

Public Works, Parks, & Human Services Committee Meeting Minutes

Date: February 22, 2019

Attendance: James Lopez, Toby Nixon, Kevin Raymond, Tom Neir, Jon Pascal (via conference phone), Lynn Zwaagstra, Kurt Triplett, Heather Lantz-Brazil, Kathy Brown, Kari Page, John Starbard, Kelli Jones, David Wolbrecht, John Burkhalter

Agenda Item:	Action Items:
<p>1. 9th Circuit Case – Camping Ordinance</p> <p>Notes: 9th Circuit determined in Martin vs. Boise that government cannot criminalize people experiencing homelessness when sleeping outdoors on public property when there is no option for them to sleep indoors.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leave code as is, Kirkland Police Department not to enforce or use discretion • Change code • Create interdepartmental team to discuss holistically <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Designated areas ○ Applicability to RV's and parking ○ Continue to enforce other park rules ○ Inventory list of "beds" available ○ Regional approach to shelters; City vs jurisdiction
<p>2. School Safety Action Plan / Walkable Kirkland</p> <p>Notes: Plan is applicable to 12 elementary schools, 3 middle schools, and 2 high schools. Plan should be all encompassing, encouraging general safety, circulation and alternative methods of transportation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct outreach project and ultimately develop a project and funding plan • Merge process with Neighborhood Safety Program (NSP) for 2020 • Jon P. – Interest in getting broad public input beyond NSP group; program should also encourage use of school buses, examining safety of bus stops as a potential barrier to use; be prepared to discuss enforcement as it pertains to safety with thoughtfulness on how the discussion occurs • Toby N. – Consider City maintenance service levels as it pertains to school walk routes; would like to see Lake Washington School District (LWSD) maps on these walk and bus routes; encourage biking/walking • Tom N. – Interest in options to generate revenue to help pay for expenses, like paid parking; would like to see LWSD support possibly with school levies
<p>3. CAO/SSDM Updates / Fee In Lieu</p> <p>Notes: Requirement to adopt King County Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM) or Dept of Ecology (DOE) Manual, different requirements in each. Discussed establishing fee in lieu program as potential difficulties arise in finding vaults for each individual construction locations (KCSWDM requires detention on all properties including smaller lots of 7-10k sq ft). DOE may be requiring this type of regional detention approach. Phase II cities will be required to do</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council interested in exploring fee in lieu • Need to determine locations, property ownership, establish cost of a credit, criteria for developer participation, finance construction of regional facility • Toby/Kurt/Tom – Should be compulsory where we determine we can build regional detention • Tom N. – Can purple/pink parcels identified in presentation volunteer to participate in the fee in lieu program? Could this be used to assist with park projects like Spinney Homestead, which is due for development?

<p>retrofitting, stepped approach to increasing requirements. Compared 8 various plots, all 8 required detention under KCSWDM, 2 would not have required under DOE manual. Looked at 2 basins with high redevelopment potential. KCSWDM increased detention and reduced impact of sensitive receiving waters, may have had to go back and retrofit under DOE - outcome positive of choosing KCSWDM. Difficulty with current requirements and situation - large number of small vaults that must be maintained by city, fee in lieu could create larger regional detention that decreases ongoing costs. City by policy says we maintain residential, commercial to maintain their own. This maintenance comes from storm water utility fees.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Toby N. – Can some current facilities like ponds be “expanded” and perhaps put park on top? Potentially
<p>4. 100th Ave Financing</p> <p>Notes: North \$5.9M - \$2M in cash, \$3.9M in debt Mid \$5.3M - \$4.9 in reprogrammed impact fees, remainder from project close-outs, apply for grant in 2019/20. This would move money from Totem Lake intersection projects, some of this possible because of grants for that area, but delay in some smaller places in this area (132nd lane extension). Improves probability of getting grants because of City’s dedication to getting project done.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will be discussed in detail at upcoming retreat
<p>Tentative Next Agenda</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Polystyrene Food Containers and Plastic Straws • Solid Waste Contract Procurement 	