

Table T-6 State Routes

State Route		PM Peak Hour Two-Way Traffic Volumes					WSDOT RCA-LOS		
I-405									
		Roadway Capacity 2005/2022	Existing 2006 PM Peak Hour	Forecasted 2022 Traffic Volumes	Existing AADT	2022 AADT	Adopted LOS Standard	Existing 2005 V/C LOS	Future 2022 V/C LOS
From	To								
NE 39th St.	NE 70th St.	15,000/19,000	14260	19423	199870	271635	10	13	14
NE 70th St.	NE 85th St.	15,000/19,000	13550	18975	189680	265366	10	13	14
NE 85th St.	NE 116th St.	15,000/19,000	13820	18944	192660	264940	10	13	14
NE 116th St.	NE 124th St.	15,000/19,000	10136	15705	141749	219641	10	9	12
NE 124th St.	NE 132nd St.	15,000/19,000	8550	12218	119579	170865	10	8	9
SR 908 (NE 85th St.)									
SB 405 Ramp	NB 405 Ramp	4,172	3926	4596	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.94	1.10
NB 405 Ramp	120th Ave NE	4,172	3660	4764	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.88	1.14
120th Ave NE	122nd Ave NE	4,000	3186	4081	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.80	1.02
122nd Ave NE	124th Ave NE	4,000	3379	3904	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.84	0.98
124th Ave NE	126th Ave NE	4,000	3241	3728	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.81	0.93
126th Ave NE	128th Ave NE	4,000	3285	4275	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.82	1.07
128th Ave NE	132nd Ave NE	4,000	2558	3624	-	-	E-Mitigated	0.64	0.91

Table T-7 Signalized State Route Intersections

Signalized State Route Intersections	PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes		PM Peak Hour LOS		Corresponding Letter Grade LOS for 2022	Planned Improvement Projects
	Existing 2007	Future 2022	Existing 2007	Future 2022		
I-405						
116th Ave NE/NB Ramp	2,295	3,017	0.92	1.35	F	None
NE 72nd St/SB Ramp	2,195	2,880	0.89	1.22	F	HOV queue bypass
NE 116th St/NB Ramp	2,914	3,471	0.78	0.90	E	None
NE 124th St/NB Ramp	3,711	4,552	0.52	0.60	B	HOV queue bypass
NE 124th St/SB Ramp	4,396	4,878	0.68	0.74	C	HOV queue bypass
Totten Lake Blvd/120th Ave NE	3,294	3,181	0.80	0.89	D	None
SR 908						
NE 85th St/114th Ave NE	4,071	6,090	0.97	1.16	F	Signal interconnect, add SB left-turn lane
NE 85th St/ 120th Ave NE	4,004	5,245	0.83	1.04	F	Signal interconnect, add NB left-turn lane
NE 85th St/122nd Ave NE	3,490	4,159	0.78	0.90	E	Signal interconnect
NE 85th St/124th Ave NE	4,550	5,176	0.88	1.01	F	Signal interconnect, add EB left-turn lane
NE 85th St/ 132nd Ave NE	3,472	4,996	0.81	1.13	F	Signal interconnect, add NB left-turn lane, SB right-turn lane, WB right-turn lane, add WB and EB through lanes

The following text is excerpted from the Downtown Plan section of the Moss Bay Neighborhood Plan to indicate revisions related to the Parkplace PAR within the context of the Plan. Edited paragraphs are indicated in shaded text. Graphics showing modifications to neighborhood maps are included as at the end of this document.

A. VISION STATEMENT

Downtown Kirkland provides a strong sense of community identity for all of Kirkland. This identity is derived from Downtown's physical setting along the lakefront, its distinctive topography, and the human scale of existing development. This identity is reinforced in the minds of Kirklanders by Downtown's historic role as the cultural and civic heart of the community.

Future growth and development of the Downtown must recognize its unique identity, complement ongoing civic activities, clarify Downtown's natural physical setting, enhance the open space network, and add pedestrian amenities. These qualities will be encouraged by attracting economic development that emphasizes diversity and quality within a hometown setting of human scale.

B. LAND USE

A critical mass of retail uses and services is essential to the economic vitality of the Downtown area.

The Downtown area is appropriate for a wide variety of permitted uses. The area's economic vitality and identity as a commercial center will depend upon its ability to establish and retain a critical mass of retail uses and services, primarily located west of 3rd Street. If this objective is not reached, it relegates the Downtown to a weaker and narrower commercial focus (i.e., restaurant and offices only) and lessens the opportunities and reasons for Kirklanders to frequent the Downtown.

The enhancement of the area for retail and service businesses will best be served by concentrating such uses in the pedestrian core and shoreline districts and by encouraging a substantial increase in the amount of housing and office floor area either within or adjacent to the core. In implementing this land use concept as a part of Downtown's vision, care must be taken to respect and enhance the existing features, patterns, and opportunities discussed in the following plan sections on urban design, public facilities, and circulation.

Land use districts in the Downtown area are identified in Figure C-3.

Figure C3 identifies five land use districts within the Downtown area. The districts are structured according to natural constraints such as topographical change, the appropriateness of pedestrian and/or automobile-oriented uses within the district, and linkages with nearby residential neighborhoods and other commercial activity centers.

CORE AREA

Pedestrian activity in the core area is to be enhanced.

The core area should be enhanced as the pedestrian heart of Downtown Kirkland. Land uses should be oriented to the pedestrian, both in terms of design and activity type. Appropriate uses include retail, restaurant, office, residential, cultural, and recreational.

Restaurants, delicatessens, and specialty retail shops, including fine apparel, gift shops, art galleries, import shops, and the like constitute the use mix and image contemplated in the Vision for Downtown. These uses provide visual interest and stimulate foot traffic and thereby provide opportunities for leisure time strolling along Downtown walkways for Kirklanders and visitors alike.

Drive-through facilities and ground-floor offices are prohibited.

The desired pedestrian character and vitality of the core area requires the relatively intensive use of land and continuous compact retail frontage. Therefore, automobile drive-through facilities should be prohibited. Similarly, office uses should not be allowed to locate on the ground level. These uses generally lack visual interest, generate little foot traffic, and diminish prime ground floor opportunities for the retail uses that are crucial to the ambiance and economic success of the core area.

The attractiveness of the core area for pedestrian activity should be maintained and enhanced. Public and private efforts toward beautification of the area should be promoted. Mitigation measures should be undertaken where land uses may threaten the quality of the pedestrian environment. For example, in areas where take-out eating facilities are permitted, a litter surcharge on business licenses should be considered as a means to pay for additional trash receptacles or cleaning crews.

The creation and enhancement of public open spaces is discussed.

Public open spaces are an important component of the pedestrian environment. They provide focal points for outdoor activity, provide refuge from automobiles, and stimulate foot traffic which in turn helps the retail trade. The establishment and use of public spaces should be promoted. Surface parking lots should be eliminated in favor of structured parking. In the interim, their role as one form of open area in the Downtown should be improved with landscaped buffers adjacent to rights-of-way and between properties. Landscaping should also be installed where rear sides of buildings and service areas are exposed to pedestrians.

A high-priority policy objective should be for developers to include only enough parking stalls in their projects within the core area to meet the immediate need and to locate the majority of their parking in the core frame. This approach would reserve the majority of core land area for pedestrian movement and uses and yet recognize that the adjacent core frame is within a very short walk.

The City should generally avoid vacating alleys and streets in the core area. The existing network of street and alleys provides a fine-grained texture to the blocks which allows service access and pedestrian shortcuts. The small blocks also preclude consolidation of properties which might allow larger developments with less pedestrian scale. Vacations may be considered when they will not result in increased building mass and there is a substantial public benefit. Examples of public benefit might include superior pedestrian or vehicular linkages, or superior public open space.

NORTHWEST CORE FRAME

Office and office/multifamily mixed-use projects are appropriate in the Northwest Core Frame.

The Northwest Core Frame includes the area south of City Hall and north of the core area. This area should develop with office, or office/multifamily mixed-use projects, whose occupants will help to support the commercial establishments contained in the core. Retail and restaurant uses are desirable provided that they have primary access from Central Way.

This area presents an excellent opportunity for the development of perimeter parking for the core area and is so shown in the Downtown Master Plan (Figure C4). Developers should be encouraged to include surplus public parking in their projects, or to incorporate private parking “transferred” from projects in the core or funded by the fee-in-lieu or other municipal source. While pedestrian pathways are not as critical in this area as they are in the core, drive-through facilities should nevertheless be encouraged to locate elsewhere, to the east of 3rd Street.

Northeast Core Frame

A broad range of commercial uses should be encouraged in the Northeast Core Frame.

The Northeast Core Frame currently contains the bulk of the Downtown area’s automobile-oriented uses. Redevelopment or new development in this area should be encouraged to represent a broader range of commercial uses.

Future development should set the bulk of structures back from the street while providing low, one-story retail shops at the edge of the sidewalk. Development should also underground utilities, and incorporate parking lot landscaping and a reduction in lot coverage in site design. This will present an open, green face to Central Way and, in conjunction with Peter Kirk Park on the south side of the street, create a tree-lined boulevard effect as one approaches the core area from the east.

EAST CORE FRAME

Development in the East Core Frame should be in large, intensively developed mixed-use projects.

The East Core Frame is located east of Peter Kirk Park, extending from Kirkland Way northerly to 7th Avenue. The area includes the area where the Kirkland Parkplace shopping center as well as several large office buildings and large residential complexes. South of Central way, the area is largely commercial and provides significant opportunities for redevelopment. This area should continue to represent a wide range of uses, in several large, mixed-use projects. However, because this area between Central Way and Kirkland Way provides the best opportunities in the Downtown for creating a strong vital employment base, redevelopment for this area should continue to emphasize office use should be emphasized redevelopment over residential. Within the Parkplace center site, however, retail uses should be a significant component of a mixed use complex.

Limited residential use should be allowed as a complementary use adjoining the eastern edge of Peter Kirk Park as a complementary use. These residential uses should be designed to accommodate the active nature of the park (e.g., noise, lighting, etc.) to avoid potential conflicts between future residents and park uses.

The north side of Central Way, within the East Core Frame, has been redeveloped to nearly its full potential with high density residential uses.

SOUTH CORE FRAME

Retail, office, and office/multifamily mixed-use projects are suitable for the South Core Frame.

The South Core Frame immediately abuts the southern boundary of the core area. This area is suitable for retail, office, and office/multifamily mixed-use projects.

Public parking may be provided in the South Core Frame.

The South Core Frame, like the Northwest Core Frame, presents an excellent opportunity for the development of close-in public parking. Developers should be allowed to include surplus public parking in their projects in this area or to accommodate private parking “transferred” from the core or funded by “fee-in-lieu” or other municipal source.

The western half of the South Core Frame should develop more intensively than the eastern half of this area, due to its proximity to the Downtown core. The vacation of 1st Avenue South, west of 2nd Street South, and 1st Street South should be considered as a means of concentrating more intensive development to the west.

Mitigation measures to reduce impacts on single-family residences may be required.

As this area lies just north of an established single-family neighborhood, mitigation measures may be required to minimize the impacts of any new nonresidential development on these single-family homes. These measures may include the restriction of vehicle access to projects within the South Core Frame to nonresidential streets. Public improvements, such as physical barriers to restrict traffic flow in these areas, may be considered. The architectural massing of projects in this area should be modulated both horizontally and vertically to reduce their visual bulk and to reflect the topography which presently exists.

C. URBAN DESIGN

The urban design of Downtown Kirkland consists of many disparate elements which, together, define its identity and “sense of place.” This document provides policy guidelines for the design of private development and a master plan for the development of the public framework of streets, pedestrian pathways, public facilities, parks, public buildings, and other public improvements (see Figure C4).

The following discussion is organized into three sections:

- A. Downtown Design Guidelines and Design Review;
- B. Building Height and Design Districts; and
- C. The Image of the City: Urban Design Assets.

DOWNTOWN DESIGN GUIDELINES AND DESIGN REVIEW

Mechanics of Design Review are described.

The booklet entitled “Design Guidelines for Pedestrian-Oriented Business Districts,” which is adopted in Chapter 3.30 of the Kirkland Municipal Code, contains policy guidelines and concepts for private development in Downtown Kirkland. The booklet includes an explanation of the mechanics of the Design Review process to be used for all new development and major renovations in the Downtown area. The booklet entitled “Master Plan and Design Guidelines for Kirkland Parkplace” contains guidelines for the master planned development of the Kirkland Parkplace site (Design District 5A). Discretion to deny or condition a design proposal is based on specific Design Guidelines or a master plan adopted by the City Council and administered by the Design Review Board and Planning Department. Design Review enables the City to apply the Guidelines in a consistent, predictable, and effective manner.

The Guidelines are intended to balance the desired diversity of project architecture with the equally desired overall coherence of the Downtown’s visual and historic character. This is to be achieved by injecting into each project’s creative design process a recognition and respect of design principles and methods which incorporate new development into Downtown’s overall pattern. The Guidelines would be applied to any specific site in conjunction with the policy guidance provided by the Downtown Master Plan and the following text regarding Design Districts.

The Design Review Process enables the City to require new development to implement the policy guidance contained in the Guidelines, the Master Plan for Downtown, and to protect and enhance the area's urban design assets. A more complete description of how Design Review should operate is found in the Zoning Code.

BUILDING HEIGHT AND DESIGN DISTRICTS

Figure C5 identifies eight height and design districts within Downtown Kirkland. The boundaries of these districts are determined primarily by the topographical characteristics of the land and the area's proximity to other noncommercial uses.

Design District 1

Maximum building height in Design District 1 is between two and five stories, depending on location and use.

This district is bordered by Lake Street, Central Way, 3rd Street, and generally 1st Avenue South. When combined with District 2, this area corresponds to the core area as shown in Figure C3.

The maximum building height in this area should be between two and five stories with no minimum setback from property lines. Stories above the second story should be set back from the street. To preserve the existing human scale of this area, development over two stories requires review and approval by the Design Review Board based on the priorities set forth in this plan.

Buildings should be limited to two stories along all of Lake Street South to reflect the scale of development in Design District 2. Along Park Lane west of Main Street, Third Street, and along Kirkland Avenue, a maximum height of two stories along street frontages will protect the existing human scale and pedestrian orientation. Buildings up to three stories in height may be appropriate along Central Way to reflect the scale of development in Design District 8 and as an intermediate height where adequately set back from the street. A continuous three-story street wall should be avoided by incorporating vertical and horizontal modulations into the design of buildings.

The portions of Design District 1 designated as 1A in Figure C-5 should be limited to a maximum height of three stories. As an incentive to encourage residential use of upper floors and to strengthen the retail fabric of the Core Area, a fourth story of height may be allowed. This additional story may be considered by the Design Review Board for projects where at least two of the upper stories are residential, the total height is not more than four feet taller than the height that would result from an office project with two stories of office over ground floor retail, stories above the second story are set back significantly from the street and the building form is stepped back at the third and fourth stories to mitigate the additional building mass, and the project provides superior retail space at the street level. Rooftop appurtenances and related screening should not exceed the total allowed height, and should be integrated into the height and design of any peaked roofs or parapets.

The portions of Design District 1 designated as 1B in Figure C-5 provide the best opportunities for new development that could contribute to the pedestrian fabric of the Downtown. Much of the existing development in these areas consists of older auto-oriented uses defined by surface parking lots and poor

pedestrian orientation. To provide incentive for redevelopment and because these larger sites have more flexibility to accommodate additional height, a mix of two to four stories in height is appropriate. East of Main Street, development should combine modulations in building heights with modulations of facade widths to break large buildings into the appearance of multiple smaller buildings. South of Kirkland Avenue, building forms should step up from the north and west with the tallest portions at the base of the hillside to help moderate the mass of large buildings on top of the bluff. Buildings over two stories in height should generally reduce the building mass above the second story.

As with Design District 1A, an additional story of height may be appropriate in IB to encourage residential use of the upper floors and to strengthen the retail fabric in the Core Area. This additional story may be considered by the Design Review Board for projects where at least three of the upper stories are residential, the total height is not more than one foot taller than the height that would result from an office project with three stories of office over ground floor retail, stories above the second story are set back significantly from the street and the building form is stepped back at the at the third, fourth, and fifth stories to mitigate the additional building mass, and the project provides superior retail space at the street level. Rooftop appurtenances and related screening should not exceed the total allowed height, and should be integrated into the height and design of any peaked roofs or parapets.

Design considerations of particular importance in this area are those related to pedestrian scale and orientation. Building design at the street wall should contribute to a lively, attractive, and safe pedestrian streetscape. This should be achieved by the judicious placement of windows, multiple entrances, canopies, awnings, courtyards, arcades, and other pedestrian amenities. Service areas, surface parking, and blank facades should be located away from the street frontage.

Design District 2

One to three stories in building height above Central Way or Lake Street are appropriate in Design District 2, depending on location.

This area is bordered by the shoreline, Central Way, Lake Street, and 3rd Avenue South. This area serves as the link between Downtown and the Lake and helps define the traditional pedestrian-oriented retail environment. In addition, the existing low development allows public views of the Lake from many vantages around the Downtown and allows evening sun into the Downtown core. To emphasize this link and the traditional role, building heights in this area should remain low. Two stories above the street are appropriate along Central Way and south of Kirkland Avenue. Along Lake Street South between Kirkland Avenue and Central Way, buildings should be limited to one story above the street. Two stories in height may be allowed in this area where the impacts of the additional height are offset by substantial public benefits, such as through-block public pedestrian access or view corridors. Buildings over one story in this area should be reviewed by the Design Review Board for both design and public benefit considerations. These benefits could also be provided with the development of the Lakeshore Plaza project identified in the Downtown Master Plan (see Figure C-4). Building occurring in conjunction with that project or thereafter should be reviewed in relation to the new context to determine whether two stories are appropriate. South of Second Avenue South, buildings up to three stories above Lake Street South are appropriate. Buildings over two stories should be reviewed by the Design Review Board to ensure an effective transition along the street and properties to the south.

As in District 1, pedestrian orientation is an equally important design consideration in District 2. In addition, improvements related to the visual or physical linkage between building in this area and the lake to the west should be incorporated in building design.

The public parking lot located near Marina Park at the base of Market Street is well suited for a parking structure of several levels, due to its topography. Incentives should be developed to encourage the use of this site for additional public parking.

Design Districts 3 and 7

Maximum building height is three stories in Design Districts 3 and 7.

These districts are east of 3rd Street, north of Central Way, and south of Peter Kirk Park. Maximum building height should be three stories, with a minimum front yard setback of 20 feet and maximum lot coverage of 80 percent. Lower portions of projects with a pedestrian orientation should be allowed to encroach into the setbacks to stimulate pedestrian activity and links to eastern portions of the Downtown. Street trees and ground cover are appropriate along Kirkland Avenue and Central Way. By keeping structures in this area relatively low-rise and set back from the street, views from upland residences can be preserved and the openness around Peter Kirk Park enhanced.

In Design District 3, the restriction of access points to nonresidential streets may be necessary in order to prevent a negative impact of development in this area on the single-family enclave which exists to the south.

Design District 4

Maximum building height to be four stories.

This district is located south of 1st Avenue South, east of 1st Street South. Land in this area is appropriate for developments of four stories in height.

The method for calculating building height should be modified for this area as described in the discussion of height calculation for structures in District 8. The opportunity to take advantage of substantial grade changes with terraced building forms also exists in the western half of District 4.

Vehicular circulation will be an important consideration in project design in this area. The restriction of access points to nonresidential streets in order to prevent a negative impact of development in this area on the single-family enclave which exists to the south may be necessary.

Design District 5

Building heights of two to five stories are appropriate in Design District 5.

This district lies at the east side of Downtown between ~~Central Way~~ Design District 5A and Kirkland Way. Maximum building height should be between three and five stories. The existing mix of building heights and

arrangement of structures within the district preserves a sense of openness within the district and around the perimeter. Placement, size, and orientation of new structures in this district should be carefully considered to preserve this sense of openness. Buildings over two stories in height should be reviewed by the Design Review Board for consistency with applicable policies and criteria. Within the district, massing should generally be lower toward the perimeter and step up toward the center. ~~Facades~~ Portions of buildings facing Central Way, Kirkland Way, and Peter Kirk Park should be limited to between two and three stories, with taller portions of the building stepped back significantly. Buildings over three stories in height should generally reduce building mass above the third story.

~~Buildings fronting Peter Kirk Park and the Performance Center should be well modulated, both vertically and horizontally, to ease the transition to this important public space. Buildings should not turn their backs onto the park with service access or, blank walls, etc. Landscaping and pedestrian linkages should be used to create an effective transition. Residential development should be designed to integrate into both the office/retail character of the zone and the active urban nature of Peter Kirk Park. Residential development should also be limited to those portions of the property fronting on park green space, rather than those portions fronting the Teen Center and Performance Center.~~

~~Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. The intersection of 6th Street and Central Way is a prominent gateway to the Downtown. New development in this area should have a positive impact on the image of Kirkland and should be designed to enhance this entry.~~ Within the district, a north-south vehicular access between Central Way and Kirkland Way should be preserved and enhanced with pedestrian improvements.

Design Districts 5A

Building heights of 3 to 8 stories are appropriate in Design District 5A.

This district lies at the east side of Downtown between Central Way and Design District 5 and is commonly known as Parkplace. This property is distinguished from the remainder of Design District 5 by the following factors: it is a large parcel under common ownership; it is topographically distinct based on previous excavation to a level that is generally lower than Central Way and abutting properties to the south and east; it has frontage on Central Way; and it contains a mix of uses not found on other office or residential only properties in District 5. Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. Within the district a north-south vehicular access between Central Way and Kirkland Way should be preserved and enhanced with pedestrian improvements.

Redevelopment of this area should be governed by the Kirkland Parkplace Master Plan and Design Guidelines as set forth in the Kirkland Municipal Code. Heights of up to eight stories are appropriate as an incentive to create a network of public open spaces around which is organized a dynamic retail destination. Development under the Master Plan and Design Guidelines should guide the transformation of this district from an auto-oriented center surrounded by surface parking into a pedestrian-oriented center integrated into the community by placing parking underground; activating the streets with retail uses; and creating generous pedestrian paths, public spaces and gathering places. Pedestrian connections to adjoining streets, Peter Kirk Park, and adjoining developments should be incorporated to facilitate the integration of the district into the neighborhood. Residential development could be designed to integrate into both the office/retail character of the zone and the active urban nature of Peter Kirk Park. Special attention to building design, size, and location should be provided at three key locations: at the intersection of Central Way and Sixth Street to define and enhance this

important downtown gateway; along Central Way to respond to the context along the north side of street; and facing Peter Kirk Park to provide a transition in scale to downtown's central greenspace.

Because of the intensity of land use in 5A, the design of the buildings and site should incorporate aggressive sustainability measures, including low impact development measures, deconstruction, green buildings, and transportation demand management.

Design District 6

Maximum building heights of two to four stories are appropriate for Design District 6.

This large block of land located between 5th Street and 6th Street, north of Central Way, and south of 7th Avenue, is identified as a major opportunity site for redevelopment elsewhere in this document. Figure C6 contains a schematic diagram of design and circulation considerations that should be incorporated in the redevelopment of this district. Development of this district should be relatively intensive and should be physically integrated through pedestrian access routes, design considerations, and intensive landscaping.

Safe, convenient, and attractive pedestrian connections across the district should be provided. This path should be designed under a covered enclosure or arcade along the storefronts in this area. Visual interest and pedestrian scale of these storefronts will contribute to the appeal of this walkway to the pedestrian. A connection of this pathway to Central Way should be made, with a continuation of the overhead enclosure to unify this pedestrian route.

Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. The intersection of 6th Street and Central Way is a prominent gateway to the Downtown. New development in this area should have a positive impact on the image of Kirkland and should be designed to enhance this entry.

A substantial building setback or mitigating design such as the site configuration on the south side of Central Way is necessary in order to preserve openness at this important gateway site. The northeast and southeast corners of this block should be set aside and landscaped to provide public open spaces or miniparks at these gateways. Side-yard setbacks, however, should be minimal to reduce the appearance of a building surrounded by a parking area.

The northern portion of this district should be developed in uses that are residential both in function and scale. Access to this portion of the site may be either from 7th Avenue or from one of the adjacent side streets. Some of the significant trees along 7th Avenue should be incorporated into the site design as a means of softening the apparent mass of any new structures and to provide additional elements of continuity facing the single-family residences along 7th Avenue. In addition, building mass should step down toward 7th Avenue and design consideration should be given to the massing and form of single-family homes to the north.

Design District 8

Building heights of two to four stories are appropriate, depending on location.
