

Water Quality Assessment for Washington

2012 Search



for Washington | [Data Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy Notice](#) | [Contact Us](#)
© 2012 Oregon State Department of Ecology. All Rights Reserved.

Wetland B - Rating Figure 4. Ecology 303(d) Screen Capture

Water Quality Improvement Projects (TMDLs)

[Water Quality Improvement](#) > [Water Quality Improvement Projects by WRIA](#) > [WRIA 8: Cedar-Sar](#)

WRIA 8: Cedar-Sammamish

The following table lists overview information for water quality improvement projects (including total maximum daily loads, or TMDLs) for this water resource inventory area ([WRIA](#)). Please use links (where available) for more information on a project.



Counties

- [King](#)
- [Snohomish](#)

Waterbody Name	Pollutants	Status**	TMDL Lead
Ballinger Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Bear-Evans Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Approved by EPA	
Cottage Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Issaquah Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Little Bear Creek Tributaries: Trout Stream Great Dane Creek Cutthroat Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
North Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Pipers Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Sammamish River	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Field work starts summer 2015	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Swamp Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036

** *Status* will be listed as one of the following: *Approved by EPA, Under Development or Implementation*

For more information about WRIA 8:

- [Waterbodies in WRIA 8](#) - using the Water Quality Assessment Query Tool
- [Watershed Information for WRIA 8](#)

* The Department of Ecology and other state resource agencies frequently use a system of 62 "Water Inventory Areas" or "WRIAs" to refer to the state's major watershed basins.

For purposes of rating, wetland unit contains swale to the southeast. Wetland is contiguous with stormwater feature. Unit was broken at culverts within stormwater feature, which appeared to have unidirectional flow.

ATTACHMENT 5
SHR17-00775

Wetland name or number _____

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): _____ Date of site visit: 1/18/16
 Rated by S. Corbin Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training 10/09 & 5/14
 HGM Class used for rating Depression/d Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).

Source of base aerial photo/map Google Earth

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY III (based on functions or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

- _____ Category I – Total score = 23 - 27
- _____ Category II – Total score = 20 - 22
- Category III – Total score = 16 - 19
- _____ Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

- 9 = H,H,H
- 8 = H,H,M
- 7 = H,H,L
- 7 = H,M,M
- 6 = H,M,L
- 6 = M,M,M
- 5 = H,L,L
- 5 = M,M,L
- 4 = M,L,L
- 3 = L,L,L

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic		Habitat				
	Circle the appropriate ratings								
Site Potential	H	M	<u>L</u>	H	M	<u>L</u>	H	M	<u>L</u>
Landscape Potential	H	<u>M</u>	L	<u>H</u>	M	L	H	M	<u>L</u>
Value	<u>H</u>	M	L	H	M	<u>L</u>	<u>H</u>	M	L
Score Based on Ratings	6		5		5		16		

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY
Estuarine	I II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I
Bog	I
Mature Forest	I
Old Growth Forest	I
Coastal Lagoon	I II
Interdunal	I II III IV
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NIA

Wetland name or number C

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	1
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	2
Location of outlet (<i>can be added to map of hydroperiods</i>)	D 1.1, D 4.1	2
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	3
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	4
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	5
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	6
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	7

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (<i>can be added to figure above</i>)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland name or number C

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO - go to 2

YES - the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** - go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO - **Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)**

YES - **Freshwater Tidal Fringe**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method cannot be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.*

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO - go to 3

YES - The wetland class is **Flats**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.*

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;

At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO - go to 4

YES - The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),

The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO - go to 5

YES - The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,

The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number C

NO - go to 6

YES - The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO - go to 7

YES - The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO - go to 8

YES - The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. **GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT** (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

*If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

Wetland name or number C

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. points = 2 * 2 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 1 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1	2
D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area points = 5 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 1/2 of area <i>grazed area grazed by geese</i> points = 3 3 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 1/10 of area points = 1 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < 1/10 of area points = 0	3
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation: <i>This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.</i> Area seasonally ponded is > 1/2 total area of wetland points = 4 Area seasonally ponded is > 1/4 total area of wetland points = 2 Area seasonally ponded is < 1/4 total area of wetland points = 0 0	0
Total for D 1	5

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M ~~X~~ 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges?	Yes = 1 1 No = 0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
Source _____	
Total for D 2	1

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H ~~X~~ 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? <i>Lake WA in this area is listed for bacteria.</i>	Yes = 1 1 No = 0
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? **	Yes = 1 1 No = 0
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found)? <i>None downstream</i>	Yes = 2 No = 0 0
Total for D 3	2

Rating of Value If score is: ~~X~~ 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

**Lake Union is downstream & within same HUC, & listed on 303d.

Wetland name or number C

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation	
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?	
D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	2
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3 The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 3 Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) points = 0	0
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself. * The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5 <i>8.8 acres / 0.4 ac = 22</i>	3
Total for D 4	5

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M ~~0-5 = L~~ Record the rating on the first page

D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?	
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes 1 No = 0	1
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? <i>Parking lot paved urban</i> Yes 1 No = 0	1
Total for D 5	3

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: ~~3 = H~~ 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 6.1. The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): • Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2 • Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. points = 1 Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1 The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. Explain why _____ points = 0 There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland. <i>Locks control water levels in lake</i> points = 0	0
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 6	0

Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H 1 = M ~~0 = L~~ Record the rating on the first page

*Contributing basin assessment assumes stormwater from NE Juanita Drive is not directed on site.

Wetland name or number C

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.		
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat		
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?		
<p>H 1.1. Structure of plant community: <i>Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.</i></p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic bed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emergent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) <input type="checkbox"/> Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) </p> <p><i>If the unit has a Forested class, check if:</i></p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon </p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4 structures or more: points = 4 3 structures: points = 2 2 structures: points = 1 1 structure: points = 0</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</p>	
<p>H 1.2. Hydroperiods</p> <p>Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (<i>see text for descriptions of hydroperiods</i>).</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flooded or inundated <input type="checkbox"/> Occasionally flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturated only <input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Lake Fringe wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Freshwater tidal wetland </p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4 or more types present: points = 3 3 types present: points = 2 2 types present: points = 1 1 type present: points = 0</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2 points 2 points</p>	
<p>H 1.3. Richness of plant species</p> <p>Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft². <i>Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle</i></p> <p>If you counted: > 19 species 5 - 19 species < 5 species</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">points = 2 points = 1 points = 0</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</p>	
<p>H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats</p> <p>Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>None = 0 points</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Low = 1 point</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Moderate = 2 points</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> </div> <p>All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3points</p>		<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</p>

Wetland name or number C

<p>H 1.5. Special habitat features: Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. <i>The number of checks is the number of points.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (<i>cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</p>		1
Total for H 1	Add the points in the boxes above	5

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 15-18 = H 7-14 = M ~~0-6 = L~~ *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?</p>		
<p>H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include <i>only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit</i>).</p> <p>Calculate: % undisturbed habitat <u>0</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>0</u> = <u>0</u> %</p> <p>If total accessible habitat is:</p> <p>> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3</p> <p>20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2</p> <p>10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1</p> <p>< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>		0
<p>H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.</p> <p>Calculate: % undisturbed habitat <u>7</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>15.5</u> = <u>22.5</u> %</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>		2
<p>H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If</p> <p>> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2)</p> <p>≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0</p>		-2
Total for H 2	Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 4-6 = H 1-3 = M ~~< 1 = L~~ *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?</p>		
<p>H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated.</i></p> <p>Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan</p> <p>Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1</p> <p>Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0</p>		2

Rating of Value If score is: ~~2 = H~~ 1 = M 0 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

Wetland name or number C

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf> or access the list from here: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- Biodiversity Areas and Corridors:** Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report). Lake WA
- **Herbaceous Balds:** Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- **Old-growth/Mature forests:** Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above).
- Riparian:** The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above).
- Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- **Nearshore:** Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs:** Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland name or number C

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
<i>Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.</i>	
<p>SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? — The dominant water regime is tidal, — Vegetated, and — With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes – Go to SC 1.1 No – Not an estuarine wetland</p>	
<p>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2</p>	Cat. I
<p>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25) — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland. — The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II</p>	Cat. I Cat. II
<p>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – Go to SC 2.3 SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 No = Not a WHCV SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV</p>	Cat. I
<p>SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i> SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2 SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Is not a bog SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? Yes = Is a Category I bog No = Is not a bog</p>	Cat. I

Wetland name or number C


<p>SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more. — Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). <p>Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section</p>	<p>Cat. I</p>
<p>SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks — The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom</i>) <p>Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p> <p>SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100). — At least ¼ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland. — The wetland is larger than 1/10 ac (4350 ft²) <p>Yes = Category I No = Category II</p>	<p>Cat. I</p> <p>Cat. II</p>
<p>SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 — Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 — Ocean Shores-Copalls: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p>Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p>SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3</p> <p>SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? Yes = Category III No = Category IV</p>	<p>Cat I</p> <p>Cat. II</p> <p>Cat. III</p> <p>Cat. IV</p>
<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Wetland name or number _____

This page left blank intentionally




Wetland C - Rating Figure 1. Cowardin Classes



Wetland C - Rating Figure 2. Hydroperiods



Wetland C - Rating Figure 3. 150 Foot Buffer



Wetland C - Rating Figure 4. Contributing Basin



Wetland C - Rating Figure 5. 1 Kilometer Buffer

Water Quality Improvement Projects (TMDLs)

[Water Quality Improvement](#) > [Water Quality Improvement Projects by WRIA](#) > WRIA 8: Cedar-Sar

WRIA 8: Cedar-Sammamish

The following table lists overview information for water quality improvement projects (including total maximum daily loads, or TMDLs) for this water resource inventory area (WRIA). Please use links (where available) for more information on a project.



Counties

- [King](#)
- [Snohomish](#)

Waterbody Name	Pollutants	Status**	TMDL Lead
Ballinger Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Bear-Evans Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Approved by EPA	
Cottage Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Issaquah Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Little Bear Creek Tributaries: Trout Stream Great Dane Creek Cutthroat Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
North Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Pipers Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Sammamish River	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Field work starts summer 2015	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Swamp Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036

** Status will be listed as one of the following: Approved by EPA, Under Development or Implementation

For more information about WRIA 8:

- [Waterbodies in WRIA 8](#) - using the Water Quality Assessment Query Tool
- [Watershed Information for WRIA 8](#)

* The Department of Ecology and other state resource agencies frequently use a system of 62 "Water Inventory Areas" or "WRIAs" to refer to the state's major watershed basins.

Wetland name or number D

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): _____ Date of site visit: 1/18/16
 Rated by S. Corbin Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training 10/09 & 5/14
 HGM Class used for rating Depressional Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).

Source of base aerial photo/map Google Earth

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY IV (based on functions or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

_____ Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

_____ Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

_____ Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic		Habitat					
<i>Circle the appropriate ratings</i>										
Site Potential	H	M	<u>L</u>	H	<u>M</u>	L	H	M	<u>L</u>	
Landscape Potential	H	M	<u>L</u>	H	<u>M</u>	L	H	M	<u>L</u>	
Value	H	<u>M</u>	L	H	M	<u>L</u>	<u>H</u>	M	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings	4		5		5		14			

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

- 9 = H,H,H
- 8 = H,H,M
- 7 = H,H,L
- 7 = H,M,M
- 6 = H,M,L
- 6 = M,M,M
- 5 = H,L,L
- 5 = M,M,L
- 4 = M,L,L
- 3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY
Estuarine	I II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I
Bog	I
Mature Forest	I
Old Growth Forest	I
Coastal Lagoon	I II
Interdunal	I II III IV
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

Wetland name or number D

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	1
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	1
Location of outlet (<i>can be added to map of hydroperiods</i>)	D 1.1, D 4.1	1
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	2
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	3
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	4
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	5
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	6

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (<i>can be added to figure above</i>)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland name or number D

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO - go to 2

YES - the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** - go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO - **Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)**

YES - **Freshwater Tidal Fringe**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.*

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO - go to 3

YES - The wetland class is **Flats**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.*

3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;

At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO - go to 4

YES - The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),

The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO - go to 5

YES - The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?

The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,

The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number D

NO - go to 6

YES - The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO - go to 7

YES - The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO - go to 8

YES - The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. **GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT** (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

*If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

Wetland name or number D

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. points = 2 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing. points = 1 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1	3
D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area. points = 5 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 1/2 of area. points = 3 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 1/10 of area. points = 1 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < 1/10 of area. points = 0	0
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation: <i>This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.</i> Area seasonally ponded is > 1/2 total area of wetland. points = 4 Area seasonally ponded is > 1/4 total area of wetland. points = 2 Area seasonally ponded is < 1/4 total area of wetland. points = 0	0
Total for D 1	3

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M ~~0-5 = L~~ Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? Source _____ Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 2	0

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H 1 or 2 = M ~~0 = L~~ Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? <u>Doesn't discharge</u> Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? * Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found)? Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 3	1



Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H ~~1 = M~~ 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

* Lake Union downstream, within same HUC, is listed on 303(d).

Wetland name or number D

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation	
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?	
D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = <u>4</u> Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3 The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 3 Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) <u>No marks of ponding observed.</u> points = <u>0</u>	0
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself. The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = <u>5</u> The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit $30,192 \text{ ft}^2 / 3,924 \text{ ft}^2 = 7.7$ points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5	5
Total for D 4	9
Rating of Site Potential If score is: <u>12-16 = H</u> 6-11 = M <u>0-5 = L</u> Record the rating on the first page	
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?	
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = <u>0</u>	0
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = <u>1</u> No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? <u>Park, conv. ind. high intensity</u> Yes = <u>1</u> No = 0	1
Total for D 5	2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: <u>3 = H</u> 1 or 2 = M <u>0 = L</u> Record the rating on the first page	
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 6.1. The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): • Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2 • Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. points = 1 Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1 The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. Explain why _____ points = 0 There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland. points = <u>0</u>	0
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = <u>0</u>	0
Total for D 6	0
Rating of Value If score is: <u>2-4 = H</u> <u>1 = M</u> 0 = L Record the rating on the first page	

Wetland name or number D

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
<p>H 1.1. Structure of plant community: <i>Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emergent 3 structures: points = 2</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0</p> <p><i>If the unit has a Forested class, check if:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon</p>	1
<p>H 1.2. Hydroperiods</p> <p>Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (<i>see text for descriptions of hydroperiods</i>).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasionally flooded or inundated <i>Standing water observed</i> 2 types present: points = 1</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Lake Fringe wetland 2 points</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Freshwater tidal wetland 2 points</p>	1
<p>H 1.3. Richness of plant species</p> <p>Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft². <i>Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle</i></p> <p>If you counted: > 19 species points = 2</p> <p>5 - 19 species points = 1</p> <p>< 5 species points = 0</p>	0
<p>H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats</p> <p>Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersions among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>None = 0 points</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Low = 1 point</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Moderate = 2 points</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> </div> <p>All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3points</p>	1

Wetland name or number D

<p>H 1.5. Special habitat features: Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. <i>The number of checks is the number of points.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (<i>cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</p>		1
Total for H 1	Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 15-18 = H 7-14 = M 0-6 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?</p>		
<p>H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include <i>only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit</i>). <i>Calculate:</i> % undisturbed habitat <u>0</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>0</u> = <u>0</u> % If total accessible habitat is: > 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = <u>0</u></p>		0
<p>H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland. <i>Calculate:</i> % undisturbed habitat <u>7</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2] <u>15</u> = <u>22</u> % Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = <u>2</u> Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>		2
<p>H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) ≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0</p>		-2
Total for H 2	Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 4-6 = H 1-3 = M < 1 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?</p>		
<p>H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose <i>only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated</i>. Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = <u>2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) <input type="checkbox"/> It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists) <input type="checkbox"/> It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species <input type="checkbox"/> It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources <input type="checkbox"/> It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan <p>Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1</p> <p>Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0</p>		2

Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

Wetland name or number D

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf> or access the list from here: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- Biodiversity Areas and Corridors:** Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report). Lake WA
- **Herbaceous Balds:** Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- **Old-growth/Mature forests:** Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameter's exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above).
- Riparian:** The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above).
- Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- **Nearshore:** Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs:** Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland name or number D

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
<i>Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.</i>	
<p>SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? — The dominant water regime is tidal, — Vegetated, and — With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes – Go to SC 1.1 No = Not an estuarine wetland</p>	N/A
<p>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2</p>	Cat. I
<p>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25) — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland. — The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II</p>	Cat. I Cat. II
<p>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – Go to SC 2.3 SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 No = Not a WHCV SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV</p>	Cat. I
<p>SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i> SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2 SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Is not a bog SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? Yes = Is a Category I bog No = Is not a bog</p>	Cat. I


Wetland name or number D

<p>SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more. — Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). <p style="text-align: right;">Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section</p>	<p>Cat. I</p>
<p>SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks — The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom</i>) <p style="text-align: right;">Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p>	<p>Cat. I</p>
<p>SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100). — At least ⅓ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland. — The wetland is larger than 1/10 ac (4350 ft²) <p style="text-align: right;">Yes = Category I No = Category II</p>	<p>Cat. II</p>
<p>SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 — Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 — Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p style="text-align: right;">Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p>SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3</p> <p>SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? Yes = Category III No = Category IV</p>	<p>Cat I</p> <p>Cat. II</p> <p>Cat. III</p> <p>Cat. IV</p>
<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Wetland name or number _____

This page left blank intentionally

Notes:
- No outlet present



Wetland D - Rating Figure 1. Cowardin Classes and Hydroperiod

Notes:
- No pollutant generating surfaces present within 150 foot buffer
- Areas that generate excess runoff include lawn (per manual), building, and paved sidewalks



Wetland D - Rating Figure 2. 150 Foot Buffer



Wetland D - Rating Figure 3. Contributing Basin



Wetland D - Rating Figure 4. 1 Kilometer Buffer

Water Quality Assessment for Washington

2012 Search



for Washington Data Disclaimer Privacy Notice Contact Us
for the State Department of Ecology All Rights Reserved

Wetland D - Rating Figure 5. Ecology 303(d) Screen Capture

Water Quality Improvement Projects (TMDLs)

[Water Quality Improvement](#) > [Water Quality Improvement Projects by WRIA](#) > [WRIA 8: Cedar-Sar](#)

WRIA 8: Cedar-Sammamish

The following table lists overview information for water quality improvement projects (including total maximum daily loads, or TMDLs) for this water resource inventory area (WRIA). Please use links (where available) for more information on a project.



Counties

- [King](#)
- [Snohomish](#)

Waterbody Name	Pollutants	Status**	TMDL Lead
Ballinger Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Bear-Evans Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Approved by EPA	
Cottage Lake	Total Phosphorus	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Tricia Shoblom 425-649-7288
Issaquah Creek Basin	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Little Bear Creek Tributaries: Trout Stream Great Dane Creek Cutthroat Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
North Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Pipers Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA	Joan Nolan 425-649-4425
Sammamish River	Dissolved Oxygen Temperature	Field work starts summer 2015	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036
Swamp Creek	Fecal Coliform	Approved by EPA Has an implementation plan	Ralph Svrcek 425-649-7036

** Status will be listed as one of the following: Approved by EPA, Under Development or Implementation

For more information about WRIA 8:

- [Waterbodies in WRIA 8](#) - using the Water Quality Assessment Query Tool
- [Watershed Information for WRIA 8](#)

* The Department of Ecology and other state resource agencies frequently use a system of 62 "Water Inventory Areas" or "WRIAs" to refer to the state's major watershed basins.


Wetland D - Rating Figure 6. Ecology WRIA 8 TMDL Screen Capture

APPENDIX F
GREEN KIRKLAND PARTNERSHIP RESTORATION MANAGEMENT UNIT MAPS

Juanita Beach Park South Restoration Map

December 2015

- Restoration Management Units
- Active Restoration 3.3 acres
- Future Restoration 0 acres
- Monitoring Points
- Park Boundary
- Trails
- Cross Kirkland Corridor
- Streams
- Lakes
- Parcels
- City Limits






Author: Parks/IT
Name: GKP_RMU_JBeachS_110215
Date Saved: 1/22/2016 9:27:13 AM



Juanita Bay Park West Restoration Map-DRAFT

December 2016

- Restoration Management Units
- Active Restoration 11.96 acres
- Future Restoration 85.82 acres
- Monitoring Point
- Park Boundary
- Trails
- Streams
 - Open
 - Pipe
- Lakes
- Ten Foot Contours
- Parcels
- City Limits



APPENDIX G

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT
YOUR WETLAND DELINEATION/MITIGATION AND/OR STREAM
CLASSIFICATION REPORT**

Date: December 19, 2017
To: Mr. Erik Barr
Patano Studio Architecture

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR WETLAND DELINEATION/MITIGATION AND/OR STREAM CLASSIFICATION REPORT

A WETLAND/STREAM REPORT IS BASED ON PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS.

Wetland delineation/mitigation and stream classification reports are based on a unique set of project-specific factors. These typically include the general nature of the project and property involved, its size, and its configuration; historical use and practice; the location of the project on the site and its orientation; and the level of additional risk the client assumed by virtue of limitations imposed upon the exploratory program. The jurisdiction of any particular wetland/stream is determined by the regulatory authority(s) issuing the permit(s). As a result, one or more agencies will have jurisdiction over a particular wetland or stream with sometimes confusing regulations. It is necessary to involve a consultant who understands which agency(s) has jurisdiction over a particular wetland/stream and what the agency(s) permitting requirements are for that wetland/stream. To help reduce or avoid potential costly problems, have the consultant determine how any factors or regulations (which can change subsequent to the report) may affect the recommendations.

Unless your consultant indicates otherwise, your report should not be used:

- If the size or configuration of the proposed project is altered.
- If the location or orientation of the proposed project is modified.
- If there is a change of ownership.
- For application to an adjacent site.
- For construction at an adjacent site or on site.
- Following floods, earthquakes, or other acts of nature.

Wetland/stream consultants cannot accept responsibility for problems that may develop if they are not consulted after factors considered in their reports have changed. Therefore, it is incumbent upon you to notify your consultant of any factors that may have changed prior to submission of our final report.

Wetland boundaries identified and stream classifications made by Shannon & Wilson, Inc. are considered preliminary until validated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and/or the local jurisdictional agency. Validation by the regulating agency(s) provides a certification, usually written, that the wetland boundaries verified are the boundaries that will be regulated by the agency(s) until a specified date, or until the regulations are modified, and that the stream has been properly classified. Only the regulating agency(s) can provide this certification.

MOST WETLAND/STREAM "FINDINGS" ARE PROFESSIONAL ESTIMATES.

Site exploration identifies wetland/stream conditions at only those points where samples are taken and when they are taken, but the physical means of obtaining data preclude the determination of precise conditions. Consequently, the information obtained is intended to be sufficiently accurate for design, but is subject to interpretation. Additionally, data derived through sampling and subsequent laboratory testing are extrapolated by the consultant who then renders an opinion about overall conditions, the likely reaction to proposed construction activity, and/or appropriate design. Even under optimal circumstances, actual conditions may differ from those thought to exist because no consultant, no matter how qualified, and no exploration program, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal what is hidden by earth, rock, and time. Nothing can be done to prevent the unanticipated, but steps can be taken to help reduce their impacts. For this reason, most experienced owners retain their consultants through the construction or wetland mitigation/stream classification stage to identify variances, to conduct additional evaluations that may be needed, and to recommend solutions to problems encountered on site.

WETLAND/STREAM CONDITIONS CAN CHANGE.

Since natural systems are dynamic systems affected by both natural processes and human activities, changes in wetland boundaries and stream conditions may be expected. Therefore, delineated wetland boundaries and stream classifications cannot remain valid for an indefinite period of time. The Corps typically recognizes the validity of wetland delineations for a period of five years after completion. Some city and county agencies recognize the validity of wetland delineations for a period of two years. If a period of years have passed since the wetland/stream report was completed, the owner is advised to have the consultant reexamine the wetland/stream to determine if the classification is still accurate.

Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes, or water fluctuations may also affect conditions and, thus, the continuing adequacy of the wetland/stream report. The consultant should be kept apprised of any such events and should be consulted to determine if additional evaluation is necessary.

THE WETLAND/STREAM REPORT IS SUBJECT TO MISINTERPRETATION.

Costly problems can occur when plans are developed based on misinterpretation of a wetland/stream report. To help avoid these problems, the consultant should be retained to work with other appropriate professionals to explain relevant wetland, stream, geological, and other findings, and to review the adequacy of plans and specifications relative to these issues.

DATA FORMS SHOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE REPORT.

Final data forms are developed by the consultant based on interpretation of field sheets (assembled by site personnel) and laboratory evaluation of field samples. Only final data forms customarily are included in a report. These data forms should not, under any circumstances, be drawn for inclusion in other drawings because drafters may commit errors or omissions in the transfer process. Although photographic reproduction eliminates this problem, it does nothing to reduce the possibility of misinterpreting the forms. When this occurs, delays, disputes, and unanticipated costs are frequently the result.

To reduce the likelihood of data from misinterpretation, contractors, engineers, and planners should be given ready access to the complete report. Those who do not provide such access may proceed under the mistaken impression that simply disclaiming responsibility for the accuracy of information always insulates them from attendant liability. Providing the best available information to contractors, engineers, and planners helps prevent costly problems and the adversarial attitudes that aggravate them to a disproportionate scale.

READ RESPONSIBILITY CLAUSES CLOSELY.

Because a wetland delineation/stream classification is based extensively on judgment and opinion, it is far less exact than other design disciplines. This situation has resulted in wholly unwarranted claims being lodged against consultants. To help prevent this problem, consultants have developed a number of clauses for use in written transmittals. These are not exculpatory clauses designed to foist the consultant's liabilities onto someone else; rather, they are definitive clauses that identify where the consultant's responsibilities begin and end. Their use helps all parties involved recognize their individual responsibilities and take appropriate action. Some of these definitive clauses are likely to appear in your report, and you are encouraged to read them closely. Your consultant will be pleased to give full and frank answers to your questions.

THERE MAY BE OTHER STEPS YOU CAN TAKE TO REDUCE RISK.

Your consultant will be pleased to discuss other techniques or designs that can be employed to mitigate the risk of delays and to provide a variety of alternatives that may be beneficial to your project.

Contact your consultant for further information.



April 13, 2018

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
123 Fifth Avenue
Kirkland, WA 98033

**RE: RESPONSE TO THE WATERSHED COMPANY REVIEW OF JUANITA
BEACH PARK PHASE II CODE CONSISTENCY, KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON**

Dear Anneke:

Shannon & Wilson, Inc. (S&W) was contracted by the City of Kirkland Parks & Recreation Department (City Parks) through Patano Studio Architecture to support design and permitting of Juanita Beach Park Phase II Improvements (Project). On December 19, 2017, S&W submitted permit applications to the City of Kirkland Planning Department (City Planning) to obtain a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit and a Shoreline Variance, along with a State Environmental Policy Act checklist. The submitted materials also included a letter from S&W that provides an analysis of the proposed project's compliance with the City's Shoreline Master Program and critical areas regulations in Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) Chapter 83.

Prior to submittal to City Planning, a meeting was held on July 26, 2017, at Washington Department of Ecology's (Ecology's) Bellevue office to discuss the proposed project and the draft shoreline compliance analysis. Attendees of the meeting included Ecology (Joe Burcar, Betty Renkor, and Doug Gresham); City Planning (Jeremy McMahan, by phone, and Janice Coogan); City Parks (represented by Anneke Davis); and members of the consultant team (Erik Barr and Amy Summe). This meeting was followed by a site visit on August 14, 2017, attended by Ecology (Doug Gresham), City Planning (Janice Coogan), City Parks (represented by Anneke Davis), and consultant Amy Summe. The outcomes of those discussions and site visits were reflected in the December 2017 submittal to City Planning.

City Planning contracted with The Watershed Company (TWC) to review the Project's compliance with City code. TWC provided the results of its review in a letter to City Planning dated March 30, 2018. The TWC review reported the following primary deficiencies:

400 NORTH 34TH STREET, SUITE 100
P.O. BOX 300303
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98103
206-832-8020 FAX 206-895-8777
TDD 1-800-833-8388
www.shannonwilson.com

21-1-22161-007

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 2 of 11

- Insufficient mitigation sequencing implementation and analysis, and
- Insufficient mitigation of wetlands and buffers.

Table 1 below provides a point-by-point response to TWC’s review letter. For additional context, particularly relevant to the first alleged deficiency, the table is preceded by a history of the Juanita Beach Park Master Plan development and a summary of the resulting specific project requirements.

PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

Per KZC 83.490.2.a,

“The applicant shall consider reasonable, affirmative steps and make best efforts to avoid critical area impacts. If impacts cannot be avoided through redesign, or because of site conditions or *project requirements*, the applicant shall then proceed with the following sequence of steps...” [emphasis added]

The project requirements were established through an extensive public process, five public meetings and an agency meeting, that culminated in the City Council’s adoption of the Juanita Beach Park Master Plan in 2006 by Resolution R-4570. Phase I implementation was completed in 2011, consistent with the Master Plan. This planned Phase II project must also remain consistent with the Juanita Beach Park Master Plan. To achieve this, the following project requirements are identified. (Quotations are directly from the Master Plan.)

1. Replace Bathhouse
 - a. Replace existing bathhouse; maintain current uses of bathhouse
 - b. Provide picnic pavilion
 - c. Provide a small boat rental facility – “relatively close service access and a connection to open water.”
2. Improve Site Functionality
 - a. Open views from ball fields and Juanita Drive to “promote use and access.”
 - b. “The restroom / concession building [is] located adjacent to the western end of the lakefront promenade. This facility provides beach amenities as well as a food concession for the beach and lawn areas. A playground is to the east of this building.”
 - c. Provide public recreation space for users to picnic, sunbathe, enjoy the shoreline and lake view, and monitor their children on the playground and shoreline.

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 3 of 11

- i. “The buildings are sited at the edges of the lawn and plaza areas to assist in defining the spaces.”
 - ii. “Buildings are developed with a craftsmen style architectural character that strongly ties to the parks natural landscape, open lawn character and the historic recreational use of the site.”
 - iii. “Buildings are tucked into gentle landforms or vegetation edges.”
- 3. Improve Safety
 - a. Provide a lifeguard station.
 - b. Re-orientation of bathhouse perpendicular to shoreline to keep the views of the park open and as unobstructed as possible. Minimize opportunities for after-hours illegal activity.
- 4. Preserve Shade Trees - The lawn areas to the west and east of the central space provide picnic and informal play opportunities within the lawn and scattered shade tree setting. Picnic shelters are located within each of these lawn areas.

RESPONSE TO THE WATERSHED COMPANY (TWC) ANALYSIS

The substantive portions of the TWC review letter are excerpted below in the left-hand column, with a City Parks response to each discrete item provided in the right-hand column.

**TABLE 1
RESPONSE TO THE WATERSHED COMPANY’S ASSESSMENT OF CODE COMPLIANCE**

Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company’s Compliance Review Letter		Response
Introduction		
1	Stated project objectives are described as follows: ... Provide Shade Trees: This is in specific reference to one weeping willow near Wetland D.	The submitted documents state that one of the project’s objectives is to <i>preserve</i> an existing weeping willow. Providing <i>new</i> shade trees is not one of the project’s stated objectives. The project is also attempting to preserve a large oak tree at the northeast corner of the existing bathhouse; this was not described in earlier documentation as the tree is just outside of any critical area buffers.
2	These objectives are summarized in the compliance analysis as, “maximizing the function of usable public access and public, water-oriented recreation space.” While these objectives express the desires of the Applicant, they do not identify any minimum thresholds to meeting public demand for use of the park.	Per KZC 83.490.2.a, mitigation sequencing includes consideration of the project requirements. The “desires of the Applicant,” as the manager of a City-owned public resource, translate directly to project requirements for upgrade of that public resource. The code does not appear to require establishment of any quantitative minimum thresholds. According to Jason Filan, Parks Maintenance Manager, Juanita Beach Park is the busiest in the City (pers. comm., 5 April 2018). City Parks has not conducted any quantitative assessments of park use. However, the park has a number of well-attended events, including: summer concert series,

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 4 of 11

Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company's Compliance Review Letter		Response
		Friday market, children's triathlon, adult runs, and volleyball league games. Birthday parties and other celebrations are also regularly held at the park. Although the park is most intensively used during the summer months, there is consistent activity year-round. The over-water boardwalk, nature trails, and other pathways are popular with walkers, joggers, and bird-watchers, even during the winter months.
3	It would be helpful for the Applicant to substantiate the need for improved configuration for police enforcement by documenting police records of criminal activity noted in Juanita Beach Park under the current park site configuration or other supporting documentation.	The proposed project design, from buildings to landscaping, incorporates commonly accepted principles of CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design). When CPTED is implemented properly, "The proper design and effective use of the built environment can lead to a reduction in the fear and incidence of crime, and to an improvement in quality of life." ¹ Juanita Beach Park is the busiest Kirkland park, and also the top park in number of calls for service to the Kirkland Police Department (KPD). According to a Calls for Service report provided by KPD in Fall 2017, there were 706 calls for service over a two-year period (9/21/15 – 9/21/17). A site layout that increases sight lines and decreases hiding places will deter illicit and after-hours activity, and allow for easier patrolling by the KPD.
Mitigation Sequencing - Avoidance		
4	While complete avoidance and protection of these wetlands [C and D] is not feasible, it is not clear whether the retention of these two wetlands in their current degraded state may be feasible while still accommodating recreational uses, which occur predominantly in drier summer months, as occurs under the current condition.	Jason Filan, City Parks Maintenance Manager, indicated that the two wetland areas are the last open spaces to be utilized during the summer, even though they are in prime locations for park users next to the beach. The vegetation in the mowed wetlands is uncomfortable to sit or lay on because it is rigid and prickly, and the shallow depressions retain moisture. Mr. Filan stated that "customers would love it if [the open lawn space] could be uniform."
5	The Applicant should provide an analysis of views to substantiate the effects on other properties, with additional consideration to KZC 83.410.3.b, which indicates that shoreline view corridor requirements do not apply to public parks.	Consideration of adjacent property owners view concerns was not driven by a code requirement, but by comments received during the public process and ongoing engagement of adjacent landowners during Phase II planning. One of the comments provided in early public meetings relates to views: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> View issues need to be considered. The view of the lake is important and should be maintained, particularly the view from Juanita Drive and the ballfields. Patano Studio Architecture prepared an exhibit (enclosed) showing the view impacts of the existing and proposed

¹ Zahm, D. L., 1997, Designing Safer Communities: A Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design Handbook, National Crime Prevention Council, Washington, D.C., p. 7.

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 5 of 11

	Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company's Compliance Review Letter	Response
		bathhouses during the early design phases. Michael Cogle, City Parks Deputy Director, used this exhibit during discussions with upland condominium owners. The design team has taken care to place and orient structures to minimize view obstructions for all park users, taking advantage of existing conifers to "hide" the new bathhouse building. (The view study was conducted early in design and shows the previous large pavilion scheme which has since been abandoned in favor of two smaller, less view-obstructive pavilions to reduce impacts on views through the park.)
6	With respect to concessions, the Applicant's argument seems to express a desire rather than unavoidable project needs.	The easy access to water-dependent rental equipment and a small selection of snacks is a popular feature of the existing park [for documentation, see TripAdvisor reviews, for example]. These concessions support park use, and are considered project requirements by City Parks. As noted in the Master Plan, "[t]he possibility of small-scale concessions in the Park has been brought up many times in past reports and in public meetings conducted by the current design team."
7	The alternatives presented in the compliance analysis memorandum (Shannon and Willson [sic] 2017) suggest that avoidance of buffer areas within the provisions of Chapter 83 is feasible, but not desirable. The argument that staff, elected officials, and citizens valued the benefits of the project objectives over the preservation of critical areas does not affect the interpretation of the City's critical area standards.	As noted in KZC 83.490.2.a, mitigation sequencing includes consideration of the project requirements. As explained in the S&W letter, avoidance is feasible, but only at the expense of not meeting one or more of City Parks' project requirements.
8	It is recognized that the reuse of playground equipment requires a specific footprint; however, the case that the playground must be in close proximity of the water for safety purposes is unconvincing.	Keeping the playground farther from the parking area, and closer to the other primary play space (beach and water) is important to visiting parents, particularly those with more than one child and without a 1:1 adult/child ratio. Because the park has multiple amenities for recreation, it is inevitable that children will utilize different play areas (beach and playground) concurrently. Siting play areas in close proximity allows for a parent/guardian to adequately supervise more than one child. Siting play areas farther from parking lots will also reduce potential for pedestrian/vehicle conflict. Extra consideration at the park design stage will facilitate child safety and park enjoyment. As stated by Jason Filan, City Parks Maintenance Manager, it is "imperative" that these two play areas (beach and playground) be located close together.

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 6 of 11

Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company's Compliance Review Letter		Response
Mitigation Sequencing - Minimization		
9	The Applicant should clearly document ... the potential impacts to views of adjacent properties if those are critical factors shaping project design.	See response above under Mitigation Sequencing – Avoidance (comment 5).
10	The Applicant should clearly document the effects of limited views for law enforcement... Documentation of past problems arising from the existing condition would help demonstrate need.	See response above under Mitigation Sequencing – Avoidance (comment 5).
11	... the Applicant should address factors such as lighting, noise, low impact development, construction techniques to minimize short-term impacts, water quality, and measures to minimize disturbance of remaining and restored buffers, such as fencing, as required per 83.500.5.	<p>The completed buildings (bathhouse and pavilions) will be lit at night with low-level, building-mounted lighting designed to minimize light pollution.</p> <p>During construction, noise impacts will be minimized through use of properly maintained equipment equipped with mufflers as needed. The project does not include any new pollution-generating impervious surfaces, adds only a limited amount of new impervious surfaces, will produce a net increase in on-site native vegetation, and will use a vegetated bioswale to manage bathhouse roof and pavement runoff. As required by the <i>King County Surface Water Design Manual</i>, the onsite soils are also being amended following construction to restore any lost soil moisture holding capacity.</p> <p>All of these measures are consistent with low impact development practices.</p> <p>The primary potential pollutants are sediment from disturbed soils, petroleum products used by construction equipment, and fill materials (concrete, topsoil, wood fiber). The discharge of potential waste materials into surface or ground waters will be minimized during construction through use of BMPs associated with a Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan. All equipment exposed to concrete will be cleaned in such a manner as to prevent cement-laden water from entering Lake Washington or Juanita Creek. Water that has been exposed to concrete will be captured and treated for turbidity and pH prior to being released. The project is not constructing any pollution-generating impervious surfaces, so runoff post construction will not adversely affect ground or surface waters. Long-term stormwater management facilities include a native vegetation bioswale.</p> <p>City Parks uses targeted herbicide applications only to manage and attempt control of noxious weeds, such as reed canarygrass and purple loosestrife. Those applications are made consistent with state and federal law, and are applied by</p>

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 7 of 11

Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company's Compliance Review Letter		Response
		licensed applicators. The City does not use any broad-based applications. Pesticide use is also limited to targeted applications of wasp and hornet nests to protect users.
Project Impacts		
12	On pages 9 and 10 of <i>The Final Wetland /Stream Delineation Report and Mitigation Plan</i> (Shannon and Wilson 2017), Wetland C and D are described as having moderate to low water quality functions, moderate hydrologic functions, and moderate habitat functions. On page 22 of the same document, the wetlands are described as having "extremely limited hydrologic, water quality, and habitat function." This discrepancy should be resolved, and the specific impacts of filling Wetlands C and D warrants further discussion.	The statements on pages 9 and 10 regarding function are expressly connected to the wetland rating system scoring, which assigns these values based on points earned without any other application of professional judgment based on the wetland's true performance and its unique setting. A review of the wetland rating forms shows that the points values would have been even lower except the proximity of Lake Washington has a strong upward effect on this rapid value assessment. The characterization on page 22 remains accurate, and considers the specific location, composition, management, and use of the wetlands.
13	The discussion of wetland buffer impacts focuses on the limited function of the lawn condition. This discussion should also describe how the proposed project will impact buffer functions and associated measures to limit such impacts, particularly given the increasing focus of recreational usage in close proximity to the wetland and stream that would be expected to accompany the bathhouse relocation. Particular attention should be given to fish and wildlife habitat and the permanency of the bathhouse relative to existing facilities within the buffer.	After construction of the new bathhouse and relocated play structure, the activity and noise levels reaching the stream and Wetland A from the park's interior are expected to drop or, at worst, remain stable. The bathhouse is expected to partially shield the stream and Wetland A from a lot of noise and activity that is taking place associated with the current playground and picnic spaces/lawn areas (see the relative positions of the proposed bathhouse and existing playground in Figure 6 of the <i>Final Wetland /Stream Delineation Report and Mitigation Plan</i>). Also, the addition of the native vegetated bioswale west of the bathhouse will further limit activity in that area of buffer compared to current condition. Aside from entry into restroom areas and the concession area, most of the activity and approaches to the bathhouse will be from the east. Jason Filan, City Parks Maintenance Manager, estimated that 85 to 90 percent of bathhouse-related activity will occur on its east side.
Compensatory Buffer Mitigation		
14	The project plans should include buffer enhancement and wetland mitigation planting plans. Presently, those plans are only found in the <i>The [sic] Final Wetland /Stream Delineation Report and Mitigation Plan</i> (Shannon and Wilson 2017).	Revised mitigation plan sheets will be included in the project plan set.
15	Additionally, the native planting plan plant schedule for the area within the stream buffer adjacent to the proposed bathhouse differs between the proposed plans and	The project plan set will be updated to show the same plant schedule included in the mitigation plan (Sheet 2 of Figure 9).

Ms. Anneke Davis, PE
City of Kirkland Public Works Department
April 13, 2018
Page 8 of 11

Key Excerpts from The Watershed Company's Compliance Review Letter		Response
	<i>The [sic] Final Wetland /Stream Delineation Report and Mitigation Plan</i> (Shannon and Wilson 2017). These plant schedules should align, and they should only include plant species native to the lowlands of Western Washington (i.e., no cultivars and no arctic willow).	
16	Finally, planting typicals and quantities are needed for the Native Plant Mix Planting Zone and the Woodland Area Native Mix.	A section of KZC could not be located that required inclusion of "planting typicals and quantities" in a planting plan. The plant schedules for the Native Mix Planting Zone and the Woodland Area Native Mix include plant spacing, which is all that's needed to calculate total plant quantities when the areas are known. SvR has updated its plant schedules with tentative quantities for the 60% design level (see enclosed updated Figure 9, Sheet 2); the relative proportions of plant species may still change prior to project implementation, but will not be functionally different than what is shown.
17	The proposed buffer enhancement west of the volleyball courts appears to compensate for the area of permanent buffer impact depicted in Figure 7 of <i>The [sic] Final Wetland /Stream Delineation Report and Mitigation Plan</i> (Shannon and Wilson 2017) at a 1:1 ratio.	The December 2017 mitigation plan shows a buffer enhancement ratio of approximately 1.65:1 (buffer enhancement : permanent buffer loss).
18	However, all areas to the east of the proposed structure should also be considered permanent buffer impacts, since these areas will be functionally isolated from the wetland by the new structure.	Since May 2017, the proposed mitigation plan figures provided to City Planning for review and comment have not classified the buffer area east of the proposed bathhouse as permanent impact. The updated plan set will show that area as permanent impact, which adds 2,137 square feet of permanent buffer loss (see enclosed updated Figure 7). As such, the proposed buffer enhancement to permanent buffer impact ratio drops to 1.23:1.
19	...however, additional activity could also be expected to become focused within the buffer as a result of the proposed bathhouse location. Recognizing that a fully functional buffer due west of the proposed bathhouse location may restrict recreational uses adjacent to the lakeshore, we would suggest that the Applicant consider additional buffer enhancement along the stream and wetland to the north of the proposed bathhouse location in order to ensure no net loss of functions.	A new building in place of a popular playground and lawn is not expected to increase activity levels. Most of the building-related activity and the relocated playground will be on the building's east side, with the stream and wetland largely shielded from noise and other disturbances by the building. Further, the proposed native vegetated bioswale on the west side of the building will provide some enhanced buffer function.