June 18, 2019 SMP public meeting summary of comments

**Transparency and Outreach**

How can the city reach all the people who may be interested in commenting?

Process does not seem representative

Property owners should be part of stakeholder group

Have another meeting before July 25th hearing

Make mail look like city business mail

**Depth, buoys and safety**

Depth requirements are critical for boat owners to safely moor boats

Mooring buoy also good for mooring boats

Buoys are good for safety of shoreline

Why are buoys not allowed?

How to protect shoreline safety, swimmer safety, small boat safety

King County not replacing navigation buoys so others are needed

Keep the depth requirements

**Nonconformance Regulations and Triggers**

What is nonconformance?

Why is conformance important?

Why can’t the city ignore non-conformances when Shoreline WAC allows them to continue?

What is the benefit of requiring the removal of boat houses when redevelopment occurs on the uplands?

Why should upland redevelopment trigger the overwater nonconformance to be brought into conformance?

The threshold for when the cost of alteration exceeds 50% of the value of the house should be changed to account for value of property, not just the improvement that is being altered.

Delay update of nonconformances until next update. Beyond the scope of this periodic review.

Why can we add more regulation, but we can’t take away old ones.

**Milfoil**

Notification for chemical milfoil removal should be added with specific timing window, unlike blanket notice that is currently given.