

WAVERLY BEACH PARK RENOVATION PROJECT RFQ

JOB 17-13-PK

Responses to Submitted RFQ Questions

1. Is the \$745,000 the total project budget or the budget for consultant services for the 2 phases through construction management?

Total project budget (planning, design, and construction). We estimate that MACC (maximum allowable construction costs) will be somewhere between \$500K - \$550k for this project phase (exclusive of taxes and contingencies).

2. What is primary focus of the renovation work that the City wishes to implement?

Primary focus will be refined based on assessment by consultant team and feedback from park users/neighbors, but is likely to include some combination of: shoreline improvements/repairs; turf renovation/drainage/irrigation; pedestrian access/circulation; replace/repair/add park amenities; landscape renovation; and repair/renovate upper parking lot.

3. Who has done similar work for the City over the last 5-10 years?

The only Kirkland waterfront park which has undergone renovation in the past decade is Juanita Beach. Lead consultant: J.A. Brennan Associates

4. Has any previous work been done by any other consultants at this location?

Existing dock/pier was replaced in 1990 (Consultant: Layton & Sell). A sewer lift station was constructed in the park in 2006 (Consultant: URS Corp.)

5. Can the City provide a list of firms that have inquired about this project?

Not available.

6. Are there any concessionaires at the park other than food?

No.

7. Is there potential interest in having a recreational concessionaire, such as for paddle boats or similar?

Recreation concessions are a low priority for this site.

8. Is the City considering making improvements to the restroom/concession structure or adding picnic shelters?

An assessment of existing restroom building is warranted. Addition of picnic shelter is likely to be a consideration but may be a future phase.

9. The playground at the park looks like it's in good condition. When was it built? Does the City have any safety concerns related to the play equipment or play area edging?

Playground is due for replacement as part of City's normal life-cycle program. Issues of safety should be considered as part of site assessment.

10. How is each submission requirement in the RFP being weighted?

As stated in RFQ: "Criteria will be weighted equally, or at the discretion of the selection panel." The selection panel has not been formed at this time.

11. Is the City contemplating repair/replacement of the pier and bulk head?

An assessment of pier and bulk head is anticipated as part of project scope.

12. In the schedule it mentions working on the project during the winter. Has the City contemplated environmental approvals of working in and around the lake (sensitive areas and buffers) during the wet seasons? If this approval is delayed or work is delayed, is there a plan to adjust the schedule for a Summer 2015 Opening?

Desired goal is to maintain park use during peak season. However, this goal will ultimately be dictated by permitting requirements and nature of actual work to be performed as part of this project. City will rely upon guidance from consultant team in finalizing construction implementation schedule.

13. Does your budget of \$745k include both design and construction costs?

Yes. See Q1. We anticipate that additional renovation work will be completed in future phases, as determined by renovation and phasing plan.

14. Are you expecting a renovation of the walking pier or any work to the shoreline? Since Lake Washington is navigable waters, are you expecting the consultants to process a permit with the US Army Corps of Engineers? Was this performed with the construction of the existing pier?

Assessment of pier, bulk head, and shoreline is anticipated as part of project

scope. Permit coordination is anticipated as part of consultant scope of work.

15. Are all the questions and answers in regards to this RFQ posted somewhere on the web? If so, where?

Those submitting questions will receive Q&A via email. Q&A will also be posted on City website:

http://www.kirklandwa.gov/depart/Finance_and_Administration/Purchasing/Business_Opportunities.htm

16. Electronic submission: what is the largest file size City can accept as an attachment?

Under 10MB.

17. Any particular issues that the City or public have identified as a need or concern for this project?

See response to Q2.

18. What are the City's priorities for renovation?

See response to Q2.

19. How important is replacing or renovating the pier and restroom in the short and long term?

An assessment of pier and restroom is anticipated as part of project scope.

20. Does the City have a preference regarding whether public involvement is conducted by the designers or a "neutral" third-party?

No preference. Goal is to involve public in determining needs and priorities.

21. In the tentative schedule, design development is listed for December 2013. Is the design development task anticipated to be for the entire project site, or for the prioritized project(s)?

Design Development is for the prioritized project scope and based on available funding for construction during this phase of project.

__END__