which connects to the SR 520 trail in Bellevue to the south, and Willows Road NE which connects to the Redmond Central Connector to the north, shown in Figure 3-3.





The Rose Hill greenways on NE 75th Street and 128th Avenue NE are key bicycle corridors. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low-speed, low-volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, and traffic calming and control devices. These are described in greater detail in Section 6.2.

Gaps in the bicycle network are present along several principal arterials, including NE 85th Street, NE 124th Street, and 100th Avenue NE. Ongoing construction projects in Kirkland are working to address these gaps and improve existing facilities, with planned protected bicycle lanes on 100th Avenue NE and 124th Avenue NE as well as a shared -use path on NE 85th Street. Additionally, the new I-405 interchange at NE 132nd Street will feature a shared use path through the roundabout, and at the interchange at NE 85th Street, there will be wide shared sidewalks to reach the future Stride bus rapid transit station. Other separated trails (or neighborhood connections) help connect the bicycle network.

Public short-term bicycle parking is available within the city, concentrated primarily in downtown Kirkland. The Kirkland Public Works Department has established guidelines for bicycle parking at both on- and off-street locations in Policy R-36. [Kirkland Zoning Code](#)¹ Chapters 57 and 105 also include bicycle parking and covered bicycle storage requirements for new development.

Other bike accommodations such as runnels to assist bikes going up and down staircases leading to the CKC and are on other staircases throughout the city. The downtown transit center also includes a practice bike on bus rack and a bike maintenance stand with bike tools and a bike pump.



¹ <https://www.codepublishing.com/WA/Kirkland/>



FIGURE 3-3. EXISTING REGIONAL TRAIL SYSTEM







FIGURE 3-4. EXISTING BICYCLE FACILITIES





3.3. Transit

3.3.1. Transit Service

Kirkland is served by transit routes that connect to Seattle, Lynnwood, Bellevue, Redmond, and other eastside destinations in King County (Figure 3-5 shows King County Metro's Northeast Area Transit System around Kirkland and neighboring cities). King County Metro (Metro), Sound Transit, and Community Transit provide transit service within Kirkland, with the majority of service provided by Metro. The transit service provided by Metro is guided by three primary policy documents: [Metro Connects](#), [King County Metro Service Guidelines](#), and [King County Metro Strategic Plan for Public Transportation](#).² These policy documents assist Metro in providing service countywide, including Kirkland. Metro and local jurisdictions coordinate closely, but Metro, as the transit agency, is ultimately responsible for the type and quality of the transit service provided.

Three Metro routes and one combined route (Route 230/231) in Kirkland provide bus service with 15-minute- frequencies, considered frequent service, as shown in Table 3-3. Six routes serve Kirkland all day, with frequencies of 30 minutes or more. All-day and peak-only bus routes in Kirkland are shown in Figure 3-6. Several other bus routes serving Kirkland operate only at certain times of day, including peak-only commuter routes and dedicated routes that serve schools once a day.

TABLE 3-3. BUS TRANSIT SERVICE

Frequency and Service Hours	Bus Routes
Frequent all-day routes (every 15 minutes or less Mon-Fri)	Metro Routes: 255, 245, 250, 230/231 (combined from NE 132nd Street to Downtown)
All-day routes (16 – 24 daily hours of service)	Metro Routes: 225, 239, 249, 230/231 (north of NE 132nd Street) Sound Transit Route: 535
Peak-only routes (weekday service during peak periods only)	Metro Routes: 257, 311 Sound Transit Route: 532 Community Transit Route: 424
Dial-a-Ride (DART)	Metro Route: 930
Custom routes	Metro Routes: 893, 895, 981, 986

² <https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/metro/about/policies>



Kirkland has three transit centers: Kirkland Transit Center in downtown, Totem Lake Transit Center, and the Totem Lake Freeway Station in the median of I-405. There are also three park and rides in Kirkland: Kingsgate Park & Ride (502 stalls), Kirkland Way Park & Ride (20 stalls) which may be repurposed as part of the NE 85th Station Area, and the South Kirkland Park & Ride (785 stalls). The routes that connect to amenities available at these transit centers and park and rides are shown in Table 3-4.





TABLE 3-4. EXISTING ROUTES SERVING TRANSIT FACILITIES IN KIRKLAND

Transit Facility	Bus Routes
Kirkland Transit Center	Metro Routes: 230, 231, 239, 245, 250, 255
Totem Lake Transit Center	Metro Routes: 225, 239, 255, DART 930
Kirkland Freeway Station	Metro Routes: 311 Sound Transit Routes: 532, 535 Community Transit Route: 424
Kirkland Way Park & Ride	Metro Routes: 239, 250
Kingsgate Park & Ride	Metro Route: 257, 225, DART 930
South Kirkland Park & Ride	Metro Routes: 249, 250, 255

Additionally, Kirkland benefits from flexible transit programs, such as Community Van and Metro Flex. These programs complement fixed-route bus service in Kirkland because they do not adhere to fixed schedules or routes. The Community Van program provides 6-passenger and 12-passenger vans for prescheduled rides involving a minimum of two passengers plus a volunteer driver. Trip destinations can be anywhere within a 2-hour drive of Kirkland and can occur during the daytime, evenings, and weekends. Metro Flex is an on-demand transit service in King County implemented to mitigate reduction in fixed route service or in areas where there is limited to no transit service. This is a pilot program that offers affordable, accessible, and comfortable minivan rides to various local destinations and is still under evaluation. Within Kirkland, Metro Flex operates in the Juanita service area, which includes Juanita, Finn Hill and parts of Totem Lake, as shown in Figure 3-7. Metro Flex operates in the Juanita service area from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. on weekdays only.






FIGURE 3-6. EXISTING TRANSIT NETWORK





FIGURE 3-7. EXISTING METRO FLEX SERVICE AREA





Transit Speed and Reliability

Coordination with transit agencies is important for ensuring buses stay on-time for reliable service. When transit can move efficiently, it shortens passenger trip times and provides an attractive mode of transportation. Speed and reliability projects include transit signal prioritization or methods such as transit queue jumps or bus only lanes that allow buses to get ahead of general purpose traffic at signals.

The transit queue jump on 98th Avenue at Forbes Creek Drive that was built in partnership with Metro has seen significant time savings.



3.3.2. Transit Amenities

Transit amenities in Kirkland include shelters and benches at bus stops and bicycle parking. Of the 30 bus stops with the highest ridership in the city, 27 have shelters as of 2023, as shown in Figure 3-8. Bicycle parking near transit stops can facilitate longer multimodal trips. City-owned, short-term bicycle parking is available primarily downtown, near the Kirkland Transit Center. Both the South Kirkland Park & Ride and the Kingsgate Park & Ride also have bicycle lockers. Kirkland maintains a practice bus bicycle rack at the Kirkland Transit Center for passengers to build confidence loading and unloading their bicycles on bicycle racks on the front of buses.

Kirkland's transit centers and park and rides tend to have more amenities than standard bus stops because they are served by multiple routes and are transfer points for transit riders. The amenities at the city's transit centers and park and ride facilities are described in Table 3-5. The highest ridership stops in the city are located primarily at these facilities, with the highest numbers of boardings as of spring 2023 occurring at Kirkland Transit Center, Totem Lake Transit Center, and South Kirkland Park & Ride.

TABLE 3-5. KIRKLAND TRANSIT CENTERS AND PARK AND RIDES

Transit Facility	Amenities
Kirkland Transit Center	Shelters, seating, restrooms, bicycle parking, electric vehicle (EV) charging
Totem Lake Transit Center	Shelters, seating
Kirkland Way Park & Ride	Parking
Kirkland Freeway Station	Shelters, seating



Kingsgate Park & Ride


Parking, shelters, seating, bicycle parking

South Kirkland Park & Ride

Parking, shelters, seating, EV charging, bicycle parking

Apart from these facilities, some individual bus stops see high numbers of boardings. These include stops along NE 85th Street and NE 70th Street in Rose Hill and along Central Way and Kirkland Way in downtown Kirkland. Other stops are used once daily for one-trip a day custom routes (one-trip a day routes are not included in Figure 3-8).

FIGURE 3-8. EXISTING TRANSIT AMENITIES





3.3.3. Transit Access and Equity

Providing an accessible multimodal transportation system is key to creating an equitable and accessible community for all. Limited access to transit in Kirkland is a factor that contributes to dependence on driving and leaves those without access to a car with fewer options to get around.

Not all neighborhoods in Kirkland have access to frequent and reliable transit within walking distance. Pedestrian access to Kirkland's all-day and peak-only transit routes were analyzed using quarter mile and half-mile distance of transit stops, roughly equal a 5-minute and 10-minute walk, respectively (shown in Figure 3-9). Finn Hill currently represents the area with the largest gap in transit service coverage in the city. The Finn Hill neighborhood, in the northwest corner of Kirkland, was part of the 2011 annexation into the city. Kingsgate, in the northeast corner of the city, portions of the Juanita and Norkirk neighborhoods near the center of the city, and the Bridle Trails neighborhood in the southeast corner of the city also have gaps in transit service coverage.

Metro identifies areas with certain demographics to show potential demand for extra services to ensure equitable transit access. These Equity Priority Areas are defined as census block groups exhibiting above-average demographic representation of certain populations including:

- People of color
- People with low/no income
- People with a disability
- Households with low English proficiency
- People who are born outside the U.S.

Metro also considers route-level "Opportunity Index" (shown in Figure 3-10) scores to prioritize potential investments and reductions to transit service. Routes with more stops in Equity Priority Areas have a higher Opportunity Index score, indicating the greater need for transit.

Most census tracts located entirely or partially within Kirkland rated high on the overall opportunity index. Areas north of downtown in the Market, Norkirk, and Juanita neighborhoods had an overall opportunity index score of moderate, and one section of Rose Hill scored low on the Opportunity Index. In terms of the transportation index, which includes only measures of mobility and access, almost all of Kirkland rated high or very high, except for tracts located primarily in Finn Hill that rated moderate.



FIGURE 3-9. EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE WALKSHEDS






FIGURE 3-10. EXISTING TRANSIT OPPORTUNITY SCORES





3.4. Motor Vehicles


Streets within the city are categorized by federal functional classifications to help define their intended use within the street network, as shown in Figure 3-11. Functional classification is set using a variety of factors, including roadway design, speed, capacity, and relationship to present and future land use and development. It also serves as a practical indicator of traffic volume and number of lanes.

The classifications used within the city include:

- **Freeways** that provide high-speed connections between regional destinations.
- **Principal arterials** that connect to major commercial areas and other cities.
- **Minor arterials** that serve major traffic generators that are not served by principal arterials.
- **Collector streets** that provide connections between arterials and local streets.
- **Local streets**, or neighborhood access streets that provide access to residential areas, businesses, and other local areas.

I-405 is the only freeway in Kirkland and runs north-south through the center of the city. Principal arterials in Kirkland include major north-south streets, such as 100th Avenue NE, 98th Avenue NE, Market Street, Lake Washington Boulevard NE, and 124th Avenue NE, and major east-west streets, such as NE 132nd Street, NE 124th Street, NE 116th Street, and NE 85th Street. Posted speed limits within the city generally correlate with roadway functional classification. Although not owned and maintained as a part of the City's road network, I-405 has the highest posted speed limit: 60 mph. Major and minor arterials generally have a posted speed limit of 30 or 35 mph. Collectors have posted speed limits of 30 or 25 mph, and neighborhood access roadways have posted speed limits of 25 mph. Neighborhood greenways and school zones have a posted speed limit of 20 mph.


Proposed Classification Changes



The City will be working on proposed updates to the functional classification of a few roads within city limits which will involve an approval process through the FHWA. Proposed classification changes include sections of Juanita-Woodinville Way, 100th Avenue NE, NE 128th Street, 120th Avenue NE, 124th Avenue NE, Slater Avenue NE/132nd Avenue NE, NE 128th Street/139th Avenue NE and Willow Road NE.



FIGURE 3-11. EXISTING ROADWAY NETWORK






3.4.1. Traffic

Kirkland Public Works Department tracks annual average daily traffic (AADT) which accounts for seasonal patterns in weekday traffic variation. Figure 3-12 shows the annual average daily traffic volumes on Kirkland's arterial roadways as of 2022. In 2022, the highest daily traffic volumes were along NE 85th Street, NE 124th Street, and 100th Avenue NE.





FIGURE 3-12. EXISTING ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES





A primary purpose of the traffic analysis for the TSP and Transportation Element is to ensure that the 20-year plan and vision for transportation adequately supports the envisioned land use over the same period. Traffic conditions were analyzed at 38 major intersections throughout the city. The model included data from 2022 to assess congestion at each intersection during the morning (AM) and evening (PM) peak hour traffic periods.

Traffic operations were evaluated using a method called intersection level of service (LOS), which used Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6 methodology where available and HCM 2000 otherwise, as shown in Table 3-6 LOS ranges from A to F in which rankings are based on the overall delay at a given intersection in units of seconds of delay per vehicle. LOS A represents the best conditions with minimal amount of delay, and LOS F represents the worst conditions with severe congestion and delay. Additional information about LOS for the future network and land use assumptions can be found in Section 5.9.

TABLE 3-6. INTERSECTIONS LOS METHODOLOGIES

Level of Service (LOS)	Average Delay (seconds/vehicle)	
	Signalized Intersections	Unsignalized Intersections
A	≤ 10	≤ 10
B	> 10 and ≤ 20	> 10 and ≤ 15
C	> 20 and ≤ 35	> 15 and ≤ 25
D	> 35 and ≤ 55	> 25 and ≤ 35
E	> 55 and ≤ 80	> 35 and ≤ 50
F	> 80	

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition

The following intersections operate at LOS E or lower during the AM peak period (Figure 3-13):

- Intersection 24: NE 124th Street and 116th Avenue NE/I-405 on-ramp
- Intersection 25: NE 124th Street and Slater Avenue/132nd Place
- Intersection 27: NE 132nd Street and 116th Way NE
- Intersection 28: NE 132nd Street and Totem Lake Boulevard

The following two intersections operate at LOS E during the PM peak period (Figure 3-14):

- Intersection 24: NE 124th Street and 116th Avenue NE/I-405 on-ramp
- Intersection 25: NE 124th Street and Slater Avenue/132nd Place






FIGURE 3-13. EXISTING AM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC – INTERSECTION LOS ANALYSIS RESULTS







FIGURE 3-14. EXISTING PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC – INTERSECTION LOS ANALYSIS RESULTS





3.5. Freight

As Kirkland continues to grow and embrace a multimodal transportation system, ensuring that freight vehicles can move goods safely and efficiently is important. Manufacturers, large retailers, wholesalers, and warehousing and distribution companies rely on access to a well-performing network of freeways and major arterials. Small retailers, restaurants, and other businesses rely on delivery vehicles that must circulate on both regional freeways and arterials as well as local streets. Delivery vehicles must also be able to access spaces for loading and unloading near businesses. Freight vehicle sizes range from small vans to large tractor-trailer units.

WSDOT has developed the Washington Freight and Goods Transportation System (FGTS) to classify streets that are important to the movement of freight in the state. The FGTS defines corridors in tiers based on the annual freight tonnage moved. Within Kirkland, streets are classified as T-1 through T-4, shown in Figure 3-15.

I-405 is classified as a T-1 truck corridor, carrying the highest volume of freight; however, much of this freight volume passes through and does not travel along City streets. Two sections of principal arterials within city limits are classified as T-2 truck corridors. Several arterials are classified as T-3 truck corridors, and one collector street is classified as a T-4 truck corridor. Downtown Kirkland and Totem Lake have large retail areas that are important catalysts of freight with businesses that rely on deliveries to meet consumer needs. There are some manufacturing and industrial land uses that may have specific freight needs, primarily in an Industrial Mixed-Use zone along the CKC.

On designated freight corridors, the City must ensure the roadways can accommodate the turn movements required for larger vehicles while considering the safety of all roadway users.

The widespread adoption of e-commerce, particularly during and following the COVID-19 pandemic, has led to a transformation in goods movement. One of the most tangible parts of this shift in consumption patterns is the increased frequency of home deliveries. With more freight deliveries per person and more freight traffic navigating urban areas, delivery vehicles have changed, with more cargo vans and personal vehicles delivering packages to consumers.

4 TIERS OF FREIGHT TRUCK CORRIDORS



Classification: Tonnage (per year)

T-1: More than 10 million tons


T-2: 4 -10 million tons

T-3: 300.000 - 4 million tons

T-4: 100.000 - 300.000 tons



FIGURE 3-15. EXISTING FREIGHT NETWORK (2023)





3.6. Safety

3.6.1. Crash History


Between 2018 and 2022, there were 3,405 crashes on Kirkland streets (excluding the interstate system). Table 3-7 summarizes the total crashes by severity level and location type, and Figure 3-16 shows the location of all crashes during the same time frame. These include crashes that involve all modes (pedestrians, bicycles, motorcycles, motor vehicles). Over 59% of crashes occurred at intersections. During this period, eight fatal crashes and 52 serious-injury crashes occurred, with more occurring at intersections. In addition to these totals, several crashes have occurred outside of public roadways, including four fatal and five serious injury crashes in parking lots. Most crashes in Kirkland (70%) did not result in an injury.

TABLE 3-7. CRASHES BY SEVERITY (2018–2022)

	Segments	Intersections	Total
Fatal	3	5	8
Serious injury	19	33	52
No injury	1,015	1,377	2,392
All Crashes	1,410	1,995	3,405



FIGURE 3-16. ALL CRASHES (2018–2022)





Crash rates provide a metric for assessing the relative safety of a roadway segment or intersection based on the level of exposure (i.e., traffic volumes and roadway mileage). These rates provide the City with a basis for prioritization and a comparison of locations within the network based on fatal and serious injury crashes. Segment crash rates are calculated by total crashes per million vehicle miles traveled along the segment, and intersection crash rates are calculated by total crashes per million entering vehicles at an intersection. Figure 3-17 shows the crash rates along key and arterial corridors, and Figure 3-18 shows the crash rates at major intersections within the city.

In general, the areas with the highest arterial crash rates are along Lake Street S/Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Central Way, and Kirkland Avenue in downtown Kirkland, as well as along NE 124th Street, 120th Avenue NE, and Totem Lake Boulevard in the Totem Lake area. These areas are generally where traffic volumes are higher. Crash rates at intersections are highest adjacent to I-405 and along Juanita-Woodinville Way NE; also areas where generally traffic volumes tend to be higher.





FIGURE 3-17. CRASH RATES ON MAJOR ARTERIALS (2018-2022)







FIGURE 3-18. CRASH RATES AT MAJOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS (2018-2022)





3.6.2. Active Transportation Crash History

Pedestrians and bicyclists are the most vulnerable roadway users because they are less protected than users within vehicles. For the purposes of this discussion, the term “pedestrians” is intended to include people walking and rolling, meaning using mobility devices such as walkers, wheelchairs, or other -power-driven devices. The chance of a vulnerable user surviving a collision with a car decreases drastically as speed increases³. When comparing crash rates with the share of roadway trips by other transportation modes, pedestrians and bicyclists make up a disproportionate rate of fatal and -serious injury collisions. Vulnerable-user crashes are only 6% of the total crashes but make up 55% of the fatal and serious injury crashes. Vulnerable users tend to only make up fewer than 15% of total trips (10% to 12% of trips total on average) in general.

Table 3-8 summarizes the pedestrian- and bicyclist-related crashes in public right-of-way by severity, while Table 3-9 shows the distribution between segments and intersections. Most pedestrian- and bicyclist-related crashes were minor-injury crashes (46%) or possible-injury crashes (27%). There were three fatal pedestrian crashes and no fatal bicyclist-related crashes between 2018-2022. Just under 15% of crashes were serious-injury crashes. There have been several fatal and serious injury crashes in parking lots not shown in this table. These are addressed through policy actions to coordinate with private developments and property owners on parking lot safety.

The majority of pedestrian- and bicyclist-related crashes occurred at intersections (64%). Over 60% of pedestrian- and bicyclist-related crashes involved a turning vehicle.

TABLE 3-8. PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST CRASHES BY SEVERITY (2018–2022)

	Pedestrian Involved	Bicyclist Involved	Total
Fatal	3	0	3
Serious injury	19	11	30
Minor/non-disabling injury	38	55	93
Possible injury	35	20	55
No injury	8	12	20
Total	103	98	201

TABLE 3-9. PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST CRASHES BY LOCATION (2018–2022)

	Pedestrian Involved	Bicyclist Involved	Total
Segments	38	31	69
Intersections	62	59	121
Total	100	90	190

³ Tefft, Brian C. and AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety Study. 2011. “Impact Speed and a Pedestrian’s Risk of Severe Injury or Death.” Report. <https://aaaafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/2011PedestrianRiskVsSpeedReport.pdf>



Pedestrian crashes occurred throughout Kirkland, with most in urban areas with higher pedestrian volumes. There was some general clustering in downtown Kirkland and the Totem Lake area (including some higher-severity crashes), similar to total crashes and higher segment crash rates. There was also some clustering along NE 85th Street, east of I-405. Very few locations experienced more than one pedestrian crash during this period, but some of the key locations that did include along NE 124th Street, 120th Avenue NE, NE 85th Street, and 124th Avenue NE. Figure 3-19 and Figure 3-20 show all crashes from 2018-2022 involving pedestrians and bicyclists, respectively. Vehicle sizes are also increasing that can reduce visibility and severity based on the weight of the vehicle when involved in crashes⁴.

Bicyclist-related crashes also occurred throughout Kirkland, but there was more prominent clustering when compared to pedestrian crashes. The key areas with bicyclist-related crashes are in downtown Kirkland along Lake Street S/Lake Washington Boulevard NE as well as Juanita Drive NE. The [Vision Zero Action Plan](#)⁵ includes additional analysis on contributing factors for bicycle and pedestrian crashes.

⁴ Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) and Highway Loss Data Institute (HLDI). 2023. "Vehicles with Higher, More Vertical Front Ends Pose Greater Risk to Pedestrians."

<https://www.iihs.org/news/detail/vehicles-with-higher-more-vertical-front-ends-pose-greater-risk-to-pedestrians>

⁵ https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/2/public-works/transportation/plans-and-studies/vision-zero-action-plan/final_vzap_2022-ver4.pdf





FIGURE 3-19. PEDESTRIAN CRASHES (2018 – 2022)







FIGURE 3-20. BICYCLE CRASHES (2018 – 2022)





3.7. Operations and Management

3.7.1. Intelligent Transportation System

The City of Kirkland's ITS Plan establishes operational goals of resiliency, reliability, and responsiveness, and it provides increased transparency to continuously measure and report on performance. ITS is used in Kirkland to provide efficient, multimodal mobility that is aligned with the City's goals and policies. ITS consists of four core components working concurrently to achieve the operational goals.


The four core components are:

- **Field Elements:** Consist of traffic signal controllers/and associated equipment, CCTV cameras, and multimodal video detection.
- **Communications Network:** Includes the media (fiber, cellular, or other), equipment, and software to manage communications from the TMC to the field and between traffic signals.
- **Systems and Software:** Provide traffic signal control, system health monitoring, video management, CCTV camera control, and other functions.
- **Staff and Skills:** Encompass the staff hours and skills needed to operate and maintain the ITS elements.

ITS operations can support modal balance through deployment of active transportation and transit technology. ITS infrastructure in Kirkland is shown in Figure 3-21.



FIGURE 3-21. ITS INFRASTRUCTURE





3.7.2. Traffic Control Devices

The traffic control devices present in Kirkland's system include signalized intersections with pedestrian and bicycle signals, rectangular rapid flashing beacons (RRFBs), overhead yellow flashing beacons, in-pavement flashers (to be phased out), radar feedback speed signs, school speed zone flashing beacons, and four-way flashing beacons. High-intensity Activated CrossWalk signals (HAWK signals), also known as pedestrian hybrid beacons, are anticipated to be added in 2025. These devices are shown in Figure 3-22.

FIGURE 3-22 EXAMPLES OF TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES IN KIRKLAND



The City currently owns and operates around 70 traffic signals at intersections throughout the City, primarily along arterial streets. Traffic signals are an important feature for safety and operations that assign right-of-way to require conflicting vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic to stop and proceed in an orderly manner. The City currently uses video detection for vehicles and bicycles at its traffic signals, along with pushbuttons for pedestrians in the style of Accessible Pedestrian Systems. When feasible given operational constraints, the City employs pedestrian recall at specific intersections, which means people walking and rolling do not have to actuate the pedestrian pushbutton to get service at the traffic signal.



The City currently employs safety-focused phasing at its traffic signalized intersections including:

- **Leading pedestrian intervals** which provide people who are walking and rolling a three- to seven-second head start in the crosswalk prior to the beginning of the vehicle phase to increase awareness and visibility to drivers making permissive turns.
- **Flashing yellow arrow** phasing on all new intersections with dedicated turn lanes and protected-permissive phasing, which allows the removal of conflicts between pedestrians and left-turning vehicles.
- **Rectangular rapid flashing beacons (RRFBs)** have been installed in over 70 locations. These provide enhanced pedestrian safety features to provide additional indication to drivers that pedestrians are using a midblock or uncontrolled crosswalk.
- **High-intensity activated crosswalks (HAWKs)** also called Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons (PHBs) are planned at several locations. HAWK signals provide a regulatory method of control to stop vehicular traffic to provide people walking, rolling, and bicycling a safer crossing environment. The locations with planned HAWK signal systems include the following: the CKC crossing at Slater Avenue NE/NE 132nd Street; 100th Avenue NE mid-block near NE 140th Street; 124th Avenue NE between NE 116th Street and NE 124th Street; NE 124th Street between 100th Avenue NE and 113th Avenue NE; and Juanita Drive at NE 132nd Street.

Planned transportation improvement projects with operational improvements for bicyclists include the integration of bicycle signals as part of the 124th Avenue NE and 100th Avenue NE corridor projects. For bicycle detection, bicycle-oriented push buttons to activate signals or RRFBs have been and will continue to be integrated for Neighborhood Greenways that cross major arterial streets. At signalized intersections, the City currently uses video detection technology and continues to explore new technologies that have accurate detection and counting of bicycle users.


3.7.3. Physical Traffic Calming Devices

Physical traffic calming devices in Kirkland include signs, striping, 25-mph pavement markings, neighborhood traffic circles, speed humps, speed cushions, raised crosswalks, curb extensions, and medians, shown in Figure 3-23.





FIGURE 3-23. NEIGHBORHOOD TRAFFIC CONTROL






Since the 2015 Transportation Plan update, the number of participating employers in the CTR program has remained steady at 12 locations, though 16 employers participated in 2015. Prior to 2022, the state budget did not increase funding, so the City has not expanded the program significantly since 2015. More funding was approved in 2022, which will aid in expanding Kirkland's CTR programs. Additionally, there are currently 32 properties within the city that have active Transportation Management Plans practices. Figure 3-24 shows the TDM and CTR sites as of 2023.





FIGURE 3-24. TMP AND CTR SITES





3.7.5. Regulated Parking

A majority of the City's regulated on-street parking and loading zones are located downtown and in small sections of Juanita and Totem Lake. On-street parking elsewhere in Kirkland is generally unregulated. The City owns several off-street parking lots within the downtown area as well as the Peter Kirk Municipal Garage (Figure 3-25).


The City of Kirkland has a Downtown Employee Parking Program which allows participating employees to park in designated areas managed by the City at no cost. There are designated parking stalls for the program in the Peter Kirk Municipal Garage, the Wester Lot at 120 3rd Avenue, and along Lake Avenue W. The City is currently conducting a Downtown Parking Monitoring project which is collecting parking occupancy data to understand utilization and turnover rates on public streets and public parking lots downtown.

As a response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the City created flexible curb space permitting options to help local businesses adapt to challenging economic conditions. Local businesses could apply for sidewalk café permits, temporary pickup/takeout stall permits, outdoor café permits, temporary parklet permits, and permits to use adjoining private parking stalls for temporary seating or retail space. The flexible permitting options led to a successful Evenings on Park Lane initiative, which closed the west end of Park Lane to vehicles on summer evenings from 2020 to 2022. With the expiration of the emergency authorization of the COVID-19 pandemic, these flexible permits were also sunsetted. However, the City also established 15-minute stalls downtown which remain in effect, though adherence and enforcement are ongoing challenges. More detailed information about parking locations for specific stall types and available capacity can be found on the [city's website](https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Transportation/Downtown-Parking-Information)⁷.

⁷ Kirkland Parking webpage: <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Transportation/Downtown-Parking-Information>



FIGURE 3-25. REGULATED PARKING





3.7.6. Pavement


The Pavement Condition Index (PCI) is a measure of street pavement deterioration. Each year, the City of Kirkland repaves up to 10 lane-miles of its more traveled streets and applies a slurry seal to protect and extend the longevity of the roadway surface between repavings. The Capital Improvement Program includes local funding for resurfacing approximately half of those lane-miles, while the other half is funded by the Streets Levy, which voters approved in 2012. Applying a slurry seal can extend the good condition of local roadways for 5 to 10 years. Repaving a street can extend its useful life by 10 to 20 years. Kirkland's current PCI is shown in Figure 3-26 and the mileage of each PCI category is shown in Table 3-10. Kirkland's goal for its street-preservation program is to improve the score of its arterial network to 70 (Category I—Very good) on the Pavement Condition Index.

TABLE 3-10. PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX

Category	Miles
Category I - Very Good	176.4
Category II - Good (Non-Load)	32.1
Category III - Good (Load)	13.1
Category IV - Poor	25.4
Category V - Very Poor	5.0
Total	252



FIGURE 3-26. PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX





3.8. Environmental Sustainability

3.8.1. Stormwater

Most of Kirkland is within the Lake Washington watershed, and like all cities bordering the lake, Kirkland impacts the quality and health of the lake and streams that flow into Lake Washington through runoff and other impacts. As a shared resource, collaboration with neighboring cities is vital to protecting the watershed. Kirkland faces water and habitat challenges associated with urbanization. More than 119 acres of City-owned natural areas and open-space parklands have been enrolled with the Green Kirkland Partnership, the City's volunteer forest steward program, for natural restoration. The streams, wetlands, and drainage basins in Kirkland are shown in Figure 3-27.

3.8.2. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions result from the combustion of fossil fuels, such as gasoline, diesel, coal, and pipeline gas (also known as natural gas). Transportation remains the largest contributor of greenhouse gas emissions in Washington, showing a slight increase from 2018 to 2019 of less than 3%, or 4.8 million metric tons (MMT), reaching 40.3 MMT of CO₂e. As of 2017, community GHG emissions have fallen about 25% from a 2007 baseline. There is a statewide target for net zero emissions by 2050⁸. As noted in Kirkland's Sustainability Strategic Plan, as of 2017 gas and diesel-powered vehicles contribute to half of Kirkland's GHG emissions each year.

3.8.3. Fish Passage


The City's [2023 Surface Water Master Plan](#)⁹ outlines the implementation of groundwater management, which can reduce the risk of landslides, improve water quality and fish passage in the city's waterways, and protect recreation opportunities, including fishing. Kirkland has proactively assessed and prioritized most City culverts as part of the 2014 Surface Water Master Plan Update. The City continues to replace priority fish passage culverts as resources are available.

⁸ "Climate Commitment Act – Washington State Department of Ecology." <https://ecology.wa.gov/air-climate/climate-commitment-act>

⁹ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Storm-Surface-Water/What-the-City-Does-for-Clean-Water/Storm-Surface-Water-Projects/Surface-Water-Master-Plan-Update>



FIGURE 3-27. ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES





4. Community Engagement

4.1. Public Engagement

4.1.1. Initial Community Engagement

A Community Engagement Plan was developed for both the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Strategic Plan. Beginning in early 2023, staff conducted a substantial number of public outreach and community engagement activities to implement the community engagement plan. Below is a summary of community engagement activities during the early stages of the TSP plan development:

- Development of a Community Engagement Plan for the entire [Comprehensive Plan Update](#)¹⁰ effort.
- [Equity Review Report](#)¹¹ of existing Comprehensive Plan elements.
- Project webpage updates and listserv email announcements.
- Transportation and Land Use survey.
- Focus Group recruitment focused on priority populations.
- Focus Group meetings for Transportation and Land Use elements.
- Publication of informational handouts (included introduction materials translated into the four most common languages in the City besides English).
- Presentations to community groups, Boards, Commissions (Planning Commission, Transportation Commission, Youth Council, Senior Council, Human Services Commission), and Kirkland Alliance of Neighborhoods.
- Community events (Town Hall on Bikes, Eastside for All event, Kirkland City Hall for All event).
- Tabling events (seasonal events, Evergreen Health Fair, City Hall for All).
- Class projects with Lake Washington High School and student surveys.
- Community-wide visioning event (January 2023).
- Virtual Community-wide meeting (June 2023) specific to the TSP.

4.1.2. Transportation and Land Use Survey

The initial outreach for the TSP was coordinated with the Comprehensive Plan outreach, specifically with the land use element since transportation and land use are intricately linked. This included the Transportation and Land Use survey which was open for several months from March through June 2023. The survey asked questions about commute patterns and typical travel modes as well as interest in using other modes (such as what would influence a person to take another mode of travel). There were over 500 responses to the survey. A summary of public engagement and comments is in Appendix B.

¹⁰ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/planning-amp-building/kirkland-2044-comp-plan/community-engagement-plan-k2044-kirkland-comprehensive-plan-finalwappendixabc12152022.pdf>

¹¹ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/planning-amp-building/kirkland-2044-comp-plan/equity-review-report-kirkland-comprehensive-plan-econorthwest-final20221108.pdf>



4.1.3. Focus Groups

Reflecting Kirkland's emphasis on reaching priority populations, the Community Engagement Plan included several focused conversations with recruitment to underrepresented groups. Larger community-wide discussions, which tend to attract people more comfortable with City planning processes, were paired with two focused conversations that recruited priority populations to lift their often-underrepresented perspectives.

Recruitment for the focus groups started with priority populations, but anyone interested was welcome. There was a lot of interest in both the Transportation and Land Use focus groups, which met twice in May 2023, and had twenty-three people attend the first session and fourteen people attend the second. The people in the focus group ranged in age from 25-65+, were almost 60% white, 40% BIPOC, had almost 30% representation from the LBGTQIA+ community and included a wide range of incomes. About 50% of the focus group participants identified as having a household income of over \$100,000 per year (22% preferred not to answer). Participants were almost split 50/50 by identified gender.

The first focus group session gave an overview of the Land Use and Transportation Elements, shared the Guiding Principles from the 2015 Transportation Plan and asked for thoughts on the future of transportation (changes, desires, challenges, etc.). The guiding principles were then refined.

At the second session, the group reviewed the revised guiding principles and offered additional comments. The updated guiding principles reflect the feedback received from the first two focus group meetings and were used to develop the goals and policies in the TSP.

4.1.4. Transportation Commission

Staff has been working closely with the Transportation Commission on the TSP, which has spent a significant portion of its work program over two years. The Transportation Commission has discussed the TSP at 16 of their meetings, several of which were workshop style taking the entire meeting for TSP discussion (May 2023, June 2023, and September 2024) or otherwise the TSP took a significant portion of the meetings. The Transportation Commission also held a joint meeting with the Planning Commission on October 25, 2023, to discuss the land use related policies and land use related project prioritization measures, and held a public hearing on September 25, 2024.

4.1.5. City Council

Staff has also worked very closely with Council on the development of the TSP by presenting and hearing feedback during six study sessions starting in September 2023. These discussions included reporting on what was heard through various public engagement processes, the goals and policies update, project list development, and mapping.

4.1.6. Project List Outreach

One requirement for the Comprehensive Plan is to have a fiscally constrained project list that balances identified projects with projected local revenue over the 20-year horizon. There are over 430 projects that have been identified through various plans and processes in addition to projects that would fall under a program (such as over 1,600 sidewalk gaps). A [public engagement map](#)¹² of these projects was launched in February 2024 which allowed people to comment on any specific project in the map or add a new comment to any location on the map.

¹² Public Engagement Web map for projects: <https://dks.mysocialpinpoint.com/kirkland/map#/>



Comments were used in the following ways:

- Synthesized to communicate themes.
- Identified as service requests which are managed by city staff as part of day-to-day duties.
- Identified as a project for consideration into the CFP.

Overall, there were over 1,204 unique users who made 2,655 reactions in the form of likes/dislikes and comments with 1,928 'likes', 315 'dislikes' and 358 specific comments on 196 projects. A more specific summary can be found in Appendix B.

4.1.7. Public Hearings

A public hearing was held with the Planning Commission on the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan. Following public testimony, the Planning Commission recommended to Council the Transportation Element with minor changes. Additionally, a public hearing for the full TSP was held with the Transportation Commission. Following a few narrative changes, the Transportation Commission recommended to Council to adopt the Transportation Strategic Plan.





5. Transportation Vision, Goals, and Policies

The vision for the future of Kirkland's transportation system was formed around ten goals that were refined with the City of Kirkland Transportation Commission and City Council. Each goal represents a key piece of the future of transportation in Kirkland. These goals are paired with policies which aim to advance that goal. Specific strategies help direct implementation of the policies under each goal and bring together different components of implementation including projects and programs, data collection and monitoring, engagement and partnerships, and refinements to City policies and standards.

5.1. Safety

Safety is the City's highest transportation priority. Safety is integrated into every transportation project at each stage of planning, engineering, and implementation. While design best practices and the types of safety improvements have changed and continue to improve over time, as new projects are introduced to the transportation network the latest design and engineering approach is integrated into Kirkland's standards. A safety focus is applied to all transportation improvements to support the City's most vulnerable road users, namely people walking, rolling, and bicycling. For instance, current best practices generally separate modes of travel in space and time as much as practical, including: separating pedestrian and bicycle facilities, using Leading Pedestrian Intervals (LPIs) at traffic signalized intersections, advancing enhanced crosswalk designs, and more. This is in alignment with [Kirkland's Vision Zero Action Plan](#)¹³, which states a goal of eliminating fatal and serious injury crashes by 2035.



The City's Vision Zero goal and Action Plan align with the United States Department of Transportation's (U.S. DOT) [Safe System Approach](#)¹⁴ as the guiding paradigm to address roadway safety. The Safe System Approach acknowledges that humans make mistakes, and that death and serious injuries are unacceptable. Thus, there needs to be multiple redundant safety measures in place to both prevent crashes from happening in the first place, and minimize the harm caused to those involved when crashes do occur. It is a holistic and comprehensive approach that provides a guiding framework to make the transportation system safer for people.

Providing a safe transportation system requires a multi-faceted approach including engineering, education, and enforcement. The City incorporates the Safe System Approach during daily operations and in project planning and design to promote safer driving behavior and reduce potential future crashes for all modes. The engineering team utilizes the [Federal Highway Administration's \(FHWA\) Proven Safety Countermeasures](#)¹⁵ as remedies for locations where crashes have occurred in the past as well as crash prevention measures for new facilities. Enforcement plays a role in ensuring safe driving behavior, while education rounds out the multi-faceted approach by focusing on proactive engagement of road users to encourage safe behavior.

¹³ https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/2/public-works/transportation/plans-and-studies/vision-zero-action-plan/final_vzap_2022-ver4.pdf

¹⁴ <https://www.transportation.gov/NRSS/SafeSystem>

¹⁵ <https://highways.dot.gov/safety/proven-safety-countermeasures>



5.1.1. Related Plans

The City of Kirkland adopted a zero fatality and zero serious injury goal for the transportation system in 2015. To implement a Safe System Approach to vision zero and transportation safety, the City developed the **Vision Zero Action Plan**, adopted in 2022, that includes strategies to prioritize safety in design, operations, data analysis, and culture within the City. The Safe System Approach is integrated into the transportation concept for this Plan and reflected in the vision, policies, and project priorities.

The **Active Transportation Plan**, adopted in 2022, reaffirmed the City's commitment to a multimodal transportation system. One of the key findings from the engagement process for the ATP was that the perception of safety is consistently identified as the primary consideration for deciding to walk or bicycle for a trip. The ATP embraces safety of the City's pedestrian and bicycle network as one of the primary goals of the Plan and includes many objectives and strategies to prioritize safety for the most vulnerable users of the transportation system, i.e., people walking, rolling, and bicycling.

The **Safer Routes to School Action Plans** were developed in cooperation with the Lake Washington School District, law enforcement, design professionals, students, parents, and neighborhoods. The City Council adopted the plans in September 2020. The action plans identify key steps to make walking, bicycling, and riding the bus to school safer, more convenient, and fun. The action plans lay out achievable goals and actions to support walking and bicycling to school through engagement, equity, education, encouragement, enforcement, engineering and evaluation. These plans include projects to improve safety along routes to school, tracking project implementation and performance, continued engagement and promotion of walking and bicycling to school, and active partnerships with the Lake Washington School District.

5.1.2. Ongoing Work

One of the objectives in the Vision Zero Action Plan is to promote and institutionalize a culture of safety, including public education and outreach with the goals of reducing distracted driving, encouraging safer vehicle operating speeds, encouraging a shared sense of humanity (i.e., decreasing road rage), education on common signing and markings, education on traffic laws, and more.

- In the coming years, the City hopes to accomplish this by distributing information at events, using social media and other online methods, and potentially coordinating with other public meeting forums to be determined.
- The City also plans to publish a public-facing crash data dashboard webpage, which will be a transparent and objective way to inform Kirkland's community members of crashes and crash patterns.
- For enforcement, Transportation staff frequently works with the Police Department to help with targeted vehicle speed enforcement and identifying areas of the city with frequent violations of other traffic laws.
- The City also operates an [Automated Safety Camera Program](https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Police-Department/Community-Resources/School-Zone-Speed-Enforcement)¹⁶ in four school zones. The goal is to reduce vehicle speeds in school zones and increase safety for people walking, rolling, and bicycling to and from school. In 2024, new legislation was passed to allow automated enforcement using traffic safety cameras in more areas outside of school zones. The City will be exploring those options in the future to reduce vehicle speeds and encourage safer driver behavior in areas with high activity of people walking, rolling, and bicycling. The City has also been working with Lake Washington School District to implement recommendations from the

¹⁶ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Police-Department/Community-Resources/School-Zone-Speed-Enforcement>



safety concerns on non-arterial neighborhood streets such as traffic volume increases, construction traffic impacts, and impeded sight lines due to overgrown vegetation.

Goal T-1: Eliminate all transportation-related fatal and serious injury crashes, while reducing all crashes in Kirkland by 2035.

Safety for people traveling in Kirkland remains the first goal of the Transportation Strategic Plan. Kirkland's future transportation system should be safe and accessible for people of all ages and abilities, using any mode of travel. Investments in the future of Kirkland's transportation system will prioritize the safety of people walking, rolling, and bicycling.

To achieve this goal, the safety policies focus on implementing the Vision Zero Action Plan using a Safe System Approach, designing complete streets, conducting data collection and regular performance monitoring, and creating a culture of safety within the City, community, and other partner agencies.

Policy T-1.1: Implement the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress annually.

Kirkland will focus on implementation of the Vision Zero Action Plan and track progress toward the goal of zero traffic fatalities or serious injuries. The City will continue to make strides toward the goals of the Vision Zero Action Plan through various strategies defined by the Plan's objectives. Strategies in the Vision Zero Plan include safe street design, changes to traffic operations that enhance safety, data collection and analysis, and a culture of safety through education, partnership and engagement, all of which contribute to the safety Kirkland's transportation system users. Specific actions to implement Policy T-1.1 and support the Vision Zero Action Plan include:

- a) Improve the City's webpage interface to provide more transparent data to the public (e.g. web-map, dashboard).
- b) Track progress annually and report to the Council every 2 years.
- c) Update the City's Local Road Safety Plan every 2 years with updated crash data that identifies safety issues and contributing factors, proposing specific countermeasures, and identifying safety improvement projects.
- d) Regularly update the Vision Zero Action Plan and policies.

Policy T-1.2: Implement the principles of a Safe System Approach by prioritizing safe street designs and strategies.

The City will continue to use the Safe System Approach to guide the design of transportation projects and improvements and inform decision-making. To integrate the principles of this approach with design and decision-making, the City will review its design standards and policies to implement national best practices and incorporate new and emerging technologies to make people in Kirkland and users of the transportation system safer. Actions to implement Policy T-1.2 and continually improve City policies, design standards, development of safety interventions and practices include:

- a) Revise the City's existing design standards with best practices and innovation using national sources on design. Be a leader in implementing safety as standard practice.
- b) Evaluate and update the policy for setting speed limits to lower speeds and encourage safer travel behavior.
- c) Equip all City fleet vehicles with safety-related devices and technology that identifies dangerous driving behaviors.



- d) Reduce emergency vehicle response times with technology such as GPS-based, Intelligent Transportation Systems solutions.
- e) Conduct near-miss analysis at select intersections, improve methods to record reported safety issues, and explore additional data sources.
- f) Make roundabouts the default design for new intersections or major intersection improvements, unless shown to be infeasible.

Policy T-1.3: Advance the City's Complete Streets ordinance by accommodating all modes of travel in transportation system projects.

Kirkland's Complete Streets Ordinance was first adopted in 2006 and updated in 2016 following the adoption of the 2015 Transportation Plan. The City will review and amend the ordinance to reflect changes in national best practices over the past decade and will continue to support implementation of the Complete Streets Ordinance to emphasize safety as an integral part of design to Policy T-1.3 as follows:

- a) Update the City's Complete Street ordinance as set forth in Kirkland Municipal Code (KMC) Section 19.08.055 to be consistent with current national best practices.
- b) Ensure that safety is the first lens through which all capital transportation projects are designed.

Policy T-1.4: Build a robust and transparent data framework.

Kirkland will also strive to improve the collection and availability of collision and safety data to help guide future decision-making. More robust data will help the City evaluate safety and risks for the most vulnerable users of Kirkland's transportation system and can improve understanding of effective safety interventions for City staff and the community. Specific areas to improve the City's safety and data analytics and advance Policy T-1.4 include:

- a) Seek opportunities to improve collision data collection and analysis, such as adding sources, addressing data anomalies, reporting, and database improvements.
- b) Seek innovations in technology to improve understanding of contributing factors and preventative measures.
- c) Collect before/after data for safety improvement projects.
- d) Conduct risk exposure analysis for vulnerable users as a preventative measure.
- e) Implement technology systems to support performance monitoring and studies of the transportation system, including data storage and analytics.

Policy T-1.5: Promote and institutionalize a 'culture of safety.'

A culture of safety prioritizes the safety and wellbeing of all people traveling in Kirkland and facilitates learning about and understanding the causes of crashes. The City will work to establish a culture of safety within City departments, the broader community, and partner agencies through training and education. This policy is also a core objective of the Vision Zero Action Plan and the specific actions to establish a culture of safety and work toward Policy T-1.5 are consistent with and supplement the strategies defined in that document and include:

- a) Implement a comprehensive staff training program to encourage a culture of safety across relevant departments.
- b) Educate the public on Vision Zero and factors contributing to crashes (e.g. human behavior, season/weather, speed) as well as rules of the road. Coordinate with City departments on messaging and opportunities to educate the public.



- c) Coordinate with the Planning and Building Department and with private businesses to improve safety in private parking lots by implementing measures such as dedicated pedestrian pathways, speed control, and lighting.
- d) Work with developers and contractors to improve implementation of safe routes for pedestrians and bicyclists through construction zones.
- e) Work with schools and police resource officers to enhance traffic safety education in schools including bicycle and pedestrian education.
- f) Work with the Lake Washington School District and other schools to improve circulation in and around schools at pick-up and drop-off times.
- g) Identify opportunities to implement a culture of safety along the Cross Kirkland Corridor and to reduce speeds and potential conflicts.

5.1.3. Projects & Programs

The TSP will focus investments in Kirkland's future transportation system on proven safety interventions where they are needed most. To advance the City's goal to end traffic-related serious injuries and fatalities, potential projects were prioritized for funding and implementation based on safety benefits. All roadway projects in the City of Kirkland will include multimodal elements to create a safer and more comfortable environment for people walking and bicycling, consistent with the safe system approach. Nearly half of the annual funding for transportation programs is allocated to programs intended to improve safety for the most vulnerable users of Kirkland's transportation system including the Street Levy Pedestrian Safety, Vision Zero Safety Improvement, and Neighborhood Safety programs.

PROGRAMS

Various programs are proposed to be funded to allow the City to continue to work on safety improvements while having flexibility to respond to community concerns and updated crash data. These programs include:

- **Crosswalk Upgrade Program:** Crosswalk improvements and upgrades including lighting, rapid flashing beacons, etc.
- **Arterial Traffic Calming Program:** Arterial traffic calming such as speed radar signs and counts.
- **Street Lighting Design Improvements:** Proactively identify new areas for design and implementation of new streetlights.
- **Citywide Accessibility Improvements:** An opportunity fund for implementation of a wide range of accessibility improvements as developed by the ADA Transition Plan.
- **Vision Zero Safety Improvement:** An opportunity fund for improvements to implement Vision Zero related projects.



5.2. Active Transportation

5.2.1. Related Plans

The City's **Active Transportation Plan**, adopted in 2022, reaffirms the City's commitment to walking, rolling, and bicycling as part a multimodal transportation system. The ATP is built around three primary goals:

- Create a safe, connected, pedestrian network where walking is a comfortable and intuitive option as the first choice for many trips.
- Create a connected bicycle network that accommodates people of all ages and abilities to get to destinations such as activity centers, parks, and transit.
- Encourage and incentivize more people to walk and bike and encourage safe behavior for all users of the transportation system.

The principal focus of the ATP is a safe and comfortable walking and rolling environment on the pedestrian network to support the City's 10-minute neighborhood concept, and an 'all ages and abilities' bike network. The ATP's pedestrian network analysis includes analysis of major sidewalk gaps and street crossings enhancements. The bicycle network analysis includes network gaps and connectivity and identifies areas that are currently not well served by low-stress bike facilities such as Totem Lake, Highlands, Finn Hill, and portions of other Kirkland neighborhoods. Recommended bicycle and pedestrian improvements from the ATP were included in the project prioritization process for the TSP.

Other related plans emphasize the safety of the bicycle and pedestrian networks and implementation of systems-based and approaches to improve safety for people walking, rolling and bicycling. The **Vision Zero Action Plan**, adopted in 2022, outlines concrete steps the City will take to meet its goal of zero traffic-related serious injuries or fatalities. The plan focuses on addressing vehicle collisions that result in fatalities or serious injuries, and crashes involving vulnerable road users, i.e. people walking, rolling, and bicycling. The Vision Zero Action Plan includes many of the same strategies and actions proposed in the ATP, which would make walking, rolling and bicycling in Kirkland safer. Many safety countermeasures, including changes to roadway design and traffic calming to reduce speeds would also make drivers in Kirkland safer, reducing the likelihood of injuries and fatalities from all crashes.

The **Safer Routes to School Action Plans** prioritize the safety of children and families walking, rolling, and bicycling to schools in Kirkland. The action plans outline strategies to support walking, rolling and bicycling to school including continued community engagement and education. The plans also include a set of projects with targeted design interventions to improve safety along routes to schools. These projects are consistent with improvements to the pedestrian and bike network proposed in both the Vision Zero Action Plan and ATP, with recommendations for enhanced crossings, lighting, and new sidewalks.



Goal T-2: Create and maintain a high-quality network of complete and connected low-stress walking, rolling, and bicycling facilities, including sidewalks, trails, crosswalks, and bikeways making active transportation a first choice for many trips.

Kirkland is committed to creating safe, complete, and connected pedestrian and bicycle networks throughout the city. The City will continue to prioritize investments that support walking, rolling, and bicycling and create a safer transportation system. This includes filling critical sidewalk gaps that currently impede access and disrupt pedestrian travel and building out a bicycle network for people of all ages and abilities to have low-stress connections to destinations and between neighborhoods.

To achieve this goal, the active transportation policies focus on implementing the Vision Zero Action Plan, Safe System Approach, Active Transportation Plan (ATP), complete streets, data collection, regular performance monitoring, and creating a culture of safety within the City, community, and other partner agencies.

Policy T-2.1: Make walking, rolling, and bicycling safer, easier, accessible, and more convenient.

Kirkland will redouble its efforts to make walking, rolling and bicycling safer and more convenient, through implementation of current plans and strategies to connect and bridge or remove barriers in the pedestrian and bicycle networks. City policies and procedures for bike parking, crosswalk installation, traffic operations and project prioritization will be re-evaluated as Kirkland considers new policy guidance and updates to existing policies and practices. The City will also focus on program implementation to improve Kirkland's bicycle and pedestrian networks and support the City's continuing safety efforts for active transportation. Specific actions to implement Policy T-2.1 include:

- a) Identify and remove barriers to walking and rolling, such as evaluating and addressing major barriers, reducing sidewalk blockages, and assessing pedestrian gaps and maintenance needs.
- b) Create a strategy to increase the supply of public bicycle parking in Kirkland through a dedicated bicycle parking program and incentives for businesses to increase bicycle parking supply.
- c) Work with the Planning and Building Department to develop a comprehensive bicycle parking policy to ensure adequate end-of-trip facilities, including bicycle charging stations, are available.
- d) Develop policies that will create regulations and incentivize micromobility programs, such as bicycle- or scooter-share, electric-car sharing, and micromobility hubs.
- e) Implement the objectives and strategies from the ATP.
- f) Continue to support the Pedestrian Flag program; measure and improve its performance.
- g) Develop prioritization methods for the selection and implementation of safety enhancements at crosswalks.
- h) Adopt traffic signal operational procedures that include practices such as advance pedestrian phases, dedicated bicycle signals, generous walk intervals, and protected left turn phasing.
- i) Develop a design standard for protected intersections in the roadway preapproved plans for consistent implementation.
- j) Implement lighting improvements for safety at crosswalks through a crosswalk lighting program.
- k) Update the City's Crosswalk Installation Policy.



Policy T-2.2: Prioritize, design, construct, operate, and maintain a connected network of pedestrian and bicycle facilities in a manner that maximizes safety and mobility to promote an active and healthy community for people of all ages and abilities.

Kirkland will continue to prioritize investments in active transportation and will work toward its vision for safe and complete bicycle and pedestrian networks. The City will focus on design and implementation of projects that close critical gaps in the bicycle and pedestrian networks and connections to transit. National best practices will be incorporated into updated standards for active transportation facilities and will help the City identify solutions for context-specific investments and interventions. Related City programs can help support implementation of complete networks of greenways, separated bike facilities and dedicated pedestrian spaces. Actions that support implementation of the City's connected bicycle and pedestrian networks in Policy T-2.2 include:

- a) Develop a dedicated sidewalk program for infilling high-priority sidewalk gaps.
- b) Construct the projects in the Safer Routes to School Implementation Plan.
- c) Recognize national best practice resources such as the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (ASHTO) pedestrian and bicycle design guidelines by adopting them into preapproved plans.
- d) Use context-sensitive best practice design for walking and bicycling facilities, prioritizing the safety of these users.
- e) Prioritize first- and last-mile walking and bicycling connections to transit, recognizing active transportation modes are critical for supporting transit ridership.
- f) Periodically update pedestrian and bicycle facilities design requirements citywide and for various areas/zones in the city, including sidewalks, crosswalks, bicycle facilities, and intersections.
- g) Grow a system of separated bicycle facilities, including protected intersections.
- h) Prioritize and construct a network of Neighborhood Greenways.
- i) Update the guidelines for Neighborhood Greenways from implementation lessons learned and as best practice designs change.
- j) Establish a procedure to evaluate the operational and safety impacts of greenways before and after project implementation.
- k) To the extent feasible, leverage annual maintenance programs, such as pavement preservation and striping, to opportunistically build out active transportation infrastructure identified in the ATP or implement other safety improvements.

Policy T-2.3: Make walking, rolling and bicycling more intuitive and easier to navigate.

Kirkland's pedestrian and bicycle networks consist of different types of facilities and the City's efforts to expand and improve bicycle and pedestrian connections will include greenways, protected bike lanes, sidewalks, and multi-use trails. Wayfinding including maps, signage, and pavement markings is an important feature of a clear and legible network of facilities that help people walking, rolling, and bicycling in Kirkland navigate these facilities and connect them to their destinations. Signage in and of itself does not create quality facilities, but they can help make connections between different bike and pedestrian routes clear, and help people find the best route to get them where they need to go. Actions that would help make the City's bicycle and pedestrian networks easier to navigate and support implementation of Policy T-2.3 include:

- a) Improve wayfinding to and from the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC) with a comprehensive recreational trail wayfinding system coordinated with the branding and signage of the Eastrail.



- b) Ensure the network of greenways and the bicycle route system are well signed and easily navigable.
- c) Improve pedestrian orientation to parks, amenities, and local businesses with maps and signage.
- d) Develop a pedestrian wayfinding system for downtown, within urban centers, and along Lake Washington.
- e) Regularly update public pedestrian and bicycling maps.
- f) Coordinate across departments on pedestrian and bicycle maps for economic development purposes.
- g) Develop a tier of destinations to inform a wayfinding system based on distance and mode.
- h) Evaluate various forms of wayfinding, including virtual/electronic navigation, such as using apps and QR codes, maps (both printed and online), and physical signs. Ensure wayfinding materials are available in multiple languages and other accessible formats.

Policy T-2.4: Develop signature walking, rolling, and bicycling facilities along the CKC and Lake Washington with ample connections to the rest of Kirkland and the region.

Signature walking, rolling, and bicycling facilities in Kirkland should be accessible for everyone in Kirkland. The Cross Kirkland Corridor and Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade are signature corridors that connect between Kirkland's neighborhoods and to regional facilities and destinations. Both corridors uniquely reflect the City and its natural and built environment and serve a range of uses with transportation, environmental and economic benefits. To support connections along these two facilities and implement Policy T-2.4, the City will:

- a) Develop an action plan that outlines priorities and actions to implement the Cross Kirkland Corridor Master Plan vision as well as the 'Connect, Construct, Complete' vision for the Eastrail corridor.
- b) Work with the community to identify the best design for the Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade using options provided by the Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade Study.

Policy T-2.5: Make walking, rolling, and bicycling to and from school safer and easier.

Kirkland will encourage walking, rolling and bicycling to school through educational and promotional programs. The City is committed to sustained engagement for young people and implementation of SRTS strategies that go beyond infrastructure to achieve the goals of the Safer Routes to Schools Action Plans. These initiatives will encourage students to bike and walk, improve safe bicycling and walking skills, and support more equitable outcomes for students of color and students from low-income families. Actions that the City will take to make walking and bicycling to school easier and safer in support of Policy T-2.5 include:

- a) Implement automated enforcement of school zones citywide.
- b) Implement the Safer Routes to School Action Plans that include actions under the categories of engagement, equity, education, encouragement, enforcement, engineering, evaluation.
- c) Help youth to be able to walk, roll, or bike to activities by connecting places such as schools to parks and practice fields and through encouragement programs.

Policy T-2.6: Grow the citywide multimodal count program.

To support project implementation and evaluation of the effectiveness of Kirkland's projects, programs, and policies; the City will work to expand its multimodal count program. Multimodal count data will help identify active transportation needs and help City staff track mode-split goals and multimodal level of



service at the citywide scale. Expansion of the multimodal count program in Policy T-2.6 would be implemented through one primary action:

- a) Expand capabilities in gathering bicycle and pedestrian count data to better inform mode-split goals, effectiveness of projects and project identification, trip generators, and multimodal level-of-service evaluations.

5.2.3. Pedestrian Vision

Walking supports a healthy, livable community through increased social interaction, commerce, and improved health outcomes. Pedestrians, including people who use wheelchairs or other mobility aids, are the highest priority on Kirkland's transportation network because every traveler is a pedestrian at some stage of their trip. As a cornerstone of Kirkland's transportation system, substantial investments have been made in the pedestrian network through the creation of lakefront walkways and boardwalks, use of innovative crossing treatments such as Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs), High intensity Activated Crosswalks (HAWKs), raised crosswalks, and through the purchase of the Cross Kirkland Corridor for use as a multimodal transportation corridor.

Providing walking and rolling access to schools, parks, transit and other amenities remains a high priority for the City, and infrastructure needs have been identified through various planning processes. Focusing on what makes a great walking environment – accessibility, safety, comfort, clarity, completeness - and applying these concepts throughout Kirkland is fundamental. The planned pedestrian network aims to fill critical sidewalk gaps and enhance street crossings that impede access, or otherwise disrupt safe and comfortable pedestrian travel.

Accessibility improvements including curb ramps, accessible pedestrian signals and push buttons as well as other essential infrastructure that improves access for persons with disabilities are acknowledged in the City's [Pathway to Transition](#)²⁰, a document which summarizes the compliance requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), outlines actions needed to meet those requirements, and the City's self-assessment results. The [Active Transportation Plan \(ATP\)](#)²¹ identified sidewalk and crossing gaps, lighting and accessibility improvements and provides a detailed prioritization framework that will continue to be used to prioritize future pedestrian improvements and the [Safer Routes to School Action Plans \(SRTS\)](#)²² identify safety improvements specifically for improving walking and bicycling access to schools, such as sidewalks, crossing improvements, and lighting improvements. Many of these projects also improve access to other nearby destinations. Pathway and trail connections are identified in the [Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan \(PROS\)](#)²³, which is a six-year strategic plan for managing and enhancing park and recreation services, including a vision for shared-use trail connections and signature trails throughout the city. The City has also developed a [Citywide Transportation Connections Map](#)²⁴ that identifies potential street and pathway connections through public and private property to improve overall

²⁰ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/city-managers-office/pathway-to-transition-document-update-sept-2018.pdf>

²¹ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Transportation/Plans-and-Studies-Transportation-Division/Active-Transportation-Plan>

²² <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Transportation/Plans-and-Studies-Transportation-Division/Safer-Routes-to-School-Action-Plans>

²³ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Parks-and-Community-Services/Park-Planning-and-Development/Parks-Recreation-and-Open-Space-Plan>

²⁴ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Transportation-and-Traffic/Plans-and-Studies-Transportation-Division/Citywide-Transportation-Connections-Map?BestBetMatch=connections|ab1c70ec-38e6-40e3-ba22-bf28ebfae84b|3c005e77-8f04-4719-adc1-a980035cb01f|en-US>



network connectivity. The [Cross Kirkland Corridor \(CKC\)](https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Cross-Kirkland-Corridor)²⁵, a regional multimodal trail that contributes significantly to Kirkland's pedestrian network, has its own strategic plan document with a vision for access points, amenities, cross sections, and character zones. More work is being done by the City and its partners to improve connectivity to the trail such as the Totem Lake Connector bridge as well as more localized neighborhood improvements such as artwork, stair connections, and wayfinding signage. The City's sidewalk maintenance and street preservation programs are critical to ensuring Kirkland's pedestrian facilities remain accessible for all. Figure 5-1 shows sidewalk availability on arterials and non-arterial transit routes.

Projects that remove barriers to historically underserved populations such as low-income and senior populations are especially important. Often these communities have lower auto-ownership rates and therefore draw substantial benefit from pedestrian improvements. Young people should also be considered in the design of the pedestrian network for all types of trips, not just for the journey to school. Because it bisects the City from north to south, I-405 is a barrier to pedestrian travel and should be made more permeable with safe and comfortable connections across the highway. These connections could include new bridges and improved pedestrian facilities at interchanges and access ramps. Other projects to increase pedestrian access such as connections between cul-de-sac and dead-end streets and access to the Lake Washington waterfront should be planned and implemented. Many of these connections are built with new development. Kirkland's pedestrian vision network and potential intersection improvements are shown in Figure 5-2.

²⁵ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/Government/Departments/Public-Works-Department/Cross-Kirkland-Corridor>





FIGURE 5-1. SIDEWALK AVAILABILITY ON ARTERIALS AND NON-ARTERIAL TRANSIT ROUTES







FIGURE 5-2. PEDESTRIAN VISION NETWORK AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS





5.2.4. Bicycle Vision

According to the [Bureau of Transportation Statistics](#)²⁶, 52% of all trips in Kirkland are less than three miles and 28% are less than one mile in length. If bicycling is not feasible for longer trips, trips one-to-three-miles in length are opportunities for more environmentally friendly and healthier ways for people to get around.


The term 'all ages and abilities' refers to places where the majority of people feel comfortable riding a bike or other type of wheeled mobility device. This includes places where people would be willing to bring their kids along or for anyone who is less confident riding in bicycle lanes near motor vehicles. The term 'all ages and abilities' network includes neighborhood greenways, protected bicycle lanes and shared use pathways and/or trails.

²⁶ <https://www.energy.gov/eere/vehicles/articles/fotw-1230-march-21-2022-more-half-all-daily-trips-were-less-three-miles->


²⁷ <https://www.peopleforbikes.org/news/electric-bicycle-market-insights-2024>



FIGURE 5-3. BICYCLE FACILITY TYPES AND COMFORT LEVEL



For bicycling to be a viable and attractive option for people of all ages and abilities to make a wide variety of trips, bicycle parking and end-of-trip facilities must also be widely available, not just in commercial areas, but also at parks and transit facilities. Wayfinding, including signage and pavement markings for the bicycle network, should be applied consistently and predictably. Kirkland's terrain means that special treatments for bicycles like runnels should be considered at stairways and ramps for steep grades to help cyclists get up and down elevation changes.



There is strong support in the Kirkland community, based on what was heard from the outreach process, for building a bicycle network that people of all ages and abilities would feel comfortable using. The planned bicycle network includes low-stress facilities such as neighborhood greenways, protected bike lanes, and buffered or conventional bike lanes on lower speed streets. Where there is sufficient right-of-way space, this plan also recommends upgrading existing bike lanes to buffered or protected bike lanes.

On high-speed, high-volume streets such as arterials where bikeway connections are critical to link the network or reach key destinations, protected bike lanes provide physical separation and protective barriers from vehicle traffic. Protected bike lanes may be configured as raised above the roadway at the




sidewalk level, or at the roadway level with in-street barriers such as parallel parking, planter boxes, extruded curbs, or striped buffer with delineator posts. It is important to note that with all protected facilities, maintenance will be a key factor in ensuring the facilities remain free of debris and the separation mechanism can be replaced or repaired if needed. This comes with additional, and sometimes unforeseen, costs. Protected bike lanes may also be configured as a one-way facility on either side of the roadway following the direction of the vehicle travel lanes or may be configured as a two-way facility on one side of the roadway. Protected bike lanes are distinct from trails or sidewalks as they are exclusively designated for bicycle travel.

A smaller portion of the planned network includes buffered bike lanes, and where there are significant space constraints, conventional bike lanes and sharrows (bike symbol pavement markings), though these are less preferable treatments. As projects are implemented, connections to and through intersections are critical to ensure a safe and comfortable bicycle trip. These are spaces where there is greater interaction between bicyclists and other modes and therefore where most crashes occur. These spaces present the opportunity for low-cost treatments that have a high benefit. The ATP and the TSP outline future bicycle network connections that support connections to destinations (centers, parks, schools) with the goal of building a connected network. Kirkland's bicycle vision network is shown in Figure 5-4.

- **Neighborhood Greenways:** a low speed, low vehicle volume residential street with traffic calming features, roadway markings, and signage that provides a safe and comfortable environment for people of all ages and abilities to walk or bike.
- **Protected Bike Lanes:** dedicated bike lanes that provide physical separation and protective barriers from vehicle traffic for all ages and abilities bicycle travel.
- **Buffered Bike Lanes:** dedicated bike lanes with a buffer space separating bicycles from adjacent vehicular traffic but does not include a physical barrier between bicycle and vehicle traffic.



FIGURE 5-4. BICYCLE VISION NETWORK





5.2.5. Greenways

Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling with signage, pavement markings, traffic calming, and traffic control measures. The purpose of a neighborhood greenway is to provide a route for people of all ages and abilities to feel safe walking, bicycling, and rolling as a comfortable alternative to bike lanes on busy arterials. Neighborhood greenways are an important part of the citywide bicycle network to connect neighborhoods, schools, parks, regional trails, and other destinations.


In 2017-2018, the City developed the Kirkland Neighborhood Greenways Guide for Implementation²⁸ in coordination with an external stakeholder advisory group and the Transportation Commission. This document functions as a standards document for Neighborhood Greenways and includes a conceptual network map with considerations for final routing through the design process, design details, prioritization of individual greenway projects, outreach schedule, and performance measures to monitor the success of neighborhood greenways. These performance measures include 20 mph, 85th percentile vehicle speeds (meaning 85% of vehicles are traveling at or below 20 mph), maximum average daily traffic of 2,000 vehicles per day, 100% safe intersection crossings, and a 1% annual increase in use of active transportation modes after construction.

Together with the guidelines document in 2017, design was initiated for the first two Neighborhood Greenways in Kirkland, 128th Avenue NE and NE 75th Street in the North and South Rose Hill neighborhoods. Through design, implementation and continued monitoring on both new greenways, and the design process for a third greenway, the City has a number of lessons learned to incorporate in updates to the *Neighborhood Greenways Guide for Implementation*. An update to this guide will satisfy several actions identified to implement the policies in this section. Kirkland's vision for the future of the greenway network, including all Neighborhood Greenways that will be evaluated for funding as part of the Neighborhood Greenways program is shown in Figure 5-5.

²⁸ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/public-works/pdfs/kirkland-neighborhood-greenways-guide-for-implementation.pdf>



FIGURE 5-5. GREENWAYS VISION NETWORK





5.2.6. Projects & Programs

The City will continue to prioritize and invest in safe and accessible bicycle and pedestrian networks as a core part of the multimodal transportation system. Through the TSP planning process, potential projects were prioritized for funding and implementation based how they would benefit people walking, rolling and biking, and many of these high priority pedestrian and bike projects also included features that would further the City’s broader safety goals.

Project implementation will emphasize low-stress facilities to help complete the pedestrian and bicycle networks and meet the City’s vision for active transportation. For the pedestrian network, these projects will include filling sidewalk gaps through the Sidewalk Completion Program and intersection improvements that make pedestrian crossings easier and safer. For the bicycle network, potential projects emphasize facilities that are more comfortable for cyclists which are less tolerant of traffic stress.

All roadway projects in the City of Kirkland will include multimodal elements that would improve or create new connections for people walking and bicycling. A significant portion of the funding for transportation programs is allocated to programs that support active transportation and safety including the Crosswalk Upgrade, Street Lighting Design Improvements, Sidewalk Completion, and Citywide Greenway Network programs.



5.3. Public Transit

5.3.1. Related Plans

The City of Kirkland is responsible for maintaining the streets on which transit travels and can help improve transit speed and reliability through roadway capital projects, signal phasing, and other improvements. The City can also make improvements to public infrastructure around transit facilities, such as streetlighting. Active transportation projects can also improve access to transit with better pedestrian and bicycle connections to transit facilities.

Sound Transit and King County Metro are both planning major investments in frequent transit in Kirkland. Sound Transit's Stride Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) line will run between Lynnwood and Bellevue on I-405 with stops in Kirkland. This will provide transit connections to the Lynnwood City Center and Bellevue Transit Center light rail stations. Stride BRT line will stop at the Brickyard Park & Ride in Bothell, at the Totem Lake/Kingsgate Station, and at the NE 85th Street/I-405 Station Area in Kirkland and is expected to begin service in 2028. Metro is also planning an expansion of RapidRide BRT service into Kirkland with the RapidRide K Line, currently in design. The RapidRide K Line will connect Totem Lake and the downtown Kirkland Transit Center with downtown Bellevue and terminate at the Eastgate Park & Ride. RapidRide K Line is expected to bring more frequent, reliable bus service to Kirkland in 2030.

The [Kirkland Transit Implementation Plan](#) (KTIP) was developed through extensive community outreach and incorporates the work of transit providers and partner agencies including Metro, Sound Transit, and WSDOT. The Plan identifies key strategies and capital projects designed to enhance transit connections including signal improvements, queue jumps, stop consolidation and relocation, and potential transit lanes and access improvements. The projects identified in the KTIP were prioritized for future funding as part of the TSP, but the projects the City will ultimately pursue to improve transit in Kirkland will depend on project implementation from partner agencies including Metro.

Metro has proposed restructured bus service in Kirkland and across the Eastside as part of the Sound Transit light rail extension [East Link Connections](#) project. As part of a coordinated regional transit network, Metro will integrate bus service with Sound Transit's East Link Extension (2 Line) between Seattle and Redmond with an emphasis on connections to nearby light rail stations at Redmond Technology Center and Bellevue Transit Center. The first portion of the East Link Extension (2 Line) between Bellevue and Redmond opened on April 27, 2024. The restructured bus routes will come into effect once the full light rail connection to Seattle is operational, which is anticipated to open in 2025.

Goal T-3: Support and promote a transit system as a high value option for many trips.

Kirkland will support a reliable, accessible, and frequent transit network throughout the city that is a convenient option not only for travel to and from work, but also for recreation and other daily needs. While the City does not control transit service and facilities that serve Kirkland, it influences how well utilized transit will be through land use decisions and local transportation improvements. The City will help create a built environment that supports transit not only through a coordinated land use concept, but also by building access to transit improvements and providing amenities, such as pedestrian scale lighting, which contribute to a more comfortable experience at transit stops. Active partnerships with transit providers, including King County Metro and Sound Transit, will be critical to the success of the City's efforts to improve the transit network.

Over the 20-year planning horizon, Kirkland strives to retain existing service, restore suspended service, implement the King County Metro RapidRide K Line service, support other transit-supportive capital



projects to enhance service speed and reliability, make transit a more attractive mode choice, and retain flexible transit services such as Metro Flex. As Kirkland has grown and diversified, transit service has become an increasingly important feature of the transportation system and an integral part of Kirkland's efforts to be inclusive and sustainable.

To achieve this public transit goal, Kirkland's transit policies focus on improving active transportation infrastructure connecting to transit, amenities that create a better experience for transit riders, support for TDM and promoting transit services, and analysis of local transit needs.

Policy T-3.1: Plan and construct an environment supportive of frequent and reliable transit service in Kirkland.

Transit that serves people who live, work and visit Kirkland must operate on City streets. The City will play an important role in supporting transit through speed and reliability improvements, enhanced streetscapes around transit facilities, and better multimodal connections to transit. The KTIP, adopted in 2019, is a framework for local investments in the transit system that are coordinated with the transit agencies that serve Kirkland. Implementation of the projects and programs in the KTIP and access to transit improvements will help expand transit ridership, support more reliable operations, and create a better passenger experience for people riding transit in Kirkland. Actions that the City will take to make Kirkland more supportive of frequent and reliable transit in support of Policy T-3.1 include:

- a) Implement Kirkland's Transit Implementation Plan.
- b) Identify and implement access and safety projects that connect to existing transit services.
- c) Plan for capital improvements that support access to planned future transit service, such as King County Metro's RapidRide K Line Project and Sound Transit's Stride Bus Rapid Transit Program along I-405.
- d) Plan for capital and access improvements as part of analysis of future conditions and transit needs.

Policy T-3.2: Support safe and comfortable passenger facilities.

Kirkland will continue to improve passenger facilities for transit riders through coordination with transit agencies. The City will work to ensure the location of transit stops and plans for future transit service are considered with nearby land uses, and will implement projects to improve multimodal access and safety to transit stops to support a connected transit system. Kirkland will also coordinate with transit agencies to improve local transit amenities and improve bicycle parking at transit centers. Specific actions to implement Policy T-3.2 include:

- a) Add transit stops to the evaluation of crosswalk lighting.
- b) Evaluate access improvements at bus stops, such as ramp modifications and missing sidewalks.
- c) Work with transit agencies on stop improvements, such as stop placement, coverage, lighting, access, and amenities.
- d) Work with transit agencies to improve bicycle parking at transit centers, such as the addition of bicycle lockers.
- e) Incorporate transit stop access improvements into project prioritization.



Policy T-3.6: Pursue transit on the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC).

The long-term vision for the CKC is a catalyst for the entire city which includes connections to existing transit and considerations for high-capacity transit on the corridor. The corridor's adaptive design can accommodate future transit investments with preservation of right-of-way and utilities infrastructure. Future transit service parallel to the trail will require thoughtful design around separation with buffers that are integrated with the trail features and amenities. In the future, Kirkland will work to implement transit in a way that creates an integrated corridor experience, regardless of the mode, technology, or infrastructure that is eventually implemented along the corridor. Specific actions to implement Policy T-3.6 include:

- a) Implement transit or innovative flexible transit service on the CKC in keeping with the Cross Kirkland Corridor Master Plan.
- b) Study and identify the options for transit and/or micromobility connections by using the CKC as a corridor option.

Policy T-3.7: Promote the use of transit as a viable option for both commute and non--commute trips to increase ridership and expand service.

Transit should be a convenient option for travel to work, school, appointments, and recreational or social trips. Kirkland will promote the use of transit and will advocate for more frequent and reliable transit service. The City will continue to engage with and inform the community about changes in transit options as the transit system changes and new regional investments in transit serving Kirkland begin service. Specific actions to implement Policy T-3.7 include:

- a) Increase educational and awareness-raising efforts to communicate existing transit options.
- b) Increase opportunities for people to access ORCA card transit passes.

Policy T-3.8: Improve transit service in Kirkland.

While the City does not operate transit within Kirkland, it can play a critical role in improving transit service to Kirkland. The City can evaluate future transit needs based on future growth projections in the Comprehensive Plan and identify areas of community needs such as underserved communities, older adults, and communities of color within the city. Kirkland's work to study current and future transit needs will help the City advocate for investments in improved transit service in Kirkland. Specific action to implement Policy T-3.8 include:

- a) Conduct a transit needs study that evaluates future transit needs, helps the City advocate for better service regionally, and identifies potential alternative transit services, such as circulator services and private shuttles. Particular focus should be placed on populations who do not have access to a vehicle or cannot drive, such as youth or seniors.
- b) Develop a cohesive and impactful transit strategy to persuade decision-makers of the benefits of investing in greater future transit service in Kirkland.
- c) Explore options to increase transit service in Kirkland such as funding additional transit service from existing providers, pursue partnerships with a private service provider, or pursue other locally funded transit service such as a circulator shuttle.
- d) Evaluate public funding support to enhance existing transit service to be more reliable, frequent, and connected or expanded to reach underserved areas in Kirkland.



5.3.2. Transit Strategy

As the City continues to grow in population, it plays an increasingly larger role in regional mobility with two designated urban centers creating more jobs and housing opportunities, driving greater demand for transportation options to get from one place to another. A foundational solution to these critical mobility challenges is fast, reliable, and frequent public transit service

Over the 20-year planning horizon, in coordination with transit service providers, Kirkland strives to retain existing transit service, including flexible on-demand services such as Metro Flex; restore any suspended or reduced service due to the COVID-19 pandemic; implement two new bus rapid transit routes with the King County Metro RapidRide K Line and the Sound Transit Stride BRT; coordinate on transit connections to the new Sound Transit Link light rail and support other transit-supportive capital projects to enhance passenger access or service speed and reliability to make transit a more attractive and convenient mode choice. As Kirkland has grown and diversified, there is increasing demand for transit options and transit service has become an increasingly important component of the planned transportation system and planned land use. A multimodal transportation network and supportive land use strategy is an integral part of achieving Kirkland's vision to be sustainable, connected, and welcoming.

TRANSIT SUPPORTIVE POLICIES IN KIRKLAND

Transit and land use are intricately linked. Kirkland has committed to transit-supportive policies including transit-oriented density, evidenced by the [Station Area](#)²⁹ livable neighborhoods where people can walk, bicycle, or take transit to get to where they need to go. Through transit-supportive policies, Kirkland has invested in becoming a complete community, offering everyone easier access to necessary daily services and amenities. Much of the success of this vision is predicated on frequent and reliable transit to support the land uses that Kirkland has implemented. Much of this is predicated on frequent and reliable transit to support the land uses that Kirkland has implemented. Much of this is predicated on frequent and reliable transit to support the land uses that Kirkland has implemented.

Housing Density and Diversity

Kirkland has spent the past decade implementing smart growth policies. Density has been focused in two regionally designated urban growth centers linked by transit: Totem Lake and Greater Downtown/NE 85th Street Station Area. Thousands of units of housing and hundreds of thousands of square feet of commercial and retail space have been built in both centers. Future jobs and housing growth is anticipated to continue in these urban centers as well as along frequent transit routes. Both the Totem Lake and Downtown centers are built around existing transit centers. The NE 85th St Station Area will soon have a Sound Transit BRT station on I-405 that will serve commuters connecting throughout the region with frequent and reliable service.

Furthermore, Kirkland has mandatory affordable housing requirements in both centers. In particular, the NE 85th Street Station Area has some of the most aggressive affordability requirements in the region.

²⁹ www.kirklandwa.gov/stationareaplan



Kirkland is a founding member of A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH), the partnership between King County and East King County cities; and is a leader in the creation of affordable housing. There are over 1,000 units of affordable housing under construction in Kirkland right now, all in the urban centers near transit centers. While other communities fight against the creation of affordable housing in their communities, Kirkland embraces and implements it in a meaningful way. Considerations for additional transit service throughout King County should take these actions into account.

The thousands of new lower-income residents will be able to live where they work and will need transit to thrive, consistent with both the City's and King County equity goals. These Kirkland residents will ride transit to get where they need to go.

Sustainability

Kirkland has been a strong regional partner in combatting and adapting to climate change. Kirkland has adopted its own emissions reduction targets, is a member of King County Cities Climate Collaborate (K4C), and has adopted a comprehensive Sustainability Strategic Plan to accomplish climate resilience and many related environmental goals.

These sustainability goals are woven throughout Kirkland's comprehensive plan, which prioritizes urban centers, and walkable 10-minute neighborhoods linked by transit.

Transportation

The TSP includes Goal T-3 to Support and promote a transit system as a high value option for many trips. This includes policies and actions to support transit and passenger facilities, transit-oriented development and includes a transit needs study.

5.3.3. Studies and Emerging Topics

SERVICE STUDIES AND TRANSIT GROWTH

Kirkland will evaluate current and future transit services needs in the city's neighborhoods and communities to help inform discussions with transit agencies. As the City implements the Comprehensive Plan, community transit needs may change over time.

According to Metro's Long Range Transit Plan, [Metro Connects](#), increased frequent transit service, such as RapidRide or frequent local routes, is supported by local land use and planning. Metro's Service Guidelines outline how land use characteristics around transit routes can help support transit demand, focusing on four key attributes of the built environment:

- **Density:** More people and activities in an area, in particular housing and jobs, increase the number of potential riders.
- **Mix of uses:** More varied land uses in an area increase the number of potential origins and destinations, including homes, work, school, shopping, medical, and transit connections, at all times of day.
- **Connections:** More compact development with good walking and bicycling connections creates better access to nearby transit service.



- **Transit-supportive policies and programs:** Policies and programs, including development regulations, affordable housing incentives, and other policies to support TOD can improve access for all people and create equitable transit-oriented communities in the future.

King County Metro's Service Guidelines establish measures for setting future service levels. Land use factors, particularly density of households and population within one-quarter mile, make up half of the overall score in setting future service levels. The guidelines describe land use characteristics that support RapidRide and all-day frequent transit at a density of over 20 housing units or jobs per acre with a mix of land uses that support peak frequent transit service at a density of over 15 housing units or jobs per acre and a moderate mix of land uses.

One of Metro's planning priorities is to grow transit countywide. The Service Guidelines identify candidate routes for investment. Metro projects future service needs and sets target service levels in the annual System Evaluation Report. These targets are based on the service guidelines growth methodology, which considers land use, equity, and geographic value (connections to transit centers and regional growth centers). Land use factors account for half of the scoring on this methodology because they demonstrate potential transit demand on the route, equity factors and potential geographic value both account for one quarter of the scoring. Metro can also set service levels to higher targets in response to overcrowding, transit reliability challenges and partner funding.

Demand for transit service growth is expected to exceed Metro's capacity to expand annually or in the agency's biennial budget cycle. Metro prioritizes service needs based on the same factors used to project service needs, but places greater emphasis on equity in determining where to invest in transit service. The prioritization process is based on equity, land use, and geographic value, in that order. Each route's score on these factors helps determine its priority for investment. This prioritization aligns with Metro's values of advancing equity and addressing climate change.

FLEXIBLE TRANSIT SERVICES

Kirkland has access to flexible transit options like Community Van and Metro Flex, which enhance the fixed-route bus services by offering more adaptable schedules and routes (as described in section 3.3.1). Community Van provides 6- and 12-passenger vans for arranged rides with at least two passengers and a volunteer driver, available within a 2-hour drive of Kirkland. Metro Flex is an on-demand service in King County, offering affordable minivan rides in the Juanita area (including Juanita, Finn Hill, and parts of Totem Lake). Kirkland supports expansion of flexible transit services like Community Van and Metro Flex and **will actively explore** options the City can implement independently or in partnership with transit service providers, such as community shuttles to achieve sustaining service. As the city grows and diversifies, transit options including flexible services that offer more first- and last-mile transit options will be a key part of Kirkland's inclusive and sustainable transportation system.

5.3.4. Projects and Programs

The TSP will focus investments in the public transit system on expanding ridership, enhancing passenger experience, and advocating for improved transit service. To advance Kirkland's goals for public transit improvements, potential projects were prioritized for funding and implementation based on potential connections frequent, local, or future transit.

The City will continue to invest in transit speed and reliability in partnership with Metro and Sound Transit. Speed and reliability enhancements will be coordinated with changes to the bus network as part of the East Link Connections project and with the RapidRide K Line project. Projects to create more complete pedestrian and bike networks, particularly around frequent transit, will also help extend the reach of transit services and provide last-mile options for people traveling in Kirkland.



5.4. Vehicle Network Management

Driving is currently the predominant mode of travel in Kirkland and will continue to be a significant component of the transportation system. This includes people driving to access goods and services, as well as accommodating vehicles that maintain and support daily life in Kirkland, such as for postal service, deliveries, garbage pick-up, and maintenance.

In peak travel periods there is congestion at many signalized intersections throughout Kirkland, which is attributable to the strong local and regional economy, but also the fact that Kirkland is a desirable place to live and recreate. The city has grown significantly in recent years, which has put strain on the transportation system for residents to get around town easily at certain times of day. Kirkland's transportation system is not only used for residents and visitors, but also for people passing through as several arterials connect from the interstate system and between neighboring cities of Bellevue, Bothell, Kenmore, Woodinville, and Redmond.

It is important for the City to prioritize non-vehicular modes of transportation because the more trips that can be accomplished by walking, bicycling, or taking transit, the less congested the roadways are for people that need to drive. In addition to supporting mode shift, maximizing operational efficiencies of the vehicle network is another way to better manage congestion. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) is an important component to maximize efficiency and ensure the transportation system is resilient and dependable.

5.4.1. Related Plans

[Kirkland's ITS Plan³⁰](#) includes three goals for system management:

- **Reliable.** The operation and delivery of services supported by ITS will be highly reliable. The goal is for there to be no traffic signal malfunctions due to causes that are within the City's control.
- **Resilient.** When malfunctions occur (or damage to ITS infrastructure), the response and correction time will be as short as possible.
- **Responsive.** ITS will be responsive to identified operational needs.

In order to achieve these goals for system management, improvements in Kirkland's communications network, systems and software, detection devices, and staffing are all needed. In addition to actual system efficiency such as synchronizing signals and implementing transit signal priority, better monitoring systems that can evaluate near-misses at intersections and conduct traffic counts for all modes will improve management of the roadway system.

The ITS Plan is designed to not only meet today's needs, but to meet the multimodal demands of a growing city. Implementing the ITS plan will provide future ready ITS systems, field devices, staff, and communications network to meet the needs and expectations of the traveling public. The TSP goals and policies are aligned to support the implementation of the ITS Plan. This is also aligned with Kirkland's [Smart Cities Plan³¹](#).

Goal T-4: Provide for efficient and safe vehicular circulation, recognizing congestion is present during parts of most days.

³⁰ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/3/public-works/transportation/intelligent-transportation-systems-its-plan.pdf>

³¹ <https://smart-city-master-plan-kirklandwa.hub.arcgis.com/>



Kirkland has long recognized that attempts to build the City's roadway network out of congestion does not align with the City's overall vision for the built environment. Congestion is expected to be present on the busy roadways in Kirkland for the foreseeable future. Rather than solely focusing on expanding capacity, Kirkland will seek to maximize operational efficiency and safety on the City's roadway network through strategic investments in management of the overall roadway system. Kirkland's systemwide approach to management of the vehicular network and parking system will support Kirkland's overall land use vision. Strategic investments in the safety and efficiency of the roadway network will benefit people using other transportation modes and advance the City's vision for a safe, connected and multimodal transportation system.

To achieve this goal, the following vehicle network policies focus on implementation of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and curb space management to effectively manage the vehicular system. Policies to prioritize and target capital investments in the vehicular network should integrate land use, traffic calming, and safety considerations to benefit all roadway users.

Policy T-4.1: Make strategic investments in intersections and street capacity to support existing and future land uses.

- a) Prioritize and construct intersection and roadway projects to meet capacity needs while incorporating multimodal safety and connectivity.
- b) As needed, review and update street networks and street design concepts for urban centers and areas with existing and potential future growth.

Policy T-4.2: Implement the ITS Plan.

- a) Establish procedures to evaluate the operational and safety performance of ITS.
- b) Reduce the potential for major signal malfunctions. Increase the robustness of the network to limit the potential for a loss of access to intersection resources.
- c) Increase the potential to respond quickly to equipment and system malfunctions and increase recovery options.
- d) Implement systems and detection to operate signals to respond to transient fluctuations in demand, including freeway incidents, surface-street incidents and closures, and school operations.
- e) Improve emergency services response times, including increasing route selection capabilities, options to speed signal recovery, and provide data and analysis tools to evaluate usage and effectiveness.
- f) Better serve a balance of multimodal operations.
- g) Pursue grant funds to implement the ITS Plan.

Policy T-4.3: Take an active approach to managing on-street and off-street parking, with updated curb management policies.

- a) Regularly monitor parking occupancy, turnover, and other factors by investing in continuous parking tracking technology or periodically undertaking parking studies.
- b) Develop a curb management strategy to effectively, efficiently, and safely use curb space. This strategy should support transportation and placemaking initiatives by considering mobility, access, and placemaking, as well as storage and turnover of vehicles along the curb space.
- c) Evaluate City-owned on-street and off-street parking policies related to regulations of time-limited parking and pricing.



- d) Coordinate with the Planning and Building Department on parking policies within the zoning code to update requirements for parking minimums, electric vehicle charging stations, and bicycle parking.
- e) Periodically update City policies related to innovations for parking policy and curb management practices.
- f) Continue to improve wayfinding and customer information to direct drivers to available parking and communicate parking policies in parking regulated areas.
- g) Implement a paid parking program in areas that have high parking demand, such as Downtown Kirkland and parking lots associated with public parks.

Policy T-4.4: Mitigate negative impacts of motor vehicle traffic on neighborhood streets.

- a) Implement traffic calming measures, interventions to reduce cut-through traffic, speed reduction, and similar approaches through additional investment in the Neighborhood Traffic Control Program.

Policy T-4.5: Identify roadway improvements that address safety patterns, crash history or injury preventative measures supporting Kirkland's Vision Zero goal.

- a) Use crash data, near-miss analysis, and other traffic-related data to identify countermeasures to promote safety and prevent collisions.

Policy T-4.6: Clarify truck and freight networks within the city beyond the established freight routes.

- a) Define the difference between freight and truck priority corridors as related to Kirkland's transportation network.
- b) Designate freight and/or truck corridors and create maps and a platform for communicating these networks to freight and delivery service providers.
- c) Identify freight and truck routes that minimize conflicts with people walking, rolling, and bicycling and with transit operations.

5.4.2. Freight and Trucks

As part of managing the vehicle network, considering freight and truck movements is an important element to managing the transportation network. Aside from major freight corridors such as I-405 and major arterials such as NE 85th St, access to goods and services require deliveries from trucks and larger vehicles. The management of curb space to facilitate parking and deliveries is a critical component to managing public right-of-way and curb space. These policies and actions outline what the City will work on to better facilitate freight and truck movements in Kirkland. When considering freight and truck movements, design and other infrastructure elements will focus on the potential impact to the safety of other transportation modes. This is captured in other City plans and policies such as in TSP Goal 1.



5.4.3. Curb Management

Competition for use of curb space is becoming increasingly important and complex. Curb management needs are constantly changing as people change the way they use the transportation system and access destinations. Kirkland curb space has a number of competing needs: car- or ride-sharing, delivery and goods movement, pick-up and drop-off trips, transit stops and access, and bike and pedestrian infrastructure. Other curb uses such as parklets or restaurant seating have become increasingly popular in recent years. The City plans to evaluate the use of curb space and define policies through a future curb management strategy.

Curb management is the organization of a wide range of public activities and infrastructure features that are found along the edge of the public right-of-way, typically the sidewalk curb of a public street. Those activities include travel lanes, parking (general, temporary and ADA), transit stops, electric charging stations, utilities, delivery services and loading zones, app-based ride-hailing services, sidewalks, bike lanes, bike parking, parklets, street cafes, driveways, or other business activities.

The City is seeing an increased demand for a large and growing number of curbside uses which has resulted in increased competition for curb access. Curb management refers to the policies, systems, services, and strategies that support, regulate, and prioritize all of these activities at the curb. The TSP goals and policies identify an action to develop a curb management strategy which will provide context and strategies to better manage and prioritize how the curb is used and inform future parking policy. It will also outline policies that will guide the decision-making process for changes in curb use based on new projects or changing priorities.


Curb space is varied and has unique local conditions through Kirkland. Efficient use of curb space is essential to support major transportation corridors and growing regional centers, particularly as technology evolves and new mobility services come to Kirkland. As Kirkland grows, the City needs to plan for the use of this important space for the community and ensure the best plan is in place for its utilization.

5.4.4. Projects and Programs

The TSP is focused on multimodal improvements to the transportation system, including projects to improve the function of the vehicle network. Many of the City's planned investments in vehicular circulation and capacity in the future will be at intersections and include signal projects, intersection reconfiguration with safety and multimodal features, or projects to meet future needs from growth based on the City's concurrency standards for vehicles (see Section 5.9). Other investments in the vehicular network will be corridor projects along specific segments of Kirkland roadways including new connections and roadway reconstruction with new or improved multimodal infrastructure. All roadway projects that have been identified for funding in the TSP include multimodal elements that would benefit people using other modes of travel as well as people driving. Vehicle network projects included in the TSP are shown in Figure 5-6.



FIGURE 5-6. VEHICLE NETWORK PROJECTS





5.5. Technology and Emerging Practices

5.5.1. Related Plans

The City is positioning itself to be a leader in adopting emerging technologies to further its transportation goals. The key program promoting citywide adoption of emerging technologies is the [Smart City Master Plan](#), adopted in 2023. This plan outlines initiatives and projects to improve quality of life across all aspects of city governance through technology. The plan is composed of five key initiatives: program operations, data management, technology expansion, City operations and community engagement, and mobility management. Under mobility management, four specific projects are identified that seek to incorporate emerging technologies: special event solutions, supporting downtown parking management, bicycle/pedestrian mobility enhancements, and support for EV expansion. The TSP Policy T-5.3 specifically calls for supporting the goals and initiatives of the Kirkland Smart City Master Plan, adopted in 2023. This plan outlines initiatives and projects to improve quality of life across all aspects of city governance through technology. The Plan is composed of five key initiatives: program operations, data management, technology expansion, city operations and community engagement, and mobility management. Under mobility management, four specific projects are identified that seek to incorporate emerging technologies: special event solutions, supporting downtown parking management, bicycle/pedestrian mobility enhancements, and support for EV expansion. The TSP Policy T-5.3 specifically calls for supporting the goals and initiatives of the Kirkland Smart City Master Plan.

Several other plans also promote adoption of technologies and emerging practices specific to the transportation system. The [Intelligent Transportation System Plan](#), adopted in May 2020, establishes how ITS can be used to improve transportation efficiency, multimodal access, and mobility. The plan provides recommendations for how the City can be ready for new transportation technologies for on- and off-street systems, parking management and pricing, and communications. The plan also includes two white papers on preparing for automated vehicles and technology for parking systems. adopted in May 2020, establishes how ITS can be used to improve transportation efficiency, multimodal access, and mobility. The Plan provides recommendations for how the City can be ready for new transportation technologies for on- and off-street systems, parking management and pricing, and communications. The Plan also includes two white papers on preparing for automated vehicles and technology for parking systems.

The [Active Transportation Plan](#), adopted in June 2022, outlines how the City will implement a multimodal transportation system and enable safe and accessible walking, bicycling, and rolling in Kirkland for people of all ages and abilities. The plan includes objectives, strategies, and a supportive goal addressing technology for the active transportation system, and rolling in Kirkland for people of all ages and abilities. The Plan includes objectives, strategies, and a supportive goal addressing technology for the active transportation system:

- **Strategy 1-5-2:** Identify opportunities to utilize technology and signals to increase pedestrian safety at signalized intersections such as passive detection, leading pedestrian intervals, or pedestrian only “scramble” phases.
- **Objective 2-6:** Explore opportunities to utilize technology to improve bicycle safety and accommodation.
- **Objective 2-8:** Explore opportunities to implement a bike share program and consider adding electric foot scooter share or other micro-mobility technologies.
- **Supportive Goal S3:** Utilize technology to support safety measures and supplement safe networks.



Goal T-5: The transportation system should be flexible and equipped to adapt to new technologies and innovative solutions that expand mobility choices for people in Kirkland.

Innovations in technology and emerging practices can be a valuable tool in achieving the City's transportation goals. Electric vehicles can help the City achieve its climate and sustainability goals alongside programs to reduce vehicle miles traveled and encourage use of other modes. New micromobility options with sustainable power sources, such as electric bicycles and scooters, are expanding the ways people travel. These technologies and other innovative practices in data collection and analysis can help inform design and decision-making around transportation projects.

To achieve this goal, the following policies focus on supporting new technologies, mobility choices, and integrating new practices and methods into transportation planning.

Policy T-5.1: Support technology innovations that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and transportation modes that reduce single occupancy vehicle use.

Kirkland will prioritize technological innovations that support goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and single occupancy vehicle use. The City will support investments in public electric charging stations for vehicles and micromobility services and provide incentives for private charging infrastructure. Building communication network infrastructure will help streamline information sharing for connected vehicles (CVs). Development of rules, regulations, and policies for micromobility services like e-bicycles will ensure that they are operated safely and equitably. Specific actions to implement Policy T-5.1 include:

- a) Increase and incentivize both public and private electric charging stations for vehicles and other electric devices (e.g. bicycles, scooters).
- b) Improve communication networks such as public Wi-Fi or fiber to improve City operations and to facilitate public services and information.
- c) Develop definitions, policies, and regulations related to the use of e-bicycles and other electric micromobility devices that address locations of use, safety, education, and enforcement.

Policy T-5.2: Position Kirkland to support future technologies that may be developing or yet to be realized such as autonomous vehicles.

Kirkland is aiming to be a leader in adoption of emerging transportation technologies and practices. While difficult to fully anticipate technology impacts, Kirkland will position itself to be a leader by aligning and prioritizing infrastructure upgrades with emerging technologies and exploring opportunities for future technologies to increase quality of life and advance the City's goals. This includes yet to be realized technologies like autonomous vehicles and supporting advancements in existing technologies, like ITS. Specific actions to implement Policy T-5.2 include:

- a) Upgrade infrastructure to support and align with emerging technologies.
- b) Explore opportunities for future technologies that advance City goals.

Policy T-5.3: Support Kirkland's Smart City Plan goals and initiatives.

Kirkland's Smart City Master Plan describes how the City will use technology to improve quality of life and enhance governance. The plan includes specific actions for the transportation system regarding parking management, bicycle and pedestrian mobility, and supporting EVs. To implement the goals and initiatives of the Smart City Master Plan, Kirkland will seek funding, partnerships, and improve efforts on data collection, management, and sharing. Specific actions to implement Policy T-5.3 include:



- a) Actively seek funding, partnerships, and opportunities for technology expansion.
- b) Improve Kirkland's data collection, management, and sharing for crash data, traffic operations, and other transportation data.

5.5.2. Emerging Technologies

Kirkland already takes an active role integrating technology in the transportation system, especially through ITS projects and programs. However, these technologies will evolve over time, and for the City to continue being a leader in emerging practices, it is critical to understand the challenges and opportunities for different technologies. This section describes different emerging transportation technologies to build a shared understanding of their potential impacts and approaches to implementing projects and programs.

- **Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)** – ITS describes a range of technologies that improve transportation system operation and efficiency and is generally composed of four different types of assets: traffic control systems, communication tools, data management systems, and traffic management tools. Used in combination, these assets can provide specific benefits to the transportation system in terms of shorter travel times, GHG emissions reductions, safety, transportation choices, and parking management. Challenges for ITS are ensuring the systems are up to date and that there is staffing and expertise available to maintain the systems. ITS investments risk falling out of use if there isn't regular capital investment and consistent operational funding. The City's current ITS Plan places an emphasis on a future-proofed system that can respond to future changes in ITS technology and staffing.
- **Autonomous Vehicles (AVs)** – AVs offer the prospect of dramatic improvements to the transportation system as a whole and may have benefits for traffic safety, travel time, as well as opening new opportunities for ride-hailing services and transit. The Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) has identified six levels of automation to describe the range of human involvement from no automation to full automation. Most new vehicles on the market provide some level of automation, such as driver assistance features that regulate speed and emergency braking features. Fully autonomous vehicles are likely a long way from being available to the public, but they have seen limited rollouts through Waymo, a ride-sharing service, in Phoenix, AZ, San Francisco, and Los Angeles, CA. While these technologies have potential benefits to the transportation system, they also come with challenges. For one, AVs would not address issues related to traffic congestion and GHG emissions reductions on their own. Second, it is difficult to anticipate when fully autonomous vehicle technology will be widespread, making it hard for the City to fund efforts for adoption and accommodation of AVs. Appendix A in the City of Kirkland ITS Plan includes a white paper that provides additional detail and considerations regarding AVs.
- **Connected Vehicles (CVs)** – CVs generally refer to vehicles that use communication technology to provide advance notification of incidents and/or events in proximity to them. Notifications can alert vehicles about slowed or stopped vehicles ahead, an approaching emergency vehicle, hazardous weather conditions, and general travel information. CV features can also help transit operations and emergency response through applications like traffic signal priority. A key challenge with CVs is the rapid advancement in technologies. While taking advantage of these advancements can help improve transportation operations, it is difficult to stay up to date and ensure there is funding, staffing, and expertise available to implement and improve upon existing plans, projects, and programs.
- **Electric Vehicles (EVs)** – EVs refer to vehicles that utilize electricity for propulsion. Widespread adoption of EVs in place of traditional internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles can contribute greatly towards the City's sustainability and GHG reduction goals. Personal EVs are becoming increasingly accessible and affordable as technology matures. Increasing the share of personal EVs compared to ICE vehicles will help lower emissions and reduce travel costs on a household



level. However, conversion of freight and transit vehicles to EVs offers potential bigger potential gains. Kirkland can encourage adoption and use of EVs by residents and businesses by providing infrastructure and incentives like public vehicle charging stations or priority parking for EVs. While EVs offer significant environmental benefits, they don't inherently reduce congestion or resolve issues of transportation safety.

5.5.3. Micromobility

Micromobility is a broad term that refers to the suite of personal transportation programs like bike-, scooter-, and car-shares. Micromobility enables people to travel short distances using a shared device, usually for a fee, accessed through a smartphone app. While often operated by a private service, micromobility operators typically partner with local jurisdictions to develop rules and regulations that respond to the community's priorities and concerns. There are several operators of micromobility services in the Puget Sound region, such as Lime and Bird, which provide electric bikes (e-bikes) and electric scooters (e-scooters). Investing in micromobility can pay enormous dividends to Kirkland's transportation system and quality of life by encouraging a shift to active transportation modes, relieving congestion, reducing GHG emissions, and lowering household transportation costs.

E-bikes and e-scooters have seen a surge in popularity and availability as battery technology advances. This has made it easier and cheaper to roll out micromobility services to new communities. To take advantage of this, Kirkland may consider incentives for public e-bike and e-scooter charging infrastructure, provide subsidies for using micromobility services (especially for underserved populations), and develop safe, connected active transportation facilities. Micromobility can be a powerful tool to achieve the City's transportation goals but has the potential to introduce adverse impacts. Rules and regulations are necessary to ensure that micromobility devices are stored/docked properly, are regularly maintained and safe to operate, and serve communities equitably.

5.5.4. Projects and Programs

ITS is an important component of the City's plans to achieve modal balance through intersection and signal improvements throughout Kirkland. ITS is a component of multimodal projects to create protected spaces for people walking, rolling and bicycling in Kirkland including protected intersections and pedestrian signal phasing, crossing treatments, and signal modifications. Corridor projects that include bicycle and pedestrian facilities such as protected bike lanes and expanded sidewalks can also implement ITS improvements as part of the overall project. Transit speed and reliability improvements such as queue jumps, and signal priority also integrate ITS features to improve transit service through Kirkland.

A number of projects in the consolidated project list for the TSP include ITS elements. Key projects considered for funding as part of the TSP include:

- Protected intersection improvements at 6th Street and NE 85th Street/Central Way, 6th Street and Kirkland Way, NE 85th Street and 122nd Avenue NE, and at NE 85th Street and 124th Avenue NE.
- Queue jumps at Market Street and Forbes Creek Drive.
- Protected bike lanes and multimodal improvements to NE 132nd Street.
- Intersection and signal improvements on 120th Avenue NE and NE 128th Street.



5.6. Maintenance and Preservation

Goal T-6: Ensure adequate resources to preserve and maintain the existing and future transportation system.

As Kirkland continues to expand and improve connections on its transportation network, the City will also prioritize maintenance of existing infrastructure. Keeping the City's transportation infrastructure in a state of good repair with regular maintenance will extend the lifetime of City-owned facilities and preserve budgets by reducing the number of necessary capital projects to replace aging infrastructure. Kirkland currently has funded programs dedicated to maintaining the City's transportation assets, including roadway paving and striping, traffic signals, and sidewalks and pedestrian facilities. The effectiveness of these maintenance programs depends on available funding and asset management systems.

Kirkland will plan for maintenance needs through regular inventories and condition assessments of existing facilities, and will integrate maintenance considerations into decision-making for capital projects. Additionally, as roadways are repaved or restriped, the City will continue to opportunistically implement safety improvements for all modal users through minor geometric or striping changes as these maintenance budgets allow.

To keep the infrastructure that people traveling in Kirkland rely on in good condition, the following policies focus on funding, asset management systems and planning for the life cycle costs of investments in the transportation system.

Policy T-6.1: Prioritize maintenance, operations, and preservation of existing infrastructure and ensure this is adequately resourced with dedicated funding.

- a) Identify and sustain reasonable maintenance funding levels for the complete set of transportation assets.
- b) Develop and maintain inventories of assets that require maintenance, such as pavement markings, traffic signals, signs, and sidewalks.
- c) Identify and implement improvements to asset management systems.
- d) Ensure regular sweeping of existing bicycle facilities and invest in a more efficient bicycle lane sweeper that requires fewer staff resources and covers a larger area.

Policy T-6.2: Plan for and incrementally increase maintenance resources in line with additional assets as new facilities are built and as the city grows.

- a) Ensure growing inventory of system assets are matched with adequate maintenance levels.
- b) Develop lifecycle costs for capital and maintenance projects.
- c) Adequately fund maintenance so that maintenance does not become the driver of decision making for future projects.
- d) Ensure the preservation program is adequately funded to meet pavement condition targets.

Policy T-6.3: Maximize the useful lifetime of the transportation network at optimum lifecycle cost.

- a) Identify opportunities to minimize lifecycle costs through technology and innovations (e.g., roundabouts instead of signals).



5.6.1. Projects and Programs

The Public Works Streets and Grounds Division performs everyday maintenance and operation of the facilities in public rights-of-way. The Transportation Division's sign and signal shop also spends a large portion of the operations budget and time maintaining and replacing signs, signals, and managing signal cabinets and associated technology. There are several ways in which the City funds and conducts maintenance of the right-of-way.

The 2012 Street Levy raises about \$2.7 million per year over 20 years. 5% of the levy is set aside for school walk routes and 5% for the neighborhood safety program. The remainder will be added to the approximately \$1.75 million of annual non-levy funding for pavement maintenance. This funding is set aside by policy for pavement maintenance.

Some maintenance elements are also funded by the Capital Improvement Program through contracted work. These include pavement maintenance, pavement markings, and sidewalk maintenance. Higher quality bicycle facilities often require more pavement markings, and their maintenance warrants an increase in the maintenance budget.

The City conducts an inventory of all City streets, alleys, and parking lots (pavement assets) and collects information about the pavement condition of all arterial and collector streets every 2 years and the remaining pavement condition of the rest of the pavement assets every 4 years. Staff continuously monitor the pavement condition of all pavement assets using a pavement management program.

The City repaves with overlay or slurry seals up to 10 lane-miles of streets every year. Repaving a street can extend its useful life by 8 to 20 years depending on the pavement treatment. Kirkland's goal for its street preservation program is to improve the score of its arterial network to 70 on the Pavement Condition Index. The street network's Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score at the end of 2015 was 65.4. In 2023, the PCI of arterial streets was 71.



5.7. Equity

5.7.1. Background

The City of Kirkland's values related to equity are formally supported by resolutions from City Council and form the foundation for the City's approach:

- [Resolution R-5240](#)³² – declaring Kirkland a safe, inclusive, and welcoming community for all people.
- [Resolution R-5434](#)³³ – ensuring the safety and respect of Black people, committing to examining and dismantling interpersonal, institutional, and structural racism in Kirkland.
- [Resolution R-5548](#)³⁴ – adopting the Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) 5-Year Roadmap.

The City's values are also reflected in the [City Council Goals](#)³⁵ with Inclusive and Equitable Communities at the top. The [DEIB Roadmap](#)³⁶ covers a broad range of City functions from leadership, operations and services, plans and budgets, to workplace/force. The Roadmap specifically calls for the utilization of an equity-centered, third-party review of the Comprehensive Plan and other long-range planning processes (Goal 4.2).

5.7.2. Equity in the TSP Planning Process

As part of the development of the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Strategic Plan, an Equity and Engagement Program Review was conducted to support equitable planning and to assess how local planning can mitigate displacement of vulnerable populations, support inclusive engagement, and improve access to resources and opportunities for the whole community. This was an integral piece of the Community Engagement Plan that outlined strategies and approaches for engaging the public and gathering input for the Comprehensive Plan. The Equity and Engagement Program Review report also identified opportunities to address equity in the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Strategic Plan.

For the Transportation Strategic Plan, these recommendations were incorporated into the public engagement process and into the goals and policies for the updated Transportation Element and TSP. An example of how these recommendations shaped the TSP is the use of more inclusive terms such as 'walk, bike and roll' to account for people who use strollers, wheelchairs, or other types of mobility devices. The TSP also addresses people who walk, bike and roll as the most vulnerable users of the transportation system because they are the most likely to be injured or severely injured when involved in a collision and because some people may not have a choice other than to walk, bike, roll and to take transit to get to where they need to go. Developing a multimodal transportation system and connected networks for people of all ages and abilities is one of the primary outcomes the TSP aims to achieve.

Equity was also incorporated in the transportation project prioritization framework. The City developed a Capital Improvement Program Equity Mapping Tool to geographically prioritize transportation projects

³² <https://docs.kirklandwa.gov/CMWebDrawer/RecordHtml/334410>

³³ https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/city-council/resolutions/9a1_business.pdf

³⁴ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/city-managers-office/resolution-r-5548.pdf>

³⁵ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/city-managers-office/pdfs/2023-2024-kirkland-city-council-goals.pdf>

³⁶ https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/city-managers-office/roadmap-as-adopted_1.pdf



that support areas of the city with higher populations of lower incomes, people of color, foreign born people, those with limited English proficiency, and those who live in areas with poor health outcomes.

Goal T-7: The transportation system should address the mobility needs of all people, regardless of age, ability, socioeconomic status, or background while prioritizing the needs of the most vulnerable users to advance the City's commitment to diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging.

The way Kirkland communicates with and invests in the community reflects the City's commitment to DEIB. The investments that Kirkland makes in the future transportation system should meet the needs of everyone traveling in Kirkland. Regardless of age, ability, socio-economic status, or background, everyone should be able to get around safely and comfortably.

The following policies are intended to achieve this goal and focus on prioritizing underserved or underrepresented groups.

Policy T-7.1: Create an equitable transportation system that provides mobility for all users and addresses historical inequities in the transportation system.

- a) Update the ADA Transition Plan for transportation facilities. Fund improvements to address deficiencies identified in the Plan to complete an accessible network in a timely manner.
- b) Implement a 20-year transportation capital improvements list that invests in multimodal transportation in equity priority areas.

Policy T-7.2: Implement transportation programs and projects in ways that improve mobility for marginalized communities.

- a) Prioritize transportation projects and programs that support people who experience mobility challenges and those who are most vulnerable when traveling (e.g., people walking, rolling, and bicycling).
- b) Engage with people who experience challenges navigating the transportation system to identify accessibility needs. Implement improvements or accommodations identified through this engagement (e.g., passive detection at signals), recognizing that the transportation system is often designed for able-bodied people.
- c) Align priorities with the DEIB Roadmap and improve engagement with populations identified in the DEIB roadmap on near and long-term projects and programs.
- d) Ensure inclusion of vulnerable populations in community engagement efforts for transportation planning and transportation capital projects.
- e) Use tools such as the Capital Improvement Program Equity Mapping Tool or other coordinated equity tools to assist with project prioritization.
- f) Provide services to support the attendance and participation of historically underrepresented populations.



5.8. Sustainability

5.8.1. Background

The Sustainability, Climate, and Environment (SCE) Element of the Comprehensive Plan defines sustainability as meeting our present needs while ensuring future generations can meet their own needs. To become a more sustainable city, we need to consider the long term and wide-ranging impacts of our actions and evolve, strengthen, and expand our policies and programs to adapt to new situations. The three key areas of sustainability are:

- **Ecological Sustainability:** Protect natural systems and built structures that protect habitats, water supply, create a healthy environment, and promote energy efficiency.
- **Economic Sustainability:** Build a strong economy that is able to support our entire community, solve environmental issues, and not compromise the environment in which we live.
- **Social Sustainability:** Provide a sense of community to residents, support basic health and human service needs, and proactively create opportunities and provide resources for those who are unhoused, unemployed, underemployed, and vulnerable so that they can have a pathway to participate in greater opportunities and enjoyment of the community.

Transportation touches all of these key areas such as the impact of emissions on air quality or tire wear on water systems (ecological), the link to land use related to the facilitation and access to goods and services (economic), and access to jobs, housing, and health care (social).

Greenhouse gas emissions from transportation sources represent 37% of Kirkland's GHG emissions as of 2022. Reducing vehicle emissions and other pollutants is critical to enhance public health, especially for vulnerable community members. One way to accomplish this is to reduce both the number and length of trips people take in automobiles, particularly single-occupancy trips. Kirkland's [Sustainability Strategic Plan](#)³⁷ (SSP) looks to achieve the following four elements of the transportation and land use focus area:

1. Smart Compact Growth
2. Active Transportation
3. Public Transportation
4. Shared Mobility

The SCE Element of the Comprehensive Plan also includes policies to move the community toward electrification and away from fossil fuels. Support for Electric Vehicle (EV) adoption and infrastructure, such as EV charging stations for electric cars and other electric mobility options such as bicycles and scooters, are integrated into the element's goals and policies. Kirkland's SSP is the functional plan that contains actions that implement many of the goals and policies in the SCE Element, including goals for transportation and land use.

The TSP addresses these focus areas for transportation through goals and policies throughout the plan. While the Sustainability Goal T-8 focuses on reducing environmental impacts directly from transportation, these focus areas are achieved through policies under several TSP goals in addition to the sustainability goal such as land use, active transportation, transit, and maintenance. Sustainable transportation is closely related to land use which is why the transportation and land use planning processes are coordinated through public engagement, plan development and project identification and analysis.

³⁷ <https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/1/public-works/recycling/sustainability/sustainability-master-plan-adopted-dec-2020.pdf>



Goal T-8: Minimize transportation environmental impacts through mode shift, stormwater mitigation, and other greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction efforts.

Kirkland's transportation system is a key part of meeting the City's climate goals. Kirkland will accelerate its climate response and efforts to reduce GHG emissions through new strategies to promote more sustainable modes of travel and encourage shifts to transit or active transportation. Kirkland will also strive to minimize potential impacts to water and air quality from the transportation system through support for sustainable transportation modes and reductions in vehicle miles traveled.

Mode-share goals are a required policy element for Regional Growth Centers designated by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC). Kirkland has two Regional Growth Centers, also referred to as Urban Centers: Greater Downtown, which includes the NE 85th Street Station Area, and Totem Lake. The City established mode-share targets for Greater Downtown as part of the Moss Bay Neighborhood Plan and NE 85th Street Station Area Plan; and for Totem Lake in the Totem Lake Business District Plan. New citywide mode-share targets are shown in Table 5-1.

TABLE 5-1. CITYWIDE MODE-SHARE GOALS FOR PEAK HOUR TRIPS

Transportation Mode	Citywide		Greater Downtown Kirkland		Totem Lake Urban Center	
	2044 Mode Share Goal, Peak Hour	2022 Resident Transportation to Work ¹	2035 Mode Share Goal, Peak Hour	2022 Greater Downtown Regional Center Mode to Work for Residents ²	2035 Mode Share Goal, Peak Hour	2022 Mode to Work for Residents ²
Walk	12%	2.4%	9%	3.1%	10%	3.5%
Bicycle	2%	0.5%		0.1%		0.2%
Transit	25%	6.1%	46%	10.7%	10%	10.1%
Carpool (2+)	12%	6.0%		2.6%	40%	5.4%

Sources: (1) 2022 Census Bureau ACS 5-Year Estimates, (2) PSRC

The GHG targets included in the Sustainability, Climate and Environment Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan are under **Policy SCE-5.1**:

- Adopt and implement policies and programs and actions to achieve a target of reducing community-wide and government operation sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2017 baseline, by
 - 50% by 2030
 - 75% by 2040
- 95%, including net-zero emissions through carbon sequestration and other strategies, by 2050.
- Evaluate and update these targets and the most effective actions to achieve them in consideration of the latest international climate science and statewide targets aiming to limit the most severe impacts of climate change and keep global warming under 1.5 degrees Celsius.

Policy T-8.1: Support transportation modes that are energy efficient and improve system performance.



- a) Include electric bicycle parking recharge stations in the development of vehicular electric charging projects and programs.
- b) Identify locations and collaborate with transit providers to provide secure bicycle parking at transit hubs.
- c) Develop requirements for new development to provide outlets for electric vehicle charging and outlets in bicycle storage areas.

Policy T-8.2: Update policies and standards for all modes to achieve mode-share goals.

- a) Meet the established mode-share goals for Kirkland's PSRC-designated regional growth centers and meet citywide mode-share goals .

Policy T-8.3: Minimize the environmental impacts of transportation facilities, especially transportation's contribution to air and water pollution.

- a) Design and implement new and retrofitted transportation facilities with stormwater system improvements to reduce roadway runoff pollution into natural drainage systems and the waters of the Salish Sea.
- b) Coordinate transportation improvements and programs with goals from the Sustainability Strategic Plan and the Sustainability, Climate and Environment Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan to meet the City's GHG targets.
- c) Report on reductions in vehicle miles traveled.
- d) Support alternative fuels/electric fleet technologies.
- e) Support policies and initiatives that incentivize shorter trip distances and shifts to non-drive-alone modes.

Policy T-8.4: Implement transportation-related actions identified in Kirkland's Sustainability Strategic Plan.

- a) Include smart growth principles in all City planning practices, such as creating walkable neighborhoods.
- b) Continue supporting the 10-Minute Neighborhoods concept in Kirkland.
- c) Achieve the King County Cities Climate Collaboration goal of reducing driving per capita by 20% by 2030 and 50% by 2050, compared to 2017 levels.
- d) Continue to build walking and bicycling transportation networks so that people of all ages and abilities can comfortably get to where they need to go.
- e) Grow annual average weekday transit ridership.
- f) Promote current shared mobility programs and services.
- g) Establish new shared mobility options.

Policy T-8.5: Safeguard the transportation system against disaster.

- a) Develop and keep current strategies for preventing and recovering from disasters that impact the transportation system.
- b) Coordinate the Transportation Strategic Plan with the Smart Cities initiative, considering greater resiliency of the transportation system.



5.9. Link to Land Use

5.9.1. Related Plans

The land use element of the Comprehensive Plan establishes a framework for growth in Kirkland through 2044. The future land use map and policies for future growth in housing and employment are designed to meet Kirkland's growth targets, which are allocated by King County based on regional growth forecasts from PSRC. This element is the first step in planning for growth and will help guide future zoning amendments that support growth around transit.

Kirkland's Sustainability Strategic Plan (SSP) links land use and transportation together. The SSP includes strategies for implementing smart growth principles to encourage a mix of building types and uses and expanded access built around compact or more transit-oriented neighborhoods. Land use goals and strategies in the SSP are paired with strategies to improve active transportation and public transit in Kirkland. These goals have been integrated into the Comprehensive Plan and accompanying environmental analysis. The goals of the SSP for active transportation and transit closely align with the goals in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of this document.

Goal T-9: Coordinate transportation and land use planning and policies to ensure future growth is supported and sustained by a livable, walkable, connected, and transit-oriented city.

Transportation and land use are closely tied together. The land use element of the Comprehensive Plan informs strategic investments in Kirkland's transportation system, and the transportation system shapes land use decisions. Both transportation infrastructure and the form of development influence how people perceive their neighborhoods and how they get around. Transportation investments should help Kirkland grow in a way that is consistent with the community's vision for the future. The Transportation Element will support the land use vision by addressing the transportation needs of new residents and workers in Kirkland over the 20-year planning horizon.

Kirkland will support the vision for future growth in the Land Use element of the Comprehensive Plan with the following policies that align capital projects and design with land use and coordinate transportation priorities with development.

Policy T-9.1: Support land use by identifying a fiscally constrained, 20-year transportation capital projects list that supports anticipated growth through 2044 and aligns with growth targets.

The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires that transportation projects, programs, and strategies to accommodate developments be in place when a development is constructed, or within 6 years. The fiscally constrained capital projects list was developed to support projected growth anticipated from the land use element of the Comprehensive Plan. Future projects and programs that were included in the fiscally constrained project list address potential impacts of development on the transportation system and help improve safety and access for people walking, rolling and bicycling in Kirkland. Specific actions to implement Policy 9.1 include:

- a) Coordinate with the Planning and Building Department to ensure transportation projects support growth and development.
- b) Ensure projects identified for the 6-year Transportation Improvement Program are aligned with and support growth.



- c) Revise the Impact Fee Program to support the vision of the Transportation Strategic Plan and reflect planned capital investments.

Policy T-9.2: Focus on transportation system developments that expand and improve walkable and bikeable neighborhoods.

Future investments in Kirkland's transportation system focus on connections to the City's urban centers in Greater Downtown and Totem Lake, and on connections to key destinations and commercial corridors. Citywide transportation improvements prioritize key connections and gaps in the city's network to expand walkable and bikeable neighborhoods and connect people to the places they travel to every day. Specific actions to implement Policy T-9.2 include:

- a) Prioritize transportation system improvements in areas with greater residential and employment densities to expand and improve walkable and bikeable neighborhoods.
- b) Coordinate with the Planning and Building Department on bicycle parking requirements and other policies related to development.

Policy T-9.3: Design streets in a manner that supports, and is coordinated with, future land use plans.

Design for streets, paths, trails, and other transportation infrastructure should not only reflect the context and character of Kirkland's neighborhoods as they are today, but also as they continue to grow. Neighborhood, subarea, and land use plans often include transportation elements to create and improve connections to and through Kirkland's neighborhoods. Kirkland's Transportation Division and Planning and Building Departments will continue to work together closely to ensure that the city's transportation and land use plans align with one another and reflect the City's priorities for future development and the transportation system. Specific actions to implement Policy T-9.3 include:

- a) Ensure that transportation plans and projects based on land uses (such as subarea plans, transit oriented development plans, and neighborhood plans) are incorporated into the transportation plan project lists and work programs.
- b) Coordinate with the Planning and Building Department to ensure all land use planning documents that include transportation elements incorporate the Safe System Approach and best practice design.
- c) Coordinate various transportation-related design guidelines for consistency citywide.

Policy T-9.4: Create a transportation network that supports economic development goals.

Kirkland's transportation system supports the city's local economy, getting people and goods where they need to go. Transportation improvements can build capacity for new commercial development by helping people access their jobs and helping businesses access goods delivery. The City will continue to explore opportunities to work with local organizations and businesses to determine how Kirkland's transportation system can best support their needs while balancing the City's goals and priorities for the future of the transportation in Kirkland.

- a) Identify opportunities to work with the Cultural Arts Commission, Shop Local Kirkland, and the economic development team to identify opportunities within transportation projects that support arts, local businesses, and economic development.

Policy T-9.5: Require new development to mitigate site-specific and systemwide transportation impacts, ensuring mobility and accessibility for all.



Many public improvements are built by the private sector as part of new development projects. It's crucial that planning, design, and construction of these improvements align with Kirkland's transportation goals. Mitigation required for individual developments required is based on the magnitude and timing of potential impacts. This may include addressing nearby intersections, local roadways, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities, as well as site access. The City has guidelines for the basis, timing, and extent of mitigation. Private developments may be required to fund necessary improvements like new streets, traffic signals, and turn lanes or other improvements to mitigate potential impacts to traffic operations. New land for streets, sidewalks, bicycle facilities, and other public infrastructure to support transportation goals may be also dedicated to the City after construction of larger development projects is complete. Specific actions to implement Policy T-9.5 include:

- a) Improve how Kirkland coordinates with developers when achieving level of service that supports multiple modes and walkable neighborhoods, such as an update to the existing transportation analysis policies (e.g., Policy R-38), to require multimodal mitigation for new development.
- b) Participate in the maintenance and improvements of the Bellevue-Kirkland-Redmond travel demand model.

Policy T-9.6: Create a seamless system of streets and trails that form an interconnected network to help people efficiently reach destinations, regardless of mode of travel.

As part of development, new connections to the existing street system are often access for vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians. Vehicle traffic distributed over a street grid balances potential for congestion from more trips across the network, and additional connections in a gridded street network can shorten emergency response times and create more direct bicycle and pedestrian connections to local destinations. Adding trail connections between dead end streets can create convenient and safe routes for bicycles and pedestrians. New connections enhance walkability and bikeability, encouraging more people to choose walking or bicycling as their primary mode of transportation. This not only promotes a healthier lifestyle but can help reduce traffic congestion and environmental impacts from travel. By prioritizing pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, communities can create more vibrant, accessible, and sustainable neighborhoods. Specific actions to implement Policy T-9.6 include:

- a) Incorporate the plan for adding and/or improving street-end connections into the transportation grid, including the pedestrian and bicycle network. Include those connections into the prioritization process for completion of the multimodal networks.

Policy T-9.7: Use a multimodal concurrency methodology to monitor the rate at which land use development and the transportation system are constructed.

Concurrency ensures that potential trips by all modes from new development is balanced with transportation projects and programs. If growth outpaces transportation improvements, permits for new developments can be halted, which indicates a system failure. Kirkland manages to allow continuous development with a concurrency system that considers all modes including transit, bicycling, and walking. The City monitors approved land use and transportation plans to ensure they are being completed in tandem with one another, helping to achieve Kirkland's land use and transportation goals. Specific actions to implement Policy T-9.7 include:

- a) Continue to implement Kirkland's citywide multimodal concurrency system to better reflect multimodal needs and priorities over time.



5.9.2. Concurrency

Concurrency is a term used to describe how transportation supports future housing and jobs growth. This is a requirement under the [Growth Management Act \(GMA\)](#) requiring the timely provision of public services or facilities to support new developments. The GMA mandates that transportation projects and programs accommodate new developments when constructed or within six years. The term 'level of service' (LOS) is the standard against which concurrency is measured over a 20-year plan horizon. The main purpose of LOS standards and concurrency for transportation is to ensure that the transportation system provides safe, reliable, and convenient access and mobility for people and goods and accommodates the travel demands of anticipated housing and employment growth. Concurrency is one tool amongst many to manage and balance the transportation system's functionality with the envisioned land use and needs of the community.

While the GMA requires that LOS standards be adopted as part of a local jurisdiction's comprehensive plan to measure concurrency, it does not mandate how those standards are defined and therefore local jurisdictions may adopt standards appropriate for the local context.

MULTIMODAL EVALUATION FOR CONCURRENCY

The Kirkland 2035 Comprehensive Plan proposed a new multimodal concurrency system that includes all modes of transportation and measures future person-trip capacity from planned transportation projects and programs in addition to looking at future traffic operations alone. Kirkland established its current multimodal concurrency system in 2015 with the adoption of [Ordinance 4509](#)³⁸, creating the City's two-pronged approach to concurrency that includes both multimodal evaluation and evaluation of vehicular delay at intersections. This approach allows the city to support the growth it wants to see and provides the flexibility to add multimodal capacity in areas where the needs are greatest. To manage concurrency, the relationship between new housing and employment growth and the implementation of transportation projects and programs is evaluated.

Therefore, the concurrency system balances planned transportation improvements with the Comprehensive Plan growth targets over a 20-year planning horizon. In the short-term, the City uses the 6-year period for the capital program to balance the capacity added from funded individual transportation projects with the growth generated by specific new developments.

When a new development is proposed, the number of person-trips the project will generate is estimated based on the size and land uses included in the proposed development. The estimated number of person-trips generated are calculated using a standard methodology the City uses for transportation impact fees (see section 6.1). This system balances the planned person-trip capacity with anticipated person-trip demand generated by new developments in line with the future housing and employment growth targets adopted in the Comprehensive Plan.

MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Kirkland also has a minimum standard for traffic operations at intersections summarized in Section 3.4. This standard requires individual developments to mitigate intersections at LOS E and F during the PM peak period based on their proportional share of impacts. For 20-year long-range planning, this standard is a minimum LOS E during the PM peak period, with capital projects identified to address intersections performing at LOS F.

³⁸ <https://docs.cityofkirkland.net/CMWebDrawer/RecordHtml/289298>



Traffic operations at key intersections in 2044 were assessed using travel demand modeling and operational analysis. Intersections projected to operate at LOS F in 2044 will be addressed through concurrency projects aimed at increasing roadway capacity or enhancing capacity for other modes of transportation at these constrained intersections. Any potential impacts on traffic operations on state facilities in Kirkland, including I-405 and its access ramps, will be managed in coordination with WSDOT.


With the housing and employment growth anticipated by 2044, based on policies, future land use, and growth assumptions in the Comprehensive Plan, six intersections are expected to be below the City's intersection LOS standard by 2044. The concurrency projects described in Table 5-2 and shown in Figure 5-7 were developed to respond to the growth anticipated under the Growth Alternative, which reflects the contents of the draft land use element of the Comprehensive Plan. These projects are part of the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan but would not be implemented until growth under the Plan is realized. As development occurs, additional analysis will be conducted to determine if these projects are the most appropriate approach to managing the vehicle delay or if there is an alternative to widening (such as the potential for roundabout solutions as per Policy T-1.2).

TABLE 5-2. TRAFFIC OPERATIONS PERFORMANCE IN 2044 AND CONCURRENCY PROJECTS

Intersection	2044 Operations	Concurrency Project
NE 68th St & 108th Ave NE	LOS F	Restripe eastbound right-turn pocket to a shared through-right lane and widen the west leg of the intersection for an additional receiving lane.
98th Ave NE & Juanita Drive /NE 116th St	LOS F	Add a northbound left-turn pocket and optimize signal splits.
NE 116th St & 124th Ave NE	LOS F	Add a southbound right-turn pocket optimized signal splits and modify signals for lagging northbound left turn.
100th Ave NE & NE 132nd St	LOS F	Add an additional westbound right-turn pocket and eastbound left-turn pocket.
NE 124th St & 116th Ave NE/ I-405 on-ramp	LOS F	Add an additional southbound right-turn pocket, add new eastbound right- and left-turn pockets, and modify existing signal cycle lengths.
NE 124th St & Slater Ave/132nd Ave NE	LOS F	Add a northbound right-turn pocket, separate the northbound right-turn movement from the existing through right lane, and optimize signal splits.



FIGURE 5-7. CONCURRENCY PROJECTS





MULTIMODAL LEVEL OF SERVICE

Kirkland has a complete vehicular network but does not have a complete network for the other modes of travel, including sidewalks, bicycle facilities, and transit. Multimodal standards help Kirkland measure comprehensive system performance and identify investment needs to build out a transportation system that includes non-automobile modes, consistent with GMA goals.

Multimodal network capacity to accommodate future growth comes from projects and programs in the transportation capital project list, part of Kirkland's Capital Facilities Plan (CFP). These projects and programs collectively provide the necessary multimodal capacity to support growth over the next 20 years. Kirkland has a MMLOS based on level of investment in multimodal projects and is measured by level of completeness for different modes. The City uses level of completeness to track progress toward the multimodal goals of the TSP over the 20-year horizon.

Multimodal LOS measures based on completeness of the transportation system are shown in Table 5-3. Objective measures are used to develop and prioritize projects included in the 20-year transportation capital project list. Generally, the level of completeness is based on available funding as well as the goals and policies of the TSP and Transportation Element.

TABLE 5-3. MULTIMODAL LEVEL OF COMPLETENESS

Transportation Strategic Plan Priorities	Measurement	2044 Level of Completeness	2024 Level of Completeness
Walk: School walk routes	% of streets on school walk routes with sidewalks on at least one side.	100%	85% (98% on collectors and arterials, 74% on local streets)
Walk: Sidewalks on arterial streets and transit routes	% of arterial streets and all-day service transit routes with sidewalks or pedestrian walkways on at least one side of transit	100%	85%
Walk: Crosswalks [†]	% of new crosswalk improvements complete that are identified in the TSP	50%	0%
Bicycle: On-street network*	% of planned bicycle network complete as identified in the TSP (excluding greenways)	80%	40%
Bicycle: Greenway network	% of planned greenway network complete as identified in the TSP	25%	21%
Transit: Passenger environment	% of transit stops with lighting and shelters on frequent service routes	50%	22% with shelters and streetlights (5% with pedestrian oriented lighting)
Auto: ITS	% of investment allocated to Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Capital Projects as identified in Table 4-1 in the Kirkland ITS Plan	100%	48%
Auto: Pavement Condition Index (PCI)	Citywide PCI	PCI of 70	72



[†] The crosswalk level of completeness will be based on the set of crossing improvements identified in the TSP starting with the 2024 plan adoption. Unlike other measures, this does not include previously completed crosswalk improvements but will rather show completion from 2024 and beyond.

^{*} The on-street bicycle network completeness includes bike lanes, buffered and protected bike lanes, street adjacent shared use paths and some connector shared use paths that connect the on-street network. It does not include the Cross Kirkland Corridor. There are many projects that seek to improve existing bike facilities to be more protected but, in these cases, any bicycle facility will count toward this network completion. An additional performance measure outlined in section 6.1 will evaluate the all-ages and abilities network that aims at looking at quality of facility.





5.10. Be an Active Partner

5.10.1. Background

Travel does not stop at city borders. People walking, biking, riding transit, and driving all travel across jurisdictional boundaries to reach destinations and services between cities.

Kirkland shares responsibility for roadway systems with our jurisdictional neighbors. There are many places of interest and regional connections in neighboring cities such as the Sound Transit Link light rail stations in Bellevue and Redmond, and many drivers use Kirkland arterials for north/south trips to reach Kenmore, Woodinville, and Bothell. Kirkland is also bifurcated by I-405, a facility under WSDOT jurisdiction. Transit service in Kirkland and nearby cities is provided primarily by King County Metro and Sound Transit, both of which are governed by separate boards of elected officials.

Kirkland is proactive in its work with regional partners and participates in regional transportation and transit boards and committees. Kirkland leverages regional partnerships by engaging with partners early and often. A recent example is the work of the Kirkland City Council in partnership with the State Legislature, where recent sessions have secured important funding for the CKC crossing at 132nd Ave NE. City staff are also working closely with the City of Bellevue and King County Metro in the planning and design of the RapidRide K Line, which is planned to open in 2030 and will connect Eastgate and Totem Lake along an 18-mile bus rapid transit corridor.

At the county-wide and regional levels, there are various groups that influence funding decisions and transportation policy. These are often structured with staff groups making recommendations to boards of elected officials. Kirkland plays an active role in these groups.

Partnering with the private sector, schools, advocacy groups, neighboring cities, and sub-regional coalitions will inform and build support for Kirkland's transportation goals. This includes collaboration with Eastrail partners on the CKC as part of the full Eastrail corridor to coordinate private partnerships and investments, such as Google on their implementation of the Feriton Spur Park along the CKC that traverses their business campus. The Lake Washington School District is another important partner in safe routes to schools and traffic safety and coordinating with the student Sustainability Ambassadors on initiatives like the 2023 "Town Hall on Bikes" student bike ride.

WSDOT and Sound Transit are also building the I-405/NE 85th Street Interchange and Inline BRT Station to implement express toll lanes and Stride BRT along the I-405 corridor. Stride BRT will connect Lynnwood to Burien, offering a new option for residents to access job centers with more frequent and reliable transit. The \$234.4 million project will reconfigure the I-405 and NE 85th Street interchange to include direct access to the express toll lanes, a new inline transit station, improved pedestrian access to reach the station, and new stormwater facilities. Sound Transit and the City also have a cooperative funding agreement was approved for a new pedestrian and bicycle pathway along NE 85th Street connecting downtown to the future NE 85th Street Stride BRT Station.

Kirkland plans to significantly reduce citywide GHG emissions and it will be necessary to work with regional partners such as Puget Sound Energy (PSE), King County Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C), transit agencies, and other to make those reductions possible. PSE is the gas and electricity utility in Kirkland and has a service area that spans 10 counties in western Washington. PSE will need to continue transitioning to renewable energy sources for Kirkland to reach its goal of 100 percent renewable electricity usage. PSE is currently working with the City on a pilot program to install electric vehicle charging stations and expand access to EV charging in the community.



5.10.2. Goal T-10: Coordinate with a broad range of groups—public and private—to help meet Kirkland’s transportation goals.

Kirkland will work proactively with local and regional partners to achieve the City’s transportation goals. Building relationships with members of the community and reaching underrepresented groups in Kirkland will help the City better serve the community’s transportation needs. Interagency coordination with King County Metro and Sound Transit will advance the City’s goals for public transit. Playing a strong role in partnerships with transit providers and with WSDOT will help the City ensure that the priorities of the local community are reflected in regional transit and transportation investments. Neighboring jurisdictions and other partners, like LWSD, are critical to Kirkland’s implementation of safe routes to school and regional projects.

The City will work to strengthen its relationships and collaboration with local groups and other agencies with the following policies that focus on the City’s transportation, equity, and climate goals.

Policy T-10.1: Implement Kirkland’s Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) Roadmap through community coordination and outreach.

- a) Establish relationships with organizations that represent priority populations in order to better understand the needs of under-represented groups.
- b) Conduct outreach with both Kirkland residents and those outside of Kirkland, particularly those who work in Kirkland but do not live within the city limits.
- c) Ensure community engagement is conducted early and often when projects are planned, designed, and constructed.
- d) Explore opportunities to improve how the City communicates with and receives information from the community.

Policy T-10.2: Coordinate with local agencies and associations, neighboring cities, and regional entities to advance the goals and strategies outlined in the Transportation Element.

- a) Ensure regular and advanced communication and coordination is conducted with local businesses and community members related to local neighborhood projects and plans.
- b) Participate in and provide leadership for regional transportation decision-making with state, countywide, and regional groups to stay informed and contribute to conversations, initiatives, programs, and opportunities related to transportation.
- c) Participate in the King County Climate Change Collaborative to identify trends in vehicle innovation and seek opportunities to implement them in Kirkland.

Policy T-10.3: Partner with LWSD, other educational institutions, police, parents, and transit providers to encourage walking, bicycling, and taking transit to school.

- a) Work with the Police Department’s community resource officers to implement bicycle, pedestrian, and traffic safety interactive education programs.
- b) Conduct additional circulation analysis to improve walk, bicycle, and pick-up and drop-off circulation at all schools in Kirkland, in coordination with LWSD.
- c) Coordinate with King County Metro on improving transit services to schools and ensuring all students receive free youth transit passes.



Policy T-10.4: Partner with transit agencies to ensure Kirkland receives high-quality transit service that is coordinated with planned growth and land use.

- a) Continue working with King County Metro to support the RapidRide K Line project, including capital project development, permitting, and grant support.
- b) Coordinate with transit agencies on access to transit projects and supportive infrastructure, such as shelters and bus stop improvements, bicycle parking, and first/last mile(s) connections.
- c) Continue working with King County Metro to promote Metro Flex and other flexible transit systems operating in the city.
- d) Continue partnering with Sound Transit on major investments in Kirkland along the I-405 Corridor and through other initiatives.
- e) Participate in regional conversations regarding transit-related policy, service changes, restoring reduced service, and ensuring future transit is aligned with Kirkland's growth and land use changes.
- f) Advocate for meaningful increases in Sound Transit and King County Metro services in Kirkland, with connections between transit hubs and urban centers as a first priority.
- g) Actively pursue agreements with transit providers to deliver a network of high-quality transit service that supports Kirkland's land use and transportation plans.

Policy T-10.5: Work with the WSDOT and the State Legislature to fund Kirkland's high -priority projects and improve safety in and around state corridors.

- a) Collaborate with WSDOT for better operations at WSDOT signals.
- b) Foster a strong working relationship with WSDOT leadership.
- c) Advance Kirkland's transportation interests with actions on legislative agendas.
- d) Fund initial studies to make it easier to secure funding for construction projects.
- e) Periodically review federal functional classifications.
- f) Engage WSDOT in discussions to advance improvement of I-405 interchanges with the intention of securing funding to design and rebuild new interchanges at NE 124th Street, NE 70th Street, and improvements around NE 128th Street.

Policy T-10.6: Coordinate multimodal transportation systems with neighboring jurisdictions.

- a) Coordinate with the City of Bellevue on improved walking and bicycling access between the South Kirkland Park and Ride and the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC), as well as between the SR 520 Trail and the Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade.
- b) Prioritize connections to the future light rail stations in Bellevue and to Bellevue's Spring District mixed-use commercial area.
- c) Work with the City of Redmond to improve access to Redmond including stair and trail connections from the North and South Rose Hill neighborhoods and access to the Redmond Central Connector.
- d) Coordinate with the City of Bellevue, City of Redmond, City of Kenmore, City of Bothell, and City of Woodinville to ensure bicycle and pedestrian connections at jurisdictional boundaries are seamless.



Policy T-10.7: Actively pursue grant funding and innovative funding sources.

- a) Pursue innovative opportunities and partnerships to better leverage available City funding.
- b) Seek funding through various grant funding sources including federal, regional, and state sources as well as through non-traditional sources.
- c) Pursue the opportunity for the City to become eligible for funding related to federal transit.

Policy T-10.8: Partner with nonprofit, private sector, and other 'new' partners on innovative solutions to improving transportation connections or the transportation environment.

- a) Coordinate with local businesses, the Kirkland Downtown Association, and commerce-related groups to better understand transportation needs and to better communicate transportation -related information.
- b) Identify all businesses that require transportation management plans and coordinate to ensure those businesses are complying and offering the resources to their employees to reduce single -occupancy vehicle trips.
- c) Seek opportunities to partner with nonprofit and private sector groups and businesses to leverage resources.

Policy T-10.9: Coordinate with the Eastrail Regional Advisory Committee members and Eastrail Partners to implement the Connect, Construct, Complete vision for the entire CKC and connections to the larger Eastrail network.

- a) Be an active partner with Eastrail Partners and the Eastrail Regional Advisory Council.
- b) Coordinate wayfinding, signs, counts, art, and other initiatives that support the Connect, Construct, Complete vision.



6. Projects and Implementation

To implement the goals and policies identified in the TSP, the City has identified a broad range of capital projects and programs as well as other activities. These are implemented in a variety of ways through capital project and program implementation but also through public engagement, monitoring, and conducting studies.

6.1. Capital Projects and Programs

Capital projects were identified from a broad range of previous planning efforts including modal plans, corridor or area plans or studies, crash analysis and external plans; all of which have specific identified and prioritized transportation investments. Some additional projects were developed and added to future capital projects and programs based on public engagement or for operational needs. The TSP consolidates the capital project recommendations from previous planning efforts and public engagement into one cohesive document and has reconciled conflicting priorities. While these plans and studies are referenced for additional detail and direction, the TSP will supersede previous planning documents if there are conflicting priorities or recommendations.

The plans and studies that are incorporated into the TSP include (but are not limited to):

- 2022 Vision Zero Action Plan (VZAP)
- 2022 Active Transportation Plan (ATP)
- 2020 Safer Routes to School Action Plans (SRTS)
- 2019 Kirkland Transit Implementation Plan (KTIP)
- 2020 Intelligent Transportation System Plan (ITSP)
- 2022 NE 85th Street Station Area Plan
- 2019 Citywide Transportation Connections Map
- 2024 Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP)
- Studies such as the Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade Study, the NE 128th St Corridor Study, Holmes Point Corridor Study, etc.
- External Plans (Sound Transit 3, King County Metro's long-range plan, 2021 Metro Connects)

This brought together a list of over 430 identified projects plus additional programs. The TSP outlines a strategy to prioritize the City's limited resources (Table 6-3) that best achieves the goals outlined in the TSP. Section 6.2 outlines how these projects were applied planning level costs and prioritized.

- **Projects** are generally larger in scope, often require right-of-way acquisition, and are better managed as individual projects. Example: 100th Avenue NE Corridor Improvements
- **Programs** include smaller projects that can be implemented together to complete a vision and allow the City the ability to be more flexible with implementation. Example: filling a sidewalk gap.



6.1.1. Fiscally Constrained/ Funded Project List

It is a requirement for the Capital Facilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan to demonstrate a fiscally constrained project list for capital investments, where anticipated revenue is balanced with future recommended transportation investments in the 20-year planning horizon. These investments must support the envisioned land use patterns and future growth. This list is also sometimes referred to as the CFP project list. The CFP are those projects that ranked the highest priority and proposes additional programs to be funded (see Section 6.1).

6.1.2. Programs

Programs are groups of smaller projects that can be implemented together to complete a vision and give the City more flexibility with implementation. These include maintenance programs such as the ongoing annual street preservation program, annual striping program, local road and signal maintenance, etc. Other programs include the Neighborhood Traffic Control Program that evaluates speeds along local roads and will implement speed reduction treatments, or the Neighborhood Safety Program where projects are identified the community through neighborhood associations and coordination with neighbors.

The TSP recommends additional programs to be funded to allow the City the opportunity to implement lower-cost implementation or pilot projects and to implement safety projects throughout the city. This includes sidewalk completion, crosswalk upgrades, citywide neighborhood greenways, arterial traffic calming and street lighting programs. Many of these additional programs have elements that are too expensive to be funded under previous programs but would allow the City to implement these safety projects.

Each program prioritizes relevant projects. For instance, the sidewalk infill program will be prioritized based on the Active Transportation Plan and the Safer Routes to School Action Plans which used using level of stress along roadways, free and reduced lunch data, access to schools or amenities to evaluate potential projects. See Appendix A for the full list of programs.

6.1.3. Fiscally Unconstrained Project List

The TSP includes a full 20-year vision for Kirkland's transportation needs that support the goals identified in the TSP including safety, connecting networks and supporting the growth envisioned in the Land Use Element. A key component of this vision is the list of future projects and programs, both funded and unfunded. This list will be the foundation for future project funding discussions (both the 6-year CIP and 2-year Budget), grant applications, and implementation and could extend beyond the 20-year planning horizon without the identification of additional funding sources.

The TSP is a living document and will be updated periodically. While new projects are continually identified, the TSP will continue to provide the prioritization framework for ranking new and existing project needs for future project ranking and funding discussions.



6.1.4. Non-Capital Implementation Activities

In addition to the capital program, there are many activities that are outlined in the Goals, Policies and Actions outlined in Section 5. These include a variety of activities such as public engagement, monitoring, partner coordination. One set of activities includes conducting corridor studies or other planning efforts. Corridor studies or the development of concept design plans allow the city to conduct further data collection and analysis as well as additional public engagement along a corridor before making a recommendation about a project. Other studies such as the curb management plan or speed limit setting policies allow the opportunity for citywide analysis to better inform policy changes. Some examples include:

- Market Street Corridor Study
- 7th Ave/NE 87th St Corridor Study
- Juanita High School Connection Study
- 132nd Ave NE Corridor Study
- Juanita Elementary Sidewalk Concept Design
- 100th Ave Bridge Study
- Downtown Parking Management Policy (G-11) Update
- Downtown Circulation Study
- Curb Management Plan (see Policy T-4.3 and section 5.4.3)
- CKC Action Plan (intended to identify the next priorities for CKC implementation)
- Speed Limit Setting Policy Update (underway)
- Transit Study and Strategy Development (further described in section 5.3.2)

Plans and studies are generally funded through the budget processes through service packages or other identified funding mechanisms.

Additional actions and strategies from the TSP are part of ongoing initiatives that are not reflected in capital projects and programs. Some examples include:

- **Support for Bicycling:** Strategies the City plans to pursue such as bicycle counts, promoting bike use, and creating wayfinding maps.
- **Support for Walking:** Strategies to support walking would also include similar needs for pedestrian counts and support for safe routes to schools and other initiatives.
- **Safety:** In addition to evaluating speed limits and other capital projects and programs, the City is looking at better ways to make crash data more transparent and continues to update the local road safety plan every two-years that evaluates trends and identifies projects based on updated crash data. Also, building a culture of safety through a safety campaign and public engagement will become a priority to implementing Vision Zero.

6.2. Financial Forecast and Revenues

This section describes revenue estimates and financial projections from the City's Department of Finance that were used to develop a financial plan for capital projects and programs over the next 20 years. Future investments in transportation were evaluated and prioritized for funding through a process that reflects the goals and priorities of the TSP.



6.2.1. Anticipated Revenue Sources

The City uses a variety of revenue sources to fund its transportation projects, programs, and strategies. Diverse funding streams help ensure the City can build, maintain, and improve its transportation network with reliable revenue, ensuring safe and efficient travel for everyone. Kirkland's anticipated revenue sources for transportation investments through 2044 informed financial forecasts in following sections and will help the City meet current needs and plan for future growth, creating a well-connected and accessible community.

Transportation impacts fees (TIF) are assessed on new developments in Kirkland based on different land use categories and are proportional to their impact on the system. TIF revenues are used to fund transportation capital projects and are only used to fund future capacity improvements, not to fix existing deficiencies in the system. The City's TIF schedule was last updated in 2021 with the Transportation Impact Fee Update Report and will be updated as part of a citywide impact fee update, with an anticipated effective date in 2025. Kirkland's TIF rate reflects the cost to the City per person trip in the PM peak hour that can be attributed to growth in Kirkland. TIF for individual developments are proportional to the size of each land use in the development.

REET 1 & 2 are set to their ongoing allocation in the 2023-28 CIP with 0% growth in the 6-year CIP and 5% growth between 2031-2044. Because interest rates are persistently high and real estate activity has slowed, short-term growth is uncertain. The 5-year annual average growth for REET revenue is close to 0% because of mercurial market conditions and 10-year annual average growth is around 7%. Assuming local real estate market activity will settle at a rate lower than the past decade, REET is projected to grow at 5% annually long-term.

Gas Tax had been assumed to grow at 2-3% in previous CIP projections, however this revenue is likely to grow more slowly—if at all—over the next 20 years as electric vehicles become a larger share of the consumer and commercial markets. Therefore, no growth is assumed in this revenue after 2024.

Business License (RGRL) and **Surface Water** contributions to the CIP are set by City policy and are not assumed to grow.

Solid Waste contribution grows with inflation (consistent with previous CIP's) therefore setting to 3.5% growth throughout the 20-year horizon.

2012 Street Levy is assumed to growth at the maximum levy rate of 2.5% each year: 1.0% growth + 1.5% for new construction.

School Zone Safety Cameras reserves are programmed into the 2023-28 CIP because there was a balance built up over several years. Future availability will depend on the costs of running the program, so no long-term revenue is assumed at this time. This will be reevaluated during 2025-30 CIP development.

Kirkland TBD's \$20 Vehicle License Fee (Car Tabs) are currently being used to fund the debt service on planned 2024 bond issuance for ATP/SRTS projects as well as Transportation related staffing and are not programmed directly into future transportation projects.

External Funding is not a long-term revenue assumption for the CIP or CFP as state/federal funding is unpredictable and may rise and fall with new legislation or administrations. Any projection of long-term funding would be unreliable and circumspect. That said, Council has indicated that staff should establish a grant opportunity matching funds reserve which will be available in the next budget that will keep local funds aside for staff to seek external funding.



6.2.2. Revenue Projections

Short term revenue projections were developed based on the City of Kirkland's current funding streams and expected escalation for the 2025- 2030 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Short-term revenue assumptions include a modest increase in impact fees and a decline in gas tax revenue from the 2024-2029 CIP. No Tax Increment Financing revenues were assumed in revenue projections pending additional information on upcoming development. Short-term revenue projections reflect escalation of solid waste and street levy revenues based on observed trends in City revenue from Kirkland Department of Finance and Administration. Short-term revenue projections for 2025 through 2030 are shown in Table 6-1.

TABLE 6-1. SHORT-TERM REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Revenue Source	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total 2025-2030 Revenue
Impact Fees	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$12,000,000
REET 1	\$2,114,000	\$2,114,000	\$2,114,000	\$2,114,000	\$2,114,000	\$2,114,000	\$12,684,000
REET 2	\$3,523,000	\$3,523,000	\$3,523,000	\$3,523,000	\$3,523,000	\$3,523,000	\$21,138,000
Gas Tax	\$531,000	\$531,000	\$531,000	\$531,000	\$531,000	\$531,000	\$3,186,000
Transportation Package Extra Gas Tax	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$1,350,000
Business License (RGRL) Fees	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$1,620,000
Solid Waste	\$430,000	\$445,000	\$461,000	\$477,000	\$494,000	\$511,000	\$2,818,000
Surface Water	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$3,000,000
Street Levy	\$2,929,000	\$3,002,000	\$3,077,000	\$3,154,000	\$3,233,000	\$3,314,000	\$18,709,000
School Zone Safety Camera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax Increment Finance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Car Tabs	Used for TBD Debt Service						-
Secured External	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsecured External	\$2,872,000	\$7,000,000	\$714,000	-	-	-	\$10,586,000
Total Transportation Revenue	\$15,394,000	19,610,000	13,415,000	12,794,000	12,890,000	12,988,000	\$87,091,000

Long-term revenue projections for the City of Kirkland include escalation of the City's current Real Estate Excise Taxes (REET 1 and REET2). Otherwise, assumptions for long-term revenue between 2031 and 2044 are consistent with those used to develop short-term revenue estimates from observed trends in City revenue. Long-term revenue projections are shown in Table 6-2.



TABLE 6-2. LONG-TERM REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Transportation Revenue Source	2025-2030 Revenue	Projected Revenue 2031-2044
Impact Fees	\$12,000,000	\$28,000,000
REET 1	\$12,684,000	\$43,503,083
REET 2	\$21,138,000	\$72,498,280
Gas Tax	\$3,186,000	\$7,434,000
Transportation Package Extra Gas Tax	\$1,350,000	\$3,150,000
Business License (RGRL) Fees	\$1,620,000	\$3,780,000
Solid Waste	\$2,818,000	\$9,349,093
Surface Water	\$3,000,000	\$7,000,000
Street Levy	\$18,709,000	\$56,112,405
School Zone Safety Camera	-	-
Tax Increment Finance	-	-
Car Tabs	-	-
Secured External	-	-
Unsecured External	\$10,586,000	-
Total Transportation Revenue	\$87,091,000	\$230,826,861
Total 2025 - 2044 Revenue	\$317,917,861	

6.2.3. Additional Funding Opportunities

There are a variety of other funding sources beyond local funding that allow the City to continue implementing the unconstrained project list. These were not included in the fiscally constrained projection because they are either temporary or difficult to project over 20 years due to uncertainty. However, these sources provide additional resources for plan implementation. For example:

- The City Council authorized the use of Kirkland's Transportation Benefit District for the purpose of funding Safer Routes to School Action Plans priority projects, Active Transportation Plan priority projects, Vision Zero priority projects, and other active transportation projects.
- As development occurs, sidewalk gaps are required to be infilled in front of properties and for larger developments, in some instances other streetscape improvements such as bicycle lanes.
- Partnerships provide a great opportunity to implement the plan. Examples include working with Metro on transit speed and reliability or access projects (K Line associated projects, 98th Ave NE/ Forbes Creek Drive transit queue jump) or with Google at the Feriton Spur Park along the Cross Kirkland Corridor.
- Grants for transportation come from Federal, State and other sources. Federal and State funds such as through the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), WSDOT Local Programs or the Transportation Benefit District provide excellent opportunities to supplement local funds. An example of a recent grant success is the federal Safe Streets for All (SS4A) in coordination with the PSRC.
- State appropriations can help fund much needed projects through the state legislative process. An example includes the crossing of the CKC to Eastrail at 132nd Ave NE.



The City will work regionally and with the State of Washington to identify additional sustainable and stable sources of funding to replace declining gas tax revenues to fund a variety of transportation projects or explore other local options such as a ballot measure.

6.3. Future Transportation Investments

6.3.1. Development of Project Costs

To facilitate future project implementation, planning-level costs were developed for all unfunded projects within the City's project list. High-level costs were developed for roughly 2,000 projects, mid-level costs were developed for roughly 80 projects, and more detailed costs were developed for ten priority projects.

For high-level costs, the cost estimates developed were based on a planning-level analysis of the project descriptions, available GIS data, and input from City staff. A unit cost per linear foot or per location was developed based on assumptions. Various project elements were assumed based on historical project cost information from comparable projects and other context available at the time of analysis. No engineering conceptual drawings were developed in support of these assumptions. ROW costs were not included in the project cost estimates developed.

For mid-level costs, when validated existing CIP cost estimates were available for a specific project, they were used as a baseline with a suggested inflation factor of 5% per year. It was assumed that existing CIP cost estimates included soft costs such as permitting, engineering, construction administration, and City administration. Existing CIP cost estimates were inflated to the year 2024, applying to both construction costs and soft costs. When existing CIP cost estimates were not available for a specific project, various project elements were assumed based on project descriptions, available GIS data, historical project cost information from comparable projects and other contexts/input from the City at the time of the analysis. No engineering conceptual drawings were developed, and ROW costs were not included in the project cost estimates developed.

The WSDOT Cost Estimating Manual suggests a 30% to 50% contingency for planning-level cost estimates. A 45% contingency was used for projects within the high-level cost analysis and a 35% contingency was used for projects within the mid-level cost analysis. For planning-level soft costs, 25% was assumed for design and permitting and 25% was assumed for construction and City administration.

PRIORITY PROJECTS

Ten priority projects were identified by the City for more in-depth cost analysis. These cost estimates were based on project descriptions, desktop review of the project sites through Google Maps and available GIS data as well as input from City staff. More detailed assumptions for various project design elements were developed for each of the priority projects. Miscellaneous project elements such as temporary traffic control during construction, stormwater measures, utilities, site preparation, and erosion and sensitive area mitigations were assigned with suggested percentages of the total construction costs, based on available historical project cost information. Schematic aerial figures were developed to show detailed assumptions.

For the priority projects, a 30% contingency was used to account for other unidentified design elements. The costs were inflated at 5% per year to the year 2028. For soft costs, 25% was assumed for design and permitting and 25% was assumed for construction and City administration. Potential ROW impacts were estimated in square feet (SF) based on available GIS data for existing ROW and on width assumptions for each priority project. ROW acquisition administrative soft costs per parcel were included in the cost estimates to account for title reports, appraisals, legal documents, and negotiation service.



costs. The average cost per SF for property value was not included in the planning-level estimates and will be added at a later phase by the City.

6.3.2. Project Prioritization

Conceptual projects from the City's existing plans and programs were consolidated into a single set of projects to be prioritized for funding and implementation. Projects and programs included in the current Capital Facilities Plan, Active Transportation Plan, NE 85th Street Station Area Plan and other adopted plans were recorded in a single dataset and georeferenced in a web map for development of a 20-year project list. Transportation projects referenced in multiple plans or included duplicative elements were consolidated where possible. 430 projects out of the full list of 2,230 potential transportation projects were identified to be evaluated and scored along criteria based on the ten overarching goals of the plan as shown in Table 6-3. The remaining projects were not flagged for prioritization because they are programmatic (i.e. sidewalk program) or already have a funding source identified.

TABLE 6-3. PROJECT PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

Goal	Criteria	Score
T-1 Safety	Provides a safety improvement on a high injury corridor. Scoring based on high injury corridor ranking.	40: High 30: Medium 20: Low 0: Does not meet criterion
	Provides a safety benefit or preventative countermeasure.	20: Yes 0: No
T-2 Active Transportation	Connects to the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC).	5: Yes 0: No
	Creates a low-stress environment for people walking and bicycling.	10: Yes 0: No
	Completes a gap identified in the ATP or SRTS. Scoring based on existing ATP or SRTS priority score.	30: High 20: Medium – Med/High 10: Low – Med/Low 0: Does not meet criterion
T-3 Public Transit	Supports connections to frequent, local, or future transit.	40: Frequent Route 30: Local Route 20: Future Frequent Route 0: Does not meet criterion
T-4: Vehicle Network Management	Improves transportation system operations (signal modification, channelization, etc.).	30: Principal Arterial 20: Minor Arterial 10: Collector 0: Does not meet criterion
T-5: Technology & Emerging Practices	Includes ITS technology (bike/ped counters, passive detection, leading ped interval, Accessible Pedestrian Signals, transit signal priority, etc.).	10: Yes 0: No
T-6: Maintenance & Preservation	Provides a long-term maintenance benefit (roundabouts, new street builds, etc.).	10: Yes 0: No



Goal	Criteria	Score
T-7: Equity	Increases transportation options for priority populations identified through the City's Equity Mapping Tool developed for the Capital Improvement Program.	30: Equity Index 3 – 3.9 20: Equity Index 2 – 2.9 10: Equity Index < 2
	Provides accessibility improvements in compliance with the ADA.	10: Yes 0: No
T-8: Sustainability	Reduces vehicle miles traveled and supports modal alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle trips.	20: Yes 0: No
	Project avoids environmentally sensitive areas (ESA).	20: High
	If impact to an ESA is unavoidable, project supports mode shift and/or improves traffic flow.	10: Medium
	Does not meet criteria	0: Does not meet criterion
T-9: Link to Land Use	Connects to or within an Urban Center or to neighborhood and commercial centers.	30: Urban Center 20: Neighborhood or Commercial Center 0: Does not meet criterion
T-10 Be an Active Partner	Connects to regional connections, centers, or fills a gap crossing jurisdictional boundaries.	10: Yes 0: No
	Leverages coordination with partners.	10: Yes 0: No
	Introduces a new technology/service that supports mode shift.	10: Yes 0: No

The City of Kirkland's available funding through 2044 is limited based on revenue sources outlined in the previous section. All potential projects were evaluated for funding based on this priority framework and the projects with the highest priority scores that represented key connections in the City's networks were selected for funding in the financial plan. Projects slated for funding through 2044 and other projects for which the City will explore other revenue sources such as grant funding is included in Appendix A.

6.4. Measures and Performance Monitoring

An important element of the implementation of the TSP is the regular evaluation of how well the City is progressing toward meeting the goals outlined in the plan. In addition to the Multimodal Level of Completeness evaluation outlined in Section 5.9, the following additional performance measures will be evaluated every one or two years, depending on the measure and presented and reviewed for further action by the Transportation Commission and City Council.

The Multimodal Level of Completeness evaluates network of completion and includes a level of completion target that the City can reasonably achieve within forecasted revenue. The additional performance measures described in Table 6-4 provide a more complete monitoring program for the City to continue to check-in on implementation of the TSP goals.



TABLE 6-4. PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND EVALUATION

TSP Goal	Performance Measure	Performance Measure Evaluation
T-1: Safety	Annual totals of fatalities, serious injuries, and bicycle/pedestrian related crashes. Analysis of priority locations and leading contributing factors reported every two years with the Local Road Safety Plan.	Monitor trends. Summary level crash data will also be continuously available with a website dashboard dynamically connected to a police report database.
T-2: Active Transportation	Annual totals of bicycle and pedestrian volume counts on the CKC	Monitor trends. Data collected by tube, infrared, and camera sensors and potentially crowdsourced data.
T-3: Public Transportation	Annual total ridership numbers on all day routes in Kirkland.	Monitor trends and/ or link to Metro's ridership dashboard
T-4: Vehicle Network Management	Narrative summary of annual progress towards completing ITS Implementation Plan	Narrative
T-5: Technology and Emerging Practices	Narrative summary of new technologies and the implementation of improvements that support future technologies such as Smart Cities Initiative, fiber optic connectivity, parking technologies, and other infrastructure upgrades reported every two years.	Narrative
T-6: Maintenance	Percentage of maintenance program and/or project funding in the capital improvement program	% of funding levels
T-7: Equity	Narrative summary of CIP Equity Tool scores of completed projects.	Narrative
T-8: Sustainability	Percentage of funds spent per transportation mode in the CIP, reported every two years.	% of funding levels
	Link to the Sustainability Strategic Plan monitoring of the 7 goals and 21 actions under Land Use and Transportation	Link
T-9: Link to Land Use	Narrative summary of project updates that support land use goals (transit, centers, etc.). Grant updates included.	Narrative
T-10: Partnerships	Narrative summary on Kirkland's partnerships if any for that year (K line example)	Narrative

The actions in this plan outline additional support to better monitoring including Policy T-1.4 to build a robust and transparent data framework. The performance measures outline baseline conditions that the City can monitor and report on each year. This is in addition to the many other monitoring activities such as the City's traffic count program, speed studies, and inventories.



Appendix A:

Capital Projects



Attachment A

TSP Project List

It is a requirement for the Capital Facilities Element (CFE) of the K2044 Comprehensive Plan to demonstrate a fiscally constrained capital project list, where anticipated revenue is balanced with future recommended transportation investments in the 20-year planning horizon. These investments must support the envisioned land use patterns and future growth.

The 20-year financial projection to fund transportation projects is estimated to be approximately **\$318 million** through local funding sources which include impact fees, real estate excise tax (REET), gas tax, business license, surface water and solid waste contributions, and the 2012 street levy. Grant funds, partnership funds, transportation benefit district and other more various funding sources are not included in this projection for the fiscally constrained project list but are still recognized as important sources to continue implementing the vision of the TSP.

The following list addresses projects required or prioritized for the local funding sources projected over the 20-year horizon. Other projects funded through other sources are included in the Transportation Strategic Plan (TSP) and will be included in the development of the 6-year Capital Improvement Plan and through regular budget processes. Examples of these include projects funded through the Transportation Benefit District, those funded by Sound Transit/ WSDOT or Metro, grants, etc. As additional funding becomes available, the prioritized unconstrained and unfunded TSP project list will direct future implementation.

TSP Prioritized Projects		Highest priority projects recommended for funding
Project Title	Description	Cost Estimate
6th and NE 85th St Protected Intersection	6th and NE 85th St Protected Intersection. Striping, signalization changes, and protection islands at the intersection for sidewalks and raised protected bike lanes on NE 85th St Station Area Plan Scope P5	\$1,562,000
NE 85th St/ 124th Ave NE intersection improvements	Protected intersection including safety improvements for walking and bicycling, included as part of Station Area Plan Scope 4	\$1,562,000
100th Ave NE Multimodal Improvements - Phase 2 (NE 132nd - NE 139th)	Roadway improvements along 100th Ave NE to address the current 5-lane to 2-lane transition. This and other elements of the ultimate project will, at a minimum, provide for protected bicycle lanes, a center turn lane where appropriate, sidewalks, curb and gutter, illumination improvements and storm drainage system upgrades.	\$39,192,000
NE 120th Pl/ NE 122nd St crossing improvements	Improve the crossing of this multi-lane street near transit stops	\$139,364
124th Ave NE Widening (NE 85th St to NE 90th St)	Widen roadway to five lane cross section from NE 85th St through the NE 90th St intersection, widened sidewalks and raised protected bike lanes from NE 84th Ln through NE 90th St intersection. Station Area Plan Scope 4	\$41,428,000
NE 85th St/120th Ave NE intersection Modifications	Revised signalization, added NB left turn lane, revised curb ramps, crosswalk striping for shared use paths. Station Area Plan Scope 5	\$2,565,655
124th Ave NE Multimodal Improvements (NE 90th to 116th)	Provide 80ft of right of way for center turn lane or landscaped median, one through lane each direction, protected bike lanes, wide landscape strips, sidewalks, and street lights on both sides of the street	\$25,172,187
NE 85th St/122nd Ave NE Protected Intersection	Striping, signalization changes, and protection islands at the intersection for sidewalks and raised protected bike lanes on NE 85th St. Station Area Plan Scope P2	\$2,062,326
6th and Kirkland Way Protected Intersection	6th and Kirkland Way Protected Intersection. Striping, signalization changes, and protection islands at the intersection for sidewalks and buffered bike lanes on Kirkland Way. Station Area Plan scope P6	\$2,194,980
NE 132nd St Multimodal Corridor (100th Ave NE to I-405) - west section	Protected Bike Lanes. Perform sidewalk repairs. Implement transit signal priority and improved pedestrian crossing treatments	\$6,694,279
NE 132nd St Multimodal Corridor (I-405 to 124th Ave NE) - mid section	Protected Bike Lanes. Perform sidewalk repairs. Implement transit signal priority and improved pedestrian crossing treatments	\$5,744,000
Prioritized Projects Total		\$128,316,791

Concurrency Mitigation Projects		Concurrency projects are required to resolve transportation system deficiencies related to land use growth through 2044.
Project Title	Description	Cost Estimate
NE 68th St & 108th Ave NE	Restripe EB right-turn pocket to shared EB through-right and widen for corresponding receiving lane. Optimize splits.	\$706,707
98th Ave NE & Juanita-Drive-NE 116th Street	Widen for additional NB left turn pocket and optimize splits. Additional NBL receiving lane currently exists.	\$845,370
NE 116th Street & 124th Ave NE	Widen for new SBR turn pocket, optimize splits and modify for lagging NBL phase instead of leading NBL. Additional SBR receiving lane currently exists.	\$654,255
100th Ave NE & NE 132nd Street	Widen for additional WB right-turn pocket, additional EB left-turn pocket and optimize splits. The receiving lanes currently exist.	\$1,594,625
NE 124th Street & 116th Ave NE/I-405 on ramp	Widen for additional SB right turn pocket, EB left turn pocket and EB right turn pocket. Adjust signal timing. The receiving lanes currently exist.	\$2,705,951
NE 124th Street & Slater Ave/132nd Ave NE	Widen for NB right turn pocket and separate the NB right turn movement from the NB through lane. Optimize splits. The receiving lanes currently exist.	\$2,600,000
Concurrency Mitigation Total		\$9,106,908

**Attachment A
TSP Project List**

Current CIP Projects with local funds extending into the 2025-2030 funding horizon

Project Title	Description	Cost Estimate
NE 87th St/116th Ave NE Intersection Improvement/ Roundabout "PUDO" (Station Area Plan Scope P3)*	Install a mini-roundabout, which includes the driveway access to the future pick-up and drop-off area for the Sound Transit STRIDE bus rapid transit station on I-405 at NE 85th St. This project includes striping, signage, curbs, stormwater improvements, landscape restoration, sidewalks, and ADA ramps, and fill gaps in the existing sidewalk network.	\$732,600
Trail Connection at Juanita Dr and NE 132nd St	Connect NE 132nd Street between Juanita Drive NE and 76th Ave NE	\$855,000
NE 128th St Multimodal Improvements (116th Way NE to Totem Lake Blvd)	Install bicycle safety improvements between 116th Ave NE and Totem Lake Boulevard NE, including addition of 2-foot buffers with pylons to existing bike lanes complemented by green conflict pavement markings, relocation of the eastbound bike lane to the south curb at NE 128th Street/Totem Lake Blvd NE, new bike boxes at NE 128th Street/116th Avenue NE intersection, and signal phasing improvements including protected bike phases at both intersections.	\$421,000
108th Ave Transit Queue jumps*	Widen the roadway to add a northbound bus lane on 108th Ave NE. Phase 1 is just north of NE 62nd St to just north of NE 68th St and Phase 2 is north of NE 53rd St to NE 60th St. Install a new traffic signal at NE 60th St / 108th Ave NE. Upgrade traffic signal timing and/ or add transit signal priority at the NE 60th St and at the existing at NE 68th St / 108th Ave NE signals. Replace existing bicycle lane and sidewalk on the east side of 108th Ave NE with new bicycle and pedestrian facilities.	\$210,000
Preservation 124th Ave (132nd St to 144th St)	Preservation 124th Ave 132nd St to 144th St. Project includes repair and resurfacing of streets and repair and replacement of adjoining damaged concrete curb, gutters and sidewalks as well as installing accessible curb ramps to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).	\$3,123,175
Kirkland Intelligent Transportation System Phase 3	Implement telecommunications, Traffic Management Center (TMC) improvements, maintenance signal shop improvements and ITS infrastructure to provide virtual and physical redundancy, resiliency and capacity citywide as identified in the ITS plan.	\$702,445
NE 85th St/132nd Ave dual left turn lanes	Widen to add a second southbound left turn lane. This would be done primarily utilizing right of way and an easement provided by development, but some additional right of way would also be required. The project would also relocate the existing 5' bike lane, rebuild sidewalk and landscape strip and reconstruct the traffic signal to accommodate the second left turn lane. Requires coordination with the City of Redmond.	\$1,807,555
NE 112th St & 80th Ave NE & Juanita Dr NE Intersection Improvements	NE 112th St & 80th Ave NE & Juanita Dr NE Intersection Improvements	\$1,900,000
NE 145th Street/Juanita-Woodinville Way Intersection Improvements	NE 145th Street/Juanita-Woodinville Way Intersection improvements	\$2,951,961
CIP Carryover Total		\$12,703,736

NOTE: The list above only includes current CIP Projects with local funds extending into the 2025-2030 funding horizon. Additional projects in the current CIP with (or that will require) external funds will also remain a priority and in the 6-year CIP. Examples include:

- NE 85th Street Ped/Bike Connection - 114th Ave NE to 6th St (primarily Sound Transit funds)
- 7th Ave/NE 87th St Complete Street (SAP Scope 10)
- K-Line related transit access, speed and reliability including the 108th Ave Transit Queue Jumps

Other funded projects, such as the CKC Crossing at 132nd Ave NE/ Slater, are also not listed here because they don't impact the fiscally constrained list.

* amount noted is for local fund responsibility only, remaining is grant funded

Programs complement the larger projects in many ways. They are typically narrower in scope and can be implemented		
Project Title	Description	Cost Estimate (20-yr)
Annual Sidewalk Maintenance	Preservation of sidewalk system. The Public Works Department is responsible for the maintenance of numerous miles of sidewalk. The annual program ensures maintenance of this infrastructure and reduces costly repairs resulting from total failure	\$2,000,000
Annual Striping Program	Annual program to maintain markings that identify travel lanes, crosswalks, and other guidance markings for auto, pedestrian, bicycle, transit and other forms of transportation. The program will result in the restriping of more than 40 miles of collector and arterial streets throughout the City.	\$15,000,000
Annual Street Preservation Program	Preservation of roadway system with various techniques including overlay, slurry seal, crack seal and others. The Public Works Department is responsible for numerous miles of asphalt streets throughout the City. The annual program ensures maintenance of this infrastructure and reduces costly repairs resulting from total road failure. Project includes repair and resurfacing of streets and repair and replacement of adjoining damaged concrete curb, gutters and sidewalks as well as installing accessible curb ramps to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Project complements levy-funded project STC0060300.	\$34,000,000

Attachment A
TSP Project List

Street Levy Preservation	A voter-approved levy funded annual project to enhance preservation of roadway system as part of an overall preservation program. Project includes repair and resurfacing of streets and repair and replacement of adjoining damaged concrete curb, gutters and sidewalks as well as installing accessible curb ramps to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Project complements STC0060000.	\$53,360,000
Programs (cont.)		
Programs complement the larger projects in many ways. They are typically narrower in scope and can be implemented		
Project Title	Description	Cost Estimate (20-yr)
Local Road Maintenance	Provide funding for City crews to do prep work on streets prior to the overlay completed through the annual preservation CIP projects. The City will ensure that provisions of RCW 35.22.620 regarding thresholds for public work done by city employees will be followed as part of this project.	\$1,000,000
Annual Signal Maintenance Program	Signal maintenance to replace equipment at end of useful life to maintain full capabilities. Includes range of improvements from full intersections to cabinets and service connections to components in cabinets. Also includes RRFBs (pedestrian flashing beacons), school flashers, and radar speed signs.	\$2,000,000
Citywide Traffic Management Safety Improvements	This project is an opportunity fund for improvements that increase motor vehicle safety. It includes design and construction of new traffic signals that meet one or more warrants, modification of existing signals to incorporate flashing yellow arrows or other changes, modifications to driveways and other improvements that specifically address safety needs. (\$100k every other year)	\$1,000,000
Vision Zero Safety Improvement	This project is an opportunity fund for improvements that come from Vision Zero work, an international road traffic safety project, which aims to achieve a transportation system with no fatalities or serious injuries in street traffic.	\$1,000,000
Citywide Accessibility Improvements	An opportunity fund for implementation of a wide range of accessibility improvements, as developed by the Accessibility Transition Plan.	\$10,000,000
Neighborhood Traffic Control	This project is an opportunity fund for neighborhood traffic control elements such as traffic circles, speed humps, curb bulbs, lighting, radar speed signs and a variety of other improvements as identified in cooperation with the residents affected by the projects. (\$50k every other year). Policy R-20	\$500,000
Arterial traffic calming	Arterial traffic calming such as speed radar signs, counts, etc.	\$2,000,000
Street Lighting Design Improvements	Proactively identify new areas for design and implementation of new street lights.	\$1,800,000
Neighborhood Safety Program Improvements	The Program under City Council's Walkable Kirkland Initiative for completing a number of neighborhood projects citywide under \$50,000. Project categories include: Bicycle Facilities, Crosswalk, Intersection Improvements, Traffic Calming, Walkway/Sidewalk and Trails, and Street Lights. Program improvements are restricted to City property including streets, parks, community facilities, and the Cross Kirkland Corridor.	\$3,000,000
Crosswalk Upgrade Program	Opportunity fund for crosswalk improvements and upgrades including lighting, rapid flashing beacons, etc.	\$11,000,000
SRTS and ATP Implementation (Transportation Benefit District)*	Transportation Benefit District Implementation. The projects represent a mix of Active Transportation Plan, Safer Routes to School, greenways, and maintenance priorities, with some projects addressing needs identified in multiple plans.	\$3,500,000*
Citywide Greenway Network	Implement citywide greenway network.	\$10,000,000
Sidewalk Completion Program	Used for sidewalk gap completion using prioritization from the Active Transportation Plan and the Safer Routes to School Action Plans.	\$10,000,000
CKC Related Programs	Consolidate unfunded CKC related programs into one opportunity fund. Projects will be prioritized through future CKC Action Plan	\$10,000,000
Programs Total		\$167,660,000

*funded with sources not included in the local revenue projection

TOTAL \$317,787,435

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-011	NE 124th St/ 105th Pl NE crossing improvements	NE 124th St	105th Pl NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing - Multi-lane Street	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-012	NE 124th St/ 102nd Ln NE crossing improvements	NE 124th St	102nd Ln NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing - Multi-lane Street	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-013	Ped Crossing at Lake Washington Institute of Technology	132nd Ave NE	NE 116th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Add crosswalk and associated needed safety improvements such as lighting, median, and traffic control such as RRFB, or HAWK. Location may be adjusted to midblock considering sight distance requirements of the 132nd Ave NE curve.	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-015	NE 128th St Multimodal Improvements (Totem Lake Blvd to 120th Ave NE)	NE 128th St	Totem Lake Boulevard	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Add raised protected bike lane at curbside level on north side, in-street with delineators on south side. Project will include moving bus stops and signal modifications. At the NE 128th St and 120th Ave NE intersections for the eastbound left turn, change signal phasing from permissive to protected-	\$636,271	\$900,000
TSP-017	Kirkland Way Multimodal Corridor	Kirkland Way	6th St	NE 85th St	Roadway - Multimodal	Add buffered bike lanes and continuous sidewalks from 6th St to NE 85th St. Will require widening or replacement of low clearance bridge of CKC, tree removal, and pipe structures. Included in Station Area Plan Scope 9	\$21,263,000	\$28,700,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-018	100th Ave NE/ NE 128th St crossing improvements	100th Ave NE	North of NE 128th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing - Multi-lane Street	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-019	100th Ave NE/ NE 126th St crossing improvements	100th Ave NE	North of NE 126th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing - Multi-lane Street	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-020	120th Ave NE/ NE 128th St intersection improvement	120th Avenue NE	NE 128th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	For eastbound left turn, change signal phasing from permissive to protected-permissive with flashing yellow arrow.	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-021	NE 85th St Multi-use path widening	NE 85th St	I-405	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Wide shared use paths and landscape strips from the I-405 interchange to 120th Ave NE. Station Area Plan Scope 18a	\$189,101	\$272,784
TSP-022	120th Ave NE Roadway Improvements	120th Ave NE	NE 128th Street	NE 132nd Street	Roadway - Multimodal	Widen 120th Avenue to a 3-lane cross section between north of the Totem Lake Mall at approximately NE 128th Street and NE 132nd Street; final alignment has not yet been determined south of NE 128th Street. Project includes one travel lane in each direction and a two-way left turn lane along with landscaped median islands, curb, gutter, sidewalk and protected bicycle lanes. Three signalized intersections will be reconstructed. Project length is approximately 1,650 feet.	\$15,491,000	\$22,376,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-023	116th Avenue NE Protected Bike Lanes	116th Ave NE	NE 124th St	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$2,235,844	\$3,229,556
TSP-024	6th St Protected Bike Lane or Shared Use Path	6th St	Kirkland Ave - Way	Central Way	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lane or Shared Use Path / Widened on eastside of street. Additional right-of-way required. Included in Station Area Plan Scope 8	\$809,184	\$1,168,823
TSP-025	NE 85th St Enhanced Sidewalks and Raised Protected Bike Lanes (124th Ave NE to 126th Ave NE)	NE 85th St	124th Ave NE	126th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widened sidewalks, landscape strips, and raised protected one-way bike lanes (124th Ave NE to 126th Ave NE). Station Area Plan Scope 18d	\$625,554	\$903,577
TSP-026	100th Avenue NE/Juanita-Woodinville Way NE Intersection Improvements	100th Ave NE	Juanita-Woodinville Way NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	\$1,719,000	\$2,483,000
TSP-027	Central Way/ 1st crossing improvements	Central Way	1st St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-028	Lake Washington Blvd NE/ NE 52nd St crossing improvements	Lake Washington Blvd NE	North of NE 52nd St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing with RRFB, improved pedestrian lighting	\$173,846	\$251,154

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-029	NE 85th St Enhanced Sidewalks and Raised Protected Bike Lanes (126th Ave NE to 128th Ave NE)	NE 85th St	126th Ave NE	128th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widened sidewalks, landscape strips, and raised protected one-way bike lanes (126th Ave NE to 128th Ave NE). Station Area Plan Scope 18e	\$647,552	\$935,351
TSP-030	NE 85th St Enhanced Sidewalks and Raised Protected Bike Lanes (120th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE)	NE 85th St	120th Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widened sidewalks, landscape strips, and raised protected one-way bike lanes (120th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE). Station Area Plan Scopes 18b and 18c	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-031	120th Ave NE Multimodal Improvements	120th Ave NE	NE 80th St	NE 85th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Sidewalks and raised protected bike lanes (consistent with the 120th Ave NE corridor study) and NB left turn lane (NE 83rd St to NE 85th St), SB left turn pocket at NE 80th St, On-street parking considered where feasible. Included in the NE 85th Station Area Plan Scopes 1 and 3.	\$1,104,976	\$1,596,074
TSP-032	NE 124th St Shared Use Path or Two-Way Protected Bike Lane	NE 124th St	103rd Ave NE	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Shared-Use Path or Two-Way Protected Bike Lane on north side. City right-of-way on north side, meandering sidewalk for portions. Additional right-of-way may be required. May impact trees on north side.	\$2,105,817	\$7,900,000
TSP-033	NE 68th St Upgrade to Protected Bike Lanes	NE 68th St	108th Ave NE	I-405 off-ramp	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,914,973	\$2,766,075

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-034	NE 85th St Enhanced Sidewalks and Raised Protected Bike Lanes (128th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE)	NE 85th St	128th Ave NE	130th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widened sidewalks, landscape strips, and raised protected one-way bike lanes	\$1,069,646	\$1,545,041
TSP-035	NE 68th St Upgrade to Protected Bike Lanes	NE 68th St	State St	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,145,928	\$1,655,231
TSP-036	6th St Protected Bike Lanes	6th St	5th Ave S	1st Ave S	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs on east side. Additional right-of-way required.	\$867,902	\$1,253,637
TSP-037	100th Avenue NE/NE 137th Street Intersection Improvements	100th Ave NE	NE 137th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	\$1,485,000	\$2,144,000
TSP-038	Lake Street/ Park Lane crossing improvements	Lake St	Park Ln	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Crossing Improvements	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-039	124th Ave NE/ NE 130th Ln intersection improvements	124th Avenue NE	NE 130th Ln	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install crosswalk at north leg; remove southbound right turn lane and replace with curb bulb/on street parking or bike lanes.	\$173,846	\$251,154

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-040	Juanita Woodinville Way NE/ NE 136th PI crossing improvements	Juanita Woodinville Way NE	NE 136th PI	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing - Multi-lane Street	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-041	NE 128th St/ Totem Lake Blvd Intersection Improvement	NE 128th Street	Totem Lake Blvd	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Implement flashing yellow arrow for northbound left turns to improve safety; monitor traffic operations and collisions.	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-042	120th Ave NE/ NE 132nd St intersection improvement	120th Avenue NE	NE 132nd St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Install flashing yellow arrow for left turn signal phases and add lead pedestrian interval phasing.	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-043	124th Ave NE (north) protected bike lanes	124th Ave NE (north)	NE 132nd St	NE 144th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$3,819,647	\$5,517,275
TSP-044	Central Way Bicycle Improvements	Central Way	Market St	Lake St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Extend bike lanes WB and add bike lanes EB. Would require removal of parking.	\$480,547	\$694,125
TSP-045	6th St Protected Bike Lane	6th St	1st Ave S	6th St turn off	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lane. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$408,139	\$589,534
TSP-046	100th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	100th Ave NE	NE 125th Dr	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,789,003	\$2,584,118
TSP-047	Juanita Woodinville Way NE bicycle lane improvements	Juanita Woodinville Way NE	100th Ave NE	NE 145th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffer or protect bike lanes. May require additional right-of-way as development occurs. Heavy impacts to trees along corridor with any widening.	\$4,693,472	\$6,779,469

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-048	6th St Protected Bike Lane	6th St	6th St turn off	Kirkland Ave - Way	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lane. Additional right-of-way may be required. Triangle at Kirkland Ave and 6th provides right-of-way opportunity.	\$235,261	\$339,821
TSP-049	Central Way Bicycle Improvements	Central Way	Lake St	Peter Kirk Lane	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete bike lane gaps. Add green conflict zone markings. Will require impacts to parking. Opportunity to utilize Peter Kirk Park frontage - requires parks coordination.	\$1,485,036	\$2,145,054
TSP-050	100th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	98th Ave NE and 100th Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete wider sidewalks, protected bike facilities and protect intersections. Additional right-of-way required, as development occurs.	\$3,892,202	\$5,622,141
TSP-051	NE 120th St/ 132nd Ave NE Curve (Lk Wa Institute of Technology vicinity) - NE 120th St portion	NE 120th St	132nd Ave NE	Slater Ave NE	Roadway - Multimodal	Complete sidewalks, bike facilities and center turn lane. Faciliate turning movements with pedestrian and bicycle interactions according to best practices.	\$3,375,000	\$4,875,000
TSP-052	Market Street NB Queue Jump	98th Ave NE	20th Ave	Forbes Creek Dr	Transit - Speed and Reliability	NB queue jump at 98th Ave and Forbes Creek Drive	\$898,000	\$1,297,000
TSP-054	Lake Wa Blvd/ NE 43rd St crossing improvements	Lake Washing ton Blvd NE	NE 43rd St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Improve existing crossing with RRFB, lighting, stop bars	\$173,846	\$251,154

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-055	6th St South/ 5th Ave S crossing improvements	6th St S	5th Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Crossing Improvements	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-056	State St/ 4th Ave S crossing improvements	State St	4th Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Crossing Improvements	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-057	120th Ave NE/ NE 130th Ln intersection improvements	120th Avenue NE	NE 130th Ln	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Add crosswalk to west leg and lead pedestrian interval phasing to enhance pedestrian safety and mobility.	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-058	Market St/ 12th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	12th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-059	Kirkland Ave/ KPC crossing improvements	Kirkland Ave	Kirkland Performing Arts Center	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-060	Market St/ 6th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	6th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-061	State St/ 2nd Ave S crossing improvements	State St	2nd Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-062	Market St/ 9th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	9th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-063	120th Ave NE Main Street	120th Ave NE	NE 85th St	NE 90th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	sidewalks and raised protected bike lanes (consistent with the 120th Ave NE corridor study). Station Area Plan Scope 20	\$904,647	\$1,306,710
TSP-064	NE 38th Pl Buffered or Protected Bike Lanes	NE 38th Pl	Lake Washington Blvd	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffered or protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$1,580,014	\$2,282,245
TSP-065	Lakeview Dr bike lane conflict zone markings	Lakeview Dr	101st Ct NE	State St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add green conflict zone markings. Buffer if room in existing right-of-way.	\$79,966	\$115,541
TSP-066	6th St Protected Bike Lanes	6th St	NE 68th St	5th Ave S	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs on east side. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,501,288	\$2,168,531
TSP-067	Kirkland Way Protected Bike Lanes	Kirkland Way-Ave	3rd St	6th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Align long term strategy with Kirkland Way connections to the 85th Station to be seamless all-ages connection into downtown. Pending parking utilization study, protect bike lanes as a CIP project or as future development occurs. Additional right-of-way required	\$73,736	\$106,536

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-068	124th Ave NE (north) protected bike lanes	124th Ave NE (north)	NE 144th St	NE 145th Pl	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$796,220	\$1,150,097
TSP-069	Totem Lake Blvd NE Shared Use Path or Protected Bike Lanes	Totem Lake Blvd NE	120th Ave NE	NE 128th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Widen protected space on east side for shared path or protected bike lane(s). Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,711,102	\$2,471,594
TSP-070	State St Buffered or Protected Uphill Bike Lane	State St	Kirkland Ave	2nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffered or protected uphill bike lane. Improve downhill sharrow marking. May impact parking.	\$524,544	\$757,675
TSP-071	108th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE	south city limits	NE 52nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required, easement on Lake Washington School district property.	\$3,676,637	\$5,310,705
TSP-072	132nd Ave NE / Slater Protected Bike Lanes	Slater/ 132nd Ave NE	NE 124th St	NE 129th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,516,531	\$2,190,548
TSP-073	NE 132nd Street/124th Avenue NE Intersection Improvements	NE 132nd St	124th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	\$1,707,000	\$2,465,000
TSP-074	6th Street S at the 5th Place / CKC Crossing - Transit Signal Priority	6th Street S	5th Place	<Null>	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Transit signal priority at the CKC trail intersection on 6th Street including a new 3-leg signal and the removal of on-street parking with a signal controlled crossing to give transit priority in both	\$1,102,000	\$1,592,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-075	NE 69th St/ 106th Ave NE crossing improvements - RRFB	NE 68th St	106th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Reflective Flashing Beacon At 106th Ave NE Crossing NE 68th St	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-076	Market St/11th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	11th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-077	NE 70th St/ 125th Ave NE crossing improvements	NE 70th St	125th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-078	NE 124th St/ 108th Ct NE crossing improvements	NE 124th St	108th Ct NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-079	Market St/ 14th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	14th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-080	84th Ave NE/ NE 145th St mini roundabout	84th Ave NE	NE 145th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	mini roundabout	\$145,000	\$210,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-081	NE 87th St/ 116th Ave NE mini roundabout	NE 87th St	116th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	mini roundabout and associated sidewalk and striping changes. Station Area Plan scope P3	\$270,000	\$390,000
TSP-082	NE 70th Street / 124th Ave NE intersection Improvements	NE 70th St	124th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	signal or roundabout	\$1,216,000	\$1,757,000
TSP-083	90th St Multimodal Improvements (120th to 122nd Ave NE)	NE 90th St	120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Add shared use path and landscape strip on the north side of roadway, and sidewalk and landscape strip on south side of roadway. Included in Station Area Plan Scope 14	\$427,920	\$617,481
TSP-084	NE 116th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 116th St under I-405	120th Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Under 405 needs coordination with WSDOT. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$1,081,986	\$1,562,871
TSP-085	NE 120th St Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 120th St	124th Ave NE	Slater	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffer bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$42,517	\$61,430
TSP-086	State St Bike Lane and Conflict Zone Marking Improvements	State St	NE 68th St	2nd Ave S	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add green conflict zone markings. Complete bike lane to NE 68th St.	\$2,195,827	\$3,171,754
TSP-087	Slater Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	Slater Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$2,931,814	\$4,234,848

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-088	Lakeshore Plaza Dr - All Ages Bicycle Connection	Lakeshore Plaza	Lake St/ Lk Wa Blvd	Central Way/ Market	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Provide an all-ages and abilities bicycle connection through Lakeshore Plaza adjacent to Marina Park connecting between Market St/Central to Lake Washington Blvd/Lake St. Considerations include conflicts with parking lot access and pedestrian facilities. Requires coordination with Parks.	\$849,763	\$1,227,437
TSP-089	100th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	100th Ave NE	NE 124th St	NE 125th Dr	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$392,514	\$566,966
TSP-090	NE 70th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 70th St	116th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	protected bike lanes	\$4,582,152	\$6,618,673
TSP-091	124th Ave NE bicycle improvements - Totem Lake	124th Ave NE	NE 130th Lane	NE 132nd Street	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes. Would require the removal of the northbound right turn lane at the NE 132nd Street/124th Avenue NE intersection.	\$542,588	\$783,739
TSP-092	I-405/NE 85th St NE Quadrant Trail	I 405 NE Quadrant	NE 85th St	Slater Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use trail connecting BRT station to Slater Avenue NE. Station Area Plan Scope 13b	\$603,962	\$872,408
TSP-093	NE 124th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 124th St	124th Ave NE	Slater Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes - utilize buffered bike lane space and COK right-of-way.	\$2,018,021	\$2,914,923
TSP-094	NE 132nd St (124th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE) - east section	NE 132nd St	124th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes, extend to 132nd Ave NE intersection at E end. Perform sidewalk repairs	\$3,749,150	\$5,415,507

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-095	NE 124th St Shared Use Path or Two-Way Protected Bike Lane	NE 124th St	100th Ave NE	103rd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Shared-Use Path or Two-Way Protected Bike Lane on north side. City right-of-way on north side. Would require tree removal.	\$513,000	\$740,000
TSP-096	90th St Multimodal Improvements (122nd Ave NE to 124th Ave NE)	NE 90th St	122nd Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Buffered bike lanes and sidewalks with landscape strips from 122nd Ave NE to 124th Ave NE. Included in Station Area Plan Scope 15	\$445,439	\$643,410
TSP-097	Totem Lake Blvd NE Shared Use Path	Totem Lake Boulevard	NE 128th Street	NE 132th Street	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Add shared-use path along east side of the street. Re-channelize the street with one southbound lane, a center two-way left turn lane, and two northbound lanes to improve vehicle safety and access to properties.	\$705,772	\$1,019,449
TSP-098	Lake Washington Blvd Promenade	Lake Washington Blvd NE	Lakeview Dr	Kirkland Ave	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Implement the Lake Washington Boulevard Study Recommendations. Study recommendations could include protected bike lanes, share use paths or some other type of improvement that would upgrade the existing bike lanes.	\$3,422,089	\$4,943,018
TSP-099	NE 120th St/ 132nd Ave NE Curve (Lk Wa Institute of Technology vicinity) - 132nd Ave NE portion	132nd Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 120th St	Roadway - Multimodal	Implement traffic calming measures through curve of 132nd Ave NE to reduce vehicle speeds and improve roadway safety. This may include pavement treatments, lighting improvements, speed radar feedback signs, or vertical or horizontal deflection devices (i.e. medians or speed cushions)	\$298,000	\$431,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-100	Totem Lake Boulevard southbound protected bicycle lane	Totem Lake Boulevard	120th Avenue NE	NE 124th Street	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	southbound protected bike lane	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-101	NE 116th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 116th St	115th Ln NE	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,205,269	\$1,740,946
TSP-102	NE 132nd Street/Fire Station Access Drive Intersection Improvements	NE 132nd Street	Fire Station Access	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Modify existing signal to include pedestrian actuated option, as recommended in the NE 132nd Street Master Plan.	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-103	Lake Wa Blvd/ Lakeview Drive	Lake Washington Blvd	Lakeview Dr	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation, potential roundabout	\$405,000	\$9,000,000
TSP-104	Lake St/ 2nd Ave S crossing improvements	Lake St	2nd Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-105	Lake St/ 5th Ave S crossing improvements	Lake St	5th Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-106	84th Ave NE/ NE 141st St crossing improvements	84th Ave NE	NE 141st St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-107	Market St/ 19th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	19th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-108	116th Ave NE multiuse trail to NE 80th St Bridge (NE 80th St bridge to 118th Ave NE)	116th Ave NE	NE 80th St Bridge	118th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Improve on-street buffered path into a separated trail for walking and bicycling as connection to the NE 80th St I-405 pedestrian bridge. Includes RRFB crossing of 116th Ave NE to the south. Station Area Plan Scope 19	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-109	118th Ave NE Pathway	118th Ave NE (new alignment)	NE 130th Place/ Lane	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Multi-use trail	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-110	JHS Neighborhood Greenway	NE 128th St	109th Ave NE	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$322,083	\$465,225

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-111	120th Ave Protected Bike Lanes	120th Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 118th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$710,258	\$1,025,930
TSP-112	I-405/NE 85th St SE Quadrant Trail	I405 SE Quadrant	NE 80th St	NE 85th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use trail connecting BRT station to NE 80th St. Trail alignment could be along WSDOT ROW or through private property to 118th Ave NE as development occurs. Station Area Plan Scope 13c	\$434,020	\$626,932
TSP-113	I-405/NE 85th St SW Quadrant Trail	I405 SW Quadrant	116th Ave NE	NE 85th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use trail connecting BRT station to 116th Ave NE. Station Area Plan Scope 13a	\$272,217	\$393,211
TSP-114	116th Ave NE Bicycle Lanes (NE 87th to NE 95th)	116th Ave NE	NE 87th St	NE 95th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffered bike lanes and sidewalk infill from NE 87th St to NE 95th St. Included as part of Station Area Plan Scopes 11 and 12	\$2,944,234	\$4,252,836
TSP-115	108th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE	NE 62nd St	NE 68th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike lanes as development occurs and as K-line Rapid Ride route is implemented along corridor that may provide additional capital opportunities. Additional right-of-way required. NB protected lanes as part of the Transit Queue jump project. SB still unfunded.	\$1,256,573	\$1,815,053
TSP-116	NE 85th St Ped/Bike Connection from 6th St/ Kirkland Urban to NE 85th Station	NE 85th St	6th St	114th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use path on the south side of NE 85th St connecting 6th St to the shared sidewalks through the 114th Ave NE roundabout	\$1,126,229	\$1,626,775

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-117	I-405/NE 85th St NW Quadrant Trail	I405 NW Quadrant	NE 85th St	NE 87th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use trail connecting BRT station to NE 87th St	\$174,319	\$251,459
TSP-118	18th Ave Neighborhood Greenway	18th Ave	Market St	6th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Neighborhood Greenway type implementation here or along parallel route (15th Ave or 18th Ave) as E/W corridor in Norkirk neighborhood. Would require a high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$406,215	\$586,747
TSP-119	120th Ave Protected Bike Lanes	120th Ave NE	NE 118th St	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,927,140	\$2,783,651
TSP-120	Totem Lake Boulevard Southbound Bike Lane Gap	Totem Lake Boulevard	NE 128th Street	south of NE 128th Street	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete missing segment of southbound bike lane just south of the intersection.	\$981,456	\$1,417,661
TSP-121	Downtown Kirkland Transit Center Operations	3rd St	Kirkland Ave	Central Way	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Evaluate alternatives to optimize transit operations along 3rd Street between Central Way and Kirkland Avenue	TBD	TBD
TSP-122	NE Totem Lake Way extension	NE Totem Lake Way (new alignment)	120th Avenue NE	128th Lane NE/ NE 126th Place	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	shared use path	\$1,301,514	\$1,880,255

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-123	Juanita Public Pathway	Juanita Creek	Cross Kirkland Corridor /NE 116th St	NE 145th St	Active Transportation - shared use path	New shared use path from the Cross Kirkland Corridor at NE 116th St to northern City limit at NE 145th St generally following the Juanita Creek corridor through public parks. This pathway alignment will need further development and adjustments based on findings documented in the Juanita Public Pathway Feasibility Study	17.8 million	19.6 million
TSP-124	NE 80th Street / 122nd Ave NE Intersection RRFB	NE 80th St	122nd Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	South side curb extension, crosswalks, NE 80th Street / 122nd Ave NE Intersection RRFB. Station Area Plan Scope P4	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-125	Lakeview Dr/ NE 64th St crossing improvements	Lakeview Dr	NE 64th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Improve existing crossing. Ensure eastern curb ramp meets ADA compliance, RRFB	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-126	NE 60th St/ Lakeview Dr crossing improvements	NE 60th St	Lakeview Dr	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Improve existing crossing. RRFB, ensure adequate pedestrian lighting	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-127	NE 68th St/ 112th Ave NE crossing improvements	NE 68th St	112th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	RRFB, streetlighting and crosswalk improvements, radar feedback sign in vicinity	\$173,846	\$251,154

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-128	NE 72nd Pl/ I-405 crosswalk lighting	NE 72nd Pl	S I-405 Off ramp	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-129	Market St/ 20th Ave crossing improvements	Market St	20th Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-130	124th Ave NE/ NE 104th St crossing improvements	124th Ave NE	NE 104th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-131	124th Ave NE/ NE 107th Pl crossing improvements	124th Ave NE	NE 107th Pl	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-132	118th Ave NE Roadway Extension	118th Ave NE (new alignment)	NE 128th St	NE 130th Place/ Lane	Roadway - New Connection	New street extension with protected bike lanes	\$5,330,000	\$7,699,000
TSP-133	132nd Ave Protected Bike Lanes	132nd Ave NE	NE 129th St	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$775,610	\$1,120,327

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-134	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	NE 136th St	NE 138th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add / maintain buffered bike lanes as development occurs or with further upgrades along the corridor to have consistent buffered bike lanes from NE 141st St to NE 124th St which is along park and three school zones. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$670,932	\$969,125
TSP-135	90th St Greenway	NE 90th St	124th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Install missing segments of curb, gutter, and sidewalk along NE 90th Street between 124th and 132nd Avenues NE. The project is approximately 1,950 feet in length and will involve minor widening and enclosure of the storm drainage system. Project is a candidate project under NM 99991. Add other greenway improvements. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Included as part of Station Area Plan scope 15	\$392,202	\$566,505

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-136	108th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE	NE 52nd St	NE 53rd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike lanes as development occurs and as K-line Rapid Ride route is implemented along corridor that may provide additional capital opportunities. Additional right-of-way required, easement on Lake Washington School district property. NB protected lanes as part of the Transit Queue jump project. SB still unfunded.	\$389,154	\$562,112
TSP-137	NE 116th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 116th St	124th Ave NE	Slater	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$196,453	\$283,765
TSP-138	NE 124th St Protected Bike Lanes	NE 124th St	Slater Ave NE	Willows	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes - Utilize buffered bike lane space and COK right-of-way. Tree and vegetation removal would be required in some areas. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$149,965	\$216,676
TSP-139	122nd Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	122nd Ave NE	NE 80th St	NE 90th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Widen sidewalks, add lighting, and stripe buffered bike lanes from NE 80th St to NE 90th St. Station Area Plan Scope 16	\$3,540,813	\$5,114,572
TSP-140	124th Ave NE South Rose Hill Corridor Multimodal Improvements	124th Ave NE	NE 80th St	NE 84th Ln	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Revise curb to have full standard with parking lane on one side of the roadway, wider sidewalks and planter strips, and consistent 6ft bicycle lanes unobstructed by parking	\$557,560	\$805,425
TSP-141	132nd Ave NE South Rose Hill Corridor Improvements	132nd Ave NE	NE 70th Pl	NE 85th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffer or protection to bike lanes, requires removal of parking lane or further ROW acquisition. Upgrade and/or add street lighting, add landscape strips and medians, repair uplifted sidewalks. Pending outcome of corridor study.	\$225,466	\$325,763

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-142	Kirkland Transit Center bicycle parking	3rd St	Kirkland Ave	Central Way	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bicycle sharrows, secured bicycle parking (lockers)	\$14,601	\$21,097
TSP-143	132nd Ave NE buffered bike lanes	132nd Ave NE	NE 60th St	NE 70th PI	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	extend bike lanes at north end to NE 70th PI intersection. Add buffer to bike lanes, requires roadway widening or removal of parking lane	\$1,777,973	\$2,568,186
TSP-144	NE 68th St Intersection Improvements and Access Management	NE 68th St	106th Ave NE	108th Ave NE	Roadway - Multimodal	Widen NE 68th Street to 74 LF between 106th Ave NE and 108th Ave NE. Add approximately 150 LF long southbound right turn pocket on 6th St S. Extend existing bicycle lanes and add bike boxes to NE 68th St / 108th Ave NE. Widen sidewalks, consolidate driveways, and consolidate crosswalks along NE 68th Street. Replace two signal poles to accommodate new intersection layout, including illumination and ITS equipment	\$4,135,000	\$5,973,000
TSP-145	124th Ave NE Sidewalk Regrade	124th Ave NE	NE 109th PI	NE 115th PI	Active Transportation - Pedestrian Improvements	regrade approximately 1,200 lf of existing sidewalk on west side of 124th Ave NE from just north of NE 109th PI to north of NE 112th PI to be accessible to walking and rolling. This may include tree removal, grading, retaining wall structures, landscaping and lighting installations	\$4,417,000	\$6,380,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-146	Totem Lake Village curb revisions and transit operations	120th Ave NE	NE Totem Lake Way	NE 128th St	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Revise curbs and parking areas to better accommodate bus access through the Village at Totem Lake. Include improvements to the sidewalk level bike lane visibility by adding more more visible markings. Add a tactile strip between the the sidewalk and bike lanes. Will include bus stop improvements to prepare for future K-Line transit service.	\$1,175,000	\$1,697,000
TSP-147	6th St Neighborhood Greenway	6th St	7th Ave	18th Ave	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$327,854	\$473,560
TSP-148	NE 132nd St/ 111th PI NE crossing improvements	NE 132nd St	111th PI NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-149	124th Ave NE/ NE 143rd St crossing improvements	124th Ave NE	NE 143rd St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-150	90th Ave NE/ NE 134th St mini roundabout	90th Ave NE	NE 134th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	mini roundabout	\$145,000	\$210,000
TSP-151	NE 128th St Multimodal Improvements (120th Ave NE to 132nd Ave NE)	NE 128th St	120th Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Uphill bike lane, downhill sharrow. Will require removal of parking	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-152	NE 132nd St Bike Lanes (Juanita Elementary)	NE 132nd St	W end of Juanita Elementary School	100th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Further buffer or protect bike facilities as development occurs. May require additional right-of-way.	\$45,377	\$65,563
TSP-153	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	NE 138th St	NE 141st St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add green conflict zone marking where SB bike lane interacts with dedicated right turn lane. Add / maintain buffered bike lanes as development occurs or with further upgrades along the corridor to have consistent buffered bike lanes from NE 141st St to NE 124th St which is along park and three school zones. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$1,084,506	\$1,566,510

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-154	JHS Neighborhood Greenway	East edge of Juanita High School	109th Ct NE	NE 128th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires coordination and easement with Lake Washington School District around Juanita High School.	\$93,124	\$134,510
TSP-155	6th St or 5th St Protected Bike Lanes	6th St or 5th St	Central Way	7th Ave	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$373,962	\$540,169
TSP-156	108th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE	NE 53rd St	NE 59th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike lanes as development occurs and as K-line Rapid Ride route is implemented along corridor that may provide additional capital opportunities. Additional right-of-way required. NB protected lanes as part of the Transit Queue jump project. SB still unfunded.	\$1,349,137	\$1,948,756
TSP-157	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	NE 132nd St	north end of Finn Hill Middle School	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add / maintain buffered bike lanes as development occurs or with further upgrades along the corridor to have consistent buffered bike lanes from NE 141st St to NE 124th St which is along park and three school zones. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$965,201	\$1,394,181

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-158	JHS Neighborhood Greenway	NE 125th Pl	103rd Ave NE	109th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires coordination and easement with Lake Washington School District around Juanita High School.	\$267,103	\$1,300,000
TSP-159	Kirkland Ave Protected Bike Lanes	Kirkland Ave	Lake St	east of library (end of EB bike lane)	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Secure right-of-way to add protection for bike lanes if/ when development occurs on north side. Address conflicts between bike lanes and bulb-outs for curbsite improvements and parking implications.	\$45,319	\$65,479
TSP-160	JHS Neighborhood Greenway	109th Ave NE (by Juanita HS)	NE 128th St	NE 132nd Sr	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$173,935	\$1,300,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-161	Robert Frost Greenway	116th Ave NE	NE 132nd St	NE 140th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Bike lanes between 132nd St and 115th Ave (steep grade, shoulder width available to allocate bike lanes).	\$356,729	\$515,267
TSP-162	Central Way Bicycle Improvements	Central Way	Peter Kirk Lane	6th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add green conflict zone markings. Explore greater protection opportunities but would impact parking.	\$18,858	\$27,247
TSP-163	West of Market Greenway - Transportation Access through Juanita Bay Park parking lot at SE corner	Access to Juanita Bay Park	9th St W	Juanita Bay Park boardwalk	Active Transportation - Greenway	Transportation Pathway. Coordinate with Parks to provide link to Old Market Street Trail through Juanita Bay Park from the planned 9th St W Greenway	\$80,819	\$116,737
TSP-164	Juanita Drive Bicycle Lane Improvements	Juanita Drive	93rd Ave NE	98th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffer or protect bike lanes. Requires redevelopment on south side and coordination with parks for additional right-of-way.	\$94,643	\$136,744
TSP-165	Connect NE 128th Street to NE 124th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 128th Street to NE 124th Street	\$359,589	\$518,718

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-166	Connect 116th Avenue NE to 113th Avenue NE and down to NE 124th street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 116th Avenue NE to 113th Avenue NE and down to NE 124th street	\$348,741	\$503,069
TSP-167	116th Avenue NE through I-405 Interchange at NE 70th St	116th Ave NE	NE 67th St	NE 70th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	buffered bike lanes, 405 ramp safety improvements, access to 405 flyer stops, NE 70th St intersection improvements with improved pedestrian crossings and protected bicycle intersection.	\$966,000	\$1,395,331
TSP-168	Kirkland Ave Greenway (west of CKC)	Kirkland Ave	Kirkland Way	CKC	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Add signage, pavement markings, traffic calming for a neighborhood greenway	\$245,480	\$354,577
TSP-169	Connect NE 120th Street to NE 124th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 120th Street to NE 124th Street	\$132,185	\$190,681
TSP-170	Connect CKC trail to proposed street connection (T29.1) off 124th Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect CKC trail to proposed street connection (T29.1) off 124th Avenue NE	\$206,747	\$298,238

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-171	Kirkland Ave Greenway (east of CKC)	Kirkland Ave	CKC	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	monitor performance of greenway, implement safety measures or improvements such as signage changes, pavement markings, stop sign revisions, diverter modifications, etc to maintain low speeds and volumes	\$218,189	\$315,158
TSP-172	NE 80th Street/120th Avenue NE Intersection Improvements	NE 80th St	120th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation. Included in the NE 85th Station Area Plan Scope 3.	TBD	TBD
TSP-173	NE 80th St/118th Ave NE mini roundabout	118th Ave NE	NE 80th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	NE 80th St/118th Ave NE mini roundabout. Included in the NE 85th Station Area Plan Scope 2.	\$145,000	\$210,000
TSP-174	124th Ave NE/ NE 107th St crosswalk lighting	124th Ave NE	NE 107th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-175	Juanita Dr/ 76th Pl NE intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	76th Pl NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	rechanelize/combine intersection with traffic signal or roundabout	\$236,000	\$341,000
TSP-176	NE 132nd St EB turn lane extension	NE 132nd Street	132nd Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Extend eastbound left and right turn lanes 500 feet and extend the bike lane to the intersection.	\$1,184,000	\$1,710,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-177	Downtown Kirkland Transit Center Raised Crosswalk	3rd St	Park Lane	N/A	Transit - Access	Raise the crosswalk at the Kirkland Transit Center connecting Park Lane to the library and Peter Kirk Park. Considerations need to include preventing northbound right turns to Park Lane.	\$186,000	\$268,000
TSP-178	NE 116th St Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 116th St	99th Pl NE	115th Ln NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffer bike lanes as development occurs. Some property lines are set-back farther than others. New development should require the same set-back from street to allow consistent right-of-way along the corridor for future improvements.	\$263,707	\$381,015
TSP-179	132nd Ave Protected Bike Lanes	132nd Ave NE	NE 132nd St	NE 137th Pl	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,481,394	\$2,139,794
TSP-180	98th Ave NE Sidepath and protected intersection	98th Ave NE	NE 116th St/ Juanita Dr SW corner	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Widened sidewalk and protected bike lane (or create a shared use path) to facilitate ped and bike movements around the southwest corner to facilitate heavy pedestrian and bicycle movements in both directions at this SW corner. Requires redevelopment of that property.	\$48,958	\$70,728
TSP-181	Totem Lake - Kingsgate Neighborhood Greenway	121st Ave NE	NE 132nd St	NE 140th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$377,599	\$545,413

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-182	Robert Frost Greenway	NE 143rd St	NE 140th St	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Will need protected approach to 124th Ave NE	\$1,001,053	\$1,446,091
TSP-183	113th Ave/118th St Bicycle Facility	113th Ave to 120th/118th St	NE 124th St	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Evaluate as part of all-ages and abilities network. Volume and speeds are slow to warrant a protected bike lane but could be warranted as an all-ages and abilities bypass of NE 116th Ave. Additional right-of-way required.	\$3,159,299	\$4,563,438
TSP-184	Juanita Elementary Greenway	98th Ave NE	NE 132nd St	100th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$322,786	\$466,240
TSP-185	120th Ave Protected Bike Lanes	120th Ave NE	NE 112th St	NE 116th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$1,079,220	\$1,558,875

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-186	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway	4th St	Central Way	7th Ave	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Connects to Kirkland Middle School (avoids 3rd St as that is a transit route). Slope is a consideration.	\$116,897	\$168,849
TSP-187	Connect Edith Moulton Park to NE 132nd Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Edith Moulton Park to NE 132nd Street	\$478,195	\$689,810
TSP-188	Connect Slater Avenue to existing trail south of NE 124th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Slater Avenue to existing trail south of NE 124th Street	\$80,713	\$116,430
TSP-189	Connect 126th Avenue NE with 128th Avenue NE and connect north to NE 85th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 126th Avenue NE with 128th Avenue NE and connect north to NE 85th Street	\$358,785	\$517,558
TSP-190	Connect NE 85th Street to P12 and existing trail	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 85th Street to P12 and existing trail	\$181,992	\$262,529

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-191	124th Ave NE bicycle improvements - Totem Lake	124th Ave NE	NE 128th Street	NE 130th Lane	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Uphill bike lane, downhill sharrow. Will require removal of parking	\$802,696	\$1,159,451
TSP-192	5th Ave Trail (6th St to CKC and Kirkland Way)	5th Ave	6th St	Kirkland Way	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared Use Path along the north side of 5th Ave between 6th St and Kirkland Way. Improving existing trail connections to the CKC with grading and widening, add lighting. Included as part of Station Area Plan Scope 6	\$1,124,111	\$1,623,716
TSP-193	116th Ave NE to CKC connection improvements	116th Ave NE	NE 107th Pl	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Neighborhood Greenway and improved staircase to the CKC	\$212,007	\$306,233
TSP-194	Connect the CKC to the Totem Lake Boardwalk	New Alignment	Totem Lake Boardwalk	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect the CKC to the Totem Lake Boardwalk	\$181,790	\$262,591
TSP-195	108th Ave NE/ NE 55th Ln crossing improvements	108th Ave NE	South of NE 55th Ln	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-196	NE 144th St/ 126th Ave NE crosswalk lighting	NE 144th St	126th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-197	84th Ave NE/ NE 129th Pl crossing improvements	84th Ave NE	NE 129th Pl	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-198	84th Ave NE/ 84th Ave NE crossing improvements	84th Ave NE	84th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-199	124th Ave NE/ NE 134th Pl crossing improvements	124th Ave NE	NE 134th Pl	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-200	NE 131st Way/ NE 131st Way crossing improvements	NE 131st Way	94th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing with RRFB, improved pedestrian lighting, and receiving pedestrian sidewalk or walkway on south side of NE 131st Way	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-201	NE 116th St/ 102nd Pl NE crossing improvements	NE 116th St	102nd Pl NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-202	Kingsgate Park & Ride bus stop and access improvements	Kingsgate Park & Ride	<Null>	<Null>	Transit - Access	New bus stop on 116th Avenue NE at the Kingsgate Park & Ride and access to transit improvements	\$578,000	\$835,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-203	Juanita Dr/ NE 141st St intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	NE 141st St Intersection	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Add left turn signals	\$700,000	\$1,011,000
TSP-204	Juanita Dr/ 86th Ave NE intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	86th Ave NE Intersection	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	pedestrian crossing with median and drainage improvements	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-205	West of Market Greenway	6th St W	Waverly Way	Market St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$270,117	\$390,164
TSP-206	15th Ave Neighborhood Greenway	15th Ave	Market St	5th Pl	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. (15th Ave or 18th Ave) as E/W corridor in Norkirk neighborhood.	\$380,741	\$549,951

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-207	NE 112th St	NE 112th St	108th Ave NE	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$343,318	\$495,897
TSP-208	NE 80th St Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 80th St	116th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffers to existing bike lanes as development and other intersection projects occur. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$227,778	\$329,104
TSP-209	Bridle Trails Greenway	130th Ave NE	NE 60th St	NE 70th Pl	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. include crossing improvements of NE 70th St to connect to South Rose Hill greenway and trail improvements between NE 64th and NE 65th Streets	\$763,710	\$1,103,218

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-210	114th Ave NE AAA Bicycle Facility	114th Ave NE	NE 85th St	NE 87th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Primary all-ages and abilities connection in this vicinity is planned as a separated shared use path in the north west quadrant of the new I-405 / 85th interchange connecting from 116th Ave NE to the station. This connection is an alternative or suppl	\$159,501	\$230,085
TSP-211	West of Market Greenway	17th Ave W, 8th St W, 18th Ave W, 9th St W	6th St W	Juanita Bay Park	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires new path connection from 9th St W to Juanita Bay Park	\$374,204	\$540,509
TSP-212	124th Ave NE (north) protected bike lanes	124th Ave NE (north)	NE 145th Pl	north city limits	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required.	\$929,722	\$1,342,934
TSP-213	NE 112th St	NE 112th St	116th Pl NE	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required. Complete bike lanes to intersection at 116th Ave NE	\$963,696	\$1,392,007
TSP-214	108th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE	NE 59th St	NE 62nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike lanes as development occurs and as K-line Rapid Ride route is implemented along corridor that may provide additional capital opportunities. Additional right-of-way required. NB protected lanes as part of the Transit Queue jump project. SB still unfunded.	\$663,432	\$958,292

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-215	8th St S Bike Lanes or Greenway	8th St S	9th Ave S	Railroad Ave	Active Transportation - Greenway	Recommend Bike Lanes or Greenway. Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$293,880	\$424,487
TSP-216	Connect existing trail from 122th Avenue NE top 120th Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect existing trail from 122th Avenue NE top 120th Avenue NE	\$80,052	\$115,477

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-217	108th Ave NE Transit Queue Jumps	108th Ave NE	NE 53rd St	NE 68th St	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Widen the roadway to add two northbound transit queue jumps on 108th Ave NE between NE 62nd St to NE 68th St and between NE 53rd St to NE 60th St. Install a new traffic signal at NE 60th St / 108th Ave NE. Upgrade traffic signal timing and/ or add transit signal priority at NE 60th St and at NE 68th St. Replace existing bicycle lane and sidewalk on the east side of 108th Ave NE with new sidewalk level protected bicycle lanes and upgraded pedestrian facilities. Adjustments to underground utility lids and catch basins as needed within the project area, and new LID storm water system improvements are expected to meet permitting requirements. Overhead power and communication line relocation is expected to accomplish project scope.	\$7,245,000	\$10,465,000
TSP-218	NE 90th St from 120th Ave NE to I-405 Connection	NE 90th St	I-405	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared use path and landscape strip on the north side of the roadway, to connect to future quadrant trail or future 90th St bridge. Included as part of Station Area Plan scope 14	\$204,000	\$294,000
TSP-219	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	79th Way NE	NE 120th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen and reconfigure cross-section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on east side of street	\$1,169,520	\$1,689,303

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-220	Connect Houghton Shopping Center (north to south) across NE 68th Street.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Houghton Shopping Center (north to south) across NE 68th Street.	\$404,473	\$584,329
TSP-221	Connect CKC to 106th Avenue NE and Houghton Shopping Center	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect CKC to 106th Avenue NE and Houghton Shopping Center	\$96,969	\$139,881
TSP-222	Connect NE 124th Street to ERC at Totem Lake Park to the CKC	New Alignment	NE 124th St	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 124th Street to ERC at Totem Lake Park to the CKC	\$145,879	\$210,718
TSP-223	Connect the north side of NE 116th to the CKC	New Alignment	NE 116th	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect the north side of NE 116th to the CKC	\$22,794	\$32,925
TSP-224	Connect the south side of NE 116th to the CKC	New Alignment	NE 116th	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect the south side of NE 116th to the CKC	\$80,687	\$116,550
TSP-225	Connect Everest Park to the CKC via Everest Creek	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Everest Park to the CKC via Everest Creek	\$106,710	\$153,933
TSP-226	Lake Washington Blvd Transit Access from Carillon Point	Lake Washington Blvd	Carillon Pt	<Null>	Transit - Access	Implement non-motorized access to transit investments	TBD	TBD

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-227	108th Ave NE / Watershed Park crosswalk lighting	108th Ave NE	Watershed Park	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-228	NE 141st St/ 83rd Pl NE crossing improvements	NE 141st St	83rd Pl NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-229	Juanita Dr/ NE 138th Pl intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	NE 138th Pl Intersection	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Roundabout Option	\$4,423,000	\$6,389,000
TSP-230	116th Ave NE/ NE 70th Pl intersection improvements	116th Ave NE	NE 70th Pl	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Improve pedestrian and bicycle crossing (in coordination with future interchange WSDOT project)	\$251,000	\$362,000
TSP-231	NE 70th Street / 122nd Ave NE new signal or roundabout	122nd Ave NE	NE 70th St	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Signal or roundabout	\$5,551,000	\$8,018,000
TSP-232	NE 131st Way / 90th Avenue NE	131st Way, 90th Ave	NE 134th St	W end of Juanita Elementary School	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Elevate Shared Use path on west side. Widen corridor required to improve downhill bike lane and provide enough shoulder buffer from edge of roadway due to ravine below.	\$2,859,767	\$4,130,767

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-233	NE 130th Place street extension	NE 130th Place (new alignment)	Totem Lake Boulevard	120th Ave NE	Roadway - New Connection	The NE 130th Place extension will include two 10.5' travel lanes, 4.5' planter strip buffers, 6' raised bike lanes, and 6' sidewalks. A 1' tactile buffer will separate the raised bike lanes and sidewalks.	\$9,450,000	\$13,650,000
TSP-234	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	NE 128th St	north end of Carl Sandburg Elementary	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffered bike lanes SB as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$443,144	\$640,098
TSP-235	Juanita Neighborhood Greenway	103rd Ave NE	NE 123rd St	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Will require RRFB or signalized crossing of NE 124th St	\$142,908	\$206,440

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-236	McAuliffe Greenway	108th Ave NE / NE 121st St / NE 122nd St / NE 123rd St	NE 112th St	104th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires pedestrian signal for crossing NE 116th St. South of NE 112th St requires new construction of ped path and ROW acquisition of development (Citywide Connection P20)	\$1,250,817	\$1,806,892
TSP-237	Simonds Rd NE Multimodal Improvements	Simonds Rd NE	north city limits/9 2nd Ave NE	100th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Bike facilities, improved sidewalks. Additional protection would require significant investment in existing city right-of-way and with redevelopment. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$2,412,508	\$3,484,727
TSP-238	99th Pl NE Bike Lanes	99th Pl NE	NE 112th St	NE 116th ST	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Bike Lanes	\$1,147,582	\$1,657,621
TSP-239	93rd Ave NE/ 124th St Greenway	93rd Ave NE/ 124th St	Juanita Dr/ 93rd Ave NE	100th Ave NE/ NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$607,972	\$878,170

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-240	NE 112th St	NE 112th St	100th Ave NE	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes.	\$345,919	\$499,654
TSP-241	Forbes Creek Dr Buffered or Protected Bike Lanes	Forbes Creek Drive	108th Ave NE	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Widen to add buffered or protected bike lanes in existing City right-of-way.	\$2,611,714	\$3,772,481
TSP-242	Railroad Ave Shared Use Path	Railroad Ave	8th St S	Kirkland Way	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared Use path on west side,	\$453,490	\$655,041
TSP-243	Juanita Elementary Greenway	98th Ave NE/ NE 136th St	NE 124th St	NE 130th Pl	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. From 98th to 100th change facility type to bike lanes for one block uphill climb.	\$325,433	\$470,063

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-244	NE 100th St Bridge Improvements (over I-405)	NE 100th St Bridge	117th Pl NE	Slater Ave	Bridge	Modify existing bridge for improved bicycle and pedestrian access such as technology solutions for the east end gate or bridge widening to better accommodate bike ped access instead of existing switchback ramps	\$13,988,000	\$19,200,000
TSP-245	116th Ave NE multiuse trail to NE 80th St Bridge (NE 75th to NE 80th St bridge)	116th Ave NE	NE 75th St	NE 80th St Bridge	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Improve on-street buffered path into a separated trail for walking and bicycling as connection to the NE 80th St I-405 pedestrian bridge.	\$596,719	\$861,927
TSP-246	Connect NE 112th Street to 101st Place NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 112th Street to 101st Place NE	\$42,837	\$61,793
TSP-247	Connect 113th Avenue NE to NE 121st Street and 110th Avenue NE via Heronfields Wetlands Park.	New Alignment	110th Ave	113th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 113th Avenue NE to NE 121st Street and 110th Avenue NE via Heronfields Wetlands Park.	\$283,491	\$409,495
TSP-248	Connect NE 120th Street to possible new connection along NE 116th Street and to NE 113th Street behind Lake Washington Institute of Technology.	New Alignment	NE 120th St	NE 113th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 120th Street to possible new connection along NE 116th Street and to NE 113th Street behind Lake Washington Institute of Technology.	\$555,431	\$802,306

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-249	Connect trail off of Slater Avenue to NE 116th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect trail off of Slater Avenue to NE 116th Street	\$114,145	\$164,658
TSP-250	Powerline connection through NRH from NE 92nd Street to NE 116th Street	New Alignment	NE 116th St	NE 92nd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Powerline connection through NRH from NE 92nd Street to NE 116th Street	\$1,972,273	\$2,848,899
TSP-251	Connect 4th Avenue between 5th Street and 4th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 4th Avenue between 5th Street and 4th Street	\$195,807	\$282,876
TSP-252	Connect Central Way and Kirkland Avenue	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Central Way and Kirkland Avenue	\$274,113	\$395,415
TSP-253	Connect 105th Place NE to NE 122nd Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 105th Place NE to NE 122nd Street	\$58,865	\$84,914
TSP-254	Connect 107th Place NE with NE 122nd Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 107th Place NE with NE 122nd Street	\$52,020	\$75,041

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-255	NE 130th Lane protected bike lanes	NE 130th Lane	120th Avenue NE	121st Avenue NE street extension	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	protected bike lanes	\$395,723	\$571,601
TSP-256	Juanita Drive Intersection and Safety Improvement (79th Way NE to NE 120th St)	Juanita Drive NE	79th Way NE	NE 120th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	NMC 12700 Juanita Drive Nonmotorized Improvements 79th Way NE to NE 120th St - This project will improve pedestrian and bicycle safety on Juanita drive through the construction of a separated pedestrian walkway and buffered bicycle lane, installation of pedestrian flashing beacons (RRFB's) at key locations, and improved lighting, signing and markings. The estimated project cost is \$680,000.	\$1,169,520	\$1,689,303
TSP-257	Park Lane Improvements	Park Lane	Lake St	3rd St	Active Transportation - Pedestrian Improvements	Implement baseline improvements for Park Lane as identified in the Future of Park Lane study, including bollard removal, gateway pavement art, new drinking fountain, modifications to stormwater planters, electrical power upgrades for events, quick coupler hook up to irrigation system, trash receptacle, overhead festoon lighting, tree lighting, digital smart sign kiosk, and hanging baskets	\$755,000	\$1,090,000
TSP-258	Connect 2nd Street S between 7th Avenue S. and 10th Avenue S.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 2nd Street S between 7th Avenue S. and 10th Avenue S.	\$98,168	\$141,820

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-259	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 141st St	NE 143rd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Reconfigure cross section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street.	\$1,149,933	\$1,661,017
TSP-260	128th Ln NE Bike Lanes	128th Ln NE	CKC	NE 126th Pl	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Bike Lanes	\$421,787	\$609,249
TSP-261	NE 60th St Neighborhood Greenway	NE 60th St	Lake WA Blvd	CKC	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$144,157	\$208,224
TSP-262	Connect CKC to the Houghton Shopping Center and 6th Street S.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect CKC to the Houghton Shopping Center and 6th Street S.	\$51,563	\$74,381

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-263	Connect multifamily south of Kirkland Avenue to the CKC	New Alignment	South of Kirkland Ave	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect multifamily south of Kirkland Avenue to the CKC	\$18,293	\$26,423
TSP-264	Connect Slater to NE 124th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Slater to NE 124th Street	\$89,375	\$128,926
TSP-265	Connect multifamily (north of NE 85th Street) to the CKC	New Alignment	North of NE 85th St	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect multifamily (north of NE 85th Street) to the CKC	\$20,132	\$29,081
TSP-266	NE 75th St/ 122nd Ave NE mini roundabout	122nd Ave NE	NE 75th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Mini roundabout to slow vehicles entering or crossing the NE 75th St greenway and clarify vehicle operations at this intersection	\$153,000	\$221,000
TSP-267	NE 112th St	NE 112th St	111th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-268	NE 140th St/ 125th PI crossing improvements	NE 140th St	East of 125th PI	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-269	Juanita Dr/ NE 116th PI intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	NE 116th PI Intersection	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Rechannelize	\$104,000	\$150,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-270	NE 53rd Street Intersection Improvements	NE 53rd Street	108th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	\$4,107,000	\$5,932,000
TSP-271	Kirkland Cemetery Shared Use Path	north side of cemetery	120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	use parcel to the north of the cemetery and maintenance access road to provide east-west bike/ped trail connection. Station Area Plan Scope 17	\$162,000	\$234,000
TSP-272	116th Ave NE Bike/Ped Connections to Spring District and Light Rail	116th Ave NE	South City Limit	NE 67th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Buffered or bike lanes and pedestrian improvements	\$5,637,825	\$8,143,509
TSP-273	NE 116th St extend bike lane to 98th Ave NE	NE 116th St	98th Ave NE	99th PI NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Continue bike lane to intersection/ bike box	\$220,682	\$318,764
TSP-274	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	north end of Carl Sandburg Elementary	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffered bike lanes SB as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$645,943	\$933,030
TSP-275	99th PI NE Neighborhood Greenway	94th Ave NE	NE 124th St	NE 131st Way	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$298,370	\$430,973

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-276	Juanita Neighborhood Greenway	104th Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 123rd St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$292,429	\$422,391
TSP-277	Finn Hill - Juanita Greenway	NE 140th St	108th Ave NE	west of I-405	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$190,508	\$275,175
TSP-278	Finn Hill - Juanita Greenway	NE 140th St	Juanita Woodinville Dr	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$161,706	\$233,572
TSP-279	132nd Ave Bike Lanes	132nd Ave NE	NE 137th Pl	NE 143rd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete bike lanes at north end to NE 143rd St.	\$2,034,369	\$2,938,536

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-280	NE 132nd/NE 134th St Bike Lanes and Markings	NE 132nd St, 87th Ave and 134th	Juanita Drive	90th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete bike lanes where there are gaps and add markings bike symbols in gaps (in WB bike lane adjacent to school. Continue bike lane to intersection.	\$3,792,684	\$5,478,328
TSP-281	NE 132nd St Bike Lanes (132nd Ave NE to 136th Ave NE)	NE 132nd St	132nd Ave NE	136th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bike lanes	\$1,084,197	\$1,566,065
TSP-282	Slater Ave Neighborhood Greenway to Shared Use Path	Slater St	Kirkland Ave	NE 85th St Station Area connect or path	Active Transportation - Greenway	Neighborhood Greenway to a Shared Use Path. Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$99,651	\$143,938
TSP-283	116th Ave NE Neighborhood Greenway (NE 100th St to NE 107th Ln)	116th Ave NE	NE 100th St	NE 107th Ln	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$271,133	\$391,630

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-284	NE 95th St Neighborhood Greenway	NE 95th St	124th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$368,866	\$532,799
TSP-285	NE 104th St Neighborhood Greenway	NE 104th St	124th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$351,940	\$508,351
TSP-286	Juanita Neighborhood Greenway	103rd Pl NE	NE 125th Pl	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires coordination with Parks or easement to utilize private street.	\$354,645	\$512,258

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-287	Juanita Woodlands Greenway	84th Ave NE	82nd Ave NE	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Steep grades at south end of 84th Ave NE and west end of NE 117th St	\$380,521	\$549,633
TSP-288	Juanita Elementary Greenway	trail west of Juanita Elementary School	NE 130th Pl	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Pave or widen existing pathway. Add wayfinding for Greenway route.	\$300,179	\$433,591
TSP-289	Evergreen Hill Greenway	NE 140th St	east of I-405	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$797,495	\$1,800,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-290	Finn Hill - Juanita Greenway	NE 140th St	98th Ave NE	Juanita Woodinville Dr	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Need signalized xing of 100th Ave NE.	\$835,017	\$1,206,240
TSP-291	Juanita Neighborhood Greenway	103rd Ave NE	NE 124rd St	NE 125th Pl	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Will require RRFB or signalized crossing of NE 124th St	\$143,196	\$206,856
TSP-292	Slater Ave NE Greenway	Slater Ave NE	Slater Ave Street end (near Station Area)	NE 100th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$227,754	\$328,973

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-293	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway	4th St	7th Ave	18th Ave	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Connects to Kirkland Middle School (avoids 3rd St as that is a transit route). Slope is a consideration.	\$488,756	\$705,970
TSP-294	NE 112th St	NE 112th St	116th Ave NE	116th Pl NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way required. Complete bike lanes to intersection at 116th Ave NE	\$121,891	\$176,065
TSP-295	NE 126th Pl Bike Lanes	NE 126th Pl	128th Ln NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Bike Lanes	\$902,850	\$1,304,119
TSP-296	Hellen Keller Greenway	110th/109th Ave NE	NE 140th St	NE 145th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Intersection approach on NE 145th St may need bike lanes or more robust protection	\$864,832	\$1,249,310
TSP-297	Connect NE 114th Place to 124th Avenue Ne	New Alignment	124th Ave NE	126th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 114th Place to 124th Avenue Ne	\$143,995	\$207,998

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-298	Powerline connection from P10 to NE 87th Court	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Powerline connection from P10 to NE 87th Court	\$60,559	\$87,358
TSP-299	NE 80th St Pedestrian Bridge Improvements (over I-405)	NE 80th St	Kirkland Ave	116th Ave NE	Bridge	Improve existing pedestrian bridge with wider approaches, higher clearance, improved lighting, more appealing guardrail/throw barrier, wayfinding	\$12,173,000	\$17,583,000
TSP-300	Forbes Creek Drive lighting	98th Ave NE	Forbes Creek Dr	NE 116th St	Roadway - Multimodal	Street and pedestrian lighting	\$576,000	\$832,000
TSP-301	116th Ave NB Buffered Bike Lane	116th Ave NE	NE 70th St	NE 73rd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffer northbound bike lane as development occurs. Right of way may be required.	\$42,711	\$61,711
TSP-302	Connect 120th Avenue NE to NE 125th Place and to another proposed connection (P27) to ultimately land in Totem Lake Park	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 120th Avenue NE to NE 125th Place and to another proposed connection (P27) to ultimately land in Totem Lake Park	\$197,777	\$285,300
TSP-303	Connect 3rd Avenue between 3rd Street and 2nd Street	New Alignment	3rd St	2nd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 3rd Avenue between 3rd Street and 2nd Street	\$61,612	\$88,997

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-304	Powerline connection through NRH from NE 92nd Street to NE 80th Street	New Alignment	NE 92nd St	NE 80th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Powerline connection through NRH from NE 92nd Street to NE 80th Street	\$937,413	\$1,354,069
TSP-305	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	86th Ave NE	NE 112th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen and reconfigure cross-section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on north side of street. Close 83rd Avenue NE intersection to vehicle traffic. Improve inside curve for bicycle and pedestrian passage. Create pads for trash pickups	\$2,615,951	\$3,778,588
TSP-306	South Kirkland Park and Ride to CKC ADA Connection	New Alignment	NE 37th Ct	CKC	Transit - Access	Provide ADA access from the Cross Kirkland Corridor to NE 37th Court / S Kirkland Park and Ride from the South Kirkland Parking Garage	\$417,000	\$602,000
TSP-307	Connect NE 126th Place to proposed trail (P25) along NE 128th Street alignment from 136th Avenue NE to 132nd Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 126th Place to proposed trail (P25) along NE 128th Street alignment from 136th Avenue NE to 132nd Avenue NE	\$218,136	\$315,134
TSP-308	Connect NE 124th Street to ERC	New Alignment	NE 124th St	ERC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 124th Street to ERC	\$37,965	\$54,839

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-309	108th Ave NE/ NE 137th Pl crossing improvements	108th Ave NE	NE 137th Pl	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-310	NE 132nd Street/132nd Ave NE Intersection Improvements	NE 132nd St	132nd Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Extend the eastbound left turn and right turn lanes to 500 feet.	\$986,000	\$1,424,000
TSP-311	NE 53rd St Neighborhood Greenway or Bike Lanes	NE 53rd St (by NWU)	108th Ave NE	114th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Neighborhood Greenway. Would require high level of greenway implementation to reduce volumes. Bike lanes would be an alternative on this segment.	\$1,631,866	\$2,357,142
TSP-312	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	just south of NE 126th Pl	NE 128th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffered bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$321,756	\$464,759
TSP-313	ICS Neighborhood Greenway	111th Ave NE	NE 60th St	NE 68th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$268,261	\$387,482
TSP-314	Waverly Way Bike/Ped Pathway	Waverly Way	Market St	2nd St W	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Complete south side on-street bike/ped pathway or install sidewalk and curb ramp and bike lane on south side of Waverly to extend to Market St	\$451,783	\$652,576

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-315	136th Ave NE bike lane improvements	136th Ave NE	NE 128th St	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bike symbol markings to uphill bike lane. Add downhill sharrows.	\$26,944	\$38,930
TSP-316	NE 85th SW Quadrant Neighborhood Greenway	116th Ave NE	Kirkland Ave (I-405/80th bike/ped bridge)	85th Station Area quadrant trail (SW corner)	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Connecting to I-405 / 85th Station.	\$84,713	\$122,362
TSP-317	Finn Hill - Juanita Greenway	NE 141st St	90th Ave NE	NE 140th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$485,343	\$701,041
TSP-318	Finn Hill - Juanita Greenway	NE 141st St	84th Ave NE	90th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$324,059	\$468,079

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-319	116th Ave NE SB Bicycle Lanes (NE 95th to NE 100th St)	116th Ave NE	NE 95th St	NE 100th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Buffered bike lanes and sidewalk infill from NE 95th St to NE 100th St. Included as part of Station Area Plan Scope 12	\$1,508,556	\$2,179,053
TSP-320	Henry David Thoreau / NE 139th St Greenway	NE 139th St	84th Ave NE	90th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$257,940	\$372,574
TSP-321	NE 60th St Pedestrian Bridge Improvements (over I-405)	NE 60th St	114th Ave NE	116th Ave NE	Bridge	Improve bicycle/pedestrian bridge with wider cross section to accommodate ADA and bicycle use, taller height clearance, lighting, wider approach ramps, artwork, wayfinding	\$15,723,000	\$22,711,000
TSP-322	82nd Ave Neighborhood Greenway	82nd Ave, NE 128th St	Juanita Drive	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$307,663	\$444,395

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-323	McAuliffe Greenway	108th Ave NE	Forbes Creek Dr	NE 110th St (ped trail)	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Connection north to NE 112th St requires new construction of ped path and ROW acquisition of development (Citywide Connection P20). Alternative north south route via Forbes Valley pedestrian trail and 112th Ave NE to cross NE 112th St at AG Bell Elementary	\$169,915	\$245,430
TSP-324	NE 100th St Bike Lanes	NE 100th St	124th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bike lanes in existing right-of-way	\$3,049,279	\$4,404,520
TSP-325	Holmes Point Overlay Zone Street Design Standards & Holmes Point Drive Corridor Study	Holmes Pt	Juanita Dr	St Edwards St Park	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected bike lanes - funded as part of 100th Avenue NE Corridor Improvements	\$11,019,786	\$15,917,488
TSP-326	132nd Ave Bicycle Facility	132nd Ave NE	NE 143rd St	north city limits	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Coordinate with the City of Woodinville for seamless connection and facility type.	\$1,080,121	\$1,560,177
TSP-327	NE 145th St Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 145th St	100th Ave NE	Juanita Woodinville Dr	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	As development occurs, preserve right-of-way for existing space and add buffered bike lanes when adjacent sidewalks get built.	\$2,557,320	\$3,693,912

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-328	Juanita Woodlands Greenway	80th Ave NE	Juanita Drive	S end of Juanita Woodlands Park	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$160,075	\$231,217
TSP-329	Alexander Ave Neighborhood Greenway	Alexander Ave	Kirkland Ave	recommended pathway to NE 68th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$317,398	\$458,456
TSP-330	114th Ave Neighborhood Greenway	114th Ave NE (by NWU)	NE 53rd St	NE 60th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$270,233	\$390,331
TSP-331	84th Ave NE Buffered Bike Lanes	84th Ave NE	NE 124th St	just south of NE 126th Pl	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add buffered bike lanes as development occurs. Additional right-of-way may be required.	\$764,242	\$1,103,907

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-332	Connect 136th Avenue NE to 132nd Avenue NE along NE 128th Street alignment and mid block down again to NE 126th Place	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 136th Avenue NE to 132nd Avenue NE along NE 128th Street alignment and mid block down again to NE 126th Place	\$669,847	\$967,706
TSP-333	Eastside Powerline Trail	124th Ave NE	South City Limit	NE 70th St	Active Transportation - New Connection	Improve existing trail with signage, wayfinding, landscaping, paving and lighting.	\$1,868,000	\$2,698,000
TSP-334	NE 140th St Bike/Ped Bridge (over I-405)	New Alignment	113th Ave NE	NE 140th St (east of I-405)	Bridge	New ped-bike bridge over I-405 on NE 140th Street	\$41,196,000	\$59,505,000
TSP-335	Juanita Drive Gateway Median (NE 141st Vicinity)	NE Juanita Drive	NE 138th P	NE 141st St	Roadway - Other	Widen cross section and construct gateway median south of NE 141st Street	\$10,065,000	\$13,085,000
TSP-336	NE Juanita Drive Multimodal Facility Improvements	NE Juanita Drive	NE 138th St	north of NE 138th Pl	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen cross section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street, rechannelize both NE 138th intersections and construct walkway on east side of street	\$750,739	\$1,084,398
TSP-337	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 116th Pl	86th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen and reconfigure cross-section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on north side of street. Improve downhill drainage.	\$1,687,270	\$2,437,164

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-338	Willows Crossing	Willows	Friends of Youth	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	New RRFB crossing	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-339	Crestwoods Park/CKC Access Path Improvements	Crestwoods Park, stairs & unpaved path	6th St	111th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Construct concrete pedestrian and bicycle path, stairs, and overpass between Crestwoods Park and the Highlands Neighborhood across the CKC. This project would support desired links to parks.	\$3,384,615	\$4,888,239
TSP-340	NE 52nd St Uphill Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 52nd St	Lake Wa Blvd	CKC	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	uphill buffered bike lanes	\$611,373	\$883,096
TSP-341	NE 128th St Bike Connection to the Cross Kirkland Corridor	NE 128th St	136th Ave NE	Willows Rd	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bike symbol markings to uphill bike lane. Add downhill sharrows on NE 128th St. Assess right-of-way to add uphill bike lane and downhill sharrow on 139th Ave NE.	\$33,504	\$48,409
TSP-342	Connect Atronsics at Willows Road to ERC	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Atronsics at Willows Road to ERC	\$56,828	\$81,975
TSP-343	NE 60th St Neighborhood Greenway	NE 60th St	CKC	114th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$449,087	\$648,672

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-344	128th Ave NE/ NE 99th Ln crosswalk lighting	128th Ave NE	NE 99th Ln	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-345	NE 141st St/ 75th Ave NE crossing improvements	NE 141st St	75th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-346	130th Ave NE/ 130th Ave NE crosswalk lighting	130th Ave NE	130th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-347	NE 132nd St/ 82nd Ave NE lighting improvements	NE 132nd St	West of 82nd Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-348	NE 141st St/ 80th Ave NE crossing improvements	NE 141st St	80th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-349	NE 140th St/ 129th PI NE crosswalk lighting	NE 140th St	129th PI NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-350	NE 124th St/ 95th PI NE crossing improvements	NE 124th St	95th PI NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-351	Forbes Creek Dr/ 113th Ct NE crossing improvements	Forbes Creek Dr	East of 113th Ct NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	\$96,491	\$139,364
TSP-352	90th St Pedestrian Bridge (over I-405)	NE 90th St	Slater Ave NE	NE 90th St end	Bridge	New ped-bike bridge over I-405 along NE 90th St alignment	\$45,249,000	\$65,359,000
TSP-353	122nd Ave NE Bike Lanes	122nd Ave NE	NE 70th St	NE 80th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Add bike lanes as development occurs	\$2,152,561	\$3,109,258
TSP-354	Forbes Creek Dr Buffered or Protected Bike Lanes	Forbes Creek Drive	Market St	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Widen to add buffered or protected bike lanes in existing City right-of-way.	\$153,827	\$222,255
TSP-355	10th St and Right-of-Way behind Everest Park	10th St and Right-of-Way behind Everest Park	9th Ave	Alexander Ave	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Shared Use Path	\$585,422	\$845,627

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-356	NE 124th St (Finn Hill) Neighborhood Greenway	NE 124th St	Juanita Drive	84th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$273,412	\$394,922
TSP-357	NE 141st St Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 141st St	Juanita Drive	84th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	restripe eastbound buffer of bike lane	\$77,620	\$112,152
TSP-358	Hellen Keller 108th Ave NE Bike Lanes	108th Ave NE (Near Helen Keller Elem)	NE 132nd St	Juanita Woodinville Dr	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Complete bike lanes gaps, ensure bike lanes are at least 5ft wide or with buffer, add bike lane markings. Alternate north-south greenway route could be on 110th Ave NE.	\$3,926,177	\$5,671,152
TSP-359	Juanita Woodlands Greenway	NE 117th Pl	S end of Juanita Woodlands Park	NE 117th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures. Requires new pathway connection between NE 117th Pl and 80th Ave NE via easement of powerline (Citywide Connections P37) or development construction (P37.1)	\$181,622	\$262,339

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-360	Shared Use Path Connection from Alexander Ave to NE 68th St	Alexander to NE 68th St	NE 68th St	Alexander Ave	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Create a new shared use path connection from Alexander to connect to bus stops on NE 68th St (existing ROW)	\$382,872	\$553,038
TSP-361	Connect Kingsgate park to 116th Place NE and extend north to potential connection P56.3 at NE 145th St.	New Alignment	Kingsgate Park	116th Pl NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Kingsgate park to 116th Place NE and extend north to potential connection P56.3 at NE 145th St.	\$570,384	\$823,906
TSP-362	Connect NE 126th Place with another possible connection (P25) along NE 128th Street alignment.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 126th Place with another possible connection (P25) along NE 128th Street alignment.	\$86,858	\$125,295
TSP-363	Connect existing trail along 96th Avenue NE right-of-way to NE 121st Street.	New Alignment	Existing Trail	NE 121st St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect existing trail along 96th Avenue NE right-of-way to NE 121st Street.	\$93,295	\$134,762
TSP-364	Connect NE 145th Street across I405	New Alignment	114th Ave NE	East of I-405	Bridge	Connect NE 145th Street across I405	\$39,846,000	\$57,555,000
TSP-365	Juanita Drive - ITS Integrations - Signals	NE Juanita Drive	NE 143rd St	98th Ave NE	ITS	ITS Integration for the corridor and estimated cost 1,200,000	\$1,134,000	\$1,638,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-366	120th Ave NE to 120th PI NE roadway connection - adjacent to the Cross Kirkland Corridor.	New Alignment	120th Ave NE	120th PI NE	Roadway - New Connection	Create a roadway connecton along 120th Ave NE to 120th PL NE adjacent to the Cross Kirkland Corridor. Coordinate with CKC Action Plan	\$3,192,000	\$4,610,000
TSP-367	Connect Mark Twain Park with NE 107th Place	New Alignment	Mark Twain Park	NE 107th PI	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Mark Twain Park with NE 107th Place	\$67,538	\$97,557
TSP-368	Connect the CKC to Lake Washington Boulevard	New Alignment	Lake Washington Blvd NE	East of Lake Washington Blvd NE	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect the CKC to Lake Washington Boulevard	\$347,000	\$501,000
TSP-369	Connect Cotton Hill Park to the CKC	New Alignment	Cotton Hill Park	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Cotton Hill Park to the CKC	\$48,965	\$70,729
TSP-370	Connect the end of 14th Place to the CKC	New Alignment	14th PI	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect the end of 14th Place to the CKC	\$15,588	\$22,517
TSP-371	Connect 110th PI NE to the CKC	New Alignment	110th PI NE	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 110th PI NE to the CKC	\$31,471	\$45,459

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-372	NE 60th St/ 124th Ave NE crosswalk lighting	NE 60th St	124th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crosswalk lighting	\$175,909	\$254,000
TSP-373	NE 134th St Sidewalk and EB Buffered Bike Lane	NE 134TH ST	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Complete sidewalk gap	\$33,554	\$48,466
TSP-374	72nd Ave NE Neighborhood Greenway	NE 138th Pl, 72nd Ave NE	NE 132nd St	Juanita Drive	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$344,998	\$498,323
TSP-375	90th Ave NE Bike Lanes	90th Ave NE	NE 134th St	NE 145th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Bike lanes from 134th to 145th.	\$4,003,695	\$5,783,123
TSP-376	NE 60th St Bike Lanes	NE 60th St	116th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	bike lanes	\$4,356,606	\$6,292,883

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-377	Series of trail connections through Juanita Heights Park connecting NE 117th Place to NE 124th Street and to 93rd Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Series of trail connections through Juanita Heights Park connecting NE 117th Place to NE 124th Street and to 93rd Avenue NE	\$791,203	\$1,142,872
TSP-378	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 101st Ave NE through Juanita Bay Park	New Alignment	Forbes Creek Dr	101st Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 101st Ave NE through Juanita Bay Park	\$195,196	\$281,956
TSP-379	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 20th Avenue	New Alignment	Forbes Creek Dr	20th Avenue	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 20th Avenue	\$221,857	\$320,467
TSP-380	Connect 20th Avenue NE from 1st Street to 3rd Street	New Alignment	1st St	3rd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 20th Avenue NE from 1st Street to 3rd Street	\$240,803	\$347,834
TSP-381	Connect NE 112th Street with 110th Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 112th Street with 110th Avenue NE	\$197,007	\$284,188
TSP-382	Connect 137th Place NE to ERC	New Alignment	137th Pl NE	ERC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 137th Place NE to ERC	\$197,815	\$285,739

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-383	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 1st Street	New Alignment	Forbes Creek Dr	1st St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Forbes Creek Drive with 1st Street	\$116,517	\$168,307
TSP-384	Connect 20th Avenue NE to Crestwoods Park	New Alignment	20th Ave NE	Crestwoods Park	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 20th Avenue NE to Crestwoods Park	\$190,348	\$274,952
TSP-385	Connect 109th Avenue NE to NE 112th street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 109th Avenue NE to NE 112th street	\$59,380	\$85,658
TSP-386	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 133rd Pl	south of NE 138st St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen cross section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on east side of street	\$1,118,310	\$1,615,334
TSP-387	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 112th St	79th Way NE	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen and reconfigure cross-section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on east side of street.	\$919,732	\$1,328,499
TSP-388	NE 52nd St Uphill Buffered Bike Lanes	NE 52nd St	CKC	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	uphill buffered bike lanes	\$1,246,983	\$1,801,200
TSP-389	Connect NE 45th Street to the CKC	New Alignment	NE 45th St	CKC	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 45th Street to the CKC	\$104,778	\$151,350
TSP-390	Connect NE 91st Street to the CKC	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 91st Street to the CKC	\$30,152	\$43,496

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-391	Connect NE 116th Place to 84th Avenue NE	New Alignment	NE 116th Pl	84th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 116th Place to 84th Avenue NE	\$344,383	\$497,453
TSP-392	McAuliffe Greenway	New Alignment	108th Ave NE	NE 112th St	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect NE 112th Street with 108th Avenue NE as part of greenway. May be development driven	\$235,000	\$340,000
TSP-393	Connect Watershed Park with 108th Avenue NE	New Alignment	Watershed Park	108th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Watershed Park with 108th Avenue NE	\$149,825	\$216,419
TSP-394	Connect Juanita Drive with 73rd Place NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Juanita Drive with 73rd Place NE	\$114,873	\$165,708
TSP-395	Connect 4030 Lake Washington Blvd to the CKC	New Alignment	4030 Lake Washington Blvd	CKC	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect 4030 Lake Washington Blvd to the CKC	\$30,000	\$43,000
TSP-396	Connect multifamily access to the CKC (near 4700 block)	New Alignment	103rd Ln NE	CKC	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect multifamily access to the CKC (near 4700 block)	\$17,000	\$24,000
TSP-397	Finn Hill Roadway Emergency Vehicle Access Connections	83rd Lane NE	Near NE 132nd St	<Null>	Roadway - Other	Install retractable bollards at four locations within the Finn Hill Neighborhood.	\$48,000	\$63,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-398	Holmes Pt Dr/ 68th Ave NE crossing improvements	Holmes Point Dr NE	68th Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install pedestrian crossing at 68th Ave NE to facilitate access to O O Denny Park.	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-399	Holmes Pt Dr/ Champagne Rd crossing improvements	Holmes Point Dr NE	West of Champagne Point Rd NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install pedestrian crossing.	\$173,846	\$251,154
TSP-400	Connect NE 130th Place to NE 129th Street and NE 129th Pl	New Alignment	NE 130th Pl	NE 129th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 130th Place to NE 129th Street and NE 129th Pl. At NE 130th Pl intersection, provide a southbound left turn lane and a refuge lane for westbound left turns (Flying T intersection treatment).	\$282,924	\$408,677
TSP-401	Connect 72nd Avenue NE with OO Denny Park and Big Finn Park	New Alignment	72nd Ave NE	OO Denny Park	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 72nd Avenue NE with OO Denny Park and Big Finn Park	\$253,809	\$366,621
TSP-402	Connect 80th Ave NE to Juanita Drive NE.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 80th Ave NE to Juanita Drive NE.	\$226,413	\$326,608
TSP-403	Extending NE 119th St beyond 82nd Ave NE to 80th PL NE.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Extending NE 119th St beyond 82nd Ave NE to 80th PL NE.	\$156,520	\$225,785
TSP-404	Connect 80th Ave NE to NE 117th St.	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 80th Ave NE to NE 117th St.	\$129,992	\$187,518

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-405	Connect NE 110th Place to 83rd Place NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 110th Place to 83rd Place NE	\$260,372	\$375,595
TSP-406	Connect 84th Avenue NE to NE 117th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 84th Avenue NE to NE 117th Street	\$100,765	\$145,357
TSP-407	Connect NE 141st Street to 79th Avenue NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 141st Street to 79th Avenue NE	\$144,818	\$208,905
TSP-408	Holmes Point Drive - OO Denny Park Frontage	Holmes Pt Drive	OO Denny Park lot	68th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Pedestrian Improvements	Pedestrian pathway for the west side of Holmes Point Drive along OO Denny Park from the parking lot to 68th Ave NE	\$1,204,000	\$1,739,000
TSP-409	Holmes Point Drive Entry Area - North	Holmes Pt Drive	62nd Ave NE	Juanita Drive	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Buffered mixed-use climbing shoulder on the uphill side (8 ft travel lane plus 2ft buffer. Sharrows and 2ft shoulder on downhill side.	\$4,006,129	\$5,786,620
TSP-410	Holmes Point Drive Entry Area - South	Holmes Pt Drive/ 76th Pl NE	Just north of the curve	Juanita Drive	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Buffered mixed-use climbing shoulder on the uphill side (8 ft travel lane plus 2ft buffer. Sharrows and 2ft shoulder on downhill side.	\$1,341,127	\$1,937,180
TSP-411	Connect multifamily access to the CKC (west of 108th Avenue NE)	New Alignment	West of 108th Ave NE	CKC	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect multifamily access to the CKC (west of 108th Avenue NE)	\$14,000	\$20,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-412	Connect 108th Avenue NE with Forbes Creek Drive	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 108th Avenue NE with Forbes Creek Drive	\$374,323	\$539,972
TSP-413	Connect NE 108th Street to Forbes Creek Drive	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 108th Street to Forbes Creek Drive	\$132,857	\$191,651
TSP-414	Connect to proposed connection (P51) and Holmes Point Drive NE and 70th Avenue NE	New Alignment - NE 135th PI	Holmes Pt Drive	70th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect to proposed connection (P51) and Holmes Point Drive NE and 70th Avenue NE	\$669,161	\$965,284
TSP-415	Connect to proposed connection (P52) to NE 134th Street	New Alignment	NE 135th PI	68th PI NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect to proposed connection (P52) to NE 134th Street	\$422,262	\$609,125

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-416	Connect NE 130th PL to the north end of existing 64th Ave NE as a pedestrian pathway that would allow for emergency vehicular access for residents using removable or flexible bollards, or similar traffic implements.	New Alignment	NE 130th Pl	64th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 130th PL to the north end of existing 64th Ave NE as a pedestrian pathway that would allow for emergency vehicular access for residents using removable or flexible bollards, or similar traffic implements.	\$166,060	\$239,901
TSP-417	Extend 63rd Ave NE to connect to NE 129th St as a pedestrian pathway that would allow for emergency vehicular access for residents using removable or flexible bollards, or similar traffic implements.	New Alignment	63rd Ave NE street end	NE 129th St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Extend 63rd Ave NE to connect to NE 129th St as a pedestrian pathway that would allow for emergency vehicular access for residents using removable or flexible bollards, or similar traffic implements.	\$50,402	\$72,814

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-418	Connect NE 132nd Street to 70th Avenue NE	New Alignment	70th Ave NE	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 132nd Street to 70th Avenue NE	\$104,134	\$150,216
TSP-419	Connect Holmes Point Drive NE to NE 118th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Holmes Point Drive NE to NE 118th Street	\$127,945	\$184,565
TSP-420	Connect Holmes Point Drive NE to NE 116th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Holmes Point Drive NE to NE 116th Street	\$123,742	\$178,501
TSP-421	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Holmes Point Drive NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Holmes Point Drive NE	\$126,392	\$182,324
TSP-422	Juanita Woodlands Greenway Alt Route	New Alignment	80th Ave NE	NE 117th St	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect 80th Avenue NE to NE 117th Street	\$111,000	\$160,000
TSP-423	Connect 94th Avenue NE to NE 129th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect 94th Avenue NE to NE 129th Street	\$47,070	\$67,900
TSP-424	Connect Slater Avenue to east side of Everest Park	New Alignment	Slater Ave	10th St S/ Everest Park	Active Transportation - New Connection	Connect Slater Avenue to 10th Street S.	\$153,000	\$220,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-425	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Holmes Point Drive NE	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Holmes Point Drive NE	\$123,539	\$178,209
TSP-426	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Juanita Drive at NE 112th Street	New Alignment	<Null>	<Null>	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect Champaign Point Road NE to Juanita Drive at NE 112th Street	\$149,467	\$215,610
TSP-427	Slater Avenue NE (132nd Avenue NE/NE 124th Street) Intersection Improvement	132nd Ave NE	NE 124th St	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Project improves vehicular level of service at this signalized intersection. Construct northbound right turn lane approx. 300 feet long on Slater Ave at NE 124th St...	\$3,054,000	\$4,411,000
TSP-428	90th Ave NE Road Surface Water Drainage Repair	90th Ave NE	bottom of curve	NE 136th St	Roadway - Other	Repair and restore shoulder support eroded areas. Remove existing stormwater controlling extruded curbs on both sides of the roadway and replace with new extruded curbs. Reinstall guardrail posts in areas where post support has eroded.	\$397,000	\$517,000
TSP-429	Forbes Creek Drive to Highlands: Multi-use and Emergency Connection	New Alignment	Forbes Creek Dr	111th Ave NE	Roadway - New Connection	Install paved nonmotorized facility with retractable bollards and/or emergency vehicle actuated gate(s) to prevent through traffic, as identified in the Highlands Neighborhood Plan, at 111th Ave NE between approximately Forbes Creek Drive and NE 106th St	\$851,000	\$1,229,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-430	NE 142nd St Roadway Connection	NE 142nd St	East of 84th Ave NE	East of 84th Ave NE	Roadway - New Connection	Remove the existing barricade at 8400 NE 142nd St and open connection to general traffic.	\$574,000	\$829,000
TSP-431	NE 120th St Roadway Connection Improvements	NE 120th St	West of 81st Ave NE	81st Ave NE	Roadway - New Connection	Install retractable bollards at 8000 NE 120th St. within the Finn Hill Neighborhood to replace existing Type III roadway barricades. The existing barricades serve to prohibit cut-through traffic over portions of the Finn Hill Neighborhood but also impedes emergency vehicle access. The installation of retractable bollards will improve emergency vehicular access and response times while also serving resident and neighborhood concerns on cut-through traffic.	\$851,000	\$1,229,000
TSP-432	NE 143rd St Roadway Connection	NE 143rd St	84th Ave NE	85th Pl NE	Roadway - New Connection	Install retractable bollards at 8500 NE 143rd St. within the Finn Hill Neighborhood to replace existing Type III roadway barricades. The existing barricades serve to prohibit cut-through traffic over portions of the Finn Hill Neighborhood but also emergency vehicle access. The installation of retractable bollards will improve emergency vehicular access and response times while also serving resident and neighborhood concerns on cut-through traffic.	\$851,000	\$1,229,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-433	114th Ave NE Road Reconstruction	114th Ave NE	NE 61st Pl vicinity (south end of 114th Ave NE)	NE 67th St vicinity (north end of 114th Ave NE)	Roadway - Other	Excavation of existing unsuitable embankments fill and replacement with imported material. Replacing utilities along the project alignment (1,000ft). Construction of approximately 150ft of french drain to the east side of road.	\$1,796,000	\$2,335,000
TSP-434	Champagne Pt Road NE Embankment Stabilization	Champagne Pt Road NE	west end of Champagne Pt Road NE	Champagne Pt Lane NE	Roadway - Other	Partial to full reconstruction of roadway embankment to increase slope stability. Large rodent removal is or control is needed to eliminate animal burrows in the hillside. Revegetation of the slope is included.	\$533,000	\$693,000
TSP-435	62nd Ave NE Road Embankment Stabilization	62nd Ave NE	62nd Ave NE (where it T's)	NE 138th Pl	Roadway - Other	Construction of soldier pile and lagging wall and embankment regrading to protect and preserve roadway integrity.	\$779,000	\$1,013,000
TSP-436	NE 83rd St/120th Ave NE signalized access	120th Ave NE	NE 83rd St	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	NE 83rd St/120th Ave NE Signalized Access. This is the Lee Johnson East Access, included in the NE 85th Station Area Plan Scope 1.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-437	100th Avenue NE/NE 132nd Street Intersection Improvements	100th Ave NE	NE 132nd St	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	\$1,557,000	\$2,249,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-438	NE 85th St/132nd Ave NE Dual Left Turn Lanes	NE 85th St	132nd Ave	<Null>	Roadway - Other	Widen the street to the west to add a second southbound left turn lane (approximately 250 feet long on 132nd Avenue NE at NE 85th Street). This would be done primarily utilizing existing right-of-way and an easement provided by development, but some addit	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-439	NE 132nd Street / 108th Avenue NE Intersections Improvements	NE 132nd St	108th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Construct a 250 foot westbound right turn lane to allow this intersection to maintain a vehicular level of service less than the required 1.4 volume to capacity ratio.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-440	NE 132nd St/116th Way NE (I-405) Intersection Improvements	NE 132nd St	115th Way NE	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Coordination of City ROW and intersection improvements in association with the WSDOT's Half-Diamond Interchange at NE 132nd Street and I-405, between 116th Way NE and Totem Lake Blvd.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-441	NE 145th Street/Juanita-Woodinville Way NE Intersection Improvements	NE 145th St	Juanita-Woodinville Way NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-442	NE 100 Street/132nd Avenue NE Intersection Improvements	NE 100 Street	132nd Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	An all new full traffic signal system with signal poles, mast arms and heads, signal cabinet, cameras and full electronics for APS, video detection and ITS capability.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-443	100th Avenue NE / 145th Street Intersection Improvements	100th Ave NE	145th St	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-444	100th Avenue NE / Simonds Road Intersection Improvements	100th Ave NE	Simonds Road	<Null>	Roadway - Intersection Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-445	Kirkland Ave/ Lake Street Pedestrian Scramble	Lake St	Kirkland Ave	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install traffic signal and intersection improvements to minimize traffic conflict, improve safety and traffic operation. Project total based on a 2018 estimate from the Downtown Pedestrian Access Study which proposes a pedestrian scramble phase at the sig	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-446	STATE STREET AT 7TH AVENUE S. CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS	State St S	7th Ave S	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Enhance crossing	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-447	Totem Lake Transit Center bus stop consolidation (Metro project)	Totem Lake Transit Center	<Null>	<Null>	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Totem Lake Transit Center Bus stop consolidation	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-448	Lake Washington Blvd/ 10th Ave S Crossing Improvement	10th Avenue S	Lake Washington Boulevard Residential Market	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Implement non-motorized access investments	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-449	Juanita Dr/ 98th Ave NE signalization improvements	Juanita Drive NE	98th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Retime Signal	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-450	Juanita Drive Intersection And Safety Improvements (97th Ave NE)	Juanita Drive NE	97th Ave NE	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Retime Signal	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-451	Juanita Drive Intersection And Safety Improvements (I6)	Juanita Drive NE	NE 132nd St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Left turn pocket/ pedestrian crossing/ walkway	TBD	TBD
TSP-452	Juanita Drive Intersection And Safety Improvements (I5)	Juanita Drive NE	NE 124th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	ITS improvements. Improve safety for motor vehicles. Left turn pocket and pedestrian crossing at the NE 128th St intersection.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-453	Juanita Drive/ NE 124th St intersection improvements	Juanita Drive	NE 124th St	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	Construct flashing crosswalk and improve the sidewalk on the west side of the road at the NE 124th St intersection. Add south-bound left turn pocket, pedestrian crossing and improve walkway at the...	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-454	116TH AVENUE NE CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS AT KINGSGATE PARK AND RIDE	116th Ave NE	NE 128th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	116TH AVENUE NE CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS AT KINGSGATE PARK AND RIDE. Convert in-pavement flasher to RRFB. Traffic signal may be implemented with redevelopment.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-455	South Kirkland Park and Ride operations and signal improvements	108th Ave NE	NE 37th PI	<Null>	Transit - Speed and Reliability	Add a signal at South Kirkland Park and Ride. Implement non-motorized access improvements.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-456	CKC to Eastrail Crossing at 132nd Ave NE/ Slater	Cross Kirkland Corridor	132nd Ave NE	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Implement near-term recommendation (1A), including at-grade crossing with narrowed crossing signal and HAWK beacon. Continue to monitor traffic to determine best long term solution (two recommended in study).	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-457	Holmes Pt Dr/OO Denny Park crossing improvements	Holmes Point Dr NE	O O Denny Park	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install pedestrian crossing to facilitate access to O O Denny Park and Denny Creek Trailhead.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-458	Juanita Dr/ 112th Ave NE intersection improvements	Juanita Drive NE	112th Ave NE Intersection	<Null>	Roadway - Multimodal Intersection Improvements	This project will improve access and enhance sight distance at the intersection of NE 112th ST/80th Ave NE & Juanita Drive by realigning the existing five leg intersection geometry. The project also includes ROW acquisition, vegetation removals, ADA ramps	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-459	116th Ped/Bike Access to I-405 Overcrossing (SAP SCOPE 19)	116th Ave NE	NE 70th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Improve space allocated for bikes and pedestrians on west side of NE 116th to provide a more comfortable connection, including provision of an enhanced crossing of NE 116th Avenue to the south. NE 85th St Station Area Plan Fiscal Analysis Scope 19.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-460	Willows Rd at Eastrail Nonmotorized Improvements	Willows	Eastrail	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Willows Road at Eastrail Nonmotorized Improvements. Improvements include a set of rapid flashing beacons to ensure pedestrians are visible to drivers from both directions.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-461	Market St/ Central Way crossing improvements	Market St	Central Way	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Build curb extensions on both sides of crosswalk to narrow roadway and shorten crossing distance for pedestrians.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-462	132nd Ave NE/ 132nd Square Park crossing improvements	132nd Ave NE	132nd Square Park	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install marked crosswalk and pedestrian refuge island.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-463	84th Ave NE/ NE 137th St crossing improvements	84th Ave NE	NE 137th St	<Null>	Active Transportation - Intersection/ Crossing Improvements	Install rapid flashing beacon to enhance crosswalk safety.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-1785	118th Ave NE Multimodal improvements	118th Ave NE	NE 80th St	118th Ave NE Street end	Active Transportation - Multimodal	New complete street to connect NE 80th Street to Lee Johnson Parcel. Included in Station Area Plan Scope 2	\$1,980,000	\$2,859,000
TSP-1786	NE 70th St/ NE 72nd St Overcrossing of I-405 Bike Facility Improvements	NE 72nd St over I-405	I-405 off-ramp	116th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Improvements to add bike protection would require bridge widening and coordination with WSDOT.	\$43,268	\$62,511

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-1787	North Rose Hill 128th Ave NE Greenway	128th Ave NE	Slater Ave & 112th	127th & 75th	Active Transportation - Greenway Monitoring	Monitor performance of greenway, implement safety measures or improvements such as signage changes, pavement markings, stop sign revisions, diverter modifications, etc to maintain low speeds and volumes	TBD	TBD
TSP-1788	South Rose Hill NE 75th St Greenway Monitoring	NE 75th St	116th Ave NE	130th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Greenway Monitoring	monitor performance of greenway, implement safety measures or improvements such as signage changes, pavement markings, stop sign revisions, diverter modifications, etc to maintain low speeds and volumes	TBD	TBD
TSP-1789	7th Ave Bicycle Connection/ Study	7th Ave	Market St	6th St	Study	Evaluate greater protection on 7th Ave and/or alternative routes for an all-ages and abilities bicycle network. Considerations include existing curb extensions, traffic circles, freight movements and appropriateness of protected bike lane with many driveways.	TBD	TBD
TSP-1790	Everest Park Greenway	9th Ave S	6th St S	8th St S	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$174,405	\$251,936
TSP-1791	124th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	124th Ave NE	NE 116th St	NE 120th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes - funded as part of NE 124th Ave Improvement project	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-1792	Stores to Shores Greenway	2nd St W (Stores to Shores)	Waverly Way	Market St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-1793	NE 85th St Interchange	NE 85th St	Kirkland Way	east side of interchange	Roadway - Multimodal	Interchange	\$1,098,000	\$1,585,000
TSP-1794	Lake WA Blvd Protected Bike Lanes or Shared Use Path	Lake Washington Blvd NE	NE 38th Pl	Lakeview Dr	Study	protected bike lanes or shared use path on west side of roadway, conduct study	\$1,831,672	\$2,645,748
TSP-1795	Lake WA Blvd Protected Bike Lanes or Shared Use Path	Lake Washington Blvd NE	south city limits	NE 38th Pl	Study	protected bike lanes or shared use path on west side of roadway, conduct study	\$756,774	\$1,093,118
TSP-1796	Market St Bicycle Facility Improvements Study	Market St	Central Way	Forbes Creek Dr	Study	Study - Improve existing bike lanes, parking utilization analysis. Part of evaluation of corridor with planned transit improvements.	TBD	TBD

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-1797	100th Ave NE Multimodal Improvements - Phase 1 (NE 139th - NE 145th)	100th Ave NE	NE 139th St	NE 145th St	Roadway - Multimodal	Roadway improvements along 100th Ave NE to address the current 5-lane to 2-lane transition. This and other elements of the ultimate project will, at a minimum, provide for protected bicycle lanes, a center turn lane where appropriate, sidewalks, curb and gutter, illumination improvements and storm drainage system upgrades.	\$34,536,000	\$49,886,000
TSP-2070	124th Ave NE Protected Bike Lanes	124th Ave NE	NE 120th St	NE 124th St	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Protected Bike Lanes - funded as part of NE 124th Ave Improvement project	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2071	Stores to Shores Greenway	Slater Ave NE (Stores to Shores)	NE 100th St	NE 112th Pl	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$1,162,790	\$1,679,709

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2093	Costco and Dollar Tree Green Midblock Connection	Costco and Dollar Tree parking lots (new alignment)	I-405	122nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	\$313,000	\$452,000
TSP-2094	Green Midblock Connection	NE 84th St (new alignment)	126th Ave NE	128th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2095	Green Midblock Connection	NE 84th St (new alignment)	I-405	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2096	Green Midblock Connection	NE 84th St (new alignment)	120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2097	Green Midblock Connection	NE 84th St (new alignment)	124th Ave NE	126th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2098	Everest Park Greenway	8th St S	9th Ave S	Railroad Ave	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2099	132nd Ave NE Corridor Study	132nd Ave NE	NE 70th PI	Slater Ave NE	Study	Corridor study to identify the future needs of the corridor including accommodating future frequent transit service.	TBD	TBD

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2100	NE 124th St Pedestrian Improvements	NE 124th St	76th Ave NE	east of 88th Pl NE	Active Transportation - Pedestrian Improvements	NMC1290000 Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Downtown & NE 124th Street) - Downtown and NE 124th Street project includes installation of HAWK pedestrian beacon signals, bulb-outs, median extensions, lighting and signal improvements, striping, rechannelization, and curb ramp upgrades along Central Way in downtown Kirkland and NE 124th Street in the Juanita neighborhood. The estimated project cost is \$1,665,000.	\$1,653,000	\$2,387,000
TSP-2101	Central Way Pedestrian Improvements	Central Way	Market St	6th St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	NMC1290000 Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Downtown & NE 124th Street) - Downtown and NE 124th Street project includes installation of HAWK pedestrian beacon signals, bulb-outs, median extensions, lighting and signal improvements, striping, rechannelization, and curb ramp upgrades along Central Way in downtown Kirkland and NE 124th Street in the Juanita neighborhood. The estimated project cost is \$1,665,000.	\$1,653,000	\$2,387,000
TSP-2102	Connect NE 132nd Street between Juanita Drive NE and 76th Ave NE.	New Alignment	Juanita Drive NE	76th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Connect NE 132nd Street between Juanita Drive NE and 76th Ave NE.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2103	Stores to Shores Greenway	9th Ave, 2nd St, 10th Ave, 4th St, 13th Ave (Stores to Shores)	Market	6th St	Active Transportation - Greenway	Proposed Neighborhood Greenway or on alternate parallel route. Neighborhood Greenways are a select network of low speed, low volume residential streets prioritized for walking and bicycling through the use of signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and control measures.	\$4,993,696	\$7,213,647
TSP-2104	124th Ave Preservation (NE 132nd St to NE 144th St)	124th Ave NE (north)	NE 144th St	NE 145th Pl	Active Transportation - Bicycle Facility	Preservation pavement work on 124th Ave NE from NE 132nd St to NE 144th St. Project includes repair and resurfacing of streets and repair and replacement of adjoining damaged concrete curb, gutters, and sidewalks as well as installing accessible curb ramps to meet the requirements of the ADA.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2105	NE 85th Street Eastbound Third Lane 120th Ave NE to 122nd Ave	NE 85th St	120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	Roadway - Other	Match BRT lane rechannelization by WSDOT west of 120th Ave NE by restriping within current street width and signal modifications. Related to Sound Transit projects in the area, funded by Sound Transit.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2157	Costco Green Midblock Connection	Costco parking lot (new alignment)	I-405	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	\$219,000	\$316,000
TSP-2158	Wyze Labs Green Midblock Connection	Wyze Labs parking lot (new alignment)	120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	\$219,000	\$316,000

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2159	Green Midblock Connection	122nd Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2160	Green Midblock Connection	Lee Johnson parking lot (new alignment)	I-405	120th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2161	Green Midblock Connection	122nd Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2162	Green Midblock Connection	NE 84th St (new alignment)	122nd Ave NE	124th Ave NE	Active Transportation - Trail/ Multimodal Path	Green Mid-block connections provide important network connections for cyclists and pedestrians through and across long blocks and are typically found within larger commercial or residential developments or between existing parcels. In addition to providing bike and pedestrian access, they can also include on-site green stormwater infrastructure as part of their design, or where accommodating vehicle access, provide delivery and back of house access to parcels.	<Null>	<Null>

Remaining TSP Project List: Includes unfunded projects, projects funded by other mechanisms or underway

TSP ID	Project Title	Street	Start (W/S)	End (E/N)	Project Type	Description	Cost (Low)	Cost (High)
TSP-2225	Juanita Drive Intersection and Safety Improvement (NE 124th St to NE 132nd St)	Juanita Drive	NE 124th St	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	STC 08900 Juanita Drive Intersection and Safety Improvement. - This project is undergoing design and will add turning lanes and sight distance improvements at three unsignalized intersections, buffered bike lanes on both sides and sidewalks on the east side of Juanita Drive NE from NE 124th to NE 132nd Street. The estimated project cost is \$8,732,200. Expected completion year is 2023.	\$8,253,000	\$11,920,000
TSP-2230	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 124th St	NE 132nd St	Active Transportation - Multimodal	Widen cross section to include buffered bike lanes on both sides of street and walkway on east side of street.	\$3,330,000	\$4,809,000
TSP-2231	NE Juanita Drive	NE Juanita Drive	NE 120th St	NE 122nd Lane	Active Transportation - Pedestrian Improvements	Extend 3rd lane/ walkway on east side	<Null>	<Null>
TSP-2232	120th Ave NE Roadway Rehabillitation	120th Ave NE	NE 128th Street	NE 132nd Street	Roadway - Other	Rehabilitation pavement work on 120th Ave NE from NE 128th St to NE 132nd St. Project includes pavement repair and resurfacing of streets and repair and replacement of adjoining damaged concrete curb, gutters, and sidewalks as well as installing accessible curb ramps to meet the requirements of the ADA.	<Null>	<Null>

Appendix A – Public Engagement

Initial Community Engagement

A Community Engagement Plan was developed for both the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Strategic Plan. Beginning in early 2023, staff conducted a substantial number of public outreach and community engagement activities to implement the community engagement plan. Below is a summary of community engagement activities during the early stages of the TSP plan development:

- Development of a [Community Engagement Plan for the entire Comprehensive Plan Update effort](#).
- [Equity Review Report](#) of existing Comprehensive Plan elements.
- Project webpage updates and listserv email announcements.
- Transportation and Land Use survey.
- Focus Group recruitment focused on priority populations.
- Focus Group meetings for Transportation and Land Use elements.
- Publication of informational handouts (included introduction materials translated into the four most common languages in the City besides English).
- Presentations to community groups, Boards, Commissions (Planning Commission, Transportation Commission, Youth Council, Senior Council, Human Services Commission), and Kirkland Alliance of Neighborhoods.
- Community events (Town Hall on Bikes, Eastside for All event, Kirkland City Hall for All event).
- Tabling events (seasonal events, Evergreen Health Fair, City Hall for All).
- Class projects with Lake Washington High School and student surveys.
- Community-wide visioning event (January 2023).
- Virtual Community-wide meeting (June 2023) specific to the TSP.

Transportation and Land Use Survey

The initial outreach for the TSP was coordinated with the Comprehensive Plan outreach, specifically with the land use element since transportation and land use are intricately linked. This included the Transportation and Land Use survey which was open for several months from March through June 2023. The survey asked questions about commute patterns and typical travel modes as well as interest in using other modes (such as what would influence a person to take another mode of travel). There were over 500 responses to the survey. A summary of public engagement and comments is in Appendix A.

Focus Groups

Reflecting Kirkland's emphasis on reaching priority populations, the Community Engagement Plan recommended several focused conversations with targeted recruitment to underrepresented groups. Larger community-wide discussions, which tend to attract people more comfortable with City planning processes, were paired with two focused conversations that recruited priority populations to lift their often-underrepresented perspectives.

Recruitment for the focus groups started with priority populations, but anyone interested was welcome. There was a lot of interest in both the Transportation and Land Use focus groups, which met twice in May 2023, and had twenty-three people attend the first session and fourteen people attend the second. The people in the focus group ranged in age from 25-65+, were almost 60% white, 40% BIPOC, had almost 30% representation from the LGBTQIA+ community and included a wide range of incomes. About 50% of the focus group participants identified as having a household income of over \$100,000 per year (22% preferred not to answer). Participants were almost split 50/50 by identified gender (one person preferred not to answer).

The first focus group session gave an overview of the Land Use and Transportation Elements, shared the Guiding Principles from the 2015 Transportation Plan and asked for thoughts on the future of transportation (changes, desires, challenges, etc.). The guiding principles were then refined.

At the second session, the group reviewed the revised guiding principles and offered additional comments. The updated guiding principles reflect the feedback received from the first two focus group meetings and were used to develop the goals and policies in the TSP.

Transportation Commission

Staff has been working closely with the Transportation Commission, which has spent a significant portion of its work program, almost two years, on the TSP. The Transportation Commission has held three workshops on the TSP, including at the May 2023, June 2023, and September 2024 meetings. On October 25, 2023, a joint meeting was held with the Transportation Commission and the Planning Commission to discuss the land use related policies and land use related project prioritization measures.

The Transportation Commission continued to work with staff throughout 2024 leading to the development of the draft and final TSP.

City Council

Staff has also worked very closely with Council on the development of the TSP by presenting and hearing feedback during six study sessions starting in September 2023. These discussions included reporting on what was heard through various public engagement processes, the goals and policies update, project list development, and mapping.

Project List Outreach

One requirement for the Comprehensive Plan is to have a fiscally constrained project list that balances identified projects with projected local revenue over the 20-year horizon. There are over 430 projects that have been identified through various plans and processes in addition to projects that would fall under a program (such as over 1,600 sidewalk gaps). A [public engagement map](#)¹ of these was launched

¹ Public Engagement Webmap for projects: <https://dks.mysocialpinpoint.com/kirkland/map#/>

in February 2024 which allowed people to comment on any specific project in the map or add a new comment to any location on the map.

Comments were used in the following ways:

- Synthesized to communicate themes.
- Identified as service requests which are managed by city staff as part of day-to-day duties.
- Identified as a project for consideration into the CFP.

Overall, there were over 1,204 unique users who made 2,655 reactions in the form of likes/dislikes and comments with 1,928 'likes', 315 'dislikes' and 358 specific comments on 196 projects. A more specific summary can be found in Appendix A.

Public Hearings

A public hearing was held with the Planning Commission on the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan. Following public testimony, the Planning Commission recommended to Council the Transportation Element with a few minor changes. Additionally, a public hearing for the full TSP was held with the Transportation Commission.


KIRKLAND 2044 TRANSPORTATION PLAN UPDATE




Transportation and Land Use Survey Results

This report provides a snapshot from the Transportation and Land Use survey results that ran from March through June. There were 548 responses.


In a typical week, how often do you travel using the following modes of transportation (to school/work or for personal trips) – check all that apply:




When you travel using the following modes, what is your typical destination/ trip type? Check all that apply:




With increased population growth, limited space, and climate considerations, shifting from driving to other modes of transportation can help us meet our climate goals, reduce congestion, and make way for people who have no other choice but to drive (for deliveries, health, carrying capacity). How willing or interested are you to take other types of modes for transportation?




For those modes you are interested in, what would it take to encourage you to take other modes of transportation? (check all that apply)




In a typical week, how often do you work or attend school from home?




What types of uses would you like within walking distance of your home? (check all that apply)




What is your age?



Do you consider yourself... (Please select all that apply)



What is your household income?




Transportation Strategic Plan (TSP) - Project List Public Engagement

RESULTS SUMMARY

A 20-year project list is a required component of the Transportation Element and of the Capital Facilities Element (CFP) of the Comprehensive Plan. It is a set of projects that is estimated at a high level to be funded within reasonably expected revenues. This will become the 'fiscally constrained' project list for the next 20-years and will be prioritized for local and external funding.

Over 450 projects have been identified as candidates for future capital projects. A public engagement map of these was launched between February 5 and February 29, 2024.

Overall, there were over 1204 unique users who made 2655 reactions in the form of likes/ dislikes and comments.



[Kirkland's Transportation Project Engagement Map | Social Pinpoint \(mysocialpinpoint.com\)](https://mysocialpinpoint.com/kirkland-transportation-project-engagement-map)

COMMENTS AND REACTIONS RECEIVED:

The map had two ways for people to comment:

1. Select a project from the map and like, dislike (I don't like it) and/ or make a comment about the project
2. Add a point on a map with a specific comment. Others had the opportunity to like or dislike any comment.

There were 2350 responses (referred to as 'reactions') with 1928 'likes', 315 'dislikes' and 358 specific comments on 196 projects (some projects had up to 6 comments). NOTE: People were not required to like or dislike a project to leave a comment.

441 projects had some sort of reaction:

- 6 projects had more than 20 reactions (the highest was 30)
- 56 projects had between 10-19 reactions
- 260 projects had 3-9 reactions
- 119 projects had 1-2 reactions

Reactions to Projects in Webmap:

- Projects with the **most reactions**:
 - Raised crosswalk at the Kirkland Transit Center - 22 likes, 6 dislikes and 5 comments mostly focusing on not wanting new turn restrictions (which this project would not impose)
 - NE 132nd St Multimodal Corridor (100th Ave NE to I-405) project - 21 likes and 1 unlike with three additional comments that were generally supportive.
- Projects with the **highest positive reactions**:
 - Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade – while the actual project didn't get as many likes or dislikes, a separate comment advocating for this and the connections to the SR 520 trail garnered 68 likes and 5 dislikes
 - 5th Ave Trail (6th St to CKC) – 19 likes and three additional comments (all likes)
 - Juanita Drive at NE 132nd St Intersection Improvements – 18 likes, 1 dislike and one comment (bike/ped safety focused)
 - There were 406 additional projects where the likes outweighed the dislikes
- Projects with the **highest negative reactions**:
 - New signal at 108th Ave NE and NE 53rd St – 12 dislikes, 3 likes and four additional comments mostly expressing concern a new signal would cause more delay (related to the 108th Ave Transit Queue Jump project)
 - A new roadway connection adjacent to the CKC between 120th Ave NE to 120th PL NE (identified in [Citywide Transportation Connections](#)) – 10 dislike, 4 like and one additional comment (all dislikes)
 - Aside from the above two projects, 16 additional projects were more negative than positive by one or two reactions
- Projects with **mixed opinions**:

- 90th Ave NE/ NE 134th St mini roundabout – 16 likes, 11 dislikes and 4 additional comments (dislikes noting concerns for large vehicle space, need and icy conditions)
- Juanita Dr/ NE 138th roundabout option – 9 likes, 7 dislikes
- NE 70th Street / 122nd Ave NE roundabout – 7 likes, 4 dislikes

Additional Comments:


There were 328 additional comments made in the map. Those were all reviewed by transportation staff and categorized into themes: Support of an existing project, potential for a future capital project, service requests, those outside of Kirkland's jurisdiction, transit related or other (not project related).

- Comments labeled as **Project Requests** may become a future candidate through the city's capital improvement program.
- Comments labeled as a **Service Request** will be managed through the city's day to day programs such as site distance evaluations, speeding concerns, street maintenance, etc.

All comments/ suggestions will require additional transportation and engineering review.


There were some additional comments that received a lot of additional reactions:

- Request for traffic calming at 16th Ave W & 6th St (Market neighborhood) – 93 likes and 2 dislikes
- Comment related to traffic calming on Central Way – 52 likes and 5 dislikes
- Lack of compliance with the no-turn on red sign at the NE 116th St/ 98th Ave NE intersection – 49 likes, 3 dislikes





Comment Type	Count
Project Request	160
Service Request	71
Project Comment	52
Transit Related	20
Requests (not COK property)	9
Other	6

Most of the comments received were related to walking and bicycling. Comments labeled as 'Active Transportation' referenced both modes. The combined total for Active Transportation, Pedestrian Safety and Networks, Bicycle Networks and the CKC amounted to 162 comments (almost half of the total comments received).



The charts below show some of the sub-categories for some of the highest themed comments:



Comments Related to Speeding and Traffic Calming



Comments were received from sources in 33 cities:

Zip Code	count by zip code	% by zip code
Kirkland	732	38.6%
Woodinville	337	17.8%
Redmond	226	11.9%
Bellevue	130	6.9%
Renton	108	5.7%
Seattle	100	5.3%
Bothell	65	3.4%
Marysville	33	1.7%
Everett	24	1.3%
San Rafael	18	0.9%
Arlington	17	0.9%
Los Angeles	17	0.9%
Tustin	11	0.6%
San Jose	9	0.5%
Olympia	7	0.4%
Ottawa	7	0.4%
Puyallup	7	0.4%
Issaquah	6	0.3%
Kenmore	5	0.3%
Mount Vernon	5	0.3%

Denver	4	0.2%
Edmonds	4	0.2%
Park City	4	0.2%
Stanwood	4	0.2%
Mercer Island	3	0.2%
Esbjerg	2	0.1%
Honolulu	2	0.1%
Lake Stevens	2	0.1%
Snohomish	2	0.1%
Rancho Mirage	1	0.1%
Snoqualmie	1	0.1%
Tacoma	1	0.1%
Vancouver	1	0.1%

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-001	There's a "No Turn On Red" sign here which is routinely ignored, because it's set back of the stop line. If we're serious about people not turning on red, there should be additional signage hanging with the actual stop light. Or the "No Turn On Red" sign should be removed, as it doesn't seem to do much anyway.	49	3	47.7041	-122.2103		Operations	signal/signs
Ideas and Suggestions-002	Drivers frequently speed down this stretch of road at WELL over the posted limit. Some traffic calming here would go a long way to making this connection between the CKC and Everest Park usable for all ages and abilities, including kids riding to school.	33	3	47.67492	-122.1926		Service Request	Speeding
Ideas and Suggestions-003	This crossing is often difficult even with the RRFB activated, as drivers come through here so quickly. Central Way is a big part of our downtown - we should treat it like a place to go and not just a place to speed through. There's no need for the road to be this wide or the traffic to be this fast.	52	6	47.67713	-122.2047		Pedestrian Safety	Speeding
Ideas and Suggestions-004	Still no indication of a North South crosswalk for mouth of Slater at NE 115 PL. DESPITE the fact the Council asked the City to finish up 124 AV NE. I understand it is difficult to see what I am talking about in terms of danger. My hope is you will get in a wheelchair and go to that spot at 5:30 pm on wk dy. Would suggest creating a raised crossing with flashers about 20 yards down NE 115th PL. I would PROTEST LOUDLY if the crosswalk were taken all the way back to the bend. LOUD.	6	0	47.70266	-122.1759		Project request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-005	It would be nice if this were an underpass for the CKC the way it's done in Kenmore for the Burke Gilman trail. I have a hard time believing drivers are actually going to stop here consistently, even with a HAWK.	37	0	47.71246	-122.1661		Project request	CKC
Ideas and Suggestions-006	Please complete a cement sidewalk all way on 132 nd Avenue. It is very hard for students to walk from slater to Lake Wa tech college. Also 109 street to 104 street.	4	0	47.69937	-122.1796		Project request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-007	We need the Lake Washington Blvd Promenade! Give us good safe connections from downtown to the 520 bike trail! Let's show off our waterfront and bring people in to business! Let's use our waterfront Blvd for more than just a cheater route for commuters avoiding 405.	68	5	47.66445	-122.2072	LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD NE415	Project support	LWB Promenade
Ideas and Suggestions-008	Find a way to let bicycles use this ramp. Currently we can only use the ADA ramp which does not work for many bicycles! This is not an expensive project, there must be a way to meet the codes and also let bicycles use the ramp.	21	1	47.68962	-122.1811	ATP_Pri_3157	Project support	100th St Bridge
Ideas and Suggestions-009	We really need a traffic signal and pedestrian crosswalk installed here on the intersection of 85th street and 126th Ave. This intersection is the only one in this block of 85th street which lacks a traffic signal - making it dangerous for drivers entering 85th from 126th and especially dangerous for Pedestrians who need to cross here to access the Bus stops placed on either side of this intersection (Stop ID: 73845)	20	1	47.67935	-122.1727		Project request	Crosswalk

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-010	Juanita drive is the main artery of the Finn Hill area. The fact that there is no bus route connecting Juanita with Kenmore means the Hill essentially has no public transportation access aside from the 225, which is slow and doesn't provide good coverage for the Hill. It would be so nice to have a bus route introduced going from Juanita beach to Bothell Way and with it the bus routes 255 on the south with 225, 337, 331 on the north. This would give a viable alternative to driving.	46	1	47.7026	-122.2366		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-011	Put a side walk on NE 110th Pl	9	4	47.69932	-122.2301		Project request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-012	There is no pedestrian access to this building at all. No sidewalks and no crosswalk.	21	0	47.71106	-122.182		Private property access	
Ideas and Suggestions-013	North rose hill is not well connected. There should be bicycle paths between Mark Twain park, Mark Twain School College and TotemLake Village. There is no safe way to go and many areas have 0 sidewalks on busy streets. Please add proper bicycle roads and walking paths.	3	0	47.70189	-122.1665		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-014	No safe walking/bicycling paths towards Kirkland DT. Why is it taking too long to implement these?	1	0	47.68809	-122.1722		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-015	That path shall all get paved and add proper bicycle lanes.	1	0	47.70017	-122.1726		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-016	This spot actually is a four-way traffic cross linking the 120th Ave NE, Lee Johnson and NE 83 Ln. There is no any speed limit sign and parked vehicles near this place would block drivers' sightseeing to those vehicles coming from south down to north, which can be dangerous. I suggest that there is a need for 25 miles speed limit in 120th Ave NE, and this also can be good for students and other people walking around. I also suggest that there will be a limitation for parking near this spot.	8	1	47.6781	-122.1805		Service Request	Speeding
Ideas and Suggestions-017	Build another road between Rose Hill and Willows Run Golf Club. There is no easy access now and that causes traffic jam since people have to drive either from TL or Redmond	2	7	47.6967	-122.1563		Project Request	New Street Connection
Ideas and Suggestions-018	Remove the water tank from MT park. Move somewhere further from houses and buildings.	2	4	47.69574	-122.1658		N/A - Utilities	
Ideas and Suggestions-019	Build an under/over pass here for ppl and cars to be able to go to Kirkland DT and cross the freeway safely.	0	2	47.69442	-122.1803		Project Request	New Bridge
Ideas and Suggestions-020	132nd shall be all paved from Totem Lake village till NE85th st. There shall be proper sep bike lane and proper safe pavement. Even students cannot go to college safely	7	0	47.69231	-122.1645		Active Transportation Network	Sidewalks and Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-021	New connections and paths here needed	2	0	47.7041	-122.1709		Active Transportation Network	New paths

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-022	Install some outdoors gym equipment like https://www.kompan.com/en/us/p/fsw104 for Calisthenics. Many people are already coming here to play basketball, that will help people be more healthy and active.	1	0	47.69534	-122.167		N/A - Parks	
Ideas and Suggestions-023	Add some outdoors Calisthenics equipment like https://www.kompan.com/en/us/p/fsw104	2	0	47.6953	-122.1667		N/A - Parks	
Ideas and Suggestions-024	Add some outdoor street workout and calisthenics combination equipment. Kids are already coming to play basketball here, help them stay more active and healthy	4	0	47.69505	-122.1666		N/A - Parks	
Ideas and Suggestions-025	Bring Light Rail to Kirkland. You are already adding a big bus stop, LR will help massively with Commute to Bellvue/Seattle	35	8	47.68059	-122.1848		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-026	Some of the school bus stops along Holmes Point feel pretty dangerous in the dark of winter: kids are standing in the mud and rain on the side of the road at or near semi-blind corners. Recent restriping has been a nice improvement. Curbs or even large rocks at bus stop corners would further help to separate the grade from where children are congregating.	12	0	47.70661	-122.2383		Pedestrian Safety	School bus stops
Ideas and Suggestions-027	Add a small ramp to get into 10th street from the connector trail	15	0	47.67686	-122.1911		CKC	Access
Ideas and Suggestions-028	This crossing from the connector trail to 8th is dangerous for bikes, as cars coming from Kirkland way are usually speeding and this is a blind spot right after the truck eating bridge. Suggest adding some warning crossing signal	26	0	47.6761	-122.1916		Project Request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-029	West of this spot there's a protected bike lane, hundred yards east of this spot there's a protected bike lane, but on the crossing, we decided to paint an island instead for the cars that are turning left onto 6th from Kirkland way. Reclaim that dead space and paint a protected bike lane instead	37	3	47.67662	-122.1969		Active Transportation Network	Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-030	There is no sidewalk on 19th and it is extremely dangerous for pedestrians, many of whom are middle school children on their way to/from KIMS. This is a HIGH priority and involves the safety of our kids.	12	1	47.69129	-122.2057		Sidewalk request	
Ideas and Suggestions-031	Dangerous intersection with no marked crosswalk. Kids walking to school have to cross from the north side of the street to the south side of the street through an intersection with no crosswalk, while distracted drivers are on their way to work in the mornings. Also, is a blind corner that many drivers roll through without completely stopping.	12	0	47.69144	-122.2025		Project Request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-032	A multiuse path should be created in this green space to create a safer walking/biking alternative up Finn Hill. Could also tie into the proposed green loop.	19	1	47.71924	-122.2144		Project Request	New Trail
Ideas and Suggestions-033	79th Ave should become a neighborhood greenway. It's a residential street with heavy usage from school children and neighbors enjoying their yards.	4	0	47.73069	-122.2358		Active Transportation Network	Greenways
Ideas and Suggestions-034	The greenway proposed for NE112th is an excellent idea. It is a very good connection between the CKC and Market and traffic calming would increase safety for students/families walking to AG Bell. However, for cyclists continuing north or west, joining Market at the emergency vehicle cut-out at the base of NE110th is much safer than attempting to navigate the very complicated intersection at NE116th, 98th AVE NE, and 99th PL NE.	16	0	47.6985	-122.2078		Active Transportation Network	Greenways

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-035	The proposed left turn lane at NE120th is a ridiculous expenditure and encroachment on park land that benefits a very small number of households. I believe an easier/cheaper/safer option would be to remove the barrier at 80th PL NE and physically prohibit southbound travelers from turning left on to NE120th, similar to what was done at NE155th on the Kenmore side of Juanita drive.	16	2	47.70795	-122.2371	Juanita_R5	Juanita Drive	
Ideas and Suggestions-036	Create a walking trail under the power lines to connect students to the east entrance of Juanita High School. This new trail would allow students coming from NE 124th (at about 108th Ave NE) to walk to the east entrance of Juanita High School on 128th. Students would be able to safely walk this short path away from cars and would avoid traveling 1.5 miles out of their way to get to school.	37	1	47.71311	-122.197		Project Request	New trail
Ideas and Suggestions-037	Create a short trail to connect the east entrance of Juanita High School to 124th, allowing students to walk safely and avoid traveling 1.5 miles out of their way. The trail could go under the power lines, as shown behind the people in the attached photo.	31	1	47.71453	-122.1969		Project Request	New trail
Ideas and Suggestions-038	Public transport is nonexistent on Juanita Dr north/south between 100th Avenue NE and NE 141st St. There is also no safety for pedestrians. Juanita Drive is a major thoroughfare. Northwest citizens are not being represented or attended to by the city of Kirkland.	13	1	47.70352	-122.2442		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-039	This section of Juanita drive is dangerous and needs sidewalks for pedestrians and better separation of bike lanes from the car lanes.	27	1	47.69833	-122.2305		Active Transportation Network	Safety
Ideas and Suggestions-040	For students with ebikes, getting from the CKC up to Kirkland Middle School is a real challenge. The bike runnels near the frog on the CKC are not feasible for a middle schooler to push an ebike up. As a result, many students choose to ride out past Peter Kirk Elementary and then up this stretch of road. There's no bike lane here at all and students are forced to ride on the sidewalk. We should really address this use case.	14	0	47.68767	-122.1982		CKC	School access
Ideas and Suggestions-041	Walk connections - general comment: it is notable this entire plan misses the infrastructure for walk routes that use right of ways and easements between streets, and only focuses on existing streets and roads. King County showed foresight with right of ways that are not utilized. We need a non-motorized plan for the city.	11	0	47.70691	-122.1937		Active Transportation Network	Pedestrian
Ideas and Suggestions-042	As more and more houses are built west of Juanita, something needs to be done at the intersection of 138th and Juanita. If a roundabout, it needs to be designed to handle big trucks and buses. Developers of new houses need to contribute to the road changes.	9	1	47.72493	-122.2422		Project Request	New roundabout
Ideas and Suggestions-043	Put a roundabout here to slow down traffic on 143rd.	4	4	47.73205	-122.2427		Project Request	New roundabout

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-044	Need pedestrian connection to get from community on Juanita to the top of the hill. Landowner appears to have blocked access on what used to be a public easement.	21	0	47.7053	-122.234	ATP_Pri_3132	Project Request	New trail
Ideas and Suggestions-045	With on-street parking next to businesses, this street through the newish Totem Lake seems narrow & dangerous to drive safely.	11	8	47.71302	-122.1798	StaffRec_3	Street Design	
Ideas and Suggestions-046	520 Bike Trail to CKC Connection. We need a better way to connect (on a bike) from the 520 trail to the CKC. They are two great pieces of bicycle infrastructure, but connecting between them feels dangerous.	36	0	47.64204	-122.199		Active Transportation Network	520 Trail Access
Ideas and Suggestions-047	143 is absurdly wide here. The traffic lanes need to be narrower. Either install a median or narrow the road (AND INSTALL SIDEWALKS AND BIKE LANES) as a traffic calming measure	8	2	47.73186	-122.2437		Project Request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-048	Please repave this road. Excuses were made about why it wasn't repaved this past year - and those excuses don't make sense.	9	1	47.73323	-122.2249		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-049	Another insanely wide road in a neighborhood. Can this road be made narrower for cars, but better for pedestrians and cyclists?	11	1	47.73327	-122.2317		Project Request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-050	Change the all-way stop to a roundabout on this intersection. Right now it creates tons of traffic during the school and rush hours, roundabout would make it much more efficient	12	2	47.6753	-122.1638		Project Request	New roundabout
Ideas and Suggestions-051	Please pave the CKC. The amount of silica dust kicked up by ebikes and e-motorcycles makes the corridor a cancer and silicosis risk for users during dryer months.	8	2	47.70285	-122.1823		CKC	Paving
Ideas and Suggestions-052	put a Don't Block the Box on 68th in front of the Lakeview Elementary driveway. That way people leaving pick up/drop off area can make a left onto 68th when traffic is stopped for cross walk and bus.	8	0	47.66641	-122.1995		Operations	Striping (?)
Ideas and Suggestions-053	The crosswalk at the CKC has very poor visibility. All the flowers/greenery are nice, but obscure the sight lines significantly.	28	0	47.64414	-122.1944		Service Request	Crosswalk visibility
Ideas and Suggestions-054	This CKC crossing is exceptionally dangerous due to zero visibility of trail users by road traffic.	17	0	47.70526	-122.1811		Service Request	Crosswalk visibility
Ideas and Suggestions-055	Blue safety light on CKC near the 60th or 58th street entrances (or wherever there's power). Call box for 911	9	0	47.66083	-122.202		CKC	Safety
Ideas and Suggestions-056	Current northbound bike lane follows the right hand edge and then crosses perpendicularly to follow the main road. This seems dangerous to me. Would be better to have the lane continue parallel to Lake Wa Blvd as that's where most bicycle traffic will be heading and can maintain speed.	18	3	47.65632	-122.2047		Active Transportation Network	Bike lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-057	The newer implementation of the northbound/left turn bike box here is much worse than the old one. Current design forces a cyclist to wait for two pedestrian crosswalk cycles. The previous large green area allowed for crossing in front of stopped cars to make a left turn and was both safe and much more efficient.	20	1	47.70396	-122.2104		Operations	signs/signals (?)
Ideas and Suggestions-058	red curb/no parking on east side of 106th Ave from 68th Street to south end of Houghton Shopping Center. Also raised crosswalk and improved lighting for crosswalk at this area.	14	0	47.66569	-122.1985		Operations	Curb paint
	Also raised crosswalk at this area.						Project request	Crosswalk

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	and improved lighting for crosswalk at this area.						Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-059	Add a sidewalk along this corner. It is a VERY blind turn with no real safe place for pedestrians	16	0	47.69857	-122.2074		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-060	connection between the street end and the CKC here. There is rail/City right of way between 2 houses here.	14	0	47.64957	-122.1999		GIS Update	
Ideas and Suggestions-061	It is very difficult to access the pedestrian bridge crossing on a bicycle when traveling southbound here. You have to pick a driveway and then try to curve up the driveway, get onto the sidewalk, and then make a very abrupt turn to the right. Would be great to have a protected way to turn right from the bike lane onto the bridge without needing to ride on the sidewalk. Some signage would also be great.	15	0	47.6751	-122.1841		Active Transportation Network	Bike lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-062	The bike lanes which share a sidewalk with the walking lanes end up being all walk lanes to all the pedestrian traffic at the center. It is difficult to bike through, I have to ask multiple groups of people to vacate the bike lane when I bike down	18	0	47.71334	-122.1805		Active Transportation Network	Bike lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-063	Add a roundabout at NE 132nd St and 120th Ave. This will help relieve congestion at the intersection and will improve safety for those living in the neighborhood to the north. Cars sometimes blow through red lights on NE 132nd St.	10	0	47.71842	-122.1808		Project Request	New roundabout
Ideas and Suggestions-064	There's been talk for years about putting a sidewalk from Market up the length of 19th to KMS. What's being done on this! It's so dangerous for walkers old and young alike!	16	0	47.69118	-122.205		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-065	Have a pedestrian bridge go from Kingsgate P&R to Totem Lake Freeway Station. Currently transit riders parking at Kingsgate P&R have to walk all the way out to 128th st and back around to get to the bus stop.	14	0	47.71606	-122.1861		Project Request	New bridge
Ideas and Suggestions-066	This stretch needs a streetlight	0	3	47.7276	-122.2267		Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-067	Restart the bus route that runs along 116th. It's a main road that is now completely under serviced and congestion is increasing	5	1	47.70023	-122.196		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-068	NB bike lane needs to continue all the way to the CKC	31	2	47.64317	-122.1952		Active Transportation Network	Bike lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-069	the south side sidewalk on 118th Street is not ADA accessible as it is too narrow and in poor condition. Also the east side of 120th Ave NE to the CKC is also dangerous for pedestrians and wheelchair users as there is no sidewalk and people are forced into "bike lane"/side of road without any protection from cars.	4	0	47.70601	-122.1814		Project Request	Accessibility
Ideas and Suggestions-070	There should not be unprotected left turns/flashing yellow arrows in any designated urban area, especially in high density or high pedestrian traffic areas such as the Station Area, downtown Kirkland and the Totem Lake Urban area.	13	5	47.67921	-122.1808		Operations	Signs/signals
Ideas and Suggestions-071	The park needs a sidewalk next to it. There are so many pedestrians walking in the road here, including small children since this is the only way to walk to the playground from the north part of the neighborhood. I even saw an older man with a walker walking in the road here. A sidewalk here would really improve safety.	8	4	47.70438	-122.1973		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-072	Install ADA ramps on the south side of NE 80th. At this time, one has to walk across the grassy buffer and off the curb to cross 80th at 122nd.	10	0	47.67535	-122.1782		Project Request	Accessibility

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-073	Improved continuity of sidewalks along this major walking corridor	3	0	47.66887	-122.172		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-074	Continuity of sidewalks along this major walking corridor	3	0	47.66933	-122.1747		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-075	More continuity of sidewalks along this entire street as well as better street lighting as this street approaches 70th	4	1	47.66818	-122.1666		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-076	Finish the southbound bike lane on this stretch of road. 116th has a bike lane except for the stretch from 60th St down to the park entrance. https://www.google.com/maps/@47.6610662,-122.1855598,3a,75y,290.02h,77.34t/data=!3m7!1e1!3m5!1se4lRhFALkowsL4zUEQZ7JA!2e0!6shhttps:%2F%2Fstreetviewpixels-pa.googleapis.com%2Fv1%2Fthumbnail%3Fpanoid%3De4lRhFALkowsL4zUEQZ7JA%26cb_client%3Dmaps_sv.tactile.gps%26w%3D203%26h%3D100%26yaw%3D158.98334%26pitch%3D0%26thumbfov%3D100!7i16384!8i8192?entry=ttu	11	0	47.65616	-122.1855		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-077	Please get rid of these little bike lane side paths. The only thing they accomplish is making it so that street sweeper vehicles can't keep the bike lane clear of debris. https://www.google.com/maps/@47.6447102,-122.1855946,3a,75y,181.52h,74.16t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sAD2Zx2ECyAQWmqogt5zwMQ!2e0!7i16384!8i8192?entry=ttu	5	2	47.64427	-122.1853		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-078	Make it possible to reasonable bike and walk down 85th through here (or via an adjacent street) The current routes for bikes to go from Rose Hill are very circuitous and/or extremely steep. Bikes should have as easy a way to get downtown from Rose Hill / 85th street as cars do.	23	3	47.67908	-122.1851		Project Request	New Paths
Ideas and Suggestions-079	There is a tiny curb cut that can be used to exit/cross the CKC at Kirkland Ave. This should be expanded and ideally filled with gravel as the current cut is small and a bit muddy. Improving bicycle access here will make bikes less likely to take the sidewalk on Kirkland Ave.	15	1	47.67544	-122.1926		Active transportation network	Curb cuts
Ideas and Suggestions-080	Additional request for sidewalks here. It is very dangerous turn for pedestrians and so many in the neighborhood use it to get to the Juanita parks and public transportation.	12	0	47.69822	-122.2072		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-081	There is currently no crossing allowed here, forcing users to walk/bike to the main intersection (which is even more unsafe). We desperately need an overpass/underpass to allow safe and proper use of the East Link Trail.	30	0	47.71243	-122.166		Project Request	New bridge
Ideas and Suggestions-082	Central Way should be one-lane west of 3rd street. There are a lot of residential buildings and businesses on the north end that are difficult to access by foot. Central Way already shrinks to one lane once it passes Lake St.	27	3	47.67727	-122.2041		Project Request	
Ideas and Suggestions-084	Please make this intersection and the one to the south have stop signs in the same direction, or make them 4-way stops. Sight distances are limited and it's confusing.	2	0	47.67131	-122.1772		Service Request	Sight Distance

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-085	Complete missing sidewalk segment on two parcels along the south side mid-block of NE 140th Street to make a more pedestrian safe and continuous walkway for children and families as they walk to and from Helen Keeler Elementary School. This will eliminate the need to maintain the existing striped on-street walkway segment.	13	0	47.72575	-122.1999		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-086	The intersection of 110th and 104th has a stop sign for east-west traffic, but many drivers apparently believe this is a 4 way stop resulting in failure to yield to north-south traffic crossing 110th from 104th. Suggest add a stop signs on 104th to make this a 4-way stop to improve safety by aligning with driver's expectations.	4	0	47.69856	-122.2027		Service Request	Sign request
Ideas and Suggestions-087	Correct this map to show 108th ending here where the pavement ends at the barriers, and start of the walking/biking trail.	1	0	47.69674	-122.2004		GIS Update	
Ideas and Suggestions-088	Correct this map to show 106th Ct NE as the private drive servicing the three houses on the left. This terminates in an emergency vehicle parking spot over a large retention chamber.	0	0	47.69721	-122.2008		GIS Update	
Ideas and Suggestions-089	The city has parked heavy machinery on 106th Ct NE during sewer expansion projects resulting in degradation of the surface of the private drive and has caused compaction of the land land surrounding above the retaining tank. Consider resurfacing to restore.	0	0	47.69721	-122.2008		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-090	People speed up this hill so quickly! They come around the corner and then rev their engines at all hours of the day, but especially between 7pm and midnight. I have almost been run over at the 4th Ave crossing many times, even with the lights flashing. We need traffic calming and speed control on Market Street between Central Way and 7th Ave.	36	5	47.67704	-122.2095		Service Request	Speeding
Ideas and Suggestions-091	This intersection needs some sort of safety intervention. Between 5 pm and 6 pm every night, people are running the light heading east on 85th, clogging the intersection heading east on 85th and MANY folks running the light as they head east on 85th they run the light and turn left (in front of traffic) at that light.	5	1	47.67926	-122.1783		Service Request	Speeding
Ideas and Suggestions-092	Slow the traffic on 72 Ave NE. Install speed bumps similar to neighborhood east of Thoreau Elem School. This is a 25-mph zone. Routine speeds exceed 35 mph for a large proportion of traffic. There are no sidewalks. The number of walkers, strollers, bikers, & etc. here is significant.	8	2	47.72158	-122.2456		Project request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-093	Street penetrations (manholes, water valve access, storm drains) all over the city streets have deteriorating asphalt rings. This results in these items sinking. I have contacted the city previously suggesting concrete be used to surround them but that was refused with a reason of a different coefficient of expansion between asphalt and concrete. The desert cities of California use concrete and it works there in their heat so why not here? In any event do something.	2	1	47.7046	-122.2075		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-094	We asked for improved pedestrian and bike paths, which was a great idea, but NOT by narrowing the driving lanes and eliminating the shoulder. Narrow roads diminish the neighborhoods and the city.	1	15	47.7169	-122.2193	ATP_Pri_3101	Roadway	Lane widths

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-095	This street is a disaster and was SO poorly planned. The only one who benefitted was the developer. The original plan was for busses to run on the street, serving the residences and businesses. Sadly, the narrow road is not wide enough for two busses to pass each other safely, so Metro pulled the service off the street and routed it farther farther away, no longer serving the Village. Planners must stop designing these narrow roads, and focus on complete, smart designs. This was a total fail.	20	1	47.71245	-122.1796	ATP_Pri_3101	Roadway	Lane widths
Ideas and Suggestions-096	Please consider 2-lane roundabouts at 145th and Simons Rd. Traffic backs up from one intersection and creates blockages at the other intersection.... further backing up on 100th, Simons and 145th.	3	4	47.73194	-122.2077		Project request	roundabout
Ideas and Suggestions-097	Consider widening the road to include a two-way left turn lane. This would support better access in/out of the neighborhoods and keep traffic from backing up for blocks when someone needs to turn left....but cant.	2	11	47.7327	-122.2002		Project request	Turn lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-098	Kirkland is short on North/South roads for vehicles (limited mostly to Market Street, I405 and 124th Ave NE). Adding additional N/S routes is key to solving road congestion, which is only getting worse each year. In 20 years, we'll need more N/S routes for local access and for the tens of thousands of commuters who travel from the norther cities (Everett, Edmonds, Lynnwood, etc.) to southern cities (Bellevue, Redmond, Kent, etc.). Please plan new N/S routes.	4	9	47.69372	-122.1972		Project request	New roads
Ideas and Suggestions-099	Before adding a bike lane, just complete the sidewalks and make them continuous so we don't have to walk in the street and cross sides mid block. Whatever you do.... DONT make the roads any narrower.	7	4	47.72378	-122.2211		Project request	Sidewalks
Ideas and Suggestions-100	I'm not sure what a "Mini Roundabout" is, but it needs to support the Metro buses, school buses, delivery trucks, and construction vehicles and trucks, and still be wide enough to get people through safely and comfortably.	2	1	47.72032	-122.2208	ATP_Pri_3101	Roadway	Lane widths
Ideas and Suggestions-101	Aligning the N/S traffic lanes on opposite sides of the intersection would be great.	6	0	47.72027	-122.2211	StaffRec_3	Roadway	Lane alignment
Ideas and Suggestions-102	Improve shoulder to provide actual parking. Anything to work in more parking to support the part.	5	4	47.70985	-122.2507		Project request	Increase parking
Ideas and Suggestions-103	The Southbound lanes merge, to create a bus-only lane. Sadly, the merge happens as the road bends around the corner, so there is little notice or time to react. moving the merge farther North, and adding Merge ahead signs would help.	34	0	47.69714	-122.2091		Operations	Sign request
Ideas and Suggestions-104	10.5 travel lanes are too narrow.	0	4	47.71632	-122.1819		Roadway	Lane widths
Ideas and Suggestions-105	I love the "Truck Eating Bridge" sign. Having a couple more posted farther up the road would be helpful. The official, yet boring, "low clearance ahead" signs are nice, but adding signs with teeth seems much cooler.	10	1	47.676	-122.1913		Operations	Sign request
Ideas and Suggestions-106	Roundabout with dedicated slip-lane for Westbound to Northbound traffic.	16	7	47.67606	-122.2093		Project Request	New Roundabout

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-107	Crossing Central Way in the downtown corridor is hazardous for pedestrians, and that includes the new RRFP crossing at the Wingdome corner which give pedestrians a false sense of security since many cars ignore the flashers. Central Way should be un-designated as an arterial, which would allow more effective traffic calming measures such as raised crosswalks.	36	5	47.67704	-122.2048		Service Request	Crosswalk visibility
	Central Way should be un-designated as an arterial, which would allow more effective traffic calming measures such as raised crosswalks.						Service Request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-108	Eliminate Right Turn on Red at Central Way and Lake Street. Vehicles often turn right on red from Central Way without regard to pedestrians who have the "Walk" signal to cross Central. I've almost been hit several times by turning vehicles. Also eliminating right turn on red at this intersection will improve traffic flow.	41	10	47.67654	-122.2071		Service Request	Crosswalk visibility
Ideas and Suggestions-109	There are three car lanes to enter/exit the Totem Lake Center here but no sidewalks. This makes it hazardous to enter as a pedestrian here since you are forced to walk in the roadway where people may be quickly turning and not see you.	17	0	47.71317	-122.1832		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-110	After some utility work a few years ago, our pavement has been cut up here.	1	0	47.71692	-122.2137		Service Request	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-111	Continue JPP next to powerline from 128th to 132nd. This would allow car free and safer access to Juanita HS and possibly to the 124th shopping area.	5	1	47.71639	-122.1956		Project Request	New paths
Ideas and Suggestions-112	124th Ave is a speedway outside of peak hours. It desperately needs traffic calming: medians, narrower lanes, a lower speed limit. Maybe even a roundabout at 140th to slow traffic. I've seen people nearly get run down on multiple occasions.	7	0	47.72302	-122.1755		Service Request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-113	Bring Light Rail to TotemLake Village, It's already a busy place and adding commute options will only help the area flourish and decrease traffic.	11	0	47.71041	-122.1829		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-114	Reintroducing a direct bus route to downtown Seattle from Kirkland would be a huge help.	27	4	47.67623	-122.2031		Transit	Routes
Ideas and Suggestions-115	Please DO NOT do this median candlestick thing anywhere else! It was intended to slow traffic, and all it does is push cars toward the bike lane (and many cars swing wide INTO the bike lane to avoid the candlesticks). The density of the candlesticks blocks view of pedestrians entering the intersection from some angles. It was well intentioned but a failure.	0	0	47.66571	-122.1771		Active Transportation Network	Pedestrian safety
Ideas and Suggestions-116	Crossing from 116th to Totem Lake Blvd NE as a pedestrian is dangerous, especially when getting off the bus stops. Many cars turn without checking while we have the right of way.	9	0	47.71486	-122.1853		Service Request	Crosswalk visibility
Ideas and Suggestions-117	No Sidewalk access on the East side of the street, so medical offices there are inaccessible	2	0	47.71049	-122.1863		Project Request	Sidewalk
Ideas and Suggestions-118	Better pedestrian and bus access to this park would be great.	2	0	47.65411	-122.1781		Active Transportation Network	Park access
Ideas and Suggestions-119	This is a tough left turn at rush hour as the left turn lane is small. If there is room to extend the left turn lane, this would greatly improve traffic flow in this intersection.	3	2	47.7102	-122.1858		Project Request	Turn lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-120	This intersection backs up at rush hour as the turn lanes are small. Would be great if the right turn lane can be extended to clear up this intersection.	2	0	47.70748	-122.171		Project Request	Turn lanes

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-121	Roundabout needed. This would allow for safer pedestrian crossings as well as safer U-turns to/from Central. This is a very confusing intersection and a roundabout would make it clearer who has right-of-way without significantly impeding traffic flow. And clarify those turning left and going straight when coming down the hill.	21	8	47.67638	-122.2095		Project Request	New roundabout
Ideas and Suggestions-122	This intersection waiting to turn onto Simonds Road can back up significantly when cars are trying to turn left onto busy Simonds Road. Adding separate left & right turn lanes onto Simonds Road would really help shorten wait times for cars seeking to turn right onto Simonds at this intersection.	1	1	47.73361	-122.2182		Project Request	Turn lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-123	Design Kirkland's core to adhere to policies stated in the Greater Downtown Kirkland Urban Center Plan. Including: Policy MB 7: Pedestrian activity in the core area is to be enhanced. The core area should be enhanced as the pedestrian heart of Downtown Kirkland. Land uses should be oriented to the pedestrian, both in terms of design and activity type. This includes road design. Policy MB 9 includes surface parking lots to be eliminated in favor of nearby structured parking.	35	6	47.67595	-122.2067		Active Transportation Network	Pedestrian
Ideas and Suggestions-124	If Kirkland is serious about bike mode share, there needs to be a safe connection between the CKC and downtown Kirkland. I think a PBL on 6th to Kirkland Ave would be the cheapest/safest route, and could eventually connect to the Lake Street Promenade	15	3	47.67408	-122.1964		CKC	Access
Ideas and Suggestions-125	Rather than adding a southbound PBL, the northern side should be expanded to be a wide, two-way multi-modal path. Most pedestrians/bikes will be coming from the north side from the The Village or the Totem Lake Connector.	4	0	47.70973	-122.1769		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-126	How about running public campaigns to let pedestrians know that they don't have the right to just walk across a street without looking!! Peds in this town think they are immune to the traffic laws which work because they are predictable in every instance.	4	29	47.67714	-122.2048		Education	Safety
Ideas and Suggestions-127	COK needs to make a commitment to the corner of LW Blvd and 85th as to whether it will be a parking lot (structured) or not. I would be more than happy to build a structured parking lot on this site and share revenue with COK	3	6	47.67582	-122.2068		Communications	
Ideas and Suggestions-128	Obviously, people from the biking community are chiming in on this doc. You have to balance the needs for bikes, peds, and auto traffic to make this work. These street were built mainly for autos and tax money was used for that purpose. How come bike community won't raise some of the money to help in these projects.	1	12	47.64266	-122.199		Project funding	
Ideas and Suggestions-129	We need a safer crossing option for students and those crossing to go to the little grocery market here. Neighbors have witnessed multiple close encounters specifically with high school students running across market in the dark winter mornings. I am afraid it is matter of time before something terrible happens. We need a better solution such as crossing bridge over market for a safe connect ion of East and West of market that will not impact traffic but keep our community members safe.	18	2	47.68777	-122.2101		Project Request	Crosswalk

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-130	There should be a streetlamp here to light up walkers to the grocery market and other retail destination. There is a turn in from market that is dangerous in the dark hours when drivers cannot see the many pedestrians walking to and from the grocery market along this street. There is currently little or no lighting for those cars pulling into the neighborhood from busy market street.	24	0	47.68788	-122.21		Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-131	Love the greenway idea, but there still needs to be a safe market street crossing with minimal impact to traffic such as a pedestrian crossing. I have seen many cars drive through the blinking yellow pedestrian lights (even though they do make a huge difference so thank you!).	25	0	47.68998	-122.2101		Project Request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-132	The alley between 16th and 17th needs attention. Ideally, it would get paved but at least repair the potholes properly, the size of gravel used the last several times seems to just wash out on the next rain.	13	0	47.68996	-122.2116		Roadway	maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-133	The stop sign here creates large traffic backups on 116th during rush hour. There's hardly any cross traffic here. A round-about or a triggered traffic light would let the 116th Ave traffic flow much more smoothly.	10	0	47.6611	-122.1856		Operations	Signs
Ideas and Suggestions-134	Add speed humps to street and stop signs at corners on 88th. Open up streets north of here to through traffic.	2	0	47.72743	-122.2248		Project request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-135	Culvert work at I-405 at NE 145th street should include future Juanita Public Pathway (to connect the CKC to Burke-Gilman via JHS and three parks)	8	4	47.73248	-122.189		Juanita Public Pathway	
Ideas and Suggestions-136	Juanita Public Pathway connecting CKC to Burke-Gilman should go through Windsor Vista park	4	0	47.72775	-122.1909		Juanita Public Pathway	
Ideas and Suggestions-137	Juanita Public Pathway connecting CKC to Burke-Gilman trail should go through Edith Moulton park	5	1	47.72305	-122.1916		Juanita Public Pathway	
Ideas and Suggestions-138	Juanita Public Pathway connecting CKC to Burke-Gilman trail should go through Heronfield park	5	0	47.70672	-122.1912		Juanita Public Pathway	
Ideas and Suggestions-139	Just to be clear, the City budget can be found online. Transportation projects in Kirkland are primarily funded via real estate excise tax, property taxes, and impact fees. These are paid by pedestrians, bikers, and drivers. The streets are built for all of us, and we need to create safe streets for our entire community, not just our drivers.	10	0	47.6426	-122.1989		Multimodal Options	
Ideas and Suggestions-140	On NE 116th there is quite a bit of pavement. I believe you could keep the existing three lane section but narrow the lanes to provide E/W protected bike lanes in the street. The narrowing of lanes could provide the width for buffers. I would like to see some sort of vertical protection like concrete curb stops or my favorite the "Toronto Style" concrete curbing. This would provide a great E/W protected all ages and abilities connection to between the neighborhood and EastTrail/LWL.	6	0	47.70354	-122.1935		Active Transportation Network	Protected Bike Lanes

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-141	Can we remove on street parking to provide protected bicycle facilities on this important N-S corridor? It seems like there is sufficient parking on the side streets. Its a shame to use the limited width for cars who stay there multiple days in a row, serving a very small number of residents. Removing parking for protected all ages and abilities bike lane would serve significantly more member of the public.	13	8	47.68538	-122.2098		Active Transportation Network	Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-142	What all ages and abilities connections do we have between parks with play structures and the greater neighborhood communities? connections between points of interests like a park and the neighborhood would be great to see.	13	0	47.70515	-122.214		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-143	Not only is there a missing sidewalk here to the park and to AG Bell School, there is also a crest vertical curve. It is a dangerous road to walk on as cars cant see you as you walk in the street due to a lack of pedestrian facilities.	6	2	47.70592	-122.1973		Active Transportation Network	Safety
Ideas and Suggestions-144	There seems to be missing all ages and ability links between major infrastructure like EastTrail and the surrounding communities. how to you get families and kids to the EastTrail and not just the strong and fearless bike rider in lycra.	7	0	47.70466	-122.1816		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-145	Can we remove parking on one side to allow for safer buffered bike lanes for a shared use path on the west side. Maybe removing the on street bike lanes and making a 16+ foot wide MPP along the water. it would leave the current road section and parking while also providing all ages and abilities facilities.	22	1	47.6653	-122.207		Active Transportation	Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-146	Downtown Kirkland needs all ages and abilities bike facilities and safer crossing facilities for pedestrians.	23	3	47.67619	-122.207		Active Transportation Network	Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-147	Install protected bike facilities in this portion of 100th.	14	3	47.70989	-122.208		Active Transportation	Protected Bike Lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-148	The alley between 16th and 17th Av W. needs proper repair. This time with pictures to support it.	14	0	47.68959	-122.2119		Roadway maintenance	
Ideas and Suggestions-149	Adding a street lamp on this corner would be very beneficial for both the vehicles coming into the neighbor from Market and pedestrians and or bikes coming up Market as they cross in front of you. Especially with all all the new construction on Market I would think this is hopefully already in the works...	14	1	47.68901	-122.2099		Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-150	Add a route to Seattle from Kingsgate park and Ride. Right now the only routes to seattle is commuting all the way to downtown Kirkland, and no easy way to get there.	7	0	47.717	-122.1883		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-151	Add a route to Seattle like the 255, or make it run continuously throughout the day, right now if you need to go midday or other than 9am or 5pm to Seattle, you have to commute 1 1/2 hours by bus.	8	0	47.72566	-122.2023		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-152	Design a safe pedestrian crossing of 7th Ave S and 5th Pl to the CKC. This is a major walking route of kids to and from Lakeview as well as the community at-large to access the CKC. Should also install speed bumps along 5th Pl.	13	0	47.67042	-122.1984		Traffic Request	Crosswalk

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Should also install speed bumps along 5th Pl.						Project request	Traffic Calming
Ideas and Suggestions-153	Better signage or reconfigure car lanes to allow safer and easier bicycle transit to Lake Washington Blvd instead of Lakeview.	8	0	47.65631	-122.2048		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-154	Work with Bellevue to improve continuation of bike lane until the turn off to the 520 bike path	6	0	47.64322	-122.2017		520 Trail Access	
Ideas and Suggestions-155	Can southbound buses stop inline here? It would save a minute or two per bus	7	2	47.64318	-122.1958		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-156	I would like to have a bus that goes from the kingsgate park and ride, Totem Lake Transit Center or Kirkland Transit Center directly into downtown Seattle during the middle of the day like the 255 used to do. It's upsetting to me that we paid for the light rail that benefits Seattle and Bellevue residents but Kirkland residents don't have a way to get directly to downtown Seattle unless we go early in the morning or in the early evening.	8	0	47.71291	-122.1805		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-157	Crosswalk across 112th	7	0	47.6806	-122.1905		Project Request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-158	I have asked for help regarding the crosswalk at 132nd Ave NE and 70th St for many years. There are 6 new houses almost completed in that 1/2 block area with many more planned. PLEASE, would somebody meet with me at the intersection? The traffic trying to go from NE 70th St to 132nd Ave NE is horrendous with drivers behaving irresponsibly. The last time I called Kirkland the woman I spoke with said that with more traffic, the slower the cars. WRONG and irresponsible. Please meet with me.	0	0	47.66778	-122.1667		Project Request	Crosswalk
Ideas and Suggestions-159	The hardened centerline here is intended to eliminate left turns in/out of the LWHS driveway, but the observed behavior is that vehicles drive in the oncoming lane to make a left turn in/out of here. I'm not sure what needs to be done to fix this, but it seems less safe as currently implemented.	1	0	47.67189	-122.1827			
Ideas and Suggestions-160	Connecting NE 123rd St to Junita Heights Park would be wonderful. I like the hilly hiking in the Park. Currently, I'm forced to drive or bike all the way around up Finn or Goat hill to access it.	5	0	47.71046	-122.2195		Project Request	New path
Ideas and Suggestions-161	Build a train line between Kirkland DT and Redmond DT with some stops in between. That will help with the commute. Crossing all 85th. Have the train stop to the new bus stop here next to Mazda. Connect that to the big shuttle paths for Amazon/Microsoft/Google and the connector stops. Work with companies to align with these stops. Then you don't need parking even, People will take the train from Kirkland/Redmond and get to the connector stops	7	4	47.67931	-122.1822		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-162	Westbound vehicle traffic speeds up considerably as it goes down the hill here and the cross section widens. Traffic calming measures are needed.	4	0	47.7308	-122.1695		Project Request	Traffic calming

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-163	Westbound vehicles regularly slide over to the right turn lane well before it begins, creating a hazard for bicyclists. Bike lane needs physical protection from vehicles.	7	0	47.73125	-122.1744		Active Transportation Network	Protected bike lanes
Ideas and Suggestions-164	Drivers make u-turns, park the wrong way, and park in the pedestrian path every school morning. It is dangerous for students. Sidewalk needs a curb and KPD should target area for traffic enforcement.	3	0	47.72584	-122.1659		Active Transportation Network	Code enforcement
Ideas and Suggestions-165	If Kirkland is no longer going to allow bus routes through Totem Lake Village (which is a shame) then we should no longer allow for through traffic at the intersection near salt and straw. This road is used as a through street for those exiting 405 NB towards Evergreen and Kingsgate. Access to parking should remain but removing this as a convenient through street for cars would create a safe and enjoyable pedestrian only space.	8	4	47.71285	-122.18		Vehicle Networks	
Ideas and Suggestions-166	This pedestrian pathway is a highly used walking route for residents and children traveling to school. It also creates a reasonable walking distance to bus stops. We should identify more locations for these pass throughs to create a more walkable neighborhood throughout Kingsgate.	3	0	47.7262	-122.1718		Project request	New path
Ideas and Suggestions-167	Making the car lanes slightly narrower on Juanita Drive would reduce the speeds of cars, making the road safer, while giving more room for bike and pedestrian infrastructure. If the city were to build sidewalks which would be great, the road itself would not need to be widened as much or at all reducing the cost of construction.	9	2	47.70013	-122.234		Roadway	Lane widths
Ideas and Suggestions-168	Bike lane on north side of the road (just east of Shell station) ALWAYS has several cars parked in the lane on top of painted bike symbols. Requests for enforcement have failed. If we are going to build bike lanes and sidewalks, we need to preserve them/maintain them for their intended use.	3	0	47.70963	-122.2353		Active Transportation Network	Code enforcement
Ideas and Suggestions-169	Build a sidewalk (even a gravel path) on the west side of 72nd to handle the large number of people out with strollers, dogs etc who stroll down the middle of the road. Use the existing sidewalk easements, do NOT cut trees etc in Big Finn for a walkway.	0	0	47.72254	-122.2453		Project request	Sidewalks
Ideas and Suggestions-170	The speed camera zone for the schools has been implemented very poorly. A 1 mile speed zone for three schools with different start & stop signs is not increasing safety, but is causing confusion.	2	0	47.72669	-122.2292		Operations	School zone cameras
Ideas and Suggestions-171	Please provide a safe path for pedestrians to reach the Waverly Park waterfront without sharing the narrow and downward-sloping entrance road with cars. There is no safety guardrail on the left side of the road going downward. There is no sidewalk either. It would be beneficial to build a staircase at the entrance to reach the middle parking lot level. This would separate cars from pedestrians and lead to higher usage of the existing staircase from the middle parking lot level to the waterfront.	39	0	47.68621	-122.2159		Project request	New path

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-172	Addendum to: "Please provide a safe path for pedestrians to reach the Waverly Park waterfront". Here is a diagram of a non-motorized path with stairs that was in the Waverly Beach Park Renovation Plan Phase 1 circa 2014. (See bottom right of the diagram.) This path was pushed to Phase 2, but that was 10 years ago. Let's get this done! MANY pedestrians of all ages and abilities mix with vehicles on that scary access road. Let's include runnels for bikes, too. Thank you!	27	0	47.68631	-122.216		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-173	This intersection (6th and 7th) should be a small roundabout. Traffic always flows well through the other roundabouts on 7th, but then comes to a halt at this intersection. A small roundabout like the rest of 7th west of this intersection would be smoother than a simple 4-way stop. It also prevents cars from running straight through the stop signs which would make traffic safer.	7	1	47.68056	-122.197		Project request	Roundabouts
Ideas and Suggestions-174	This would be a great spot for light rail. Right in the middle of moss bay with walkability to anywhere and bus access. It would be just like a european town! If we had that, I would ditch my car!	6	1	47.67679	-122.2038		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-175	Add a sidewalk between 104th Ave NE and 108th PL NE. In some sections there is basely any shoulder, and there are regularly people walking along this very busy road, including children going to bus stops. When traffic is not backed up cars can be moving well over the speed limit down 145th in both directions. Connecting the existing sidewalks on the East and West ends of the road is not just practical, but a safety issue.	7	0	47.73287	-122.1976		Project request	Sidewalks
Ideas and Suggestions-176	There are some very large bumps in the bike lane on the East side of J-W road just North of 108th. It is extremely dangerous for cyclists to use the bike lane for that short stretch, forcing them into the road, where drivers get upset the bikes are not in the bike lane. If no large scale project is happening on the street in the near future, it should be a very inexpensive and fast fix. (if caused by roots impact to trees TBD)	3	0	47.73115	-122.1966		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-177	Close Park Lane to most traffic (deliveries if needed only). All great cities in the world have pedestrian shopping streets that don't have vehicle traffic. Park Lane is the perfect street for this. Not only would it be more attractive to shoppers and restaurant-goers, but it would help the traffic backup on Lake Street.	29	3	47.67607	-122.2061		Vehicle Networks	
Ideas and Suggestions-178	Kingsgate needs improved connecting bike routes to Totem Lake & KC. 132nd Ave is the only route with bike lanes but has a steep hill with frequent debris on the shoulder and needs more separation from high speed vehicle traffic. 124th Ave and 120th Ave have significant pothole damage and no bike lanes at all. Kingsgate is in need of at least one safe, well maintained bike route to reach the amenities at the bottom of the hill.	4	0	47.71846	-122.1753		Active Transportation Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-179	Allow buses only to make left turns through this intersection. This would eliminate the wasted time that 239 - Downtown Kirkland route needs to make going up and around 120th Ave from the Totem Lake transit center. 239 currently runs twice an hour maximum so I would not expect this to increase traffic build up at this intersection.	0	0	47.71483	-122.1843		Transit	Signals

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-180	Light rail for totem lake!	7	0	47.7126	-122.1802		Transit	Service
Ideas and Suggestions-181	NE 132nd St needs to resurfaced from 405 to 100th Ave NE. The road surface is uneven and cracking and there are too many pot holes to count. The condition of the road has only deteriorated from the numerous construction projects along NE 132nd St.	4	1	47.71808	-122.2032		Operations	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-182	This section of 108th ave between 116th st and 121st st sees low traffic and still retains it's original country lane character. It is designated for a future greenway. Rather than adding sidewalks (and removing many large trees on the east side to make room), a better option would be to save money and add traffic control devices where cars and pedestrians could co-exist and the street could also retain its character. An example is a woonerf.	1	0	47.70527	-122.1973		Project Request	Greenways
Ideas and Suggestions-183	Add stairs on ROW between 106th Ave NE and NE 120th St. The road on 106th Ave NE is less steep than the hill on NE 121st St, so this would be a better routing for the future McAuliffe greenway	2	0	47.70751	-122.1996		Project Request	New Path
Ideas and Suggestions-184	Improve sightlines on 103rd Ave Ne, looking west down NE 124th St. Vegetation often blocks visibility of oncoming traffic	3	0	47.71117	-122.2038		Service Request	Sight distance
Ideas and Suggestions-185	Add sidewalks on missing section on west side of 120th Ave NE	4	0	47.70277	-122.1812		Project request	sidewalks
Ideas and Suggestions-186	Mid-block connection so that stores and bus stops on 98th Ave NE are directly reachable from NE 120th St.	1	0	47.70756	-122.2089		Project request	crosswalks
Ideas and Suggestions-187	new North/South connection from new development at 120th Ave NE and 116th Ave NE. (or similar alignment)	2	2	47.69641	-122.1849			
Ideas and Suggestions-188	East/West Pedestrian/Bike Connection from vicinity of NE 112th St to Slater Ave. Crossing the highway interchange at 116th St is daunting, especially for cyclists.	1	0	47.70032	-122.1797		Active transportation network	
Ideas and Suggestions-189	The Kirkland City Council voted in 2023 NOT to close Park Lane. 100% of the property owners and businesses were against the closure of Park Lane. The City of Kirkland has stated in writing that the decision of the council cannot be appealed. This is a closed case.	5	21	47.67615	-122.2057			
Ideas and Suggestions-190	Put 2 hour time limits on parking spots along Chainline. Google workers are parking here for the entirety of the work day. Can watch them park, take backpack and head into office buildings. Leaves pickle ball and other park frequenters without parking.	5	0	47.67086	-122.1979		Parking	
Ideas and Suggestions-191	Need additional lighting here, especially as this will be part of the Shores to Stores greenway. Very dark at night	2	0	47.68641	-122.1903		Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-192	This intersection is dangerous. My kid rides his bike to school and I go with him sometimes and cars frequently run through this intersection. Would be good to somehow slow drivers down here at this intersection or have automatic light flashing or something.	10	0	47.68064	-122.1906		Service Request	Traffic calming
Ideas and Suggestions-193	Somewhere along here the trail has become muddy and bumpy. When it rains there is lots of standing water. It didn't used to be this way even <1 yr ago.	1	0	47.68843	-122.1942		Operations	Maintenance

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-194	Traffic goes too fast on NE 145th St. Sometimes it sounds like a race track. Speed limit signs do nothing; people drive as fast as they feel comfortable doing. Besides sidewalks and bike lanes, traffic-calming features are needed, such as extending sidewalks at intersections to narrow the street.	3	0	47.73288	-122.1985		Service Request	Traffic calming
Ideas and Suggestions-195	The speed increases to 35mph as you cross into Kirkland. With such high traffic this creates more noise and danger for pedestrians and bicyclists. Also makes it more difficult for driveways that line this road. Can we drop to 30mph like the rest of Bellevue way and lake Washington blvd?	7	0	47.64686	-122.2024			
Ideas and Suggestions-196	Please improve signage and lighting here. This corner is slightly blind and speeds are 35mph here. Have seen multiple accidents at this location.	2	0	47.65141	-122.2042		Service Request	Sight distance
Ideas and Suggestions-197	extend NE100th st down to Willows commerce park and road there.	1	0	47.68937	-122.1579			
Ideas and Suggestions-198	Stop light needed at the intersection of 124th and 103rd. Observed several accidents and near accidents. JHS students and other pedestrians cross at undesignated areas which has also caused several near accidents.	2	1	47.71177	-122.2036		Service Request	Signs/signals
Ideas and Suggestions-199	Light needed at this intersection. Very difficult to turn left onto 124th from 103rd. Students crossing 124th often sprint across all four lanes of 124th because the crosswalks are so far from the main intersection.	4	2	47.7112	-122.2036		Lighting	
Ideas and Suggestions-200	98th Ave NE has become a dangerous speedway, Vehicles traveling this section of road consistently travel at excessive speeds and produce noises that exceed levels normally found in a residential and park setting. Because of the lack of pedestrian and biking facilities it is dangerous and a barrier of access to the neighborhoods it borders. More police monitoring or photo enforcement is required.	5	2	47.69907	-122.2085		Service Request	Traffic calming
Ideas and Suggestions-201	A traffic light here would improve both pedestrian and driver safety. This is an extremely dangerous intersection that is used heavily by drivers and pedestrians (many of which are students walking to school or the bus stop)	4	2	47.71119	-122.2037		Service Request	Signs/signals
Ideas and Suggestions-202	Down hill, west bound traffic travel speeds consistently exceed posted limits which prohibits the safe ingress and egress of feeder streets.	1	0	47.70382	-122.2043		Service Request	Traffic calming
Ideas and Suggestions-203	Uphill bike accommodations are needed on 3rd St north of Central Way. My current alternative is to transition to the sidewalk since I'm moving much closer to the speed of walkers than vehicles while moving up this. Either add a bike lane or improve the accommodation/routing on/off of the sidewalk for people on bikes. (This is a common route between Downtown Kirkland/Moss Bay and City Hall, followed by a turn left/west onto 4th Ave).	8	0	47.67752	-122.2035	new location	Bicycle Network	Wayfinding
Ideas and Suggestions-204	We should improve the connection from the CKC up to Kirkland Way/85th. A little mini-park with benches, landscaping, and a gently-sloped switchback-ing asphalt/concrete trail up to the intersection would be awesome.	14	0	47.6791	-122.1896	ATP_Pri_7261	CKC	Connections
Ideas and Suggestions-205	Cars continue to speed through this intersection heading both north and south on Market St. even when pedestrians activate the flashing lights to cross. These flashers give pedestrians a false sense of security because too many drivers continue to speed and refuse to stop on Market. There seems to be no speed or compliance enforcement in Kirkland other than for certain school zones with radar-operated cameras.	14	2	47.67801	-122.2094	Market and 4th	Safety	Speeding/ FTY

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-206	We need traffic calming & enforcement measures at the 4th & Market crosswalk (entrance to Heritage Park). The expensive flashers are not adequate. I was nearly run over today by a car going full blast through the crosswalk as the lights were flashing. Crossing the street on the way to a beautiful Kirkland park should not be a heart-stopping experience.	15	2	47.67798	-122.2092	Market and 4th	Safety	Speeding/ FTY
Ideas and Suggestions-207	Going up 6th St. then 12th Ave. is the most convenient way to get to the Cross Kirkland Corridor from Kirkland Urban by bicycle. Putting wayfinding signs up 6th and at the intersection of 12th would make the route clear.	11	0	47.68485	-122.197	ATP_Pri_3153, 6th St and 12th Ave	Bicycle Network	Wayfinding
Ideas and Suggestions-208	The trees on 6th St. south of the Park Avenue building make walking on the sidewalk difficult. The sidewalk could be widened.	1	0	47.68001	-122.1971		Pedestrian Safety	Maintenance
Ideas and Suggestions-209	118th Ave. NE allows people bicycling from neighborhoods around NE 116th St. to connect to the Cross Kirkland Corridor, but is currently too dangerous because the bike lane abruptly ends with street parking. Street parking isn't needed with the multi level parking garage and a surface lot right next to 118th at the Windsor Totem Lake Apartments. If the bicycle lane from 116th continued up 118th Ave, then along NE 118th St., the bike route would be fully connected	10	0	47.70514	-122.1837	Project request	Bicycle Network	Connectivity
Ideas and Suggestions-210	I think some directional signage at the new bridge (and other crossings) would be a helpful addition -- let people know they can cross it and get to Totem Lake Village and Evergreen Hospital, advertise the loop walk around Totem Lake, promote the connection to Woodinville and the Sammamish River Trail. Someone who had taken the bus stopped me to ask where the trail went in this vicinity.	7	0	47.70798	-122.178		Pedestrian Network	Wayfinding
Ideas and Suggestions-211	I don't know how to make this intersection better but what's here now doesn't work great. Maybe some warning to cars that bikes merge with them on 7th Ave (both directions but especially eastbound)? Drivers are rushing to get to the elementary school or to catch the light at 85th. It becomes dangerous when 6th St is backed up from the light and cars don't have room to turn, so they're watching for a gap instead of people crossing.	3	0	47.68058	-122.1971	ATP_Pri_7481	Operations	Safety
Ideas and Suggestions-212	Better signage from the neighborhood side for this pedestrian connection to the little shopping center. Allows me to stay on backroads instead of traveling on 70th from the greenway :)	2	0	47.66762	-122.1648		Pedestrian Network	Wayfinding
Ideas and Suggestions-213	Put a sidewalk on the east side of Urban Plaza where the Vinason/parking entrance is. I see people walking up the road all the time because it's the natural path to Central Way. Yes, they could cross to the west sidewalk but then they'd just have to cross again at the crosswalk over Central Plz at the top of the hill.	12	0	47.67868	-122.1986		Pedestrian Network	
Ideas and Suggestions-214	Formalizing this informal connection and adding a crosswalk would be handy	7	0	47.6848	-122.1935			
Ideas and Suggestions-215	Any way the city could add a public ROW cut-through along the edge of the maintenance center? Would be great to have another connection between the CKC and neighborhood.	3	1	47.68323	-122.1928			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-216	These pedestrian crossings at the 405 offramps are bad news slash terrifying to cross at night. Also walking under 405 there is *grim* with just fake rocks and pavement but at least it's well lit :)	3	0	47.70379	-122.1787			
Ideas and Suggestions-217	Cars do not yield to pedestrians / cyclists here. Since they don't have to stop at the light they continue cruising along fast and avoid eye contact.	5	0	47.71163	-122.1664			
Ideas and Suggestions-218	Because the angle of the turn is so shallow cars barely slow down to turn right. Feels dangerous to cross as a pedestrian.	5	0	47.71146	-122.1668			
Ideas and Suggestions-219	Lower speed limit to 20mph through this pedestrian-heavy zone! Maybe get rid of the like 20 street parking spots, there's tons of parking in the lots, especially if that could allow buses to run through.	8	0	47.71268	-122.1799			
Ideas and Suggestions-220	There should be painted (and ideally raised) crosswalks at every intersection.	9	0	47.66291	-122.207			
Ideas and Suggestions-221	Streets with access to the CKC need signage so people know how to connect to trail infrastructure.	5	0	47.65944	-122.2047			
Ideas and Suggestions-222	The CKC needs to be widened and lit. This is critical transit infrastructure for bikes and peds.	2	0	47.66268	-122.2015			
Ideas and Suggestions-223	There is a huge gap here with no crosswalks. Pedestrians should not need to go way out of their way for a safe crossing.	8	0	47.66862	-122.2068			
Ideas and Suggestions-224	Cars routinely speed through here around many pedestrians. We need physical traffic calming like raised crosswalks.	12	0	47.66056	-122.2064			
Ideas and Suggestions-225	This small section of the CKC could be paved or rewilded after the bridge reinforcement is finished. The deep ruts and gravel under the bridge are difficult to walk and bicycle on.	2	0	47.70633	-122.1804			
Ideas and Suggestions-226	115th and 124th could use a crosswalk. Cars frequently turn blind on to 115th at 25 mph+ speeds and only see people crossing at the last minute. This area has foot traffic from the apartments above Visible Coffee, the kids' music studio and the coffee shop itself.	7	0	47.70284	-122.1759			
Ideas and Suggestions-227	Separate from whether the "No Turn On Red" sign is respected, I wish it wasn't there. It's a big disincentive to take 116th if wanting to go north. I'm concerned that solutions tend to "box us in" with more and more restrictions. That's not progress.	1	6	47.7041	-122.2104			
Ideas and Suggestions-228	This is meant to be a reply to the other comment on the NE 120th st/Slater intersection. It is very common for cars on west-bound NE 120th st to drive for long distances in the oncoming traffic lane to reach the left turn lane onto Slater	0	0	47.70773	-122.1713			
Ideas and Suggestions-229	public trail near the water connecting Juanita Beach and Junita Bay parks.	17	0	47.70337	-122.2126			
Ideas and Suggestions-230	Transit access to 132nd Square Park and surrounding homes is extremely limited because the 930 DART bus route is infrequent and does not run on nights or weekends. The bus stops are unsheltered and have no seating. The 930 DART does not report its location and has no climate control.	2	0	47.71793	-122.1643			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-231	Extend Eastrail from here north to Woodinville. The former railway is currently marked closed.	4	0	47.71659	-122.1538			
Ideas and Suggestions-232	The intersection of 132nd Ave and 126th Pl is dangerous due to the high-speed, frequent traffic on 132nd and drivers attempting to turn onto it from 126th.	0	0	47.71364	-122.1654			
Ideas and Suggestions-233	Protected bike facilities are preferred but will take years to implement. In the meantime, as an interim solution, PLEASE at least put sharrows and "Share the Road" signs NB and SB since this segment of the corridor connects segments to the north and south that have bike lanes and is frequently used by cyclists. Drivers seem to think cyclists suddenly shouldn't be on this stretch of road or are supposed to ride on narrow sidewalks frequently occupied by pedestrians, strollers, and dogwalkers.	2	1	47.70969	-122.208			
Ideas and Suggestions-234	Put in a HAWK pedestrian-activated crossing signal	0	0	47.71119	-122.2037			
Ideas and Suggestions-235	All of these streets with diagonal intersections need to be revised to improve driver line of sight for seeing peds and bikes on Market St. Consider making them alternating one-way streets in the 1-2 blocks leading up to Market St then using the extra pavement to create a perpendicular intersection with Market St and add features that cause drivers to slow down to make the turn.	3	4	47.68659	-122.21			
Ideas and Suggestions-236	Could there be a multi-use trail put in here? It's a steep hill, but maybe a switchback path could work. Seems like a great connection from the backside of the Evergreen complex down to Totem Lake part and the CKC. Away from cars. Peaceful.	1	0	47.71291	-122.1758			
Ideas and Suggestions-237	Redevelopment of the Kingsgate commercial area should include a mini transit center along 124th, and the pre-2020 route of Metro 255 should be restored. The lack of transit accessibility in Kingsgate is a direct driver of both the failure of the Kingsgate commercial center and the increased traffic congestion in the neighborhood. Nonresidents should have convenient transit to the neighborhood for access to amenities, and residents need non-transit options for travel.	3	0	47.73238	-122.1748			
Ideas and Suggestions-238	This whole plaza area, including 120th Ave NE, should be pedestrian, cyclist, and transit only. Parking should be limited to the garages and lots facing Totem Lake Blvd. If it were easier to get here by bus and felt safer to walk and bike here, fewer people would drive and need places to park.	1	0	47.71289	-122.1803			
Ideas and Suggestions-239	We need separated, protected, dedicated bike facilities here for the increasingly high volume of cyclists riding for transportation and recreation. Workers, residents, families, shoppers who are accessing this area's amenities and jobs by bike deserve a dedicated space, not fighting for space with speeding cars or strollers and dogwalkers.	3	1	47.70985	-122.1775			
Ideas and Suggestions-240	Please add speed bumps to slow the traffic	0	0	47.67016	-122.195			
Ideas and Suggestions-241	Traffic frequently backs up here. The intersection with 85th needs improvement	0	1	47.67828	-122.1889			
Ideas and Suggestions-242	This road frequently backs up with traffic. Please improve capacity and safety.	1	0	47.66764	-122.1896			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-243	Work with City of Redmond to figure out more safe east-west connections for cyclists from Redmond Connector Trail to Kirkland bike network.	0	0	47.69237	-122.1567			
Ideas and Suggestions-244	Speed calming improvements required due to limited sight lines, blind driveways and no sidewalks.	2	0	47.69989	-122.2079			
Ideas and Suggestions-245	Create some traffic calming infrastructure to slow cars as they enter downtown.	9	1	47.67421	-122.2058			
Ideas and Suggestions-246	Create a continuous bike lane on Central through downtown.	12	2	47.67689	-122.2057			
Ideas and Suggestions-247	There are no street lights on NE 132nd St, east of 133rd Pl NE even though there are lot of new home and apartments that use that street.	0	0	47.7186	-122.1611			
Ideas and Suggestions-248	Add lighting along 90th here. I've seen deers crossing the road, almost too late coming up the turn. Having some lighting would make me feel a bit better about driving up this curve.	1	0	47.71887	-122.2209			
Ideas and Suggestions-249	Install a continuous sidewalk along NE 145 St. With cars parked along side the road and busy, fast traffic, it is hard to walk along the road safely.	1	0	47.73298	-122.1993			
Ideas and Suggestions-250	Don't allow left turns to Park Ln from SB Lake St. It's 1/2 the reason traffic backs up for a mile every day in the afternoon (the other half is the two signals aren't synchronized)	9	0	47.67595	-122.2068			
Ideas and Suggestions-251	Install street lights on NE 110th Pl, it's very narrow with no side walk, adding street lights will increase the safety.	0	0	47.70044	-122.2295			
Ideas and Suggestions-252	Speed humps/traffic calming measures on 7th - cars FLY down the hill and this is poses a hazard to CKC utilizers crossing at 7th.	2	0	47.68064	-122.1921			
Ideas and Suggestions-253	We need more bus lines to and from LWSH. The only options involve multiple transfers and take a long time. Kids who can attend the HS deserve safe transportation. So many kids needing to be dropped off creates a lot of traffic around the HS.	1	0	47.68943	-122.1649			
Ideas and Suggestions-254	We really need a sidewalk on the 19ave. It's extremely dangerous to walk along the street and our kids cannot safely get to the school.	0	0	47.69148	-122.207			
Ideas and Suggestions-255	Add a shade/rain cover for the bus stop here. All bus stops should have a shade/rain cover. With the low frequency of buses people often wait for long periods of time. It would help eliminate barriers to using public transit especially during inclement weather. It should be simple to implement and would be beneficial for everyone.	2	0	47.66732	-122.202			
Ideas and Suggestions-256	Improve pedestrian safety at this intersection. Either through all crosswalks being activated (including diagonal) at the same time, raised intersections, no turn on red, or other pedestrian safety improvements. I have been nearly hit by vehicles turning right multiple times. Many children walking to the local elementary school use this cross walk. It a tragedy waiting to happen.	0	0	47.66676	-122.1963			
Ideas and Suggestions-257	Pedestrian walkway here would be very helpful at entrance to the shopping center from Bridle View Neighborhood	2	0	47.66494	-122.164			
Ideas and Suggestions-258	This is going to be the most useless freeway bus stop in the world if connections with the downtown Kirkland transit center are not provided. Nobody will want to hike 1 mile and 500+ ft. of elevation to transfer.	5	0	47.67906	-122.1864			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-259	Build a large underground parking garage underneath the baseball field. Eliminate the lake shore plaza parking and the one at the corner of central and Lake and turn these into parks.	1	9	47.67716	-122.2027			
Ideas and Suggestions-260	The sidewalk is difficult to walk on because the tree roots are lifting it up. This is a major walking route for the neighborhood to go downtown.	3	0	47.67443	-122.2043			
Ideas and Suggestions-261	Many homeless individuals loiter at the library, making it feel unsafe to walk there at night. They need to be removed.	0	13	47.67572	-122.2029			
Ideas and Suggestions-262	Add a bathroom facility on CKC similar to the one near Google	2	1	47.64422	-122.1949			
Ideas and Suggestions-263	Speed bumps along 108th Ave NE, from NE 140th st to NE 143rd st. There are many kids walking to and from school daily, pedestrians walking/jogging, and cars are often driving very fast through this section. A few speed bumps would help control speed and make it safer for pedestrians.	1	0	47.72674	-122.1972			
Ideas and Suggestions-264	Please make this a 4 way stop, very dangerous at times car going fast and it's hard to see due to plants.	25	1	47.68923	-122.2113			
Ideas and Suggestions-265	Add a pedestrian crosswalk Kids, adults going to the school tend to jaywalk a lot due to the lack of crosswalks nearby	2	0	47.66868	-122.2022			
Ideas and Suggestions-266	The small planted area between the street and sidewalk here forces bikes traveling northbound to merge into traffic, right at an uphill part where the bike is probably traveling slowly. This is right on the way from downtown to the CKC, via 12th ave right after this stretch.	2	0	47.68441	-122.1971			
Ideas and Suggestions-267	Add a bicycle connection between the willows road connector / CKC and the Sammamish river trail. As of now this stretch is very dangerous, and if you want to get from the CKC to the Sammamish river trail southbound you have to way out of your way up to 145th st.	2	0	47.71132	-122.1515			
Ideas and Suggestions-268	The all way stop works well. We have been living here for 30 years and it operates OK. Drivers are mostly courteous. I don't think there is enough room to build a roundabout.	0	0	47.67551	-122.1638			
Ideas and Suggestions-269	Need traffic calming at 16th Ave W & 6th St! This is a Safe Routes to School but it is not safe for pedestrians or bikes. Children often walk to/from Kirkland Middle School and walk/bike to/from downtown. There are stop signs on 6th St W but they are so far back from the intersection that drivers either don't stop or they stop but can't see the cross traffic. The shrubs are trimmed, but visibility is still difficult. A 4-way stop might help, but a traffic calming circle would be even better.	93	2	47.68848	-122.2118			
Ideas and Suggestions-270	Having been involved with the neighborhood trying to get speeding treatments here, we were also disappointed with the cost of the treatments and the miniscule effects on traffic speeds. This was the only type of treatment the city would accept on this street, since it is a fire response route. I support further looking at other treatments.	0	0	47.66576	-122.1771			
Ideas and Suggestions-271	Reduce speed limit to 25 between 70th and 60th to be consistent with surrounding land uses.	2	0	47.66585	-122.1855			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-272	Reexamine warrants to determine the need for a traffic signal at 70th/122nd Ave. Focus on volumes safety for pedestrians and vehicles given close proximity to schools. Traffic speeds are also an issue. Our neighborhood has requested this several times.	0	0	47.66815	-122.1801			
Ideas and Suggestions-273	Move this to 122nd Avenue intersection	0	0	47.66814	-122.18			
Ideas and Suggestions-274	Look at retiming signal during school hours to better handle traffic into and out of LWHS.	0	0	47.67527	-122.1803			
Ideas and Suggestions-275	Implement the pedestrian/bike treatments recommended in the Juanita Drive Corridor Study from 2015. The treatments currently implemented are intended to be the first phase, but they become permanent if action is not taken on the full master plan for the corridor.	1	0	47.69833	-122.2304			
Ideas and Suggestions-276	Implement the Juanita Drive corridor recommendations including roundabouts for traffic management and speed control.	1	0	47.72453	-122.2409			
Ideas and Suggestions-277	Please increase bus frequency to/from downtown Kirkland, Bellevue, and Seattle. Decreasing the number of lanes in this area without an improvement to public transit will make this area less accessible.	3	0	47.72315	-122.2078			
Ideas and Suggestions-278	Keep the CKC for recreation and bikes! As a runner (and biker), we need more safe places such as this to exercise and bike commute to work. I will often drive down to the CKC to run or with my kids on bikes as it is a great place to safely exercise. It's a great resource for the community, and we should make sure to protect it as such!	2	0	47.66223	-122.2016			
Ideas and Suggestions-279	Lots of kids live in this neighborhood and people often speed down this hill from 132nd into the neighborhood (delivery drivers most often), which makes it very unsafe for kids since there aren't any sidewalks. Would love a speed bump on the hill.	2	0	47.66424	-122.1626			
Ideas and Suggestions-280	BookTree Kirkland's only bookstore needs two parking spaces (or more) available for customers. Often people park right in front of the bookstore with a big SUV and leave it there for 4 to 6 hours. The 30 minute parking space just North of the store is rarely enforced - cars park there for 1 to 4 hours. Cars travel often in excess of 30 mph even though it's a 25 MPH zone. Thanks.	5	1	47.67976	-122.2095			
Ideas and Suggestions-281	we need a flagged crosswalk from Bridleview Neighborhood north entrance to the shopping center. It should have flags.	0	1	47.66456	-122.164			
Ideas and Suggestions-282	17th Ave W is becoming a safety hazard. More and more often it is not wide enough for a fire truck or EMT vehicle to pass. The streets in this northern section of the neighborhood were not platted with wide streets. The original gravel parking strips in front of homes are being removed by development, pushing parked vehicles into the street. Big increases in density provide more homes (a good thing), but also sprout many more vehicles parked on street. This safety issue needs to be addressed.	32	1	47.69034	-122.2121			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-283	16th Ave W is becoming a safety hazard. At times it is not wide enough for a fire truck or EMT vehicle to pass. The streets in this northern section of the neighborhood were not platted with wide streets. The original gravel parking strips in front of homes are being removed by development, pushing parked vehicles into the street. Big increases in density provide more homes (a good thing), but also sprout many more vehicles parked on street. This safety issue needs to be addressed.	27	0	47.68949	-122.2127			
Ideas and Suggestions-284	Add a Basketball Court to Heritage Park.	4	0	47.67904	-122.212			
Ideas and Suggestions-285	Pave alley between 8th and 10th Avenues W between 3rd and 4th street- alley is half paved half gravel, frequent potholes that don't repair well. Gravel gets thrown from alley to yards/driveways when driven on.	2	2	47.68335	-122.2115			
Ideas and Suggestions-286	Recommend speed bumps on 10th Ave W around 3rd and 4th streets. Cars frequently driving over speed limit causing safety concerns for residents especially children.	6	1	47.68331	-122.2106			
Ideas and Suggestions-287	We have asked the City to add a speed cushion on the 200 block of Waverly Way, but the City has thus far said "no", despite dangerous speeding taking place on this section of Waverly Way. The City states that the traffic data does not show a high enough average speed, but this does not account for the individual risk of high-speed drivers, particularly in the summer months. The risk will increase when the "Greenway" brings more pedestrians and cyclists to 2nd and Waverly. Thank you.	8	0	47.68016	-122.2128			
Ideas and Suggestions-288	Please install a four-way stop sign at 16th Ave W and 6th street intersection and trim the overgrown bushes to improve visibility. It's essential for the safety of children crossing after getting off school buses. There have been several close calls with speeding vehicles nearly hitting these children on 16th and 6th streets. Let's not wait for a tragedy before making changes. The City of Kirkland should take proactive steps to prevent accidents and safeguard our community's children.	26	0	47.68885	-122.2118			
Ideas and Suggestions-289	Please fill pot holes and uneven areas. The pavement is very rough at this intersection, had been for a very long time, and is getting worse!	1	0	47.67545	-122.2066			
Ideas and Suggestions-290	Need to maintain/paint lane dividers at left turn lane from 18th to northbound Market. Have seen many cars almost (or actually go) go over the concrete lane dividers as they make that quick left turn-can be very dangerous especially in the dark or rainy weather. Maybe add reflective lights.	7	0	47.69014	-122.2101			
Ideas and Suggestions-291	Cars seem to make left turn onto waverly from market st. without seeing pedestrian in crosswalk at night. My husband and I cross to south side of Waverly under a streetlight to avoid the crosswalk. We've had two close calls.	5	0	47.67808	-122.2096			
Ideas and Suggestions-292	The corner of 3rd st. west and 8th has a yield sign. No one uses it. There should be a stop sign. There have been many accidents at this corner with people not knowing how to yield to traffic on 3rd Ave. Put speed bumps along 7th or make it a four way stop.	6	1	47.68281	-122.2108			
Ideas and Suggestions-293	Add BikeLink lockers at the transit center so that people can secure their bike when they catch a bus. This will encourage people to bike instead if drive (and park) downtown.	7	0	47.67641	-122.2032			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-294	Middle School students are crossing Market St. to get to and from Kirkland Middle School. As density increases in Kirkland and traffic congestion on Market St. goes up, these children will have to cross an increasingly dangerous road (and will further congest/stop traffic with their crossing). I have seen several dangerous crossings where vehicles miss the blinking lights or children fail to properly use the current crossings. Some kind of pedestrian bridge perhaps?	1	1	47.68957	-122.21			
Ideas and Suggestions-295	Sight distance is obstructed for drivers taking a NB LT, going NB, for taking a NB RT. The NB LT is the scariest. There needs to be something done for safety in this location.	0	0	47.71121	-122.2037			
Ideas and Suggestions-296	Has staff walked 124th? There is a 6-ft sidewalk immediately adjacent to a five lane arterial with high speeds and high volumes. It feels uncomfortable and unsafe so my family does not walk on it. With small children it is especially uncomfortable. Having a sidewalk is one thing but having a sidewalk that residents actually want to use is another thing. If we want to reduce SOV trips we need higher comfort pedestrian and bicycle facilities.	1	0	47.71107	-122.2013			
Ideas and Suggestions-297	Small traffic circle might help this intersection. Every day, people from the "lower" part of 16th Ave W speed up and down. I know it is residents; I see the same cars zoom by every day.	1	0	47.68979	-122.2134			
Ideas and Suggestions-298	Traffic circle here might also be helpful. Cannot tell you how many times I have almost been T-boned or almost hitting someone. Line of sight issues and the fact that a lot of people must not see the stop signs on 6th St W. My husband drives through that intersection with his hand on the horn ever time.	3	0	47.68882	-122.2118			
Ideas and Suggestions-299	We need speed bumps. People consistently go very fast and that's right near the middle school bus stop. I've almost been hit in the crosswalk because people are speeding and not paying attention.	7	0	47.71123	-122.2119			
Ideas and Suggestions-300	Add flashing lights to this pedestrian crosswalk. It is next to a school bus stop that is usually dark in the winter mornings.	4	1	47.71132	-122.211			
Ideas and Suggestions-301	Would Like to have pedestrian pathway from Inglewood QFC to Juanita Beach.	0	0	47.71265	-122.2371			
Ideas and Suggestions-302	Create a traffic circle to slow high speed drivers leaving Juanita high school and commuters cutting through the neighborhood	1	0	47.71487	-122.1964			
Ideas and Suggestions-303	Extend the left hand turn lane (which is too short) Cars make illegal u turns from southbound Totem Lake BLVD to turn into the new Bower apartments. I've seen people drive up onto the sidewalk making this U turn.	0	0	47.71404	-122.1838			

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
Ideas and Suggestions-304	Widen the existing dirt path to a wider gravel path so students can move between Janita High School and the neighborhoods to the south. A great bridge was just built here over the creek, but the path into the neighborhood south of it could be easily improved on a small budget. Much better than creating a new path through the sensitive wetlands to the east of campus.	0	0	47.71304	-122.2025			
Ideas and Suggestions-305	This path should be publicly accessible for walking and rolling, as it provides the most easy, direct, and safe route for people traveling between Waverly and Downtown Kirkland.	0	0	47.6819	-122.2146			
Ideas and Suggestions-306	Now that sidewalk has been installed on both 16th Ave west and 7th- this has created a very dangerous blind spot especially when cars are parked on the street. Since sidewalk installed a couples months ago, have almost been t- bones 5 times. You have zero visibility of cars coming down 16th from 7th if cars are parked on street and the house being built has minimal parking on driveway for a 2 dwelling residence. As other neighbor stated, 16th is now so narrow that only one car can safely drive.	1	0	47.68992	-122.2136			
	The extreme curve on Juanita Drive starting just after Woodland Park needs immediate safety attention on the water side. Future improvements should prioritize adding a sidewalk to Juanita Beach and better separation between car and bike lanes.							
	Create pedestrian/potentially bike friendly pathways that are not concreted; eliminating the need to put in more (expensive) concrete that occur alongside streets.							
	These pathways might be referred to a 'cut throughs'. I do not know the official designation or vocabulary. We have a few around Kirkland. We need to have many more to connect us up as pedestrians and offer options beyond driving.							
	To counter arguments that these pathways are too close to houses: I have yet to hear objections by folks in houses to the street in front of their house. Why do they then object to a pedestrian path alongside their house?							
	NE 132 nd Place/94 Ave NE as it curves around (occurs east of 91 st Place NE), create a pathway downhill extending Southeast direction to NE 132 nd St. - this would connect to Juanita Elementary and Juanita High School on NE 132 nd St. Potential pathways in my neighborhood.							
	NE 132nd Place/94 Ave NE as it curves around (occurs east of 91st Place NE), create a pathway downhill extending Southeast direction to NE 132nd St. - this would connect to Juanita Elementary and Juanita High School on NE 132nd St. [supposedly this was allowed to close up by blackberries due to a sexual assault. That is a terrible event but more pathways makes for safer communities].							
	When redevelopment of Goodwill property (at NE 132nd St and 100th Ave NE), think 'pedestrians'. For example, east of 98th Ave NE on NE 133rd Place allow a pathway. Benefit: connects pedestrians uphill to the Juanita business district.							

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Maintain and enhance the pathway at 97th Ave NE to 97th Ave NE east of NE 137th Street. Benefit: pedestrian access. Wildlife corridor too.							
	Create foot path at 97th Ave NE between houses at 13716 and 13728 to allow pedestrians. Benefit: pedestrian access. Wildlife corridor too.							
	Create foot path at NE 137th Place to NE 137th Court toward Thoreau Elementary. Benefit: pedestrian access to Thoreau Elementary.							
	Create a foot path at NE 135th St west of 87th Ave NE to NE 135th St toward Finn Hill Middle. Benefit: pedestrian access to Finn Hill Middle.							
	Create a foot path along road that extends east of Juanita - Woodinville Way toward North edge of Fairfax Hospital, that would extend or connect to NE 133rd Lane, create a lovely bridge across the stream and end at north terminus of 105th Ave NE. Benefit: pedestrian access to Juanita Highschool and the Juanita business district.							
	Also, the paths toward Thoreau Elem School and Finn Hill Middle School are suggested because walking along NE 134th St (west of 90th Ave NE), then south along 87th Ave NE, and then along NE 132nd St is very scary, has very poor air quality, and many loud vexations to hearing! Oodles of gas-powered vehicles (cement, trucks, trucks, trucks, garbage trucks, CARS galore, school buses, King County metro 225 (only one per hour now) travel this road route, which makes this pedestrian pathway horrible. Yes, I know that pedestrians are supposed to be polite and deferential to gas-powered vehicle drivers, however, I really resent the gas-powered vehicle world for the domination of the public space.							
	(1) The corner of 8th St W and 17th Ave W is problematic for small kids on bikes. One child (approx. 9 yrs old) smacked into a car because he was zooming down 17th Ave W on his bike and he flew right into the intersection without slowing down and without looking. Luckily he was not hurt too badly, but the poor woman driving the car was an emotional wreck and it was scary for everyone. The driver was not speeding. Lots of cyclists and walkers have used this route daily for the 20 years that we have lived here, all without incident except for the youngest kids. (2) The other issue to consider is the rapidly increasing number of vehicles parked on the street due to development. Residents' vehicles and work vehicles used to park off street in garages, driveways, and roadside gravel strips but development is pushing cars out on to the streets to park and there are an increasing number of vehicles. How can walkers, joggers, strollers, and bikes be safe with vehicles all over the roads that compress all peds/bikes/vehicles into a single narrow lane of travel? Even full sidewalks wouldn't solve that problem, if they could even be built. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I look forward to working together with the city to provide safe methods of travel for all.					ATP_Pri_3183		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	108th ave by McAuliffe is narrow and has a lot of character because that (it's not a generic suburban street). The old (defunct?) McAuliffe park master plan even called that out. If the plan for the greenway involves adding sidewalks, could will involve cutting down lots of large trees in the park or purchasing right of way if the sidewalk needs to be close to the road. Instead, consider how to pedestrians and vehicles can co-exist on the road. This happens already with minimal issues. Two things that could be improved at lower cost are humps to slow down cars and/or improving sight-lines on two of the hills.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3142		
	108th would be OK, but on 110th the sight lines on the hills and curves are horrible and not suitable for adding bike lanes. And residents would object to losing street parking.					ATP_Pri_3031		
	124th is a speedway. Nothing about its design says "drive carefully" or "slow down".					ATP_Pri_3261		
	124th west of 100th does not feel safe as a bicyclist--fast cars and no clear space.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7171		
	148th and 104th will need some attention here, it is currently an uncontrolled 3 way intersection with no sidewalks. I personally walk this often and while most motorists are considerate, there are enough who are not where I am concerned for my safety. 140th is way too wide and the intersection is way too large. There are no sidewalks.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3003		
	4 way stop sign, as stated in the article, stops signs don't work unless it is for pedestrians. School kids are pedestrians					SRTS_FH 25		
	4 way stop sign, as stated in the article, stops signs don't work unless it is for pedestrians. School kids are pedestrians					SRTS_FH 03		
	4 way stop sign, as stated in the article, stops signs don't work unless it is for pedestrians. School kids are pedestrians					SRTS_FH 04		
	4 way stop sign, as stated in the article, stops signs don't work unless it is for pedestrians. School kids are pedestrians.					SRTS_FH 27		
	4 way stop sign, as stated in the article, stops signs don't work unless it is for pedestrians. School kids are pedestrians.					CIP_NMC0062120_3		
	5th Ave is a more pleasant path, separate from the traffic of NE 85th St, and with the potential to have amenities/attractions along the path, and provide a connection to the CKC.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7311		
	93rd is definitely the route for local bicyclists from northern neighborhoods to the park. It feels safe-ish now but some kind of "protection" would help, esp. where it narrows by Juanita Drive.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7171		
	A flashing beacon system at the crossing to Edith Moulton Park is essential and well past due to protect pedestrian safety for children and families that use the crosswalk for park use or while traveling to and from Helen Keller School. Traffic on 108th Ave NE often travels fast (over 30 mph) and frequently does not stop when pedestrians are standing on the curb waiting to cross. The off-leash dog park has significantly increased park use such that many dog handlers as well as parents with small children (some in strollers) now must park on the west side of the street and cross without the benefit of flashing beacons. This creates an increased risk to public safety and occasional "near misses."	I like it!				SRTS_JN 23		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	A mini roundabout is very difficult to navigate with buses and trucks. We're already seeing the struggle for trucks on 132nd by the freeway, and that is bigger than a "mini-roundabout".					StaffRec_6		
	A roundabout at the park entrance (138th/Juanita) is not necessary. Perhaps the target was 138th where it joins from the west. As they build more and more houses, the intersection gets harder and harder to navigation					Juanita_I7		
	A roundabout here will pair up better with the roundabouts at 405 and improve traffic flow overall plus make the intersection safer for peds and cyclists (at least in theory)		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1320000		
	A signalized intersection this close to a future high-volume roundabout does not seem like a good idea		I do not like it			SAP_COK_5		
	A traffic light here will unnecessarily disrupt traffic on 108th Ave. There's no real benefit to a transit queue jump here. A center lane merge for people turning left from NE 53rd St onto 108th (both directions) would be a good idea.		I do not like it			TR 12900		
	A traffic signal already exists here, and the improvements described are horribly vague. I might be more supportive if the proposed project was more clearly described. Examples of useful improvements would include hardening the center line to reduce the speed of turning vehicles.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1310000		
	Absolutely not. Crossing here already feels dangerous. This would lengthen the ped crossing.					CIP_TRC0960000		
	Absolutely!	I like it!				SRTS_CH 03		
	Add a speed bump closer to Juanita high school on 128th to slow students leaving the high school at dangerous speeds	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3054		
	Add the lanes without widening. Take the vehicle space.					ATP_Pri_7133		
	Adding bike lane on 6th makes more sense than adding on 2nd St w for 2 blocks. No one will use this, and it will create more traffic on 8th, 3rd and 7th.					ATP_Pri_3182		
	already complete					CIP_TRC0940000		
	Also improve crossing for pedestrians, don't make us cross the street three times to get to the sidewalk please. Also suggest improving traffic coordination for drivers coming out of the pot shop, everyone wants to turn left but it's awkward with the current configuration.	I like it!				SAP_COK_14		
	Also make a multimodal path to the top of the hill through right of ways and easements.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P49		
	Also need sidewalks here.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7461		
	Also, the road needs to be repaved. More pot holes than you can imagine and the street is buckling under pressure.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3071		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	An important part of the merchants' negative reaction to Park Place as a pedestrian plaza is the fact that the area doesn't have the infrastructure it should to support that intended use. Let's fix the infrastructure, build out proper water, drainage, power, etc., ... and then return to the work of turning this street into the magnet destination that it can be. Ensure that improvements are compatible with, supportive of, and attractive to the ultimate goal of a pedestrian plaza.	I like it!				ParkLane_1		
	An overpass or underpass would be better for everyone.	I like it!				CKC_P1		
	Any round about needs to be designed to handle trucks and buses that go through this intersection, and not take away the neighbors' properties.					StaffRec_5		
	as I understand it, this street is a queue/holding area street for high school pick up and drop off as well as school bus route		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3053		
	As NE 6th, NE 5th, and 8th Ave have a lot of school related traffic, they are not suitable for greenway projects designed to reduce vehicle traffic (parents can't really choose another route, so it would end up increasing backups from existing traffic).		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_6082		
	Be sure to include sidewalks and crosswalks to the businesses on the south/west side of Totem Lake Blvd	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6062		
	Be very careful not to mix bike/pedestrian facilities on the same path; buffered lanes are much safer and encourage both biking and walking. Merging them into one (like on the uphill section of Juanita Drive) is very dangerous					ATP_Pri_3193		
	Bicycle connection from 520 to CKC is rough. While looking at this area, please look into making better bicycle access from 108th/Northup to the CKC, which would help tie two great bike right of ways together.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7121		
	Bike lane is on the door zone. Cyclists usually end up sharing the lane with cars because of poorly parked cars or doors frequently opening :(TIP_P10_8		
	Bike lane suddenly disappears on the bottom of the hill and doesn't reappear until much later. Extremely dangerous as drivers squeeze cyclists out of lane with nowhere else to go.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7121		
	Bike lanes are great. Five vehicle lanes are a high price to pay.					ATP_Pri_3326		
	Bike lanes on 110th Ave are an unsuitable alternative to 108th Ave due to the horrible sight lines on the hills and curves on 110th.					ATP_Pri_3031		
	Biking north through this intersection is difficult. The best option currently is to awkwardly sit on the far-left side of the right turn lane, right on the edge of the northbound straight lane (unless you can get there before any cars, in which case taking the entire straight lane is OK). The situation is not great and someone will be hurt here eventually.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3072		
	Build a sidewalk first. There's already a bike lane.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7151		
	Bus shelters need lights/solar lighting for waiting riders and for drivers to see them at the stop.	I like it!				CIP_TRC1320000		
	But if you do put gates please make it so you can ride your bike down it, unlike the 405 crossing!	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_T16		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	But we miss having the crossing flags during construction and even with the beacon.	I like it!				CIP_NMC0062120_3		
	Cars constantly run the north/south stop signs. I'm not sure how to fix this, but something needs to be done as I've nearly been hit on multiple occasions.	I like it!				NE128_P11		
	City put in dog park now wants to remove parking that overflows when dog park full. Prefer remove dog park.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7021		
	CKC to 520 bicycle connection is currently rough, anything to make that connection better would improve my life so much.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P01.1		
	Closer to downtown, cycling feels dangerous in traffic due to very limited space. This is a major bike route on the Lake Washington Loop and leads directly to the 520 trail and could incentivize more to travel by bicycle if physical protection were added.	I like it!				LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD NE415		
	Concerned about traffic being able to pull out of way for ambulances and emergency vehicles trying to access hospital. I would have to see design drawings.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3174		
	Concerned that linking the queue jump lanes with undergrounding utilities, while it makes sense to disrupt traffic with only one build phase, I am afraid that trying to get funding for the whole project may hold up both parts.	I like it!				PTC0040000		
	Consider a roundabout before upgrading the signal					CIP_TRC1300000		
	Consider using the sewer access lane to the west instead. This area is another peaceful place to view wildlife.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P18		
	Coordinate the lights at the intersections with Juanita and 97th/98th. Significant backups at both rush hours bcaus these lights aren't coordinated.					LRS_P4		
	Could you include raised crosswalks? That would slow auto speeds and improve visibility of pedestrians. I hope lighting includes actually lighting the sides of the crosswalk so that drivers can see pedestrians waiting to cross.	I like it!				LRS_P3_1		
	Critical - sometimes it takes 3 light cycles to turn left and gets worse every year. Infrastructure in place, including left turn lane and lights. This should be very high priority					Juanita_I8		
	Crossing 116th by bike on 108th can be impossible at times. By default I go to the RFB west of 108th to cross while riding on sidewalks. The narrow sidewalks mean I often have to yield to pedestrians. Casual bikers will be dissuaded from using this route due to the difficulty in crossing 116th.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3142		
	Crosswalk so good here	I like it!				CIP_STC0890000_I5		
	Cycling through this segment heading NB from 132nd currently feels very dangerous and is likely a hindrance preventing many people from cycling. This should be prioritized since this connects into new PBLs up near Safeway and the route ultimately leads to the SRT. The sidewalks on the EB side close to 132nd are also very narrow and are often obstructed which is a hindrance to bus users.					SP_23		
	Definitely need better bike safety. I'm concerned that any changes will make really cautious drivers drive even slower. Too often the 132nd route is "spoiled" by slowness-- same as 116th.	I like it!				NE 132ND ST4204		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Description is confusing, but please don't restrict turns onto Park Ln. Finding businesses is very challenging when too many turn restrictions force you onto congested streets leading away from your planned destination.					TIP_P5		
	Do a roundabout instead!		I do not like it			Juanita_I8		
	Do a Roundabout instead!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1360000		
	Do a roundabout instead!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1380000		
	do not like the NB left turn lane extension to 83rd					SAP_COK_1		
	Don't add more car lanes! You're inducing demand! Haven't we learned this lesson??		I do not like it			SAP_COK_4		
	Don't wait for development to occur. The city should do this without waiting on development.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3332		
	Due to the curve in State St, rapid flashing beacon would greatly enhance safety at this intersection by alerting drivers to the presence of pedestrians before they can actually be seen.					SRTS_MB 04		
	Especially in the uphill direction! It really sucks on a bike where the road narrows and there's no shoulder right where there's a big speed bump. Get rid of the parking right after that segment and let cyclists use the shoulder instead -- merging in and out of the shoulder around parked cars is more dangerous but drivers are (understandably) impatient when you're riding like 5mph in front of them.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6091		
	ESPECIALLY needed in front of the swim school! The speed bump is not enough -- maybe striping the whole area to make drivers better expect small children to sprint in front of your car at any moment! Also lots of parents are loading / unloading in this area so car doors opening unexpectedly.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6093		
	For the 405 southbound exit ramp: please remove the no right on red. This is a turn into a protected lane and causes significant backup of traffic onto the exit ramp. Also, the curb is quite high and abrupt.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7312		
	From my own experience cycling this route very often, there are usually only a handful of cars parked on the west side on the north end of the hill suggesting the space could be better utilized for bike/transit	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3363		
	Giant parking garage 10 stories high or underground, and link light rail station needed here.					TIP_P10_1		
	Given that 7th Ave has become a route to avoid central Kirkland, should we really be adding bikes the mess that already exists? I think the best solution would be to put stop signs on every intersection and a pedestrian crosswalk on top of the hill between 1st and 2nd on 7th. There are blind areas and cars fly over that hill. Let's make Kirkland not an option to avoid the 405 traffic					ATP_Pri_7481		
	Great idea for connecting north and south neighborhoods for more walking and bicycling away from main roads.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3052		
	Great improvement	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P45		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Great place for a roundabout		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1300000		
	Having a light up cross walk or even crossing flags would help all the pedestrian traffic north and south of 124th st cross safely while commuting to and from elementary school and the neighborhood.	I like it!				SRTS_JN 24		
	Having left hand turns instead of every on and off ramp being a right hand turn is a huge downgrade.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7312		
	Having this walking path will allow families, elderly, and the many kids who live in the neighborhood to get to Juanita Park without having to walk and ride bicycles on busy main roads.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P32.2		
	High priority: this walkway is a major school walk route and its position makes it hard to see from a distance.					SRTS_FH 05		
	Hopefully the design will also calm traffic and lower driving speeds.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6043		
	Hopefully the design will also calm traffic and reduce driving speeds!	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6043		
	How about a roundabout instead? Don't increase pavement for vehicles. It only induces demand for more vehicles!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC0960000		
	How about a sidewalk on the west side of this road? There is literally no way for pedestrians to even get to the building with the coffee shop across from totem village					NE128_P4		
	How can this be done without creating parking issues? It's already worse as resident kids age into driving which puts more cars on street parking. It's already feeling difficult to drive the road when it's squeezed by parked cars on both sides. Overall, traffic feels low enough that walkers can get by with only occassional stepping to shoulder for car to pass.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7174		
	I agree with connection for bikes/peds, but not for cars. There are too many roads coming out onto Juanita with stop signs.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P46		
	I do like the idea of protected bike lanes here but not the idea that it is tied to development.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_6121		
	i do not like shared use paths		I do not like it			NE128_P12		
	I do not like shared use paths in high pedestrian use areas. Bike and ped need to be separated in urban areas		I do not like it			SAP_COK_18a		
	I don't think this intersection is busy enough to warrant a roundabout. I think the 4-way stop signs work just fine.		I do not like it			StaffRec_5		
	I don't understand; this intersection already has a traffic signal. What intersection improvements are considered?					CIP_TRC1310000		
	I especially like this because of the 60th St. bike/ped bridge, and the fact that 60th St. is supposed to be part of the Bridle Crest Trail all the way to Marymoor. I don't think we can fix the drastic canyon that's just east of 132nd St. on the Trail, but we can at least improve this piece of it.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7491		
	I have no idea what this means.					TIP_P10_2		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	I just don't get this "greenway". Nobody asked for it. It did not come from the community. It came from the city seeing an opportunity for an economic development grant and looking for a place to put it.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3182		
	I know it may not be feasible due to nearby properties, but this is hands down the best example of an intersection that should be a roundabout I could think of. This intersection is needlessly congested in light/medium traffic. Sure, traffic signal improvements will help, but I have a feeling it will still be needlessly congested.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1300000		
	I know this is largely complete, but it should have been designed more like European roundabouts, with angled entries, straight exits, and a decent gap between the exit and entry for a given stub (street segment). The gap allows a driver entering the roundabout to see that an oncoming driver is exiting while still a reasonable distance away (especially important when turn signals are not used, which they seldom are in roundabouts here), and providing some buffer if they misjudge.					CIP_TRC0980000		
	I like it only if the lot has a permit underway that defines the flow requirements. Until then, perhaps a roundabout would be better, or unnecessary.					SAP_COK_P9		
	I like it, but in my opinion it would be even better to reshape the road to naturally slow cars. Make it narrower and put in protected bike lanes or something. A lot of this road is supposed to be 25mph max but it's wide with a turn lane and so folks treat it like the autobahn. Flashing lights and beg buttons will only make pedestrians so safe in this conditions. Speeding cars are the problem.					SRTS_EH 06		
	I like the bike lane improvements. I don't like the turn lane extensions.					132ndRMP_7		
	I like the concept but would have to see the design drawing to understand the project					Planned Citywide Connections_P01.1		
	I like this a lot! I ride 116th regularly on a bike and it's terrible, especially southbound. I find it embarrassing how much it improves when I hit Bellevue. :-). Though I really dislike what Bellevue did with their weird southbound islands that do nothing but collect debris for bikes and then obscure the sight of a bike emerging from the diversion: "Oh, sorry I ran you over, I was startled when you appeared so suddenly from behind the bushes!" Anyway, protected lanes in both directions would be awesome for this important route connecting to the Eastrail.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7181		
	I like this idea. Biking through here is difficult because pedestrians don't realize that part of the sidewalk is supposed to be prioritized for bikes, despite the markings/signage. Currently, it's often easier to stay in the road with cars rather than use the bike path. Something to help keep bikes, pedestrians, and cars all separate would be great.					StaffRec_3		
	I live near the intersection. The signal is much needed. Too many impatient people and collisions.	I like it!				CIP_TRC1380000		
	I love greenways but the part jutting out to 7th ave is crazy. On foot/bike these increased distances add up quickly.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3153		
	I love this Greenway and use it frequently!					ATP_Pri_7372		
	I love this! This would be a simple and relatively easy upgrade that would enhance safety and traffic flow for hundreds if not thousands of users every day.					Juanita_I8		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	I only like this if it connects to the 85th Street Station bike/ped path proposed	I like it!				SAP_COK_2		
	I prefer a roundabout, keep traffic flowing. But we'd need RFBs for pedestrians, well away from the roundabout, for safety.	I like it!				CIP_TRC1420000		
	I recently walked through this area several times and it was sketchy AF. I assume whatever's going in at the old Petco will install sidewalks???	I like it!				SAP_COK_20		
	I strongly support this idea since this is the main traffic for kid's school bus to pick-up/drop-off students. Also, due to a lot of new constructions, and Amazon park/ride, the traffic is increased double to triple from 3:30pm to 6:30pm. Our neighborhood has a lot of conversations about the concerns and also not sure how things can be done a lot of times but no significant results from either Redmond or Kirkland cities. It is really excited that our voices are being heard.					StaffRec_4		
	I think a 4-way stop makes more sense here. It's a pedestrian-heavy interchange; stop the vehicles in all directions for safety.		I do not like it			SAP_COK_P10		
	I think a tunnel or bridge are too much to ask for at the current level of trail usage but we definitely need dedicated crossing lights at a minimum. Too bad the RR crossing arms have been taken out!					CKC_P1		
	I think that the 5th Ave trail makes much more sense. It is a much better experience on 5th than the busiest street in Kirkland. It seems like it would be much more expensive to build. It would be very disruptive to auto traffic to build. And it does not connect to the CKC. The 5th Ave trail is a better option and more likely to be used.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7311		
	I think the 5th ave trail is a better option. A buffered bike lane is inadequate on this road: it is high traffic (Google commute traffic), excess speeds, and poor sightlines. I would only ride this with a fully protected bike lane.		I do not like it			Joel_P1		
	I think unprotected left turns with flashing arrows are exceedingly dangerous for pedestrians and this is right next to a BRT station. I think flashing arrow lefts are also dangerous for cars.		I do not like it			NE128_P4		
	I thought this already existed					Planned Citywide Connections_P45		
	I thought this is already connected??	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P27.1		
	I would like for this section to be better lit for biking but the lighting should minimize light pollution and impact on wildlife	I like it!				SP_33		
	I would like to see a small traffic circle at Bell as traffic blocks 112th at school pickup time, however, pedestrian safety must be considered with this change					SRTS_JN 20		
	I would prefer closing to vehicles most/all of the time. I know that is not popular with business owners. I have spent money at a bunch of businesses on Park Lane and have parked on Park Lane exactly 0 times		I do not like it			ParkLane_1		
	I'm concerned about any further development of trails through this wetland.					Planned Citywide Connections_P18		
	I'd have to see a design drawing to understand the project					TR 12900		
	I'd have to see design and cost.					ATP_Pri_3231		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	if a tunnel were added to the stairs (and a sidewalk and/or bike lanes added along the street by the post office back entrance), that would be amazing access to Kirkland Urban with very little car interaction					ATP_Pri_7261		
	If our goal is to get to the shores from this point on the stores to shores greenway, it makes sense to continue under the 85th, down the hill past the post office, through Peter Kirk Park and on to Marina Park. Heading north makes no sense.					ATP_Pri_3153		
	If the connection were made off of the east end of NE 135th St, it would be a matter of just adding some gravel. An existing sewer easement already provides a trail 90 % of the way from the end of 135th to the Eastrail.					Planned Citywide Connections_P24.2		
	If this is funded, it would increase use of parklands for more people. Unfunded for parks support, it may create public nuisance issues that have been seen in this greenway on occasion.					ATP_Pri_3012		
	If trees have to be removed and right-of-way acquired, go all the way with ped and bike facilities - truly separated, from vehicular traffic, perhaps as two-way path on one side of the road.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7243		
	If you mean lighting, yes please. what other enhancements?	I like it!				SRTS_CH 02		
	If you remove the unnecessary middle turn lane, 118th is wide enough to include bike lanes and a south side sidewalk that is wide enough for a regular human to walk on (especially at corner of 120th and 118th). 118th is the flattest, easiest, safest, and most comfortable connection to the CKC and therefore to 124th Ave shops and The Village. PS the street pavement condition on east end of 118th is horrible.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7331		
	I'm in favor of walking/cycling improvements on Juanita Drive and would also like to see the speed limit lowered to 30mph, matching what's been done on the Kenmore side of the hill.					LRS_P4		
	Isn't this already done?					Planned Citywide Connections_P02.3		
	Isn't this already done?	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P27.1		
	Isn't this already done?	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P02.3		
	It sucks to walk and bike on the part without sidewalks and bike lanes! At least add bike lanes on the hills where cyclists will be moving slow.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7151		
	It would be great if the sidewalk could be widened -- the mailboxes take up a ton of room and when I walk with a second person one of us often has to walk in the road. Uphill bike lane would be amazing. Maybe a mirror on that narrow blind corner so cars can see if a cyclist is ahead of them?	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3153		
	It would be really nice to have a powerline trail. We always use bicycle when going shopping to Whole Foods / Trader Joe and powerline trail would make it much easier and safer.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P03		
	It's always astonishing to me when the bike lane disappears as it approaches a busy intersection. There are creative solutions.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7021		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	It's outrageous to have a sharrow and expect cyclists riding up this insanely steep stretch of road to share the road with cars. Also there are tons of pedestrians going through this area for the dance school and workout classes so sidewalks on the side of the road with actual storefronts instead of just the public storage would be much more functional.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7482		
	Kirkland already cut a lot of big trees on that intersection.		I do not like it			TR 13900		
	Kirkland is installing a lot of bike lanes, but they are not being cleaned, are used for parking with no enforcement, and used for trash bins. A maintenance plan MUST follow implementation of bike lanes, or don't waste our money on bike lanes in name only					ATP_Pri_3332		
	Let's put roundabouts at all arterial intersections!	I like it!				Juanita_I7		
	Likely not necessary; the sidewalk here is okay, and painting a sharrow on a narrow, low-volume street like this is fine	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P04.1		
	Long overdue! This is one of the major east-west routes for bicycles through Kirkland and I think more people would use it if it had protected lanes.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7111		
	Love this	I like it!				ATP_Cat_163		
	Low priority. People walk through Michael's parking lot for free--no taxes needed.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3193		
	Low speed traffic through here does not necessitate a dedicated bicycle connection since there are sidewalks. Please focus on the scary parts of bike routes.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7141		
	Make it a complete street for everyone, we need more north/south access	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_T16		
	Make it a roundabout!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1230000		
	Make sure the bike lane itself is wide enough. Inform residents not to put their trash cans in the bike lane. Sweep the bike lane frequently as it is typically covered in tree debris	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7381		
	Makes a good route better!	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7261		
	Making the intersection larger to accommodate bike lanes will be counterproductive. Drivers will be more cautious if they are on roads that feel small and cramped. The best way to make this intersection safer for cyclists would be to eliminate separate turn lanes, not extend them.					132ndRMP_7		
	Many people use 72nd for a stroll, but there needs to be a sidewalk on the west side of the street. With many new houses in the area, there are many speeders and it becomes more dangerous. (Do NOT cut into the park and cut down trees but do use the sidewalk easements that are already in place with the properties on the west side)	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7501		
	Middle school students use this trail already, would be much better if it were official and maintained. Love it	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P52.1		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	More pavement = more vehicular traffic and more stress and less safety and comfort for peds and cyclists leading to more car dependency, i.e., induced demand for more pavement! Reverse this vicious downward spiral to more traffic and environmental/climate damage!		I do not like it			TR 13900		
	More pavement induces demand. Reduce car trips by giving more safe, comfortable, convenient, compelling walk, bike, and transit options.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3174		
	My kids bike to school and this is the more harrowing part because there is no bike lane so I encourage the kids to bike on the sidewalk since there is not much space between the parked cars and the moving cars and it's pretty busy during drop-off and pick-up when school is starting and ending. There is only one small sidewalk on the east side so its quite tricky to safely ride on the sidewalk with kids who are also walking to school. We need more safe ways to get kids to school beside cars only!	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6082		
	My kids ride their bikes to school and this stretch is extremely difficult to navigate safely. We'd love to see dedicated bike lanes that are safely separated from cars and also leave room for kids who walk to school. I've encouraged my kids to use the sidewalk to bike because it's safer than riding between parked cars and moving vehicle, especially at the busy hours before and after school, but it's difficult to do that safely as well to make sure pedestrians have enough space. I'd love to see less space for parked vehicle and more space for bikers and walkers.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7191		
	my neighbor found used needles in the stream in EM park a few years ago. I fear that adding easier access to the back of the park would only encourage / enable more undesirable behavior. Plus, to those who know, there is already access through gates into the dog park area. Unnecessary, especially without an occasional patrol summers / weekends and regular clean up of the party debris that already shows up without the easier access.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P55		
	Need additional lighting, signage, and wayfinding along this route to enhance safety.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3153		
	Need more information. What kind of alternatives? What is meant by "optimize transit operations?"		I do not like it			TIP_P11		
	Need to also make this a walking/ biking/rolling street with no motor vehicles.	I like it!				ParkLane_1		
	Need variety of access to oo denny and bikes might be good, need more details in plan					ATP_Pri_7301		
	New construction homes have minimal driveway clearance onto 79th. Adding a through way would be dangerous for both homeowners and pedestrians trying to navigate the area.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P56.2		
	No pretty landscaping, bike lanes, wide planting strips. Move transit and traffic. The decision to turn down state funding to widen 124th Ave NE years ago haunts us to this day. This roadway needs to be four through lanes from NE 85th Street to NE 124th Street (just doing it from 116th St north doesn't cut it).		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3323		
	NO ROADS ON THE TRAIL.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_T27		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	no shared use path.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7061		
	NOO!!! The city should be getting RID of ALL flashing yellows! These are so dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists!		I do not like it			NE128_P4		
	Not needed. Traffic on this road is already sparse and safe for bikes.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_60112		
	Not sure about the particular "improvements" or bollard removal. I do think improving this end of Park Lane as a public gathering space is a good idea.					ParkLane_1		
	Not sure what "Enhance crossing" means, so hard to tell if I like it or not.					SRTS_MB 04		
	Not unprotected Lefts! Especially because it is a high pedestrian intersection with the high school	I like it!				CIP_TRC1310000		
	O.O. Denny is a popular park with limited parking. Providing safe access via non-vehicular modes will reduce the parking nightmares.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7301		
	Only if the turn lane has a no right on red	I like it!				CIP_TRC1230000		
	Only supporting Pedestrian and bike facility improvements.					CIP_TRC1350000		
	Or move it to the other side of the street. Eastbound traffic has very low visibility of this crosswalk until on top of it due to the hill	I like it!				SRTS_EH 06		
	PBLs will be installed as part of the roundabout project which currently lead to/from very narrow bike lanes. This route can connect Totem Lake to the SRT via 100th/Waynita. Sidewalks on both sides are in extremely poor condition and are extremely narrow in spots. Sidewalks on NB side near 100th are often obstructed. This project has the potential to have very high impact.	I like it!				NE 132ND ST4204		
	Pedestrian bridge would be great. Car access would be even better. While my neighbors and I would love it, I can see this being a low priority item unfortunately.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P56.3		
	Please add continuous bike lanes at least eastbound along Kirkland Way! What's been added so far is great, but it really needs a bike lane between the light at 6th Street South and the truck eating bridge. Merging with traffic to go under the bridge as a cyclist can be somewhat alarming. Lowering the speed limit would be great too.	I like it!				Joel_P1		
	Please also considering connect the pedestrian path along the new lane to allow not only the bus rider but also residents and students to safely walk on the street. This is a main street for school bus to stop for picking-up/dropping-off kids and current roads doesn't provide any pedestrian path to allow kids (6yr~12yr) to safely walk back home from the drop-off/pick-up point. Also, the traffic is increased to double or triple since we have more and more new constructions done and there is a Amazon park-and-ride spot. Our neighborhood has been voice out the concern a lot with both Kirkland and Redmond city and it is being push back for a lot of reason. We are excited about hearing this news and sincerely hoping that we can leverage the on-going projects to slowly build the pedestrians for everyone to safely walk on the public space.					132ND AVE NE94		
	please choose roundabout over a signal here, signals make much less sense for non-car traffic	I like it!				CIP_TRC1420000		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Please connect ALL THE WAY THROUGH to the Bellevue/Northup section. The fact that the bike lane just disappears is dangerous and not helpful.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7121		
	Please consider digital display bus timing boards	I like it!				TIP_P11		
	Please do not add more lanes to this road. High school students frequently cross in this area. Cars can wait an extra cycle if needed during peak hours		I do not like it			SAP_COK_5		
	Please DO NOT do a shared use path. It is dangerous for peds. It puts bikes in a space that drivers are not looking for them or seeing them. Nobody likes this solution.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_6125		
	PLEASE DO NOT USE SHARED USE PATH. This is dangerous for pedestrians and for cyclists. It makes for a user unfriendly experience for everyone. This project has been "on the books" for a long time and I would love to see it built. I think it would become a destination and an opportunity for pop-up businesses and other marketing for our downtown small businesses. If lit correctly (lights in trees, string lights), it could also extend the hours of use in our downtown.	I like it!				LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD NE415		
	Please find this connector. This would make pedestrian travel from the neighborhood to Juanita beach significantly more convenient and eliminate the need for children traveling to the park to use busier arterial roads.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P32.2		
	Please give higher priority to people crossing the street at 85th, that light takes FOREVER when you're on foot / bike.					ATP_Pri_3163		
	Please keep the pedestrian-priority crossings in downtown that don't force us to wait for traffic lights -- we should make walking faster and easier than driving.					ATP_Cr_34		
	Please light the sidewalks at both ends of crosswalks. Nobody can see people waiting to cross. If you are going to put a crosswalk across 68th at 112th, there has to be an island	I like it!				ATP_Cr_21		
	Please remove mid-block pedestrian crossing at Park Lane once scramble complete	I like it!				CIP_TRC1370000		
	Please run more busses through The Village	I like it!				StaffRec_3		
	Please run the 255 and 239 through Totem Lake Village	I like it!				TIP_P10_2		
	Please use alternate parallel route. Current residents enjoy the less busy and quieter aspect of this secluded neighborhood.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3153		
	Please widen the sidewalks with this, especially on the northern side of the street	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7161		
	Probably not necessary; I think narrowing the street a bit and adding a sharrow is more than enough for this short stretch	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7161		
	Protected bike lanes here would be fantastic.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7363		
	Protected bike lanes please					LRS_P5		
	Protected bike lanes please! This is the main way to get to the ckc when biking south from Finn Hill	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7151		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Protected lanes, please! Can these also be considered multimodal lanes instead of bike lanes only - please consider multiple types of road users who may be traveling at different speeds (bike, ped, scooter, wheelchair, stroller)	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3282		
	Raised crosswalks and other obstacles for cars may be more efficient. I do not see how the protection of bike lanes will happen here and they certainly will not be cohesive with the rest of the bike network. If they do (dependent on future development) we're just gonna be in that stage of protected bike lanes popping in and out of existence for an indeterminate period of time. Focus on more critical parts of the bike network.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7161		
	Reduce speed limit on Juanita Drive to 30 mph. Keep bike lanes clear of debris, parking, over grown vegetation and trash bins.					LRS_P4		
	Redundant with BRT station pedestrian path		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7451		
	Remove the parking lane on the west side and put in a Two-way bike lane for the whole corridor from Carrillon Point to the new scramble downtown!	I like it!				LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD NE415		
	Remove the traffic lights and use a roundabout so as to keep traffic moving.		I do not like it			132ndRMP_7		
	Removing precious parking for infrequent bike use is inappropriate. Only a very few bikes use Market St. Bikes should and do use less congested routes to downtown such as 2nd Pl (from 3rd Ave), 3rd St, 4th St, and 5th St.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3351		
	Restricted left turns onto NE 100th Street is one of the worst thought-out traffic management solutions I've seen. Now, you're forcing cars onto other side streets and out to 124th Ave NE at intersections with no traffic signals. You've also created a four-way stop where few, if any, cars actually stop anymore. On NE 100th Street you'll see cars that don't even tap the brakes once as they blow through this "protected" intersection at 128th Ave NE.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3163		
	RFBs are too expensive for the benefit they give. Drivers often ignore them. Traffic calming measures should be applied		I do not like it			CIP_NMC08720		
	Right turns from 6th St onto NE 68th are not very congested now, so a new right turn packet is unnecessary. Bike boxes cause real confusion and uncertainty for drivers and bikers and should not be built (note the failure of the bike box at 100th Ave and 116th St in South Juanita). The most serious problem at this intersection is the lack of right turn flow from WB NE 68th St to NB 6th St which causes severe backups onto NE 68th St. Nothing in the proposal addresses this problem, which will grow much worse, both in the near term with the 85th St/I-405 interchange construction, and in the longer term permanently with the reconfiguration of that interchange which will divert more I-405 traffic bound for central Kirkland onto WB NE 68th St and NB 6th St.		I do not like it			LRS_P5		

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Right turns from SB 3rd St onto WB Park Lane is key to accessing businesses on Park Ln. Preventing this right turn will increase traffic at the unprotected intersection of Central Wy and Main St (especially the left turn from WB Central onto SB Main St), the traffic light at 3rd St and Kirkland Wy, and the intersection of Main St and Kirkland Wy. Do not implement this project. The current crossing works.		I do not like it			TIP_P5		
	Rise the Level of the walk. It is 25 mph limit but everybody drives 40 mph because the road design allows it.	I like it!				CIP_NMC1310000		
	Roundabout!	I like it!				Juanita_I4_1		
	Roundabout!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1340000		
	Roundabout!		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1330000		
	Roundabouts are not suitable for intersections with this level of service, or this close to (long) traffic lights (120th Ave & 85th St). Long queues and delays are almost guaranteed during peak and shoulder hours. Or traffic lights will be needed at roundabout entrances (as they have in the UK) to avoid gridlock near the interchange.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7312		
	Roundabouts do not work. The ones one 132nd are a perfect example. 132nd is gridlocked all the time now because of them. No more of these fails.		I do not like it			Juanita_I7		
	Seems like a great opportunity for a roundabout!	I like it!				Juanita_I3		
	Seems like it would actually be terrible in snow and icy conditions we get on the hill					StaffRec_5		
	Seems low priority. The local streets are already safe. Walking under power lines is unattractive. In the northern section, people won't walk down a hill to get to an unattractive trail.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P03		
	Sidewalks and bicycle lanes needed here!	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7461		
	Slater Avenue NE from NE 100th St southward still has a patchwork of sidewalks, especially on the east side of the street.					ATP_Pri_7292		
	Stickers, trees, and bushes encroach on section just south of 134th. Width and brush control would be appreciated. Make sure the path still works for bicyclists, i.e., some amount of rock okay (like current) but not deeper.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7174		
	Stop making intersections bigger - more stressful for pedestrians and cyclists, induces demand for driving.		I do not like it			SAP_COK_5		
	Street parking should be removed and through traffic discouraged. In addition to the current layout causing issues for transit, it causes a lot of conflict between drivers, bikes, and pedestrians.	I like it!				StaffRec_3		
	such a short segment of protected bike lane that does not connect to anything is useless.		I do not like it			NE128_P7		
	Sure, some small amount of traffic calming would be helpful. It generally feels safe for bicyclists, though.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7172		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	Sync the lights between the intersections with Juanita at 98th Ave NE and 97 Ave NE. There are long backups at both lights in the morning and afternoon due to these lights being out of sync.					LRS_P4		
	Take vehicle space: narrow the lanes, reduce the speed limit.					ATP_Pri_3306		
	Test					ATP_Pri_7152		
	That would be a nice way to get from north neighborhoods to park without walking on busy streets!	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P32.2		
	The bike lane going west is frequently shared by walkers and runners. I think protecting this in a way that bikers and peds can share safely would be great. Peds also go both ways (east and west) in this current bike lane. There is basically no bike lane going east (very small shoulder) so most bikers have to take the lane and hope that cars notice.					ATP_Pri_7151		
	The block just before 99th connects to 131st is the priority. It's neither safe for bicyclists or walkers. The southern part of 99th feels safe so that's lower priority.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_8006		
	The crossing here is really dangerous as-is with the guidance and signs to cross at the intersection. For bikers and pedestrians, going all the way down to the cross walk is a significant detour. As a driver, there aren't really any indicators that someone may ignore the signs and cross here anyway. Definitely something to prioritize!	I like it!				CKC_P1		
	The existing EB approach to the intersection has the potential to impair EB fire apparatus access to 124th Ave NE. The traffic signal at 124th Ave NE & NE 132nd St MUST function to dismiss all traffic from the EB left turn lane and freeze all traffic at that intersection from entering it. The EB left turn lane thus becomes a clear fire lane for equipment leaving new fire station 27. Until that is done and working consistently, don't even think of adding a fourth lane of traffic.					CIP_TRC0960000		
	The left turning traffic hardly backs up. I do almost once a week almost get hit trying to go north through this intersection in my bike, none of the right turning traffic want to let me through,					NE128_P9		
	The line drawn on the map is wonky and it looks like the park is already indirectly connected to 132nd. If the connection is through private property, easements should be made.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P55		
	The missing section of bike lanes on 124th Ave would be really beneficial, it's not very safe to ride there between the Safeway and 160th St. Additional crossings are needed as well, I see a lot of people (including kids getting to the bus stop) needing to run across in the dark	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3263		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	The neighborhood does not like the proposed route for this greenway with the proposed bi-directional wide multimodal path on the west side of Market and blocking southbound Market traffic from turning right onto 2nd St. W. There are safety concerns about the bi-directional pathway and too much negative impact to the residents by blocking right turns from Market onto 2nd St. W and the attendant loss of on-street parking. Would be far better to cross at 11th Ave and continue the greenway down 3rd St W to Waverly.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3152		
	The only problem with Kirkland making repairs is that you'll put in needlessly large sidewalks and shrink the vehicle lanes to a dangerous low width below 10 feet wide. Then you don't measure and declare victory while we risk head on collisions daily. Fix it, don't mess with anything else.	I like it!				ST 10200		
	The right hand turn lane that was added is great and helps with school traffic, but the fence installed along the newly constructed hill is a joke and unsafe. It wriggles and could easily be toppled over.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC0940000		
	The roadway between NE 70th Pl and NE 60th St is fairly wide already. If bike lanes are going to be added, they should be added down 132nd to NE 24th St, but maybe that turns into Bellevue somewhere along there.					ATP_Pri_7021		
	The sidewalks on NE 132nd st are in a state of disrepair and need to be replaced ASAP.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3083		
	The signals at the tollway entrances and exits frequently prevent the capacity necessary to take traffic during the current northbound left turn phase. If additional time is allotted to traffic turning left, the intersection of TLB NE & NE 128th will be blocked by stopped left turn traffic even more than now.					NE128_P4		
	The solution is fairly simple: Remove street parking on 120th and voila, there is plenty of room for buses!	I like it!				StaffRec_3		
	The stated plan is great but is really lofty. What can be done in the short or mid term?		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7243		
	The Stores to Shores Greenway is a great addition to Kirkland's Greenways, but to make this part of the route safer for pedestrians and cyclists, additional lighting is needed here as well as traffic calming measures on this curve on 112th - cars frequently speed around the corner, it is heavily wooded/dark, and hard to see peds or bikes.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3153		
	The Totem Lake Transit Center is completely insufficient to server Kingsgate residents. It takes upwards of 20-30 minutes to walk down the hill from the neighborhood if 930 DART is not running or recently passed, and transferring from 255 to 930 is not an option due to 930's infrequent schedule. Restoring the 255 route to continue into the Kingsgate neighborhood, as it did prior to 2020's transit schedule curtailment, would dramatically expand transit access to Kingsgate residents.					TIP_P10_2		
	The traffic signals at 68th and at Google/9th Pl already cause too much traffic backup along this route. We do not need more traffic signals. We need to improve the timing of the two that already are there.		I do not like it			TR 12900		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	The traffic signals at 68th and at Google/9th Pl already cause too much traffic backup along this route. We do not need more traffic signals. We need to improve the timing of the two that already are there.		I do not like it			PTC0040000		
	There are already too many signals on 6th Street between Kirkland Ave and 68th and it causes too much of a backup during high traffic times.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1280000		
	There are already too many traffic lights in this area.		I do not like it			TIP_P1		
	There are too many signals within a span of few metres. This needs to go.	I like it!				SAP_COK_P11		
	there is a gate 1 block N. Just open that instead of spending hundreds of thousands of dollars.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P37.2		
	There is already a signal at South Kirkland Park and Ride. What is actually proposed here?		I do not like it			TIP_P1		
	There is already a traffic signal at this intersection. What is actually proposed here?		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1310000		
	there is limited pedestrian access along this road, which dies out mid road. I would extend safe sidewalk/pathway before I would do pedestrian lighting. This road does need street and bike lighting along here, especially at and before the southbound bus/bike merge point. BUT, there may be some environmental impacts with lighting an area that is an important migratory bird and bat area.		I do not like it			SP_33		
	There is little through traffic SB Market into Lakeshore Plaza parking and Marina Park. Most all traffic turns left onto Central Way. It's easy to get across this crosswalk and. Need to preserve both street parking and trailer access to the boat ramp which would be made unsafe by "bump outs" in this area.		I do not like it			CIP_NMC0062120_1		
	There is no need for a raised crosswalk here. There is plenty of visibility of crossing pedestrians and flashing beacons for the crosswalk already exist. Plus, we do not need to make navigating that part of downtown any more difficult than it already is by eliminating the ability to make any turns. The reference to preventing northbound right turns to Park Lane does not make sense since the only possible way to turn right onto Park Lane from 3rd is by southbound traffic.		I do not like it			TIP_P5		
	There is no reason for a roundabout at this location. There is also not enough room for large trucks or trucks towing trailers (boats, car trailers, landscapers, movers) to pass if a roundabout is installed. No. Don't do this.		I do not like it			StaffRec_5		
	There's no room on 104th for improvements to walking or biking unless you are proposing filling in ditches. Beware the side effects of what you do. Already, traffic prefers 120th to 107th to go down the hill, to avoid the speed bumps on 104th.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7201		
	This appears to connect directly to private property in High Woodlands HOA.					Planned Citywide Connections_P56.3		
	This area is very pedestrianized, so vehicle traffic should be slowed and not prioritized.	I like it!				TIP_P5		
	This area of Holmes point has lots of walkers and bikes, but no sidewalks. It is extremely dangerous.					ATP_Pri_7301		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	This crosswalk is very dark. I cannot understand why lighting has not already been improved.					SRTS_EH 05		
	This does not serve people who are serious bicycle commuters. This route probably shines on the Strava heatmap because of the 7 Hills of Kirkland bike ride. Very few bicycle commuters are actually using this route. It is for leisure.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7301		
	This doesn't seem necessary - the crosswalk is fine as is					TIP_P5		
	This has already been installed.					CIP_NMC0062120_3		
	This has needed improvement for a long time, especially the driveway consolidation. But I don't understand the need for southbound right turn pocket on 6th St S.. I'd much rather have some improvements at 106th and 68th, possibly a signal?	I like it!				LRS_P5		
	This has the ability to connect the CKC to downtown and to the 85th St Station. Doing it on 5th would be a much more pleasurable experience than if the bike/Ped path was on Central/85th and it would be cheaper and it would be less disruptive to build.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7261		
	This intersection is very busy and drivers often ignore pedestrians waiting to cross. I have been almost hit multiple times despite using the crossing flags and wearing high visibility clothing. Middle and elementary school kids cross here and are not always careful to make sure drivers stop. The intersection needs flashing, pedestrians lights.	I like it!				SRTS_FH 25		
	This intersection needs to be paved so badly! The road base is disintegrating.	I like it!				CIP_TRC1370000		
	This is a high volume street during pickup/dropoff times. If it's part of the Greenway network, it should be made a one-way street and discourage use for school traffic.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3053		
	This is a safety hazard. Steep terrain. Unmonitored pathway right next to family homes. This should be scrapped from the city plans.					Planned Citywide Connections_P37		
	This is a sensitive wetland, we need to keep people out of it, not build a path through it!		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P30		
	This is a very bad section for bikes and pedestrians. I don't understand the project description					LRS_P4		
	This is an expensive project that doesn't connect to the CKC and is along a busy road. Make the 5th St. path instead!		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7311		
	This is an important project, but it does not need bridge widening if one or two of the eastbound lanes are eliminated. This might be feasible if the intersection east of the overpass were converted to a roundabout.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7103		
	This is an unsafe crossing. People, including school children, who need to access the neighborhood off or 94th Ave NE are faced with the choice to walk on the path to the unsafe crossing at 94th Ave NE or walk on the downhill /SB/EB side of 90th Ave NE/NE 131st Way/NE 132nd St where there is no shoulder, and guardrails or vegetation along the driving lane for most of the stretch.	I like it!				SRTS_FH 07		

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	This is desperately needed. The lighting frequently doesn't work at this location and it makes crossing the street quite hazardous, especially as cars pick up speed going down the hill at 108th Ave and frequently hit speeds of 40-45 mphs. Over the past 7 years I've been using this crosswalk, I've almost been hit 10+ times. Crossing flags don't work well if there's no light to illuminate them.	I like it!				SRTS_CH 03		
	This is gonna be a big undertaking to replace that ~14% grade spiral on the west side, please prioritize trail/street connections at the 85th/405 project area unless the state wants to pump money into replacing/improving this		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7441		
	This is half a block from another pedestrian crossing. Too many are confusing to drivers and increase danger.		I do not like it			SRTS_FH 08		
	This is missing link for bicyclists traveling 100th south to "downtown" Juanita. Currently have to get on the narrow sidewalk for a couple of blocks.	I like it!				JoeL_P6		
	This is my street, we've lived here since 1994. While yes, I believe improvements are needed at this intersection, I don't feel a roundabout is the best solution for this one. 138th is slightly downhill from the more westerly portion of 138th/72nd, and that section of 138th gets very icy when it's below freezing. Sometimes stopping can be a bit dicey at that intersection and while I've always been able to stop, sometimes barely, preparing to deal with the icy road and then needing to enter a roundabout seems very stressful. I'd much rather see a triggered light there. Thank you for considering change here, it's taking longer and longer to get out. Please also consider sidewalks along 72nd Ave NE. Many new multi million dollars homes have been built in the past few years here, people are not driving the speed limit and we have many walkers of all ages.		I do not like it			Juanita_I7		
	This is needed. That road is 25mph and No One does the speed limit there on top of all the drunks rolling out of the casino right there.					SRTS_EH 06		
	this is not an all ages or abilities hill. There are already pavement markings and speed bumps on this route		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_60112		
	This is not necessary and will interfere with traffic on 108th. A dedicated center lane for merging those turning left from 53rd (either direction) onto 108th would provide the needed improvements.		I do not like it			TR 12900		
	This is private property!!!					SAP_COK_265		
	This is putting bike infrastructure into car hell. Provide alternate connection. There will always be a ton of car traffic into Costco, and the close proximity to 405 makes this not a great corridor for bikes.		I do not like it			SAP_COK_20		
	This is steeper in parts than Simonds and doesn't connect to other biking lanes/greenways		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3002		
	This is super critical. I would not choose to bike this at night or in the winter as it is right now	I like it!				SP_33		
	This needs to be a high priority project. The sidewalk is in a state of disrepair as trees are uprooting the sidewalks. It is just not ADA compliant, it is getting close to not being useable by anyone. One of these days, someone will trip over and will result in a lawsuit with the city.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3083		

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	This needs to be fully separated from car traffic (not immediately adjacent to NE 85th St) and bikes and peds separated from each other.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_7311		
	This only makes sense to me if you're planning on southern access to the Lee Johnson property, as was planned for the Google development. Absent that, I'm not sure I see the benefit. Is it to reduce speed on this street, where few go 25mph? If so, I guess I'm OK with it, but there may be lower-cost treatments to reduce speed.					SAP_COK_P7		
	This path is used for school children as a safe walking route. Opening up to traffic will only decrease safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P56.2		
	This project design is disruptive to new residential middle-market housing developments in progress and the commercial area from 7th Ave to 9th Ave along Market St. Re-routing this greenway to cross Market St at 11th Ave would be better for the neighborhood, increase the number of people with convenient greenway access, increase the number of convenient destinations off the greenway, improve traffic calming throughout the neighborhood, and provide an overall superior greenway design. However, the designers didn't think of this approach, don't understand how Market and Norkirk neighborhood transportation actually works, and didn't bother to ask the people who live, work, and play in these neighborhoods, so the better approach died.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_3152		
	This project is redundant. There is already emergency access to the Highlands neighborhood.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_T16		
	this project should extend the road from NE Totem Lake Way to NE 116th Pl, not just be a trail/path extension					ATP_Pri_7281		
	This road is plenty wide (and fast!) to take vehicle space for protected bicycle facilities.					ATP_Pri_3283		
	This route goes uphill a bit to connect to 94th. I favor it, though, because an alternate encroaches too much because so many homes are tight together.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P53.1		
	This section of 116th from NE 60th to 70th Pl NE should have a 25mph limit to fit this now very urban residential street.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7181		
	This section of Holmes point is very unstable and threatening to collapse into Juanita Woodlands ravine. Please fix the road before making it wider.					Holmes_5		
	This segment of bike lane should be moved up in priority for protected bike lanes. The steep hill, high traffic speeds and frequent debris in bike lanes makes this a particularly dangerous stretch of road. Currently there is no bike route from Kingsgate to Totem Lake village that I feel comfortable traveling with children on, which is a real shame.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3283		
	This street is way too wide. Use some the space to improve bike facilities now.					ATP_Pri_7133		
	This transit project seems to also include quite a bit of non-transit, non transportation items: stormwater improvements, undergrounding utilities. Although there are efficiencies of including several improvements into one street closure (ie only ripping up the street once), I worry that not having funding for all of it may delay other funded parts. In other words I hope the lack of transit funding/ROW access won't hold back improvements to stormwater or utilities.	I like it!				PTC0040000		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	This would provide a very useful connection between North Juanita and Kingsgate. An overpass for cars might also be beneficial depending on projected traffic.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P28		
	This wrecks the nearby businesses, especially at 2nd St W & Market abd 801 Market.		I do not like it			SRTS_NK 09		
	THIS!!! Making Lake Washington Boulevard would be a visionary transformation of our waterfront, serving as an INCREDIBLE public resource and draw for tourists. Wherever these are, people use them! It could include allowance of small pop-up businesses targeted at walkers during summer months. Bike safety along Lake Washington Boulevard is poor -- I've nearly been hit and doored -- and crossing as a pedestrian is also frankly scary because cars are *flying*. Prioritize bikes and people walking over a handful of parking spaces!	I like it!				LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD NE415		
	Those blackberry bushes are REALLY annoying. I don't walk there during school start/end, which are the only times I could guess more width might help. Is that a problem?	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7173		
	Too big of road with very little safety for bikers and pedestrians.	I like it!				SRTS_JN 05		
	Totally okay with removal of parking.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3071		
	Trail up to Highlands neighborhood is too steep for many uses. Create a more gentle trail starting a SW corner of Cotton Hill Park and ending at the NE corner of the park.					ATP_Pri_3231		
	Tree roots are ruining some parts of the existing bike lane forcing bicyclists to swerve into traffic lane. Please do a general review of city streets for usable bike lanes.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7243		
	Unfortunately this is already under way - a much better solution would have been roundabouts like what has been done on 132nd. That should do a much better job of limiting backups that extend in all directions, including around the corner onto 145th.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1350000		
	Very low priority. An interesting idea because it gives another option for neighborhood walking. Very local, though.	I like it!				Planned Citywide Connections_P53.1		
	Visibility here is awful. Lighting will help.					SRTS_EH 07		
	We are striving to make this area more people-oriented, and adding a lane only works against that objective.		I do not like it			132ND AVE NE94		
	We clearly need a safe pedestrian/bike lane on Forbes Creek Road , to allow safe passage to Market St. and the wetlands park I don't feel safe going there in my wheelchair and have seen many accidents there in the 26 years I've lived on 108th ave. NE. We also have more and more density, and lots more pedestrians, but that also means more cars. Too many speeders on FCR make getting to Market a bet I can't afford. My quality of life and freedom to go to shops etc. would be ENTIRELY enhanced by my mobility to safely go where I want,					ATP_Pri_3141		
	We do not need street lights along this road. It is not a city street and doesn't need to be treated like one. Please don't mess with one of the few areas of Kirkland that still has a bit of country feel!		I do not like it			SP_33		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	We need a safe crossing here. An at-grade crossing will not provide good pedestrian safety because it's at-grade and the road is so busy and so many pedestrians and bikes will be using the corridor here in the future. This crossing needs to be above or below road grade. I know that's expensive, but this will be a really busy crossing - both peds/bikes and vehicles.		I do not like it			CKC_P1		
	We really need a biking/walking North/South corridor that is not 100th Ave NE - enabling North Juanita neighbors to get to the South Juanita Commercial district/ the Lake Parks. This could be a crucial connection					Planned Citywide Connections_P32.2		
	We should not be building more vehicular capacity in what we're aiming to nurture to become a more people-oriented place.		I do not like it			TR 13900		
	We should not be widening roads in places like this that we're aiming to become a more people-oriented place.		I do not like it			SAP_COK_4		
	what are stop bars?	I like it!				ATP_Cr_13		
	what are the improvements?					CIP_NMC1380000		
	What does "as development occurs" mean here? There's no prospect for redevelopment that will provide more ROW. To get protected bike lanes the city will need to (and should) sacrifice space for vehicles. Narrower lanes.					ATP_Pri_3261		
	What does it mean to have transit signal priority on a 3 lane roadway? This is confusing.					NE 132ND ST4204		
	What does this really mean, based on the amount of construction the city of Kirkland is allowing in these neighborhoods is mind-blowing. With the amount of construction between dividing lots and clearing trees for new construction faster than you can blink I would hope the city would reinvest some of the tax dollars to fund neighborhood projects, like this one which shows "Unfunded?"					ATP_Pri_3183		
	What is an example of a kirkland neighborhood Greenway? Unfamiliar term so hard to know if it is a good idea or not					ATP_Pri_7501		
	What needs to be improved?		I do not like it			ATP_Cr_34		
	What would traffic markings, calming, and control measures look like along these identified streets?					ATP_Pri_3183		
	What? We have been trying, through NSP, to get sidewalks on this route for many years. We have been told that it can only be done with development of homes along the route, despite it being a school walk route. What is different now?					ATP_Pri_3253		
	What's needed are left turn lanes from 132nd Ave (both directions). A signal would just drive backups on 132nd Ave, making it more challenging to enter/exit/cross 132nd anywhere else along this stretch.		I do not like it			CIP_TRC1380000		
	What's really needed is 3 lanes each direction on 85th St between 120th Ave and 124th Ave. With busses stopping and frequent right turns (especially if ped traffic increases as envisioned) the right lane will become very unreliable, shifting most drivers to the left, increasing queues that extend into intersections (a current problem), and the associated safety, pollution, and general impatience of drivers.					StaffRec_8		

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	What's the suggestion for 137th from 98th to 100th? The root cause feels like drivers going too fast, esp. down the hill. Definitely more than 25 mph. The south sidewalk is almost wide enough for 2 parties to pass each other. Walking on north shoulder is scary.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7174		
	While a sidewalk is planned on the east side of Juanita Drive, they are proposing to cut 120 trees for this sidewalk. That is incredible damage of the ecosystem.	I like it!				LRS_P4		
	While I appreciate the intention of giving multiple route options for best response time, I am concerned that creating an emergency response route in this location will just be the beginning of pressure from the city to open the street to all traffic, creating a through street where there is none in an area that is not meant for or built for through traffic. I am also concerned that creating an emergency response route that crosses CKC could create a dangerous intersection between pedestrians, bikers and emergency response vehicles.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_T16		
	Who designed all these lanes and road markings? EVERY SINGLE TIME, I make a left from Central Way westbound onto 6th Street, a car also turning left in the outer lane panics and nearly plows into my car because of the bike lane and forced right turn. This is a highly confusing configuration within a short block.		I do not like it			ATP_Pri_6125		
	why add a bike lane? and take away from a very busy road that could use more space for the cars. This is a very rainy area and bikes are not popular on those days. Most people use this road to get to and from work.					SAP_COK_18e		
	Why doesn't this extend all the way east to 124th Ave NE?	I like it!				NE128_P7		
	Why is this not being done with the major development along here?	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7321		
	Widen the road for bike lanes and sidewalks for safety walking to the school bus stops and people in general.					ATP_Pri_7501		
	Widening the road for vehicle traffic induces more vehicle traffic and reduces safety for vulnerable road users (cyclists and pedestrians). Focus on making our transportation system more comfortable and compelling for non vehicular trips and there will be less traffic, thus less demand for turn lanes, making trips safer for bikes and peds and faster for those who must drive.		I do not like it			Juanita_I6		
	Widening the roadway will make the sidewalk less pedestrian friendly. Many, many, many people walk along 108th. Transit queue jumps will not help traffic flow along 108th because of the characteristics of the traffic (the causes of bottlenecks and the way busses use the road and make stops) when it's really congested (pre-pandemic). The problem on 108th is the growing Kirkland Urban Google offices and it will get way worse once the now-empty completed new office buildings are used. Our City planners have hugely over-developed the Kirkland Urban area with far too many offices that can't be supported by the infrastructure. Queue jumps and traffic lights won't make any difference in the future. Traffic is OK now as-is without any new traffic lights and queue jumps.		I do not like it			PTC0040000		
	will be incredibly expensive. there are more important projects to use limited budgets on.		I do not like it			Planned Citywide Connections_P28		

Webmap comments related to identified planned projects or other suggestions

Threads	Comment	Up Votes	Down Votes	Latitude	Longitude	Project	Category Primary	Category Secondary
	With the proposed changes at 85th St and 120th Ave, a much longer left turn lane will be needed from WB 80th St to Lake Wa HS.	I like it!				CIP_TRC1310000		
	Would like to see the uphill climbing section for bikes and pedestrians fully protected instead of just buffered.	I like it!				Holmes_4		
	Wouldn't it be cheaper to do the 5th street connection? That would also connect to the CKC					ATP_Pri_7311		
	Yes please! This is unpleasant to bike on.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3282		
	Yes please. Especially on the north side (downhill) of 68th. Cars routinely cut into the bike lane, especially at the curve of 110th and 68th. In the meantime, can we get the green lanes across 110/111/112th to remind turning drivers that there is a bike lane.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7102		
	Yes please. This stretch of downhill is treacherous because it's naturally fast with several driveways and intersections with cars turning into the road	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3283		
	YES! complete the bike lane from Kenmore. Yay yay yay!	I like it!				ATP_Pri_7421		
	Yes, now your priorities are in alignment with what the community wants - more safe active transportation infrastructure, less vehicular infrastructure.	I like it!				ATP_Pri_3072		
	Yes, please. Biking up this right now is difficult. You're either in the narrow car lane with impatient motorists wanting to drive by at 35 mph or on the sidewalk making pedestrians angry. A bike lane (ideally separated by more than paint) would be fantastic					ATP_Pri_3071		
	YES. This road is begging for protected bike lanes. Take the left turn lane away from the cars if you need to.					ATP_Pri_3261		
	You don't need a flashing light on 9th Ave. when you have one on 7th Ave. In actuality on the West side of Market it's only 1 1/2 blocks to 7th. Put the flashing light further up the hill.					SRTS_NK 09		

Public Comments Received to Date:

The following table lists transportation related public comments received to date through various forms such as from focus groups, emails, letters, workshops, neighborhood meetings, etc. These comments were received by the City through the Kirkland 2044 Comprehensive Plan update process or specifically to transportation staff about the Transportation Strategic Plan.

To see all public comments received to date for the entire 2044 Comprehensive Plan, these can be found on Kirkland's webpage:

https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/13/planning-amp-building/kirkland-2044-comp-plan/2022.04-19-2024.05.14_public-comment-tracker_k2044.pdf

To read individual letters or emails, these have all been provided on the 2044 Comprehensive Plan webpage:

[kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/13/planning-amp-building/kirkland-2044-comp-plan/2022.12.06-2024.05.14_public-comments_k2044.pdf](https://www.kirklandwa.gov/files/sharedassets/public/v/13/planning-amp-building/kirkland-2044-comp-plan/2022.12.06-2024.05.14_public-comments_k2044.pdf)

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Accessibility	Ensure the transportation is inclusive for people of all ages/abilities
	Accessibility	7 comments concerned about accessibility
	Accessibility	Concern that car-inaccessibility will compound mobility challenges for those who rely on mobility aids
5/1/2023	Accessibility	Additionally, consider that people with lower incomes may be forced to drive because they live farther away from amenities due to housing costs or underinvestment in transit.
5/1/2023	Accessibility	keep options for seniors in mind - eventually people will be older and not able to use bicycles
5/1/2023	Accessibility - e-bikes	options as a senior - ebikes not a great longterm option
5/1/2023	Accessibility - multimodal options	ensure that disabled and aging community members are able to safely move without driving.
5/1/2023	Accessibility - multimodal options	prioritize all ages and abilities bike and ped infrastructure
5/1/2023	Active transportation network	East-west connections for multimodal networks
5/1/2023	Active transportation network	Ensure the safety of pedestrians and cyclists through sidewalk connections, maintenance, connected and protected bike lanes, and separated pathways.
5/1/2023	Active transportation network	High Quality Active Transportation Infrastructure
5/1/2023	Active transportation network	High Quality Active Transportation Infrastructure
11/15/2023	Active transportation network	identify/create safe connections from Goodwill to all nbh schools
11/29/2023	Active transportation network	More accessibility to greenspace via bike and walking networks
	Active transportation network	15 comments about sidewalk/crosswalk and bike lane safety on 124th Ave
2/3/2024	Bicycle Infrastructure	I am writing to express my concern with the lack of bicycle infrastructure along 98th/100th Ave NE between NE 124th ST and NE 116th ST. This road is a connection between the stretch of 100th Ave NE which has bicycle lanes, to Juanita and onto downtown Kirkland. Bicyclists have to choose between riding on the road with fast-moving traffic, or on the sidewalk, which is too narrow and inadequate for pedestrians as is. Are there any plans to improve this corridor for bicyclists/pedestrians? If so, adding protective bollards would also be good.
4/22/2023	Bicycling	2044 Vision Statement for bikes (see Janice for video of her speaking)
5/1/2023	Bicycling	bikes, e-bikes, cargo bikes
5/1/2023	Bicycling construction detours	construction site safety for biking
5/1/2023	Bike infrastructure	better bike parking
5/1/2023	Bike infrastructure	Safe bike infrastructure
6/21/2023	Bike infrastructure	Create bicycle signals at intersections with bike lanes, and require leading bicycle interval and leading pedestrian interval at all intersections.
7/31/2023	Bike infrastructure	Require more bike racks (that are sized right for e-bikes with larger tires) and rack spaces at front of businesses where the visibility is high.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
8/24/2024	Bike infrastructure	To Planning Commissioners I just watched this video (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JLLyS8x1gZo) about a fight over some bike lanes in Montreal. "What does that have to do with Kirkland?" you might ask. Good question. I think there are some really strong parallels with the meeting the video narrator describes and what's happening here in Kirkland. It's honestly kind of eerie. I realize it is transportation in this case versus housing in our case, but the ideas of "process vs. substance", grievances vs solutions, and especially the "why are you all clapping for the guy saying all bike lanes should be stopped if you support bike lanes but just wanted to be more involved in the decision/design?" seem to be common to both cases. I heard many people applauding folks saying that LU-2.4 should be completely stricken, as opposed to modified, scoped, or re-thought. I think this belies the true agenda of folks claiming a lack of transparency or a problem with the processes we have gone through/will go through. I would encourage you to watch this video, especially if you might approach a conversation about adding a bike lane differently than you would approach one about adding more housing to our neighborhoods. I think there's something to learn from it. In my head I could not avoid thinking of the analogous arguments being made, "adding a bike lane will make the street more dangerous" -> "adding density will make housing more expensive" "people don't bike in this neighborhood" -> "people don't take transit in this neighborhood" "it makes my car trip a longer distance" -> "more people might park on my street" and so forth. The ideas about missing the big picture and instead focusing on one single place in which one aspect of life will change for the worse are also common to both cases. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JLLyS8x1gZo
6/21/2023	Bike lane construction detours	Create bicycle detours alongside vehicular detours for construction; when bike lanes simply end, cars are prioritized and cyclists are unsafe.
6/21/2023	Bike lanes	Measure cyclist safety using LTS Metric; strive to create LTS 1 (separated bike lanes); use LTS 2 (buffered) bike lanes to increase connectivity.
10/23/2023	Bike lanes	Better bike infrastructure from schools to Totem Lake
10/25/2023	Bike lanes	wants increased safety for bike lanes
11/1/2023	Bike lanes	Need sharrows for bike lanes
11/8/2023	Bike lanes	Improve bike infrastructure along arterials
11/15/2023	Bike lanes	Connect bike lanes along 98th/100th-- abrupt bike lane end is unsafe
	Bike lanes	12 comments asking for improved/increased bike infrastructure
1/26/2023	bike parking	expand the placement of bikelink cages around the city bus stops
7/31/2023	Bike parking	Encourage map providers like Waze, Google and others to put bicycle parking on their applications as they do for automobile parking.
7/31/2023	Bike parking	Encourage the use of a bicycle concierge service for public events and offer the service when issuing Kirkland Event permits.
7/31/2023	Bike parking	We need more bicycle parking for customers at businesses. It is often lacking, and the number of racks don't accomodate modern bicycles such as electric that have larger tires.
1/6/2023	Bus service	increase bus connection and service
5/1/2023	Bus service	less investment in public transit, in outlying neighborhoods. Kingsgate used to be better. More frequent buses
5/1/2023	Bus service	more bus routes
5/1/2023	Bus service	need to make it easier, finn hill has only one bus
5/24/2023	Bus service	I see it often between 8 and 9 am in downtown, it is mostly empty. Maybe before or after, I don't know. But after they rerouted 255 and it is not going through DT Seattle, it became pretty much useless
6/13/2023	Bus service	Can the City provide more funding for bus service?
6/13/2023	Bus service	Finn Hill is underserved by King County metro and routes continue to be cut. Is the City pushing back/doing enough to advocate for our bus lines?
6/13/2023	Bus service	Seniors are impacted when they no longer can drive and need to take the bus, yet bus service is being cut
	Bus service	Ensure reliable transit to Seattle; 257 and 311 frequently unreliable weekday mornings
5/24/2023	Bus service	Bus service has been unfortunately cut in Kirkland. During peak travel times many busses going to Seattle are standing room only.
5/24/2023	Bus service	I'm curious how often members of city council are taking buses. Maybe they should, to see that buses are riding almost empty and just make the traffic worse.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
6/13/2023	Bus service - routes	South Rose Hill resident is devastated her bus routes have been cut and King County is selling the Houghton Park and Ride lot. She thinks the City needs to retain bus service to that area even if an aquatic center is there. She wants bus service back in her neighborhood. It takes her 2 hours to get to South Lake Union by bus today.
	Bus service - routes	Increase bus service to Seattle
6/13/2023	Bus service - routes	Wishes the 255 bus route would come back.
8/21/2023	Bus service - routes	City of Kirkland did not fight hard enough for the city to retain our bus network and buses directly to downtown seattle. Transferring to light rail is difficult for seniors. It's ridiculous how many bus lines/service were cut.
8/30/2023	Bus service - routes	Jawad and his family wish that the 255 followed the old route so they could ride directly from downtown Kirkland to downtown Seattle. His wife does not feel safe transferring to/taking light rail. Jawad wishes the bus to/from the airport ran later.
1/6/2023	Bus stops	create large bus shelters at bus stops
6/5/2023	Bus stops	Complete sidewalks on all arterials and safe waiting Area at all bus stops (ex. If no sidewalk and right at road some sort of waiting pad right by bus stop.
5/1/2023	CKC	How about 'a' paved path on CKC but not 'only' a paved path on the CKC. There is room for both.
5/1/2023	CKC	Would like to see part of the CKC paved to avoid dirt, etc.
	CKC	Expand + Maintain CKC
5/1/2023	CKC	CKC is a park space
5/1/2023	CKC	The current gravel surface also is part of the charm, as are the split rail fences. It needs to be thought of as a park as well as a transportation corridor.
4/11/2023	CKC - accessibility	south portion of the CKC has no ADA access
5/1/2023	CKC - accessibility	south 1/3 of CKC does not have ADA entrances. Steep hills & stairs
5/1/2023	CKC - accessibility	wheelchair access to the CKC
5/1/2023	CKC - e-bikes	Any paving on CKC needs to be done in tandem with separating bikes and peds. If we pave with the idea of separating later, we'll have problems...
5/1/2023	CKC - e-bikes	electric bikes are faster and heavier than non- electric bikes - I am worried about accidents on the CKC trail and even more so if it is paved without clear separation of walkers and bikers
5/1/2023	CKC - e-bikes	separation of people walking and ebikes on the CKC
5/1/2023	CKC - maintenance	Ensure proper transportation system maintenance through proper budget and staffing to repair and sweep sidewalks/bike lanes and pave CKC.
5/1/2023	CKC - multimodal options	Finish the CKC properly and solve this--make a split trail with a paved section for wheels for those of us who want to get around quickly and efficiently (and cleanly!) and a slower gravel lane adjacent.
5/1/2023	Communication	email communications instead of postcards
5/1/2023	Communication - outreach	social media for messaging

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
9/6/2024	Concurrency	<p>Kirkland has an ambitious and commendable vision for its transportation future, articulated in the already-adopted Transportation Master Plan. The plan prioritizes multimodal transportation, emphasizing walking, biking, and transit as crucial elements of a livable, vibrant city. However, the current concurrency system, which utilizes both multimodal level of service (MMLOS) and vehicle delay at intersections (LOS), creates a fundamental inconsistency with the plan's vision.</p> <p>The Master Plan recognizes that it is not feasible to build enough automobile capacity to eliminate congestion. This is a critical recognition, as the current concurrency system focuses on relieving delay at intersections and maintaining a minimum LOS of "E" during peak hours. The emphasis on LOS creates a "have our cake and eat it too" scenario, as prioritizing car-focused improvements often undermines the plan's stated goals for alternative transportation. For example, the Master Plan advocates for world-class walking facilities along the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC). However, widening roadways to alleviate intersection congestion, a key component of the concurrency system, can come at the expense of pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. This creates a tension between the two components of the concurrency system, pushing Kirkland to make difficult choices between maintaining LOS and building out a complete multimodal network.</p> <p>The Master Plan explicitly prioritizes alternative transportation modes, recognizing that a livable, vibrant city cannot rely overwhelmingly on automobile travel. This is highlighted in several key sections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Hierarchy of Modes: The Master Plan explicitly outlines a hierarchy of transportation modes, prioritizing walking, biking, and transit before motor vehicles. •Transportation Concept: The plan emphasizes a vision of "livable, vibrant cities" where walking, biking, and transit offer safe, accessible, and well-maintained alternatives to car travel. The plan acknowledges that complete congestion removal is not feasible or desirable. •Sustainability: The plan's sustainability goals promote energy efficiency and environmental responsibility. This directly aligns with prioritizing alternative modes, which are considered more energy-efficient than automobile travel. •Totem Lake Urban Center: The Master Plan envisions a shift away from an automobile-oriented Totem Lake neighborhood towards a mixed-use, walkable, and transit-friendly environment. This is seen as essential for supporting economic development and addressing the existing legacy of auto-centric design. <p>The GMA requires jurisdictions to adopt LOS standards but does not dictate how they are defined. Kirkland's two-pronged concurrency approach goes beyond the requirements of the GMA, but this approach ultimately hinders the achievement of the Transportation Master Plan's broader vision.</p> <p>Therefore, I urge the City Council to consider deemphasizing the use of vehicle delay (LOS) for concurrency. This will align Kirkland's concurrency program with the priorities set forth in the Transportation Master Plan and create a more cohesive and consistent approach to transportation planning. By focusing primarily on the MMLOS, Kirkland can more effectively ensure that its transportation system prioritizes the needs of all modes of transportation and fosters a city where walking, biking, and transit are viable and desirable options for residents.</p>
11/28/2023	Connections	Connect 132nd square park to Totem Lake
	Construction	3 comments about construction impact on roadways
6/5/2023	Crosswalk request	Basic painted Crosswalks esp areas where people cross to get to bus stops.
10/23/2023	Crosswalks	Juanita needs more road crossings, especially near schools
11/29/2023	Crosswalks	Improve 124th Ave NE crosswalk
	Crosswalks	17 comments requesting more crosswalks/ safer crosswalks
5/1/2023	Definitions	"Accessible Communities" rather than walkable.
11/17/2023	Development	address SSSB5412 exemption for residential development, provided multimodal transportation impacts are addressed
	Development/traffic	8 comments concerned about development's impact on traffic
5/1/2023	E-bikes	E-Bikes! Make it more accessible to ride uphill. Provide option for families to drop from 2 to 1 car family. Roads need to be and feel safe for biking.
5/1/2023	E-bikes	e-bikes, need infrastructure to park them to make them more practical
5/1/2023	E-bikes	Innovation and Electrification - policy and regulations that regulate shared use environments between e-bikes and pedestrians, infrastructure for locking/storage, etc. Infrastructure should support the increased use of electric bikes and vehicles by prioritizing e-bikes and e-scooters, and ensuring charging stations in more places.
5/1/2023	E-bikes - policy	Pedestrian - bike/e-mobility conflicts design and policy solutions

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	E-bikes - policy	Policy around how e-bikes are utilized. Not one size fits all. Can be fast like mini motorcycles in bike lanes. How can we mix safe use with pedestrians?
4/11/2023	Education	bicycle education is important, not just for kids but for existing drivers to inform about sharing the road, sharrows, bike boxes, etc.
5/1/2023	Education	community education is needed
5/1/2023	Education	people need to realize they are responsible for maintaining sidewalk clearance from vegetation - more education
11/1/2023	Education	education campaign for drivers-- how to drive around bike lanes, with bicyclists
5/22/2024	Emergency transit	How is the city going to change street infrastructure to accommodate traffic in an emergency with the addition of all the additional cars on the road. I am concerned for public safety.
5/1/2023	E-Vehicles	electric vehicles, charging stations
5/1/2023	E-Vehicles	Electrification of vehicles
5/1/2023	E-Vehicles	Electric vehicle charging and parking
5/1/2023	E-Vehicles	Yes, EVs are great for reducing local emissions, but they're still the same size and we have fixed road space with exponential population growth. It's a physics problem. (EVs also shed more tire and brake particulates given their weight which are bad for air and water quality)
5/1/2023	Flexible Transit	Flexible Transit
5/1/2023	Green Stormwater Infrastructure	bioswales - green protection for bike lanes
5/1/2023	Green Stormwater Infrastructure	More re-greening of greenways. Bioswales and bike lanes
5/1/2023	Green Stormwater Infrastructure	system sustainability - multipurpose bioswales as greenways for protected infrastructure. Combine stormwater runoff with checking off transportation goals
5/1/2023	Greenways	more greenways, easier access
5/1/2023	Land use policy	Link to Land Use - Ensure consistency between land use, transportation planning and implementation.
5/1/2023	Livable Communities	longer commutes for service workers and teachers
5/1/2023	Maintenance	Maintain what we have. CKC is a good example. Without paving
5/1/2023	Maintenance	maintained roads
5/1/2023	Maintenance	Walk/Bike Facility Maintenance
5/1/2023	Maintenance - bike lanes	sweep bike lanes
5/1/2023	Maintenance - sidewalks	responsiveness to citizen requests for maintenance. sidewalks!
5/1/2023	Metro Flex	I love the new Metro Flex offered to Juanita/Totem Lake/Finn Hill!
5/1/2023	Microtransit	Eastgate - shuttle system for REI and other companies
5/1/2023	Microtransit	Feeder routes shuttles (Could be like a minivan) to connect to TC
5/1/2023	Microtransit	Micro transit for low density areas
5/1/2023	Microtransit	on-demand shuttle service with large use zone
5/1/2023	Microtransit	promote services like on-demand shuttles
5/1/2023	Microtransit	safe/on demand shuttles
5/1/2023	Microtransit	short distant shuttles, use vacant or lightly used parking lots as parking to take a shuttle, mainly for quick short shuttle rides around Kirkland
6/13/2023	Microtransit	Consider creating an Eastside centric van/bus sponsored by the Eastside cities
6/13/2023	Microtransit	Explore smaller public transit options - vans, on-demand vehicles
6/13/2023	Microtransit	We should consider a hub system with little vans to go to different parts of the city.
10/23/2023	Microtransit	youth want to see more micro-transit options
5/1/2023	Microtransit - accessibility	Increase in community vans for those that can't drive, stations where senior can walk to van and go to various places in our area.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
6/6/2023	Minimum parking requirements	A concern about reduced parking requirements for affordable housing is what about those who have a car? Public transportation is not good on the Eastside so a lot of people need to drive to get around.
10/26/2023	Minimum parking requirements	Parking is expensive to build; takes up land; reducing parking can reduce development costs so more affordable housing can be built; Removing parking allows for more walkable, livable spaces, and reduces environmental impacts of vehicles.
11/17/2023	Minimum parking requirements	consider removing/reducing parking requirements for IZ and MFTE, and areas nearby transit
11/29/2023	Multimodal connectivity	Connect 132nd Square Park to Totem Lake
8/30/2024	Multimodal LOS	<p>Kirkland has an ambitious and commendable vision for its transportation future, articulated in the already-adopted Transportation Master Plan. The plan prioritizes multimodal transportation, emphasizing walking, biking, and transit as crucial elements of a livable, vibrant city. However, the current concurrency system, which utilizes both multimodal level of service (MMLOS) and vehicle delay at intersections (LOS), creates a fundamental inconsistency with the plan's vision.</p> <p>The Master Plan recognizes that it is not feasible to build enough automobile capacity to eliminate congestion. This is a critical recognition, as the current concurrency system focuses on relieving delay at intersections and maintaining a minimum LOS of "E" during peak hours. The emphasis on LOS creates a "have our cake and eat it too" scenario, as prioritizing car-focused improvements often undermines the plan's stated goals for alternative transportation. For example, the Master Plan advocates for world-class walking facilities along the Cross Kirkland Corridor (CKC). However, widening roadways to alleviate intersection congestion, a key component of the concurrency system, can come at the expense of pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. This creates a tension between the two components of the concurrency system, pushing Kirkland to make difficult choices between maintaining LOS and building out a complete multimodal network.</p> <p>The Master Plan explicitly prioritizes alternative transportation modes, recognizing that a livable, vibrant city cannot rely overwhelmingly on automobile travel. This is highlighted in several key sections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hierarchy of Modes: The Master Plan explicitly outlines a hierarchy of transportation modes, prioritizing walking, biking, and transit before motor vehicles. • Transportation Concept: The plan emphasizes a vision of "livable, vibrant cities" where walking, biking, and transit offer safe, accessible, and well-maintained alternatives to car travel. The plan acknowledges that complete congestion removal is not feasible or desirable. • Sustainability: The plan's sustainability goals promote energy efficiency and environmental responsibility. This directly aligns with prioritizing alternative modes, which are considered more energy-efficient than automobile travel. • Totem Lake Urban Center: The Master Plan envisions a shift away from an automobile-oriented Totem Lake neighborhood towards a mixed-use, walkable, and transit-friendly environment. This is seen as essential for supporting economic development and addressing the existing legacy of auto-centric design. <p>The GMA requires jurisdictions to adopt LOS standards but does not dictate how they are defined. Kirkland's two-pronged concurrency approach goes beyond the requirements of the GMA, but this approach ultimately hinders the achievement of the Transportation Master Plan's broader vision.</p> <p>Therefore, I urge the City Council to consider deemphasizing the use of vehicle delay (LOS) for concurrency. This will align Kirkland's concurrency program with the priorities set forth in the Transportation Master Plan and create a more cohesive and consistent approach to transportation planning. By focusing primarily on the MMLOS, Kirkland can more effectively ensure that its transportation system prioritizes the needs of all modes of transportation and fosters a city where walking, biking, and transit are viable and desirable options for residents</p>
11/17/2023	Multimodal mobility	support the use of a "true multimodal transportation analysis"
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	# 1 - Create a more equitable and Multimodal mobility system
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Biking is getting more popular, especially e-bikes and electric scooters and one wheelers. Pair with better transit options, compact, easy to pair with buses, etc.
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	cyclists and pedestrians have been short changed - were not considered in original infrastructure
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Discourage car ownership. Transit-oriented development
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	e-scooters, foldable and locking tech
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Kirkland was laid out for cars, people are accustomed to this . Adding a bike lane can seem impossible "to the privileged, equality feels like oppression."
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	More opportunities for safe Multimodal mobility options - addresses stress on traffic and parking demand
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	multimodal lanes, flex lanes at times of day

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Multimodal transit connections
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	need to motivate those driving to utilize other methods
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	personal electric transport - monowheels, scooters
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	PRT - Personalized Rapid Transit
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	safely mix walk, bike, ebike users
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Safely Move People - Support a transportation system and related government and private actions that promote all viable forms of transportation. Actively build and maintain partnerships locally, regionally and nationally, to further our transportation goals.
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Tech is changing, people are WFH. From 3-5pm traffic is clogged. Alt methods of transportation. Innovations already by E-Bikes and scooters (foldable). More user friendly roads for alt transport (bikes, scooters). Make sure no holes in road for safety. Will be all electric cars. Need more charging stations. Encourage use of electric cars.
5/1/2023	Multimodal options	Vision of active transportation world. Adopt best practices in locations in US that rely on cars.
11/8/2023	Multimodal options	introduce transportation infrastructure that discourages car use
11/17/2023	Multimodal options	address complete streets SB 5974
11/17/2023	Multimodal options	SEIS should analyze and document efforts to increase multimodal transportation and reduce vehicle miles traveled (per RCW 36.70a.070(6)(a)(ii)).
11/17/2023	Multimodal options	SEIS should forecast multimodal transportation demand and needs for the next 10 years based on land use plan
5/1/2023	Multimodal options - accessibility	e-bikes for seniors. or tricycles!
5/1/2023	Multimodal options - accessibility	transit options for aging comfortably
5/1/2023	Multimodal options - communication	promote multimodal services - messaging
5/1/2023	Multimodal options - maintenance	maintenance for all modes infrastructure is safety
5/1/2023	Multimodal options - sustainability	Electric cars are good, but they only are a small improvement on internal combustion cars. In contrast, e-bikes and e-scooters make a huge difference for climate, for needing less parking spaces, etc.
5/1/2023	N/A	Sticky Bank
6/23/2024	Neighborhood Traffic Calming	For comments on the Transportation Plan, I have some ideas to add that I've seen at other communities: Pedestrian and local traffic only streets (Seattle) Cinch street end with extruded curbs with plants to block traffic except peds and cyclists (Vancouver BC) Curb bump outs on both street sides to slow traffic (Shoreline) More Traffic Cameras (Lake Forest Park) Thanks for working hard on the Comp Plan. I appreciate the City's efforts.
2/10/2023	Outreach	Track rate of community engagement/feedback relative to census tract and engagement/outreach method
5/1/2023	Outreach	demonstration of new transit services at events
5/1/2023	Outreach	hiring people that aren't working for the city to advocate for programs
11/1/2023	Outreach	begin outreach/engagement sooner; 30% completed is too late
6/13/2023	Outreach	Important to engage with King County and WA state to get more efficient transit. King County and WA state should involve transit users in the decision making for changes to transit stops. Government bodies need to think of these issues (I.e. housing, transit, parking, etc.) in a holistic sense.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
6/6/2023	Outreach/Communication	Hopelink offers rides to anyone who needs rides to certain things like medical appointments, etc. Communication about these resources is needed to raise awareness.
6/13/2023	Outreach/Communication	COK has the volunteer van, but there needs to be more community education/awareness to get drivers to volunteer for the service. Vans are not being used regularly.
5/1/2023	Outreach/Education	education at farmers markets
4/11/2023	Parking	Why is there no parking at the 85th Station?
10/26/2023	Parking	wants more parking for parks
11/17/2023	Parking	Increase parking Downtown
5/22/2024	Parking	Please provide the Multi story parking in the waterfront and in the City. The parking allow people enjoy the parks, Walking and other facility in the City. Please do not give the parking to other company to manage or own it. Please lighting is essential for pedestrian and parks. Please provide enough lighting.
	Parking	9 comments about parking concerns, mostly commenters want more parking at transit access points
5/31/2023	Parking - project	Concern in loss of parking for potential Lake Washington Boulevard Promenade, concern new trees would block resident views of Lake Washington
5/1/2023	Parks and green spaces	Green communities - Policies should improve access to parks and green corridors in all neighborhoods, focusing on those that have less access, by creating new parks or connecting existing parks to neighborhoods by trail network.
5/1/2023	Parks and green spaces	Policy LU-6.1: Distribute parks and open spaces throughout the City, with particular focus on new facilities in areas of the City facing the greatest population growth, in areas where facilities are deficient, and/or in areas where connections of the open space network could be made.
3/14/2023	Pedestrian construction detours	Also, the Parks Department needs to be mindful of accessibility issues when there is construction next to parks. For a while, people in wheelchairs could not access Kirkland Urban from Peter Kirk Park because of construction.
11/30/2023	Pedestrian network	Pedestrian I-405 bridge at NE 140th St
5/1/2023	Policy	Policy LU-4.1: Maintain and enhance the character of Kirkland's residential areas.
5/1/2023	Policy	Wording of policy conflicts with itself - Located near public transit while also increasing density all over the city. Re-word to emphasize density increases all over the city.
5/1/2023	Policy verbiage	self driving cars seems too specific
5/1/2023	Project implementation	not enough staff to design all the infrastructure that is needed. money is a challenge - not enough. Taken 10 yrs to build 1 greenway
11/1/2023	Project structure	build in flexibility/smaller milestones-- quick wins, pilots, etc
	Projects - 132nd Ave	19 comments about 132nd Ave-- 4 about sidewalk conditions
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes	bike lanes sandwiched between parked cars & road. consider repainting bike lanes (near curb). Use parked cars to protect cyclists
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes	flex lanes means no cyclists can safely use lanes. also, infrastructure needed for bike lanes would not support cars (planters/bollards)
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes	parking protected bike lanes
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes	prefer dedicated & protected bike lanes
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes	protected bike lanes
9/8/2023	Protected bike lanes	supports widening sidewalks, introducing widened protected bike lanes
5/1/2023	Protected bike lanes - sidewalks	protected bike lanes and ped lanes
5/24/2023	Protected bike lanes - sidewalks	Safe routes for walking and biking (sidewalks and protected bike lanes!)
6/21/2023	Protected intersections	Create daylighted, protected intersections in Kirkland.
5/21/2024	Public Access	As residents of Bayview o the Lake Condominiums we are in no way in favor of a public access walkway across our property. Doing this would increase the number of trespassers on and through our property. There would be hundreds if not thousands of people walking by day and night. A public access walkway would increase the risk of security and safety for our owners and our property. Also not to mention likely decrease to our property values. The city already has agreement with out association to not put a public walkway across our property which the city needs to honor their agreement.
6/5/2023	Road maintenance	How about fixing all our Roads? Potholes everywhere... Or, is it that the City doesn't care? Maybe, fix our Vehicles instead.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
8/19/2024	Road widening	RE: road modification of market street & 106th Ave NE. Road width increase will not help the neighborhoods, only encourage drivers of populated areas to the north onto Kirkland roads for access. This issue was discussed in ~1992 when I was on the Planning Commission on a transportation committee. Drivers from areas to the north wanted 4 lanes of travel to speed through Kirkland because the freeway was backed up. The backup will always occur during rush hours. Increasing the width of the road will only bring more traffic.
9/8/2023	Roundabouts	supports roundabouts on 100th Ave NE and NE 132nd St
10/23/2023	Roundabouts	supports roundabouts
10/23/2023	Roundabouts	supports roundabouts
8/1/2024	Roundabouts	Install a round-about at 7th Ave and Market Street
1/2/2023	safety	near miss where the NB bike lane crosses the Lakeview right turn/ slip lane
6/21/2023	Safety	Disallow right-turn-on-red by default
6/21/2023	Safety	Phase out slip lanes by disallowing new slip lanes from being built, and requiring old slip lanes to be updated.
9/8/2023	Safety	near miss of bicyclist on NE 132nd St
3/4/2024	Safety	The extreme curve on Juanita Drive starting just after Woodland Park needs immediate safety attention on the water side. Future improvements should prioritize adding a sidewalk to Juanita Beach and better separation between car and bike lanes.
11/29/2023	Safety - cars	Traffic safety improvements needed by carwash at NE 144th St and 124th Ave NE
5/1/2023	Safety - pedestrian	Pedestrian safety in terms of protection from vehicular traffic.
5/1/2023	Safety - pedestrian	people walking are vulnerable
5/1/2023	Safety - pedestrian	protected crosswalks
4/11/2023	Self-driving vehicles	are self-driving vehicles being considered and what are the city's thoughts about these (they could drop off people where there is no parking and return to designated parking area)
5/1/2023	Self-driving vehicles	Need to think about self driving cars that park themselves
5/1/2023	Self-driving vehicles	Self-driving pod situation in 20 years
3/14/2023	Sidewalk accessibility - maintenance	Public sidewalks have lots of root problems which is hard for people with mobility issues. The City is not addressing this.
3/14/2023	Sidewalk accessibility - maintenance	There are many streets that have trees that have grown into the sidewalks or root issues that make it impossible for people in wheelchairs to pass.
3/14/2023	Sidewalk maintenance	She wants to cut down a tree that's messing up the sidewalk in front of her property but cannot because the tree is in City right-of-way.
4/11/2023	Sidewalk maintenance	sidewalks in front of the KPC need repair
5/1/2023	Sidewalk maintenance	resident vegetation trimming - sidewalk access
	Sidewalk maintenance	31 comments about sidewalk improvements generally
4/11/2023	Sidewalks	what is the city doing about sidewalks on residential streets
5/1/2023	Sidewalks	protected sidewalks
6/5/2023	Sidewalks	Complete sidewalks on all arterials
10/23/2023	Sidewalks	add sidewalks on Juanita-Woodinville Way near Brick Yard Park and Ride
10/23/2023	Sidewalks	better sidewalk infrastructure from schools to Totem Lake
11/30/2023	Sidewalks	Sidewalks on NE 145th St should be finished and improved/maintained
8/1/2024	Sidewalks	Focus on completing the city's sidewalk system. How long will it take?
1/6/2023	Sidewalks - protected	fence/barrier between road and sidewalk would increase safety
5/1/2023	Speed control	change geometry of roadways to encourage slower speeds
5/1/2023	Speed control	not just more cars - control speeds
5/1/2023	Speed control	slow down cars
5/1/2023	Speed control	slower speeds
6/21/2023	Speed control	Studies in Portland show that reducing speed limits to 20mph reduces the number of vehicles traveling faster than 30 mph. AAA study found that in vehicle/pedestrian collisions, increasing vehicle speed from 20 to 25 mph significantly increases the chances of severe injury and death.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
	Speed control	26 comments with concerns about speeding cars/ enforcing speed limits, 3 comments concerned about racing (2/3 on 124th Ave)
1/6/2023	Street lighting	install more street lights
4/11/2023	Street lighting	lighting is important for pedestrian safety
8/30/2023	Street lighting	more street lighting
11/17/2023	Street lighting	improve street-sidewalk lighting
	Street lighting	17 comments asking for more streetlights/lighted crosswalks
5/1/2023	Sustainability	Be Sustainable - Support a transportation system that can be sustained over the next 50 years.
5/1/2023	Sustainability	encourage tree planting along the roads. appropriate trees. reduce heat rising from the streets.
5/1/2023	Sustainability	environmental- reduce idling, non-vehicle travel, sustainable building, culverts
5/1/2023	Sustainability	planted traffic circles - reduce asphalt
5/1/2023	Sustainability	Q2: Make environmental sustainability more explicit, i.e., Support a transportation system that can be sustained ecologically and financially over the next 50 years and contribute to reductions in greenhouse gas emissions
5/1/2023	Sustainability	reduce environmental problems through increasing use of community vans/shuttles .
7/27/2022	Sustainability - HB 1099	<p>Dear Transportation Commissioners:</p> <p>Make sure that the provisions of HB 1099 are incorporated in the Comprehensive Plan will address climate change, resiliency and sustainability now and for the future of our city.</p> <p>The purpose of HB 1099 was to modify the RCW's that describe how Comprehensive Planning is to be done and it contains several provisions that are transportation related. These include items like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •revising transportation goals •forecasting of Multimodal mobility •adjustments to concurrency •reductions to greenhouse gas emissions
5/1/2023	Sustainability/multimodal mobility/ckc/paving	Holistic sustainability-- environmental sustainability of walk/bike infrastructure to improve air quality, reduce congestion and greenhouse gas emission. Ensure proper transportation system maintenance through proper budget and staffing to repair and sweep sidewalks/bike lanes and pave CKC.
5/24/2023	Taxes	The way things are going with taxes vs my pay check I'll be forced to move long before 2044.
5/1/2023	Traffic	If things stay the same, assuming more density. More people needing cars and driving. More traffic & congestion.
5/1/2023	Traffic	traffic calming - circles
5/21/2024	Traffic	<p>New housing is making traffic congestion in Kirkland is out of control and poses multifaceted challenges, impacting travel time, safety, the environment, and overall quality of life.</p> <p>Increased Travel Time: Congested roads lead to longer travel times. Commuters spend more hours stuck in traffic, affecting their productivity and quality of life. Constant delays can be frustrating, especially when people are trying to reach work, school, or other essential destinations.</p> <p>Environmental Impact: Congestion contributes to increased emissions of greenhouse gases and air pollutants. Idling vehicles consume more fuel and release harmful substances.Noise pollution from honking, engine noise, and braking also affects the environment and residents living near busy roads.</p> <p>Safety Concerns: High congestion levels can lead to an increase in traffic incidents due to closer vehicle spacing and overheating of vehicles during summer months. Bad weather conditions can exacerbate congestion and lead to crashes. The turbulence caused by an initial crash can even trigger additional accidents.</p> <p>Reduced Economic Efficiency: Congestion impacts the economy by wasting time and fuel. Businesses face higher transportation costs due to delays in goods delivery. Inefficient traffic flow affects supply chains, logistics, and overall economic productivity.</p> <p>Quality of Life Decline: Crowded roads create stress and frustration for commuters. Mental well-being suffers due to the daily grind of traffic congestion.</p>
	Traffic	"Solve the traffic problem"
	Traffic	51 comments about traffic generally

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Traffic	And the science from other cities doing some implementation actually shows that inserting bike lanes and remove parking, actually doesn't affect driving time AT ALL!
5/1/2023	Traffic	level of traffic stress
2/8/2024	Traffic - calming	Plant trees as a way to calm traffic
5/1/2023	Traffic - data	count people, not cars
5/1/2023	Traffic - data	measure success of roadways using LTS, transition away from LOS
11/16/2023	Traffic - data	SEIS should analyze the likely "per capita vehicle miled traveled" of Kirkland employees and residents for each growth alternative
11/16/2023	Traffic - development	SEIS should compare transportation impacts of each housing growth alternative
4/30/2024	Traffic - development	The proposed development for 132nd st and 100th Ave does not consider the appropriate scale and impact on the neighborhoods. To add some six hundred units over retail is not think about what engages well with the neighborhood. The traffic during school pickup and dropoff times on 132nd is already quite backed up, compounded by southbound traffic on 100th during morning commute times and traffic to/from Juanita High School on 132nd
5/1/2023	Traffic - equity	Congestion Relief, Transportation Equity
11/30/2023	traffic connections	Explore opportunities to re-route traffic toward the interstate
11/30/2023	traffic connections	More north-south connections needed in Juanita
8/1/2024	Traffic-development	How can you allow more growth while the existing traffic is a problem?
8/22/2024	Trails- Improved Transit	I support the FHNA board comments on the latest version of the 2044 Comprehensive Plan Update, i.e. that stronger and more definite language be used for acquiring and permanently establishing green spaces, to keep pace with increased housing and retail building. The tree canopy should be at 40% or greater for livability and health, both of us humans and the environment. Maintaining the green character of the Kirkland neighborhoods and our Pacific Northwest forests are essential to our way of life and are what make living here unique. We have observed too many large trees being removed to make way for housing; these cannot be re-planted with equivalent-size trees. It will be many years before the small re-plants will be tall enough to create a canopy. We need a stronger requirement to save the large trees. Strong definite language that leaves no wiggle room for overdevelopment in areas too far from the public transit hubs is important. Kirkland needs to facilitate getting cars off the roads and people into our evolving public transit, and to do that housing and shopping need to be where the transit goes and is based. It's important to continue developing walking and biking trails that facilitate getting to mass transit without using a car and that are separated from heavy-traffic roads. The Cross-Kirkland Trail is a good example. It's vital to provide green-space car free foot and bike trails that connect neighborhoods and connect to mass transit. Does the 2044 Comprehensive Plan Update address building these trails as well as establishing parks and green spaces to keep pace with greater dwelling density?
5/22/2024	Transit	Having more frequent buses at school endings would be efficient. Some buses around Kirkland (specifically 239, the one I take) can be delayed up to 30 minutes. This delay is extremely inconvenient and other buses start arriving every 15 minutes. Having the buses arrive on time can be beneficial to all riders, especially students.
8/22/2024	Transit	I purchased a home and moved to Finn Hill five years ago. I fully support the plan to build more housing and housing affordability, but I want to speak to public transit. First, to address some of the concerns of other residents that were brought up tonight: rather than build up the transit infrastructure before building higher density housing, I think it should be done concurrently. And while that is on going, the council needs to work with the transit providers to ensure that the local routes are rescheduled to support those corridors (including the express commuter buses running along 405). I fully support the idea of the rapid transit corridors, but I want to make sure the local neighborhood bus routes will be expanded. I got rid of my car during the pandemic, but it has gotten progressively more difficult to live without it every year because of the reduction of transit in my area. As one of the first speakers mentioned tonight, bus service through Finn Hill has been reduced more and more with every new schedule revision since I moved here. The most recent revision adjusted the schedule of the 225 such that the later evening 311 from Seattle arrives in Totem Lake 5 minutes after the 225 goes uphill, and with the reduced schedule, I need to wait an hour for the next bus. If the transit corridors have buses every 15 minutes, the local routes need to run at least every 30 to enable residents to access the transit corridor. And while the MetroFlex program was adopted for the Juanita area, it can't be fully relied on for scheduled trips. It also doesn't support early or late schedules, or weekends. Please ensure neighborhoods further from the transit corridors can participate in the walkable neighborhoods and transit corridors.
6/6/2023	Transit - flexible service	Rides and smiles is great. This should be a permanent service.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Transit access	free orca cards for students
5/1/2023	Transit Infrastructure	more frequent transit service
5/1/2023	Transit Infrastructure	Transit infrastructure is way behind over countries.
8/31/2023	Transit infrastructure/ alt. public transit	Facilitate mobility to ease traffic and parking demands. Suggests a Kirkland public shuttle to/from park and rides, within the city public shuttle/ride service, more bike lanes. Suggests more weather-sheltered pedestrian areas and park spaces given our wet climate.
5/1/2023	Transit network	hyperlocal transit, circular bus around the city
5/1/2023	Transit network	Increased transit service - frequency, fewer transfers, more geography
5/1/2023	Transit network	Innovative transit services like local shuttles, increased frequency and transit coverage will ensure all of Kirkland's neighborhoods can access the land uses they want to get to without having to drive to them.
5/1/2023	Transit network	major changes in transit because of the way people work. need diverse transit movement throughout cities, not just a main bus to another city
5/1/2023	Transit network	shuttle service is too restricted for my family to utilize. places they need to go, mainly teens, are outside the service zone
6/6/2023	Transit network	Transportation to human service providers like Hopelink is difficult, especially since the bus routes to Hopelink were cut. Can the City provide public transportation, particularly to access services?
	Transit network	Increase number of bus stops near parks/playgrounds and residential areas
5/1/2023	Transit network - routes	longer transit routes-fewer xfers
1/6/2023	Transit service	increase transit frequency and reliability
7/31/2023	Transit service	Transit service has been reduced and it is concerning for all trips but getting to the Sea-Tac Airport has become more difficult now.
10/23/2023	Transit service	Transit access to Kingsgate Library should be prioritized, students rely on it
11/8/2023	Transit service	Commercial center should be served by frequent and reliable transit
11/8/2023	Transit service	NE 132nd St and 132nd Ave NE needs better transit options
11/29/2023	Transit service	connect the library to schools
11/29/2023	Transit service	More transit and better synergy with metro
11/29/2023	Transit service	Promote 10 minute neighborhood concept by increasing accessibility to different parts of the commercial center
	Transit service	36 comments asking for increased public transit (whether through expanding bus routes, increasing frequency, or reaching key destinations)
2/14/2024	Transit service - routes	Comment passed to him, from a community member: "As a daily commuter, we need to encourage City of Kirkland, Metro, and Sound Transit to invest in more transit. Feedback from my fellow commuters and colleagues is that the difficulty of reliable and frequent routes from the eastside to downtown Seattle continues to drive people away from public transit as a primary mode of commuting."
	Transit service - routes	Our bus service was cut because people here used 245, and to an extent 255, infrequently. The busses were mostly empty (and in fact 245 continues to be mostly empty for much of the time) and therefore were cut. Metro can't use precious resources on routes that aren't used efficiently. With increased density, especially the new apartments at Bridle Trails Shopping Center, there is a possibility that increased ridership could work to maintain our routes, which would make them more reliable and useful, which would increase ridership, in a virtuous cycle.
2/10/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	Increase housing density, affordable housing, and job access near transit corridors and in preparation for transit expansion.
5/1/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	dedicated bus lanes, transit priority
5/1/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	if encouraging more people to use transit, need to make them go faster and less crowded. remove car lanes

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	shuttles and transit lanes
5/24/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	Dedicated transit lanes on arterials so buses aren't crawling in gridlock.
6/21/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	No need to widen roads/add lanes except to add dedicated transit lanes
7/17/2023	Transit-Oriented Development	need for more affordable housing within walking distance near Metro Transit routes, frequent service, and need for balance of jobs to affordable housing.
12/12/2023	Transportation	Light pollution needs to be reduced. Reduce lumens in streetlights and if shields are used paint them flat black to reduce reflection. City needs to be more proactive in reducing light pollution. PSE will not change without city involvement.
3/10/2024	Transportation	Policy LU-3.3: Encourage housing, offices, shops, and services at or near the park and ride lots. As someone who consistently uses the South Kirkland Park and Ride, a location that demonstrates the ideal residential/transportation/commercial relationship, I agree that encouraging more of these types of developments is important. However, my question is how do we plan to do this? With Kirkland already being a widely developed city, is the plan to move outwards into totem lake, or rebuild old developments? As a Kirkland resident, I believe that a transit center next to Juanita Village could align very well with this plan.
	Transportation	The changes proposed are just wrong for our community. Here is what I believe needs to happen: Make 108th Avenue 4 lanes, two each way. This includes bus traffic that blends in with automobiles. Bikes need to go to the abandoned railroad track which is bike friendly. Your study is biased in favor of buses and bikes. The data does not support the study's conclusions. If you count the people traveling on buses and bikes, it is miniscule to the number in cars. Why do buses get priority with this comparative lack of people travelling through Kirkland?! It seems apparent that your social agenda is more important than what they residents would like to have in the way of improvements
	Transportation	Before the City moves forward with a project, please identify and quantify the desired outcome. For example, # of users, ect.... Then go back and see if the decision or project is performing. If the project is not performing, then remove it rather than continue to spend money on it if it's not performing. Also, the city is way behind in maintenance. Focus on maintaining what you have.
5/1/2023	Transportation Equity	Transportation Equity
5/1/2023	Transportation infrastructure	aesthetics of bike/ped infrastructure - totem lake connector
5/1/2023	Transportation infrastructure	skinny streets
5/24/2023	Transportation infrastructure	If we keep the current pace of growth the infrastructure will just choke. No new roads, recreation, parks, stores and just bunch of new homes and apartment complexes. The roads, stores, parks and restaurants are already packed.
11/29/2023	Transportation infrastructure	Connect Kingsgate to Juanita and other neighborhoods
11/29/2023	Transportation infrastructure	Connect major roads to CKC
11/29/2023	Transportation infrastructure	Employ traffic calming measures like more roundabouts
5/1/2023	Transportation Infrastructure (ITS)	automation, smart systems/signals for detection and cycles
5/1/2023	Transportation Infrastructure (ITS)	intelligent transportation systems, city has invested in this to improve LOS & car traffic flow, can create more demand when you free up traffic.
5/1/2023	Transportation Infrastructure (ITS)	ITS
5/1/2023	Transportation Infrastructure (ITS)	using automation & tech. If there is no vehicle in a lane, have lights auto turn green.
5/1/2023	Transportation network	transportation is more than just moving people. other considerations such as how smoothly & accessible. 124th bridge is great example

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
6/27/2024	Transportation Strategic Plan-Element	Support proposed Transportation Strategic Plan and Transportation Element-greater density than 50 du/acre near transit corridors
7/11/2024	Transportation Strategic Plan-Element	<p>This is feedback/comments for the Staff Report: Transportation Strategic Plan (2024-06-26)</p> <p>1.Key Questions for the Transportation Commission – TSP Additional Components and Emerging Topics</p> <p>a.I didn't see anything in the TSP that specifically mentioned Kirkland adopting updated standards/best practices for transit/road design. I think that's going to be a key element; if we just stick to WSDOT standards, we're going to fall short of our walkability, bike-ability, etc, goals. There's the CROW Manual, from the Netherlands, or the design guides from the National Associate of City Transportation Officials, for example. Maybe that's too specific for the TSP? Just want to make sure that's being considered. (I now see that this is mentioned in the Transportation Element Draft).</p> <p>2.Maybe for the Measures and Levels of Service, we can specifically include Walk Score or People for Bikes ratings? For example, the current performance measure for Bicycle is LOS to 80% of the planned bicycle network. But if that network primarily consists of painted bike lanes, I'd hardly call that a success. This is feedback/comments for the Transportation Element Draft (2024-06-21).</p> <p>1.I'm still reviewing this, but so far it's looking great!</p> <p>And as always, thanks for the work you do to help make our little city great!</p>
5/1/2023	Transportation; Policy	Transportation Guiding Principles
9/9/2024	Transportation-Land Use	<p>I plan to speak tonight but not about housing. My prepared remarks are below for your reference, as well as some reference links that illustrate things in more than the 3 minutes I'll have. Special thanks to Not Just Bikes whose video I borrowed from heavily.</p> <p>I know you're not supposed to respond to questions - just answer in your heads.</p> <p>How many of you have ever decided not to go somewhere because you knew the traffic was going to be bad? How many of you have left earlier or later because you wanted to avoid rush hour? How many of you have ever made a decision about where to live based on how easy it would be to get to your job, or the other places you have to go on a regular basis? Cool. You're not alone. Transportation is important and people alter their behavior all the time based on what is most convenient.</p> <p>I want to talk to you tonight about an urban planning concept called the Downs-Thomson paradox. Officially the Downs-Thomson paradox states that “the equilibrium speed of car traffic on a road network is determined by the average door-to-door speed of equivalent journeys taken by public transport or the next best alternative.” That's a mouthful.</p> <p>What it says is that it doesn't matter how many roads you build or how wide you build them. Car traffic will get worse and worse and worse until it becomes faster to take the bus, or ride a bike, or walk. When you add another lane, all those people who answered yes to the questions at the beginning will start showing up when they otherwise wouldn't have. More people will decide they can now live farther away. Before you know it, the traffic congestion will be as bad or worse than it was before.</p> <p>This is not just theoretical - it's been observed time and time again in cities all over the world. If you give it some thought, it's really kind of obvious. That's because when it comes down to it, there aren't really a lot of “car people” or “bicycle people” or “bus people”. The vast majority of people just want to get from point A to point B as quickly and conveniently as possible.</p> <p>So what happens to car traffic if we let our buses just get stuck in it, and we don't provide any other viable alternatives? Well, just go look at I405 during rush hour. Every one of those people had a choice for how they were going to get around today, but we made decisions that guaranteed that almost every single one of them had to choose a car.</p> <p>So what's the solution? Well, if it's faster or more convenient to take a bicycle, then some people will choose to take a bicycle. If it's faster or more convenient to take the bus, then some people will take the bus. Any alternative to driving has the ability to reduce car traffic. It doesn't mean everybody has to take the bus, or everybody has to ride a bike. Making alternatives viable for even a segment of the population can lead to significant improvements for everyone - especially people who have no choice but to drive.</p> <p>So when you're thinking about how we mitigate congestion associated with new development, I want you to remember the Downs-Thomson paradox. We should focus our efforts on the only thing that actually works - making alternatives to driving more viable.</p> <p>There is no solution to car traffic, except viable alternatives to driving.</p> <p>References:</p> <p>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ROY6W/GOnYis (6 min)</p>
5/1/2023	Vision zero	vision zero
1/6/2023	Walkability	create pedestrian-only zones
4/11/2023	Walkability	pedestrian environment is very important for seniors. Commenter walks often downtown.
5/1/2023	Walkability	Investments in pedestrian infrastructure to support walkability.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
5/1/2023	Walkability	more pedestrian bridges. connect neighborhoods that are split by 405. take design into consideration to motivate people to use it
5/1/2023	Walkability	ped bridges across 405
11/8/2023	Walkability	Sidewalk conditions near and within Kirkland Heights area make it hard to get around without a car
11/16/2023	Walkability	SEIS should measure impacts to pedestrians' ability to cross the street
11/29/2023	Walkability	create new i-405 pedestrian overpass at NE 140th St
4/4/2024	Walkability	Sidewalks are needed on 19th Avenue between Market and just east of 3rd street to maintain safety for school children to and from the middle school, elementary school and Creswoods Park/Cross Kirkland Corridor. This is a critical access point to these amenities for this norkirk neighborhood as well as a gateway/connection for those taking public transportation on the stops on market street or those crossing market street from west of market at the crosswalk on 19th.
4/13/2024	Walkability	Please add crosswalks for students going to JHS from 109th Ave NE, 110th Ave NE, and 11th Ave NE. There is a sidewalk along 128th these students cannot access unless they jaywalk as the nearest crosswalk is roughly 1/4 mile away in the opposite direction. Traffic coming out of JHS does not slow down making for a dangerous situation for teens walking to school. Trimming the trees away from the streetlights would also make people crossing the street in the area safer and more visible.
	Walkability	13 comments requesting improved walkability
5/1/2023	Walkability - mixed use	Bridle Trails, Kirkland urban. Walkable areas. Mix of uses
5/1/2023	Walkability - multimodal options	invest in safe, connected walking and bicycling infrastructure as well as increased transit service so people can get around without cars
5/1/2023	Walkability/ outreach & communication	Street closures for pedestrian activity
5/1/2023	Walkability/transit network	Walkable communities, transit access
5/1/2023	Walkable neighborhoods	Walkable communities - Policies should enhance and improve connectivity by locating housing, parks, entertainment, and commercial uses where there is access to public transportation, walking, and biking trails to reduce the reliance on cars and parking.

Transportation Public Comments

Date Submitted	Topics	Comment Summary
9/8/2023	Walkable neighborhoods	<p>I write to you today concerned about the dangerous sidewalk and bike lane conditions on NE 132nd St around Juanita HS. Yesterday, I witnessed a very dangerous, near-miss, which I'll describe in a bit. My daughter had attended Juanita HS last year and took the school bus or Metro to/from school so I didn't experience the conditions at school drop off/pickup time, which I suspect have been exacerbated by the construction projects. This year she's attending Emerson HS but still in the Juanita Drama Club so I pick her up from Emerson and drive her to Juanita after school (would love to figure out an alternative to driving but Metro timing doesn't work and there's not a safe enough bike route).</p> <p>Yesterday after dropping her off at Juanita, I was crawling along in (contributing to) the after school car traffic congestion on NE 132nd St heading west toward 100th Ave NE. Students were walking and biking on the overcrowded, narrow, lumpy sidewalks away from the school. I noticed 3 boys biking on the sidewalk west toward 100th, dodging between walkers. The middle one was going way too fast and out of control, bouncing over the chunky sidewalk. His friend biking in front of him paused to avoid running into walkers at a pinch in the sidewalk where there was a tree, and the middle one ran into him but had enough momentum that he had to swerve out onto the road to avoid crashing into walkers or the tree. Fortunately, car traffic was at a crawl and the car in front of me saw it coming and gave the boy room. The boy managed to not fall over somehow but this could very easily have turned out differently - he could have crashed into the walkers, the tree, or a car on the road.</p> <p>I don't blame the boys for riding on the sidewalk. The so-called bike lane is at most 2 feet wide in that stretch, and in that narrow space, people biking have to navigate the edge/crack where the asphalt meets the concrete in addition to storm drains and debris and cars with inattentive drivers.</p> <p>With all the construction, traffic jams, and unreliable school and Metro bus service, families are increasingly having their children walk and bike to school. I would love to celebrate more people walking and biking, but instead I'm worried children are being put in harms' way.</p> <p>The unsafe conditions for people walking and biking on NE 132nd St around the high school are dangerous, unacceptable and need to be addressed before somebody loses a loved one. When I've asked about what the city plans to do about it, I've been told there's no room to expand the sidewalk or bike lane. There is room as demonstrated by the right-turn lane being added for the high school, there's just not sufficient motivation to prioritize people walking and biking. Every time you add lanes for cars to "reduce congestion", you're accommodating drivers and inducing demand for more driving.</p> <p>Instead let's induce demand for more walking, biking, and bus riding by making it less convenient to drive. What about removing that middle turn lane on NE 132nd St to make room for a protected bike lane on each side? And eventually, replace the traffic light at 100th with a round-about like the ones being installed down at 405 to match up with traffic flows to/from the east. The 100th Ave NE project north of NE 132nd St is adding protected bike lanes so it makes sense to continue those along NE 132nd St to the round-abouts at 405.</p>

A horizontal silhouette illustration at the top of the page depicts a city street scene. From left to right, it shows a person walking a dog, a bus, a person on a scooter, a person in a wheelchair, a car, a person on a bicycle, and two children playing with a ball. The background includes stylized buildings and trees. The entire illustration is in white and light blue against a dark blue background.

Appendix C:

Traffic Analysis Methodology





1. Introduction

Traffic analysis was completed to inform the TSP and Transportation Element of the Kirkland 2044 Comprehensive Plan and included 38 key study intersections in the City of Kirkland (City) to evaluate citywide traffic effects from forecasted growth through 2044. Traffic operations at each key intersection were evaluated for current existing year performance and future year performance over the planning horizon of both the TSP and comprehensive plan, with additional vehicle trips generated by forecasted jobs and employment growth. This memorandum documents the traffic analysis methodology and traffic operations results for the existing and future conditions.

2. Traffic Analysis Methodology

2.1. Data analysis tools and measures

Traffic count data was collected in Fall 2022 at each of the 38 study intersections. Each of the study intersections was analyzed using the Synchro 11 and SIDRA 9 traffic analysis software packages which implement Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) intersection capacity methodologies. The City of Kirkland also provided Synchro files and signal timing plans for analysis.

Key performance metrics for the intersection analysis include:

- Average vehicle delay (seconds/vehicle) - reported by overall intersection and approach.
- Level of service (LOS) – reported by overall intersection and approach.

Table 0-1 provides a summary of the average vehicle delay standards and the related LOS per HCM standards.

TABLE 0-1. LEVEL OF SERVICE DELAY THRESHOLDS

Level of Service	Average Delay per Vehicle (seconds)
	Signalized Intersections
A	≤ 10
B	> 10–20
C	> 20–35
D	> 35–55
E	> 55–80
F	> 80

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 6 (2016)

2.2. Analysis Years and Scenarios

Two land use alternatives from the Kirkland 2044 Comprehensive Plan SEIS were used for analysis of 2044 traffic operations: the Existing Plan or “No Action” Alternative and the Growth Alternative. The



Existing Plan Alternative reflects forecasted growth through with no changes to land use policy or development regulations, while the Growth Alternative reflects forecasted growth with potential policy changes and future zoning amendments contemplated in the Land Use Element of the comprehensive plan.

The following analysis years and scenarios were analyzed for a comparison of existing and future traffic operations:

- 2022 Existing Conditions – AM and PM peak hours.
- 2044 “No Action” Alternative - AM and PM peak hours.
- 2044 “Growth” Alternative - AM and PM peak hours.

2.3. Traffic Forecasting Methods

Traffic demand forecasting in 2044 for the Existing Plan Alternative was based on the 2044 Bellevue-Kirkland-Redmond (BKR) travel demand model that includes future planned network improvements and the most recent adopted land use regulations and expected distribution of forecasted growth from the City of Kirkland. Traffic analysis volumes were post-processed using the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) 765 methods with the 2044 BKR model and 2022 traffic counts serving as inputs.

To derive the 2044 Growth Alternative traffic analysis volumes, the volumes from travel demand modeling for the Existing Plan Alternative in 2044 were adjusted traffic analysis zone (TAZ). Volume adjustments were based on differences in growth in housing and employment by TAZ based on land use changes associated with policies and development regulations in the Growth Alternative. To determine the degree of volume changes, trip generation rates and distribution patterns were calculated from the 2044 Existing Plan Alternative and scaled accordingly based on the TAZ land use changes.

3. Existing and Future Traffic Operations

3.1. 2022 Existing Traffic Operations

AM and PM peak hour traffic operations were analyzed for 2024 existing conditions to determine the overall intersection LOS and delay at each study intersection. Analysis of existing traffic conditions found no existing deficiencies in the 2022 base year as determined by the City’s LOS standards in in the Public Works Department Pre-Approved Plans Policy R-38. While traffic analysis for the AM peak hour was also performed for 2022 existing conditions, the City does not have an intersection LOS standard for the AM peak hour. The traffic analysis found no existing deficiencies in traffic operations at Kirkland’s key intersections. Most of the key intersections identified were above standard during the PM peak hour. Only two intersections currently operate at the City’s standard of LOS E based on the analysis of 2022 traffic data during the PM peak hour:

- NE 124th Street & 116th Ave NE/I-405 on-ramp
- NE 124th Street & Slater Ave-132nd Place

Although neither of these intersections represent an existing LOS deficiency, they are likely to fall below the City’s standards with expected employment and housing growth through 2044.





3.2. 2044 Existing Plan (No Action) Alternative Traffic Operations

BACKGROUND PROJECTS

Roadway capacity projects listed in the City's Capital Improvement Program assumed to be in place by 2044 and were included in the 2044 No Action alternative traffic analysis. Notable background projects include the NE 85th Street interchange improvements and the NE 132nd Street interchange improvements which convert signalized study into roundabouts. The future year analysis also assumes that signal timing splits would be optimized for increased traffic volumes.

TRAFFIC OPERATIONS RESULTS

Due to the forecasted growth through 2044, the future 2044 Existing Plan alternative traffic operations generally experience worsening LOS and increased delays compared to existing (2022) traffic operations. Analysis of future deficiencies used Public Works Department Pre-Approved Plans Policy R-38, which is interpreted as a minimum standard of LOS E during the PM peak hour for long-range planning. During the PM peak hour, nine study intersections are anticipated to operate at the LOS standard of LOS E and four study intersections are anticipated to operate at LOS F.

The following intersections operate at LOS E or LOS F during the PM peak hour:

- NE 85th St & 132nd Ave NE (LOS E)
- NE 70th Pl & 116th Ave NE (LOS E)
- 98th Ave NE & Juanita-Drive-NE 116th Street (LOS E)
- NE 116th Street & 124th Ave NE (LOS F)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 124th Street (LOS E)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 132nd Street (LOS F)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 145th Street (LOS E)
- NE 124th Street & 124th Ave NE (LOS E)
- NE 124th Street & 116th Ave NE/I-405 on-ramp (LOS F)
- NE 124th Street & Slater Ave-132nd Place (LOS F)
- NE 132nd Street & Totem Lake Blvd (LOS E)
- NE 128th St & 120th Ave NE (LOS E)
- NE 128th St & 116th Ave NE (LOS E)

At some locations where the signalized intersection would be replaced with a roundabout included in the Capital Improvement Program, the overall intersection delay decreases and LOS improves.

3.3. 2044 Growth Alternative Traffic Operations

Similar to the 2044 Existing Plan Alternative, traffic operations generally degrade under the 2044 Growth alternative when compared to existing (2022) traffic operations. During the PM peak hour, ten study intersections are anticipated to operate at the LOS standard of LOS E and eight study intersections are anticipated to operate at LOS F.



The following intersections operate at LOS E or LOS F during the PM peak hour:

- NE 85th St & 132nd Ave NE (LOS E)
- NE 85th St & 120th Ave NE (LOS E)
- Central Way & 6th St (LOS E)
- Central Way & Lake St (LOS F)
- NE 68th St & 108th Ave NE (LOS F)
- NE 70th Pl & 116th Ave NE (LOS E)
- 98th Ave NE & Juanita-Drive-NE 116th Street (LOS F)
- NE 116th Street & 120th Ave NE/I-405 ramp (LOS E)
- NE 116th Street & 124th Ave NE (LOS F)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 124th Street (LOS E)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 132nd Street (LOS F)
- 100th Ave NE & NE 145th Street (LOS E)
- NE 124th Street & 124th Ave NE (LOS F)
- NE 124th Street & 116th Ave NE/I-405 on-ramp (LOS F)
- NE 124th Street & Slater Ave-132nd Place (LOS F)
- NE 132nd Street & Totem Lake Blvd (LOS E)
- Totem Lake Blvd & 120th Ave NE (LOS E)
- NE 128th St & 120th Ave NE (LOS E)

