



CITY OF KIRKLAND
City Manager's Office
123 Fifth Avenue, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3001
www.kirklandwa.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Kurt Triplett, City Manager

From: Joe Sanford, Fire Chief
Andreana Campbell, Management Analyst

Date: May 7, 2020

Subject: FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES SURVEY RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATION
REVIEW TEAM UPDATE

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council:

- Receives the results of the EMC Research statistically valid phone survey on potential fire and Emergency Medical Services (EMS) ballot measures.
- Receives the preliminary results from the City's online iteration of the telephone survey;
- Receives an update from the recommendation review team on ComSAG recommended project and staffing costs;
- Provides staff direction on options for ballot measure ordinances.

FIRE/EMS COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

As part of Resolution R-5413 adopted by the Council at their April 21, 2020 meeting, the City Manager was directed to conduct further public outreach and return to the Council with ordinances placing two measures on the ballot. At the May 19 Council meeting, representatives from EMC Research will provide the Council with the results of the Fire/EMS community survey. Top line results are provided in Attachment A.

ONLINE FIRE/EMS COMMUNITY SURVEY

Given the uncertain financial times caused by COVID-19, staff felt it was also important to allow interested community members a chance to take a similar survey to the one conducted by EMC Research and provide feedback. While not statistically valid, the online version and the telephone version are nearly identical, with the following minor modifications made:

- Updated language to reflect that of an online survey rather than a telephone survey.
- Removed two information-building questions to reduce redundancy.

The full online survey is included as Attachment B. The survey launched Wednesday, May 6 and is open until Friday, May 15. Results of the online survey will not be completed in time to be included in the Council packet. As of the writing of this memo, the City has received 348 completed surveys and 178 written responses to the "Is there anything else you would like us to know" question. Staff will present the results at the May 19 Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION REVIEW TEAM UPDATE

The recommendation review team has met a total of four times since March, with their most recent meeting on April 30. The City Manager directed this group to identify the maximum financial exposure of the ComSAG's capital projects and staffing additions to ensure the final ballot measure amounts are sufficient to accomplish the elements after voter approval. The new estimated totals can be found in Attachment C. Some examples of the identified new costs will be included in the presentation to the Council.

The team sequenced the capital projects and adjusted them to include all property acquisition costs and required environmental permitting and related infrastructure costs. As a result, the maximum exposure brought the capital project estimates to roughly sixty-seven-million dollars as compared to the fifty-eight million dollars in the original ComSAG recommendation. Adjusting the operating costs to include all overhead, sinking fund, and internal service costs resulted in \$4.4 million dollars annually for staffing as compared to the original ComSAG recommendation of \$3.9 million. As shown in the table below, the maximum exposure total is twenty-six cents per thousand and roughly one hundred and ninety-five dollars annually. The original ComSAG recommendations were twenty-two and a half cents per thousand, and one hundred and sixty-five dollars annually.

ComSAG RECOMMENDATION				
	Total	Annual Cost	Rate	Median Home
Capital	\$58,017,000	\$3,200,000	\$0.10103	\$73.97
Operating	\$3,900,000	\$3,900,000	\$0.12380	\$90.37
Total		\$7,100,000	\$0.22483	\$164.34
RECOMMENDATION REVIEW TEAM UPDATE				
	Total	Annual Cost	Rate	Median Home
Capital	\$67,200,000	\$3,700,000	\$0.12699	\$92.72
Operating	\$4,410,000	\$4,410,000	\$0.14161	\$102.21
Total		\$8,110,000	\$0.2686	\$194.93

Working through the process of identifying maximum exposure was an important step towards avoiding project and operating cost errors after voter approval. The City Manager has now tasked the group with identifying whether some of the permitting and environmental costs for the capital projects are due to City codes or policies that the City has discretion to change. Exempting new Station 27 from design review requirements is one example. The first meeting to address this goal took place May 6 and there will be more meetings to continue refining the estimates. The intent of the recommendation review team is to bring the final costs as close to the original ComSAG recommendations as possible before the draft ordinances are due before the Council.

Staff is also seeking direction on:

- 1) Whether to identify potential capital or operating element reductions to ensure the final measures are equal to or less than the original ComSAG total costs.
- 2) Any other ordinance options the Council may wish to consider.

NEXT STEPS

At the March 17 meeting, Council received a preliminary update on the ComSAG's recommendation, and received the full report at the April 7 meeting along with updated survey questions incorporating Council's feedback. At the April 21 meeting, Council accepted the ComSAG report by adopting Resolution R-5413. Finally, for the May 19 Council meeting, staff will be seeking Council's feedback and direction after receiving the survey results from both EMC Research and the City's online version of the survey as well.

Depending on Council’s feedback at the May 19 meeting, staff will follow up accordingly with draft ordinances or other next steps. As a reminder, the July 21st meeting marks the last day for Council to take final action to place these two measures on the ballot by adopting the two ordinances.

A timeline is outlined below with deadlines and an accelerated timeline if Council would like to act faster.

Election Calendar	Accelerated Calendar	Item
March 17		Staff Presented Preliminary Update on ComSAG Recommendation to Council
April 7		Staff presented Final ComSAG Recommendation to Council
April 21		Council Adopted Resolution R-5413 Accepting Final ComSAG Report
April 22		EMC Research to Begin the Fire/EMS Community Survey
May		Staff to Receive Top Line Results from Survey
May 19		Staff to Bring Survey Responses to Council
June		Staff to Bring Draft Ordinance(s) to Council
July 7 or 21	June 2 or 16	Ballot Measure(s) Public Hearings
July 7	June 6	Pro/Con Committee Appointments Authorized
July 21	June 16	Pro/Con Committee Appointments Confirmed
July 21	June 16	Last Council Meeting to Approve Ballot Measure Ordinances
August 4		Ballot Measure Resolution due to King County
August 7		Explanatory Statement Due
August 11		Pro/Con Statements Due
November 3		General Election

Live Telephone Survey
City of Kirkland
Conducted April 21-28, 2020
n=400; Margin of Error \pm 4.9 percentage points
EMC Research #20-7667

*All numbers in this document represent percentage (%) values, unless otherwise noted.
 Please note that due to rounding, percentages may not add up to exactly 100%.*

INTRO: Hello, my name is _____, and I'm conducting a survey for _____ to find out how people feel about issues in Kirkland. We understand this is a challenging situation and we appreciate your participation. We are not trying to sell anything and are collecting this information on a scientific and completely confidential basis. **CONTINUE WITH PERSON ON PHONE, REPEAT INTRO IF NECESSARY**

1.	Do you live in Kirkland?	
	Yes	100
	No/(Don't know/Refused) → TERMINATE	-
2.	What is your gender? (DO NOT READ LIST)	
	Male	51
	Female	49
	Non-binary	-
	Self describe	<1
	(Refused)	-
3.	What year were you born? [CODED INTO AGE RANGES]	
	18-29	16
	30-39	19
	40-49	18
	50-64	27
	65 or over	19
	(Refused)	1
4.	Do you feel that things in Kirkland are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?	
	Right direction	74
	Wrong track	14
	(Don't know/Refused)	12

5. What do you think is the most important problem facing Kirkland today? (**OPEN END, ACCEPT TWO RESPONSES**) And what do you think is the next most important problem facing Kirkland?

Public health/ Pandemic/ Coronavirus	24
Overdevelopment/ Infrastructure	21
Traffic/ Congestion	19
Affordable housing	14
Jobs/ Economy	6
Politicians/ Government	6
Taxes	4
Crime/ Drugs	3
Homelessness	3
Other	6
None/ Don't know/ No opinion	13

6INT. Recognizing the unique situation we are experiencing, I would like to ask you about a variety of potential issues facing Kirkland, both current and long term. Please rate each one using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means you feel that issue should be a very low priority and 7 means that you feel that item should be a very high priority for the City of Kirkland.

	Very low priority			Very high priority			(Don't know)	Mean	
SCALE:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
(RANDOMIZE)									
6. Improving police services and response times	7	9	14	15	24	12	14	5	4.41
7. Improving fire and emergency medical services and response times	4	8	14	16	23	12	18	5	4.63
8. Reducing homelessness	8	9	13	12	16	14	26	2	4.68
9. Reducing traffic congestion	5	3	9	14	17	18	32	1	5.20
10. Improving housing affordability	6	6	8	11	18	16	34	1	5.15
11. Providing services for people in need	2	3	5	10	26	21	31	1	5.44
12. Addressing impacts of growth	2	2	8	12	26	19	29	2	5.38
13. Keeping residents informed about the coronavirus	9	7	6	8	10	16	42	2	5.21
14. Responding to the coronavirus	6	3	4	7	11	16	50	2	5.69



(END RANDOMIZE)

15INT. I'd like you to rate the job the City of Kirkland is doing on a variety of issues. Using a scale of excellent, good, only fair, or poor, please rate each of the following.

SCALE:	Excellent	Good	Only fair	Poor	(Don't know/ Refused)
---------------	------------------	-------------	------------------	-------------	----------------------------------

(ALWAYS ASK FIRST)

15.	The job the City of Kirkland government is doing overall				
	18	59	17	4	2

(RANDOMIZE)

16.	The job the City of Kirkland is doing using tax dollars responsibly				
	10	44	26	11	10
17.	The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing police services				
	30	56	8	1	5
18.	The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing firefighting services				
	38	51	5	1	5
19.	The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing emergency medical services				
	35	52	7	2	5
20.	The job the City of Kirkland is doing responding to the coronavirus				
	22	50	17	3	7

(END RANDOMIZE)

21INT. The City of Kirkland is considering two potential ballot proposals, including – **(ROTATE:** [a bond measure to build a new fire station in Totem Lake and fund seismic renovations and other safety and capacity upgrades to several existing fire stations] and [a measure to hire more firefighters who are also emergency medical technicians, or EMTs].) The city wants to understand how high a priority each measure is for you. The first one is...

(ROTATE, RETAIN ROTATE ORDER)

21. A potential \$60,000,000, 30-year bond measure to fund capital improvements for Kirkland’s fire services. If approved, this measure would fund the construction of a new fire station near Totem Lake and a new training center to improve fire and emergency response times. The measure would also fund seismic renovations of the existing Forbes Creek, Houghton, and North Rose Hill fire stations to improve firefighter health and safety. This measure would be funded by an estimated property tax of \$0.105 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation.

Do you personally think this potential measure is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	22	→ 64
Important	42	
Not too important	20	→ 32
Not at all important	12	
(Don’t know/Refused)	4	

The next one is...

22. A potential \$4,400,000 per year levy to fund the hiring of additional fire and emergency medical staff. If approved, this levy would fund the hiring of twenty-four new firefighters who are also trained as emergency medical technicians to fully staff Fire Station 24 in North Juanita and improve response times throughout the city for both fire and medical 911 calls. This measure would be funded by an estimated property tax of \$0.14 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation.

Do you personally think this potential measure is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	21	→ 65
Important	44	
Not too important	18	→ 30
Not at all important	12	
(Don’t know/Refused)	5	

(END ROTATE)

(ROTATE, RETAIN ROTATE ORDER)

23. If approved, the bond measure to build a new fire station in Totem Lake and fund seismic renovations and other safety and capacity upgrades would cost the owner of a \$730,000 home an estimated \$0.105 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation, which amounts to a about \$6.50 a month or roughly \$77 per year.

After hearing this, do you think a 30-year bond measure to fund the construction of a new fire station near Totem Lake and a new training center to improve fire and emergency response times, and to fund seismic renovations of the existing Forbes Creek, Houghton, and North Rose Hill fire stations to improve firefighter health and safety is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	26	→ 69
Important	44	
Not too important	16	→ 26
Not at all important	11	
(Don't know/Refused)	5	

24. If approved, the levy to fund the hiring of more firefighters who are also emergency medical technicians would cost the owner of a \$730,000 home an estimated \$0.14 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation, which amounts to just under \$8.50 per month or about \$102 per year.

After hearing this, do you think a levy to fund the hiring of twenty-four new firefighters, who are also trained as emergency medical technicians, to fully staff Fire Station 24 in North Juanita and improve response times throughout the city for both fire and medical 911 calls is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	26	→ 65
Important	39	
Not too important	19	→ 29
Not at all important	10	
(Don't know/Refused)	6	

(END ROTATE)

25INT. Next I'm going to read you a list of potential investments which could be included in the City of Kirkland's ballot measures. After each one, please tell me if that investment is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important to you.

SCALE:	Very important	Important	Not too important	Not at all important	(Don't know/ Refused)
(RANDOMIZE)					
25.	Build a new fire station 27 located near Evergreen Health Primary Care Hospital to provide a second fire station on the East side of I-405 to serve Kingsgate, Juanita, the Village at Totem Lake and surrounding developments.				
	26	41	18	11	5
26.	Make critical earthquake and other safety upgrades, modernize, and expand fire station 21 at Forbes Creek, fire station 22 in Houghton, and fire station 26 in North Rose Hill, all serving the central and south parts of Kirkland.				
	24	44	19	8	5
27.	Purchase a second ladder truck to allow firefighters to extinguish commercial and residential structure fires more effectively.				
	22	48	16	9	6
28.	Build a fire training center to enhance specialty training and improve response times as firefighters can remain in Kirkland to train instead of traveling to Bellevue and Kenmore.				
	14	30	33	21	2
29.	Provide a new dedicated aid car team of firefighters who are also trained as emergency medical technicians at station 22 in Houghton to serve Downtown Kirkland, and to respond to increasing medical calls especially among the growing elderly population.				
	26	50	14	7	3
30.	Provide dedicated staffing for aid car transports to hospitals during the busiest 12-hour shifts, improving aid car response times to and from emergency medical scenes.				
	27	49	14	6	4
31.	Hire additional firefighters who are also emergency medical technicians to improve response times throughout the City.				
	28	51	11	6	4
32.	Replenish stockpiles of personal protective equipment and make other investments to improve firefighter health and safety during pandemics and other emergencies.				
	52	38	5	3	1

(END RANDOMIZE)

(ROTATE, RETAIN ROTATE ORDER)

33INT. Now I would like to ask you again about both public safety measures. Given everything you have heard:

33. Do you think a 30-year bond measure to fund the construction of a new fire station near Totem Lake and a new training center to improve fire and emergency response times, and to fund seismic renovations of the existing Forbes Creek, Houghton, and North Rose Hill fire stations to improve firefighter health and safety is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	28	→ 70
Important	42	
Not too important	17	→ 26
Not at all important	9	
(Don't know/Refused)	4	

34. Do you think a levy to fund the hiring of twenty-four new firefighters, who are also trained as emergency medical technicians, to fully staff Fire Station 24 in North Juanita and improve response times throughout the City for both fire and medical 911 calls is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Very important	26	→ 70
Important	45	
Not too important	18	→ 26
Not at all important	8	
(Don't know/Refused)	4	

35INT. There are a number of different types of revenue sources which could be used for funding the fire and emergency medical services investments and fire station projects. For each of the following, please tell me if using that revenue source to fund fire and emergency medical services investments and fire station projects is a good idea or a bad idea.

SCALE:	Very good idea	Good idea	Neither good nor bad idea	Bad idea	Very bad idea	(Don't know/Refused)
--------	----------------	-----------	---------------------------	----------	---------------	----------------------

(RANDOMIZE)

35.	A sales tax increase	3	22	12	43	20	1
36.	A property tax increase	7	38	12	26	16	2
37.	Diverting contributions to the City's rainy day and rate stabilization funds	7	34	18	24	9	7
38.	Reducing the budgets of general government, and programs such as parks maintenance and roads maintenance to free up funds to invest in fire and emergency medical services	8	23	14	38	13	4



(END RANDOMIZE)

DEMOS. Finally, I'd like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.

39.	Do you own or rent the place in which you live?	
	Own/(Buying)	77
	Rent	19
	(Don't know/NA)	4
40.	Are there any children under the age of 18 living in your home?	
	Yes	34
	No	65
	(Don't Know/Refused)	1
41.	(IF RESPONDENT AGE<65; n=322) Are there any seniors age 65 or older living in your home?	
	Yes	7
	No	92
	(Don't Know/Refused)	1
42.	Do you consider yourself to be White or Caucasian, African-American or Black, American Indian or Alaska native, Asian or Pacific Islander, biracial, multiracial or something else?	
	Hispanic/Latino	5
	Non-Hispanic White	77
	Non-Hispanic African-American/Black	<1
	Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska native	<1
	Non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander	9
	Non-Hispanic Biracial/Multiracial	3
	Non-Hispanic something else	4
	(Refused)	2

DEBRIEF: Those are all of the questions I have for you today. We understand this is a very difficult situation for everyone and I would like to thank you for taking the time to speak with me and share your opinions.

THANK YOU!

Potential Fire & Emergency Medical Services Ballot Measures for Kirkland



For the past four years the City Council has explored a potential Fire and Emergency Medical Services ballot measures to improve response times throughout Kirkland. Our Community Safety Advisory Group, formed last October, recommended that the Council place two property tax measures on this year’s November ballot. The Community Safety Advisory Group’s recommendation can be found [here](#). The first measure would build a new fire station and seismically retrofit and renovate our existing fire stations. The second measure would hire new firefighters, who are also emergency medical technicians. These recommendations were made in February, just before the virus outbreak in Kirkland.

The Council recognizes that COVID-19’s economic impact makes this a difficult time for new taxes. But every investment recommended by the advisory group also reinforces the City’s ability to serve the community during the pandemic. So the Council is asking our residents whether Kirkland should keep exploring these ballot measures.

No final decision has yet been made. The Council is asking for your input to help guide their decision. Please take a moment to fill out the survey below. Thank you. **This survey will be available until Friday, May 15 at 5:00p.m.**

1. Do you feel that things in Kirkland are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?

- Right direction
- Wrong track
- Don't Know

2. What do you think are the two most important problems facing Kirkland today?

Most Important

Next Most Important

3. Recognizing the unique situation we are experiencing, we would like you to rate a variety of potential issues facing Kirkland, both current and long term.

Please rate each one using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means you feel that issue should be a very low priority and 7 means that you feel that item should be a very high priority for the City of Kirkland.

	1 - Very low priority	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Very high priority
Improving police services and response times	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improving fire and emergency medical services and response times	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reducing homelessness	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reducing traffic congestion	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improving housing affordability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Providing services for people in need	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Addressing impacts of growth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Keeping residents informed about the coronavirus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Responding to the coronavirus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Please rate the job the City of Kirkland is doing on a variety of issues.

Use a scale of excellent, good, fair, or poor for each of the following.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't Know
The job the City of Kirkland government is doing overall	<input type="radio"/>				
The job the City of Kirkland is doing using tax dollars responsibly	<input type="radio"/>				
The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing police services	<input type="radio"/>				
The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing firefighting services	<input type="radio"/>				
The job the City of Kirkland is doing providing emergency medical services	<input type="radio"/>				
The job the City of Kirkland is doing responding to the coronavirus	<input type="radio"/>				



5. The City of Kirkland is considering two potential ballot proposals.

The first one is a potential \$60 million-dollar, 30-year bond measure to fund capital improvements for Kirkland's fire services. If approved, this measure would fund the construction of a new fire station near Totem Lake and a new training center to improve fire and emergency response times.

The measure would also fund seismic renovations of the existing Forbes Creek, Houghton, and North Rose Hill fire stations to improve firefighter health and safety.

This measure would be funded by an estimated property tax of \$0.105 cents per \$1,000 dollars of assessed valuation, and would cost the owner of a \$730,000 home about \$6.50 a month or roughly \$70 dollars per year.

Do you personally think this potential measure is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

- Very important
- Important
- Not too important
- Not at all important
- Don't know

6. The next ballot measure the City of Kirkland is considering is a potential \$4.4 million-dollar per year levy to fund the hiring of additional fire and emergency medical staff.

If approved, this levy would fund the hiring of twenty-four new firefighters who are also trained as emergency medical technicians to fully staff Fire Station 24 in North Juanita and improve response times throughout the City for both fire and medical 9-1-1 calls.

This measure would be funded by an estimated property tax of \$0.14 cents per \$1,000 dollars of assessed valuation, which would cost the owner of a \$730,000 home just under \$8.50 dollars per month or about \$102 dollars per year.

Do you personally think this potential measure is very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

- Very important
- Important
- Not too important
- Not at all important
- Don't know

7. Please read this list of potential investments which could be included in the City of Kirkland's ballot measures.

For each one, please rate whether that investment is very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important to you.

	Very important	Important	Not too important	Not at all important	Don't Know
Build a new fire station 27 located near Evergreen Health Primary Care Hospital to provide a second fire station on the East side of I-405 to serve Kingsgate, Juanita, the Village at Totem Lake and surrounding developments.	<input type="radio"/>				
Make critical earthquake and other safety upgrades, modernize, and expand fire station 21 at Forbes Creek, fire station 22 in Houghton, and fire station 26 in North Rose Hill, all serving the central and south parts of Kirkland.	<input type="radio"/>				
Purchase a second ladder truck to allow firefighters to extinguish commercial and residential structure fires more effectively.	<input type="radio"/>				
Build a fire training center to enhance specialty training and improve response times as firefighters can remain in Kirkland to train instead of traveling to Bellevue and Kenmore.	<input type="radio"/>				
Provide a new dedicated aid car team of firefighters who are also trained as emergency medical technicians at station 22 in Houghton to serve Downtown Kirkland, and to respond to increasing medical calls especially among the growing elderly population.	<input type="radio"/>				

Very important Important Not too important Not at all important Don't Know

Provide dedicated staffing for aid car transports to hospitals during the busiest 12-hour shifts, improving aid car response times to and from emergency medical scenes.

Hire additional firefighters who are also emergency medical technicians to improve response times throughout the City.

Replenish stockpiles of personal protective equipment (PPE) and make other investments to improve firefighter health and safety during pandemics and other emergencies.

8. There are a number of different types of revenue sources which could be used for funding the fire and emergency medical services investments and fire station projects.

For each of the following, please rate whether you feel it is a very good idea, good idea or a bad idea, a very bad idea or neither good nor a bad idea to use that revenue source to fund fire and emergency medical services investments and fire station projects.

Very good idea Good idea Neither good nor bad idea Bad idea Don't know

A sales tax increase

A property tax increase

Diverting contributions to the City's rainy day and rate stabilization funds

Reducing the budgets of general government, and programs such as parks maintenance and roads maintenance to free up funds to invest in fire and emergency medical services

9. Is there anything else you would like us to know?

Optional Demographic Questions

The City of Kirkland is working hard to be inclusive in its outreach. We want to reach as many people as possible, and the only way to know if we're achieving that is to learn a little about who takes our surveys. We won't use this information for any other purpose except interpreting our survey results and improving our civic engagement strategy.

10. In which part of Kirkland do you reside? *(Optional)*

- North Kirkland (Finn Hill, Juanita, Kingsgate, Totem Lake)
- Central Kirkland (Market, Norkirk, Highlands, North Rose Hill)
- South Kirkland (Moss Bay, Everest, South Rose Hill, Lakeview, Central Houghton, Bridle Trails)
- I live outside of Kirkland

11. Which gender do you identify with? *(Optional)*

- Female
- Male
- Non-binary or third gender
- Prefer not to answer
- Self describe

12. What is your age? *(Optional)*

- Under 18
- 18-24
- 25-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65+
- Prefer not to answer

13. What is your housing situation? *(Optional)*

- Own
- Rent
- Unhoused
- Prefer not to answer

14. Are there any children under the age of 18 living in your home? *(Optional)*

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to answer

15. Are there any seniors age 65 or older living in your home? *(Optional)*

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to answer

16. Do you consider yourself to be Hispanic or Latino? *(Optional)*

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to answer

17. Do you consider yourself... (select all that apply) *(Optional)*

- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Biracial or Multiracial
- Black or African American
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- White or Caucasian
- Self describe

RECOMMENDATION REVIEW TEAM UPDATED NUMBERS

Capital Elements		Sequenced Cost	Annual Cost	Tax Rate/ \$1,000AV	Annual Impact to Median-Valued Home	
Juanita Station 27 design, build, and relocate east of I-405		\$30,043,679	\$1,654,178	\$0.05678	\$41.46	
Houghton Station 22 renovation and modernization		\$10,762,352	\$592,565	\$0.01594	\$11.64	
Forbes Creek Station 21 renovation and modernization		\$6,023,028	\$331,622	\$0.01138	\$8.31	
N. Rose Hill Station 26 renovation and modernization		\$8,431,985	\$464,257	\$0.01594	\$11.64	
Design and build a Training Building at the new N. Juanita Station 24		\$8,722,864	\$480,273	\$0.01649	\$12.04	
Temporary Facility		\$3,205,908	\$176,514	\$0.00606	\$4.42	
Total Capital		\$67,189,816	\$3,699,410	\$0.12699	\$92.72	
Operating Elements		FTEs	Cost in 2021	Annual Cost	Tax Rate/ \$1,000AV	Annual Impact to Median-Valued Home
Dedicated Aid Car at Fire Station 22 in Houghton		10	\$1,692,163	\$1,692,163	\$0.05430	\$39.19
Cross staff new Station 24 in N. Juanita		5	\$846,081	\$846,081	\$0.02715	\$19.60
Additional Firefighter/EMT		5	\$846,081	\$846,081	\$0.02715	\$19.60
12 Hour EMS Transport		4	\$676,864	\$676,865	\$0.02172	\$15.68
Ladder Truck O&M and Replacement			\$132,247	\$132,247	\$0.00424	\$3.06
New Facilities Operating Costs			\$219,501	\$219,501	\$0.00705	\$5.08
Total Operating		24	\$4,412,937	\$4,412,937	\$0.14161	\$102.21
Total	Capital		\$67,189,816	\$3,699,410	\$0.12699	\$92.72
	Operating		\$4,412,937	\$4,412,937	\$0.14161	\$102.21
	Total			\$8,112,347	\$0.26860	\$194.93

2020 BOND AND LEVY RATES

2020	What an additional \$0.01 (per \$1,000AV) generates	Annual Impact to Median-Valued Home
Levy	\$315,250	\$7.30
Bond	\$5,723,760	\$7.30
2020	What an additional \$1,000,000 (per \$1,000AV) costs	Annual Impact to Median-Valued Home
Levy	\$0.03172	\$23.16
Bond	\$0.00189	\$1.38