

Differing Viewpoints- Shared Commercial Areas -YES FOR CHANGE

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Multi- level buildings along NE 68th ST How tall? | 1 | 25-feet No more high rises No, keep at family size - small community 25-feet |
| B | Develop Houghton Center east of the railroad trestle on 68th with greater density and up to 5 story buildings. There would be remarkable vistas from to the lake and to Seattle; great access to the CKC trail by foot or by bike; Metro Market has become a great anchor tenant; Menchies and Teriyaki places are a real asset. The bank could be larger. A dry cleaner and Ace Hardware would be nice. (Central Houghton) | 5 | Only with corresponding transportation improvements (light rail bus corridor) If redeveloped - please put truck parking/deliveries underground |
| C | After consultation we should accept more density at Houghton Center with higher buildings and more businesses that serve the neighborhood being offset by more attractive landscaping or green/public space and parking underground. (Everest) | 2 | Are you kidding me? Traffic on 68th way too heavy to support a huge number (over 50) of additional residential Disagree - can't handle the denisty now More busses brings more traffic from the purple areas of the 10-minute map Why? Do you want this? We don't! We do not want more denisty |
| D | I would like to see the neighborhood spruced up: power poles block sidewalks moved underground, uneven street frontage w/intermittent sidewalks filled in with continuous sidewalk and planter strips (Everest). I am fine with accepting our share of density with: o some multi-family development in areas zoned light industrial or something denser than single-family residential. o denser retail/office at Houghton Center but with the trade off of under-grounded parking to replace the ugly sea of parking lot separating the sidewalks from the stores. o A larger Google complex is fine if it is attractively landscaped, green, and replaces ugly swaths of parking lot and low-rent marginal businesses. o Most of all, I can hardly wait until the Cross Kirkland Corridor is properly paved. I think I would use it daily during good weather if it were paved. | 6 | I like the set back from street that Google parking allows I'd rather drive to Redmond than park in a garage to shop I like parking lots - they let in light. I hate garages Make improved traffic flow through H.E. center by creating one way ingress/egress circulation to remove cars at cross - purposes with entering/exiting |
| E | Zone light industrial land for multi-family or office not industrial (Everest) | 0 | More residential units = more traffic on the road during peak times which we do not need We didn't specify multi-family. We said single family or maybe cottage |

Differing Viewpoints- Shared Commercial Areas -NO FOR CHANGE (At Houghton Shopping Center Current Comp Plan allows 5 stories; Zoning permits 3 stories)

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Business center should stay the same size | 9 | |
| B | The Houghton/Everest Commercial Center should NOT become mixed multi-use medium (a.k.a. High) density housing. There are not enough traffic outlets to provide such absurdity. 5 stories = 5 LANES of traffic... Adding more people to already congested streets is ridiculous. Whoever thinks this is a good idea needs to come to my house in the fall and try to get 3 kids to 3 different fields for soccer practice between 5 and 6:30 pm on a Tuesday or Thursday evening in September...Tear down one house, put in 27. Great idea...I dare anyone who has to carpool kids 2 miles through Kirkland to agree that 3 to 4 stories of apartments above the stores in the Houghton/Everest Commercial zone is a good idea. I've said this to anyone who would listen. Clearly no one hears me. | 21 | <p>Replace one house with 27 car-centric housing makes no sense - infillinf with more affordable smaller units for those seeking a less car dependent lifestyle makes more sense</p> <p>Lack of parking on both 108th and 68th should make limiting growth in Houghton business district necessary. Where will employees park?</p> |
| C | Keep small businesses on 6th Street | 5 | I would like to hear more information about plans to improve rush hour congestion: the problem I see is not people moving around within the Houghton/Everest area on weekends or during the day, it's when everyone is trying to get home (or come visit) at the same time. We should address this issue before bringing in new development that will increase commute times. |
| D | Don't turn retail into dentist space etc. that people use once or twice a year | 6 | I like the set back from street that Google parking allows |
| E | <p>Everest-Houghton neighbor center:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Must have joint discussion with Houghton before any changes occur to zoning o Improve access from Cross Kirkland Corridor o Should be two story maximum height limits o Retails shops for the neighborhood to use | 28 | <p>Allow mix of height for daylight access and not creating a wall of 5 stories</p> <p>Regarding Houghton/Everest Business district: All other high density or extended commercial areas in Kirkland (85th St, Totem Lake, Juanita Village) all have 4 lane roads accessing the area. If H/E area to be developed must increase traffic flow</p> <p>More density of shops causes huge problems for employee parking needs. This affects the single family neighborhoods as well as success of business. This needs re-evaluation</p> <p>Ask the owner of the Houghton Center WHY his shopping center is not viable as is. What is his vacancy rate? Why does he want to revelopment his property - to make more money? Why is this our concern? He bought the property under the current zoning.</p> <p>Redesign access to PCC and other businesses to have upper access to be entry point only and lower access to be exit point for better flow of traffic</p> <p>We didn't specify multi-family. We said single family or maybe cottage</p> |

Differing Viewpoints-Housing Density

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Large lots should be easier to develop a bit denser with several (3-5) single family lots on what used to be one double- or triple-sized lot. This would allow for denser infill without needing to drastically change the character of the neighborhood as these developments would be small and spread out among the few larger lots left. (Everest) | 1 | More density of housing should come from mother-in-law apartments and using the bigger houses for group housing for students, etc. |
| B | Keep the current zoning in the residential/single family areas in Everest. Any alternative housing has to be in character with current zoning and should only be in areas that are on the borders of single family homes. | 10 | Infill with ADU's to increase density and allow for more affordable housing |
| C | This isn't a low income neighborhood amenable to high density residential, especially with the traffic issues (Lakeview). | 5 | |

Could update with
 Neighborhood Plan -
 GENERAL

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Specify desired character for new vision statement in neighborhood plan (Everest) | 0 | |
| B | Consider new gateways to the neighborhood (Central Houghton) | 0 | What about making the Houghton/Everest business center a pedestrian friendly "village" with auto traffic routed around the center like a giant round-about with entrance only to underground parking. |
| C | Keep small businesses on 6th Street | 5 | I would like to hear more information about plans to improve rush hour congestion: the problem I see is not people moving around within the Houghton/Everest area on weekends or during the day, it's when everyone is trying to get home (or come visit) at the same time. We should address this issue before bringing in new development that will increase commute times. |

Could update with
 Neighborhood Plan -
 Could add to future
 work program

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| A | No neon signs in buffer facing residential area (update Sign regulations) | 4 | |

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B | Better parking management. Where, how? What is issue? | 0 | |
| C | Community space should be connected to the Cross Kirkland Corridor. What is the idea here? A park? What | 0 | Everest Park |
| D | Limit density Where? Limit to what? | 0 | No more building at 108th and 68th - leave alone - traffic awful |
| E | Houghton Advisory Group: supports the Planning Commission wording for Policy CH-5.3. We Disagree! CH-5.3 reads: Encourage traffic use on existing arterials and include traffic calming on neighborhood streets. Alternative modes of transportation should also be encouraged. What are the concerns about CH-5.3? | 0 | |

Everest

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Are there plans to address noise impacts for transportation? What noise impacts and where? | 0 | In Everest we get a lot of noise from traffic - both from 405 and 6th St. S |
| B | Look at parking on our street by shopping center. What is the issue? | 0 | People feel that some of the street parking should be removed for better flow to allow left turn lane to be lengthened |
| C | Zone for multi-family or office not industrial. Where? | 0 | Not multi-family or maybe cottage. Industrial area north of Park (fence connection) |
| D | Keep building heights at 25 feet because some adjacent land use has no buffer and taller height blocks lake views (i.e. Google) Not clear what issue is being raised where? Buffers and 25' height can block views | 2 | I think the residents of Everest were trying to say they want the 25-foot buffer to remain in place Not about view. About having offices with windows looking onto single family bedrooms |
| E | Residents want to shop Kirkland not go to Bellevue. The issue is access and parking | 0 | No Target/electronics/clothing/book/music stores. You can buy basics but not do major items |

Lakeview

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|------|----------|-----------------|
| A | None | 0 | |

Comments on Transportation

| Comments | | Stickers | Public comments |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Ensure traffic calming measures are included that focus on slowing traffic speeds (Lakeview) | 0 | |
| B | Traffic along Lake Washington Blvd must be managed, and commute-through traffic must be dis-incented. Consider with TMP (Lakeview) | 0 | Replace one house with 27 car-centric housing makes no sense - infill with more affordable smaller units for those seeking a less car dependent lifestyle makes more sense |
| C | Concerned more multi-family use will make traffic worse (Everest) | 1 | Agree |
| D | The intersection of Northeast 85th Street and I-405 is dangerous. Please reduce the number of Please reduce the | 0 | Islands with drought-resistant/easy care plants on sides |
| E | Traffic is also an issue in "intimate" parts of the neighborhood, particularly during baseball season (Everest) | 0 | Will there ever be a Park and Ride downtown for transit so I don't have to drive to S. Kirkland P&R when it's raining? I walk to the 255 when it's not pouring rain |
| F | Control volume of traffic (Everest) | 0 | |
| G | To improve walkability in Everest: The pedestrian crossing over I-405 at the top of Kirkland Avenue has lots of kids, and some of the problems include: a) Problems with limited sightlines. Please remove the foliage. b) There are no lights on the street | 0 | This is the main way between downtown and the eastside of freeway business district. Make improvements a priority. |
| H | Sidewalk ends in random places | 0 | |
| I | Please repair the sidewalks | 0 | |
| J | On the east side of 6th Street South please bury utilities and remove garbage | 0 | |
| K | Align bus stops with crosswalks, for example at 6th Avenue South | 0 | |
| L | Cross Kirkland Corridor crosswalks are not appropriate in some places | 0 | |
| M | Increase lighting for safety | 0 | |
| N | Walking up the street is not safe from 6th street to 7-11 | 1 | |
| O | Business perspective in Everest: 6th Street traffic is a nightmare because of freeway exits (Everest) | 1 | Agree |
| P | Ultimately more density equals more traffic infrastructure (Everest) | 2 | |
| Q | Transportation and transportation infrastructure remain a top concern to our residents. Incorporate measures that will allow for improved access to 6th Street S and 68th during heavy traffic periods without disrupting the general flow of traffic. Identify and ameliorate safety issues at hazardous areas in Everest. (Everest) | 2 | All other high density or extended commercial areas in Kirkland (85th St, Totem Lake, Juanita Village) all have 4 lane roads accessing the area. If density is increased in Houghton/Everest then there needs to be a plan to widen 108th/6th St and 68th/70th to alleviate traffic problems |

Comments on Cross Kirkland Corridor

| Comments | Stickers | Public comments | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A | Community space should be connected with Cross Kirkland Corridor (Central Houghton) | 0 | |
| B | There needs to be better access from Cross Kirkland Corridor to the Houghton/Everest Commercial Corridor (Houghton) | 0 | Replace one house with 27 car-centric housing makes no sense - infill with more affordable smaller units for those seeking a less car-dependent lifestyle makes more sense |
| C | Turn the area along the industrial corridor into retail space facing the Cross Kirkland Corridor. For example, coffee shops etc. (Everest) | 0 | |
| D | There needs to be better access from Cross Kirkland Corridor (Everest) | 0 | |
| E | Turn the area along the industrial corridor into retail space facing the Cross Kirkland Corridor. For example, coffee shops etc. (Everest) | 28 | This will not be successful. Retail should face street unless shared with offices like a deli. |
| F | Everest-Houghton neighbor center: there needs to be better access from Cross Kirkland Corridor. | 0 | |
| G | The Railroad Avenue trestle intersection is dangerous (Everest) | 1 | |
| H | Please remove/remodel the trestle (Everest) | 1 | |
| I | Encourage and provide access and transportation on the CKC (Everest) | 0 | |
| J | The Railroad Avenue trestle intersection is dangerous (Everest) | 1 | |

Addition comments received

Share your thoughts - Suggestion - Comment - Idea for Comprehensive Plan Update

| | | Source | Contact information |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1 | Pulling out of Trader Joe's parking lot in Totem Lake on the north side is dangerous because of the curve and lack of visibility. Cars and busses go very fast. Perhaps a speed bump would help. | Meeting | N/A |
| 2 | I am concerned about the cost and lack of flexibility of light rail. The route is limited to the tracks, if anything goes wrong we're stuck. Busses are flexible and can change routes as needed. The cost saved from the huge expense of light rail can be used for parks and other services. People may want the moon (or light rail) but, how can we afford it? P.S. I noticed on the large CKC map you have a light rail symbol. Does that mean you are already married to it? | Meeting | Janet Shenoy 425-208-2700 |
| 3 | <p>I'm unpleasantly surprised not to see traffic northbound on 108th during commute hours as a transportation issue. Traffic calming is not the problem. Traffic is the problem. As long as key facilities (soccer practice fields) are not available south of NE 85th St my family will have to drive on 108th between 5 and 6:30 pm, 3-4 times per week. More density south of NE 85th St will just make this worse, effectively denying access to city recreational facilities for those of us in Houghton. Arg!</p> <p>I just found my prior comment under the "Change Plan" not where I was expecting it but I do feel "heard". But what to do about it? How do I propose an amendment to freeze the zoning at the present levels, and not expand the possible capacity through denser zoning?</p> <p>Part of the problem tonight is that you supposedly "hear" us on traffic, but where is Houghton traffic on 108th? Not listed anywhere on the 17 point transportation list. This list was a great way to raise hackles in Houghton, with 8/17 labeled "Everest" and 0/17 labeled Houghton.</p> | Email | Chris Carlson cscarlson47@gmail.com |