

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Comment	Name	Address	Date	Via Email	Via Letter	Via Survey	Via Other
Opposed to MRM, proposed height too high, too many traffic impacts from denser development.	Cindy Springer	121 6th Ct S, Kirkland, WA 98033, springer.cindy@gmail.com	6/25/2015			x	
Lawyer writing on behalf of Davidson, Serles and Associates stating opposition to MRM proposal. Cites Comprehensive Plan goals, and zoning and municipal codes as reasons.	Brent Carson		6/25/2015				x
Impressed with MRM's proposal, thinks it is an "aspirational symbol" for future of Kirkland. Likes the idea of improving Parkplace.	Alvin Loh, Founder/CEO of Jobvention	<a href="mailto:alvin@jobvention.com">alvin@jobvention.com</a>	6/18/2015	x			
Applicant comments on community support of project	Joe Razore, MRM	3927 Lake Washington Blvd NE, Kirkland, WA 98033	6/15/2015		x		
Report commissioned by applicant from real estate appraiser stating the best use of the land is for retail and residential, not office. States office development both proposed and existing in Bellevue, Redmond will reduce demand for Kirkland. Further, states residential use does a better job at keeping the downtown core vibrant than office.	Anthony Gibbons, Re*Solve	261 Madison Ave S, Ste 102, Bainbridge, WA 98110, (206) 842-4887, agibbons@realestate solve.com	6/10/2015	x			
Economics report commissioned by Davidson, Serles and Associates, cites real estate market and policy goals as rationales for more commercial space in CBD.	Gardner Economics	Andrew Gardner	5/15/2015				
Support from Kirkland Performance Center, MRM proposal will increase parking, and add to customer base.	Santos Contreras, President KPC Board of Directors	<a href="mailto:scon1965@hotmail.com">scon1965@hotmail.com</a>	5/14/2015	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, eight story building is the start,	Kathy and Paul Frank	<a href="mailto:frogspek@frontier.com">frogspek@frontier.com</a>	3/14/2015	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

COMMENTS RECEIVED BEFORE MARCH 12, 2015 RELATE TO THE PREVIOUS MRM PROPOSAL, WHICH INCLUDED A REQUEST TO INCREASE HEIGHT TO 8 STORIES (100'). THE APPLICANT WITHDREW THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL HEIGHT AT THE MARCH 12, 2015 PLANNING COMMISSION STUDY SESSION AND ASKED THAT 6 STORIES OF RESIDENTIAL BE ALLOWED WITHIN THE EXISTING 67' HEIGHT LIMIT.							
Unico Properties, owner of Continental Plaza (550 Kirkland Way) supports MRM project. Cites providing more residential in CBD, works in conjunction with Parkplace redevelopment.	Andrew Cox, Vice President, Regional Director, Unico Properties	1215 4th Ave, Ste 600, Seattle, WA 98161, (206) 346-3022, AndrewC@unicoprop.com	3/11/2015	x			
Cannot support MRM proposal, believes site should be reserved for jobs not residential. States CBD needs more areas zoned for jobs, cites Comprehensive Plan goals and need for designated Urban Center. Attached real estate report citing low office vacancy rates.	Bea Nahon	<a href="mailto:bea.nahon@nahoncpa.com">bea.nahon@nahoncpa.com</a>	3/11/2015	x			
Opposed to MRM proposal, cites most redevelopment in the CBD has been large multi-family proposals with very few office projects. Cites need for class A office space in CBD. Wants MRM to stay commercial, wants CBD to remain employment center.	Kenneth H. Davidson	520 Kirkland Way, Ste 400 PO Box 817, Kirkland, WA 98033	3/11/2015	x			
Favors MRM proposal, cites need to provide residential, high quality retail. States city is better off with development on site, not land banking.	Dan Ryan	<a href="mailto:dan.ryan@gmail.com">dan.ryan@gmail.com</a> , 493 2nd Ave S, Kirkland, WA 98033, (425) 260-9441	3/9/2015	x			
Support from nearby multifamily property owner for proposal. With proximity to Parkplace, development standards should be similar to nearby projects.	Doug Waddell	<a href="mailto:doug@waddellpropertiesinc.com">doug@waddellpropertiesinc.com</a>	3/8/2015	x			
Support MRM proposal. Wants attractive setbacks, amenities, materials, and high design standards.	Jim Hitter and Carolyn Hitter	<a href="mailto:carolynandjim@hitterworld.com">carolynandjim@hitterworld.com</a>	3/2/2015	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Applicant requesting PAR to be considered as part of Comprehensive Plan update due to changes to the Parkplace redevelopment.	Joe Razore, MRM	3927 Lake Washington Blvd NE, Kirkland, WA 98033	5/12/2014	x				
Opposed to MRM, cites need for pedestrian friendly street. States dislike of tall buildings and limited parking. Feels proposal is too large and ambitious for Downtown Kirkland.	Margaret Bull	6225 108th PI NE, Kirkland, WA 98033	5/7/2014	x				
Opposed: height too much.	Ken and Jan Wherry	<a href="mailto:kjwherry@comcast.net">kjwherry@comcast.net</a>	4/28/2014	x				
Lawyer writing on behalf of Davidson, Serles and Associates requesting PAR be withdrawn	Brent Carson	719 2nd Ave, Ste 1150, Seattle, WA 98104	4/23/2014	x				
Opposed: nearby property owner provides diagrams showing how proposals would affect views from other perspectives	Kenneth H. Davidson	<a href="mailto:ken@kirklandlaw.com">ken@kirklandlaw.com</a>	4/22/2014	x				
Opposed to MRM on aesthetic grounds--blocking out sky and ground, states need to balance growth with keeping growth restrained.	Kerry Abbott	<a href="mailto:kerry.michael.abbott@gmail.com">kerry.michael.abbott@gmail.com</a>	4/18/2014	x				
Urges Planning Commission to wrap up MRM proceedings April 24 [2014] instead of being tabled for review during the Comp Plan update.	Andy Held	<a href="mailto:andy@andyheld.com">andy@andyheld.com</a>	4/17/2014	x				
Does not want land banking of site waiting for developer, cites lack of demand for office space citing Parkplace vacancies and Google campus away from downtown, states height fears are unfounded due to higher building elevations found nearby, does not want MRM and Parkplace to be combined.	Dan Ryan	<a href="mailto:dan.ryan@gmail.com">dan.ryan@gmail.com</a> , 493 2nd Ave S, Kirkland, WA 98033, (425) 260-9441	4/16/2014	x				
Opposed: does not think it is in community's best interest, building is out of scale, there is already enough residential	Glenda Schmidt	225 4th Ave, B402, Kirkland, WA 98033	4/16/2014		x			
Reiterates opposition: heights and traffic impact	Jan Olson	<a href="mailto:janmarols@gmail.com">janmarols@gmail.com</a>	4/15/2014	x				

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

In favor: previous owner of MRM site in favor of height while also favoring open space, wants 60% for residences,	Richard W Ekins	530 2nd Ave, #309, Kirkland, WA 98033	4/12/2014		x		
Opposed: does not want Bellevue or Redmond, does not want special concessions or variances to be given, cites hotels in Hawaii illuminating examples.	Robert and Vera Ellen Fahl	<a href="mailto:rcfhnl@yahoo.com">rcfhnl@yahoo.com</a>	4/11/2014	x			
Lawyer for MRM cites Comprehensive Plan, City policy, and regulations as basis for proposal	Richard Hill	McCullough Hill Leary, 701 5th Ave, Ste 6600, Seattle, WA 98104	4/6/2014	x			
Opposed: increased traffic congestion, movement away from hometown feel toward sterile city.	Karen Fitzpatrick	<a href="mailto:dfitzpa01@aol.com">dfitzpa01@aol.com</a>	3/25/2014	x			
Wants retail and office on MRM site, does not want apartments in areas of employment	Margaret Bull	<a href="mailto:wisteriouswoman@gmail.com">wisteriouswoman@gmail.com</a>	3/23/2014	x			
Opposed: beauty and charm of Kirkland will be destroyed with 8 story building.	Hae Sue Park and Pete Ada	<a href="mailto:haesuepark@hotmail.com">haesuepark@hotmail.com</a>	3/18/2014	x			
Opposed: changes character, loses distinctive quality.	Cheryl Nelsen	<a href="mailto:cherylnel@hotmail.com">cherylnel@hotmail.com</a>	3/17/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want overcrowding in Kirkland, cites children having to enter lottery to attend local school, overcrowding leads to more crimes and less opportunities for current residents.	Carl Atienza	<a href="mailto:atienza@hotmail.com">atienza@hotmail.com</a>	3/17/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic impact, loss of small town feel	Bill and Tammara Dempsey	<a href="mailto:tammara.gibbons@vmmc.org">tammara.gibbons@vmmc.org</a>	3/17/2014	x			
In favor: states most views wont be compromised, wants evening and weekend vitality that residential spaces (not office/commercial) offer, does not want Parkplace and MRM to become office park in downtown	Jim Hitter and Carolyn Hitter	<a href="mailto:carolynandjim@hitterworld.com">carolynandjim@hitterworld.com</a>	3/17/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Opposed: does not want developers to get amendments, wants city to abide by established rules	Robin Herberger	<a href="mailto:mediaworks1@frontier.com">mediaworks1@frontier.com</a>	3/17/2014	x			
City should be developed with codes and plans not PARs, eight story building should be located in Totem Lake not Downtown, five stories is the right amount providing good transition, no public benefit from this PAR.	Chuck Pilcher	<a href="mailto:chuck@bourlandweb.com">chuck@bourlandweb.com</a>	3/16/2014	x			
Opposed: reduce commercial space making it less attractive to consumers, increase car traffic, reduce land value by taking away from Moss Bay esteem	Chris and Chi Meyer	<a href="mailto:chris.meyer@microsoft.com">chris.meyer@microsoft.com</a>	3/14/2014	x			
Supports MRM proposal as long as it stays away from the "village."	Bob Routt	<a href="mailto:ibunning26@gmail.com">ibunning26@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Lawyer writing on behalf of Davidson, Serles and Associates stating opposition to MRM proposal. Cites Comprehensive Plan goals, policy decisions, and zoning and municipal codes as reasons.	Brent Carson		3/13/2014				x
Opposed due to negative changes to character downtown, and additional traffic.	Dan Walker	<a href="mailto:danw777@gmail.com">danw777@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic impacts, not enough public benefits	Scott Willeke	<a href="mailto:scott@willeke.com">scott@willeke.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: height too much, five stories is ideal in downtown core,	Karen Story	<a href="mailto:karen@nwnative.us">karen@nwnative.us</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: increased height and mass would alter community character, increases in traffic congestion	Janet and John Pruitt	<a href="mailto:janetpruitt@hotmail.com">janetpruitt@hotmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: wants developer to follow zoning, proposed building is ugly and imposing.	Mary Jessen	<a href="mailto:mary.jim@frontier.com">mary.jim@frontier.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Wants retail included at ground level, traffic mitigation, and pedestrian accommodations in exchange for eight stories.	Owen Paulus	<a href="mailto:owen_paulus@hotmail.com">owen_paulus@hotmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue	Ralph and Guia Villavicencio	<a href="mailto:rafaelvillavicencio3@gmail.com">rafaelvillavicencio3@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Opposed: development takes away from breathing space and smart approach to density, wants to keep development sensible without ruining character of town	Mark Hickling	<a href="mailto:hicklingontheroad@hotmail.com">hicklingontheroad@hotmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, likes user friendly people oriented town not high rise concrete	Richard and Nancy Gode	<a href="mailto:rgroguedog528@gmail.com">rgroguedog528@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed to MRM	Tibet Giray	<a href="mailto:trustg@comcast.net">trustg@comcast.net</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not like developers modifying zoning rules, does not want zoning rules to be eroded.	CP and Martha Grosenick	<a href="mailto:cpq.iii@frontier.com">cpq.iii@frontier.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not change character, wants zoning codes that does not allow developers to push city around, five stores is enough, six if they provide benefits	Lynn Geib	<a href="mailto:lynngeib.art@gmail.com">lynngeib.art@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, views increased height and density as slippery slope, wants lower-profile downtown	Mark Schiller	<a href="mailto:schiller.mark@gmail.com">schiller.mark@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Wants to make height happen, it will move the city forward. Cites bad example of Park Place	Doug Engle	<a href="mailto:doug.engle@escrail.org">doug.engle@escrail.org</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Seattle or Bellevue, could dramatically change character of city,	Sherri Lynn	<a href="mailto:laboogiedragon@yahoo.com">laboogiedragon@yahoo.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic congestion, depletion on culture, essences and soul of city, growth and change must be managed	Maureen Manley	<a href="mailto:momanley@earthlink.net">momanley@earthlink.net</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Opposed: views are taken away by existing development, character is compromised, traffic congestion would be made worse,	Carol Lynn	<a href="mailto:doulacarol@gmail.com">doulacarol@gmail.com</a>	3/13/2014	x			
Wants the city to negotiate with developers to get concessions in developing buildings.	Ray and Judy Williams	225 4th Ave, A-204, Kirkland, WA 98033	3/13/2014	x			
Support MRM proposal, more residential is supportive to the Downtown Core. Is not concerned about height as long as it meets design guidelines.	Zach Zaborowski, 222 5th Ave, Kirkland, WA 98033	<a href="mailto:zach.zaborowski@gmail.com">zach.zaborowski@gmail.com</a>	3/12/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Supports MRM proposal, height and use both make sense.	Dan Ryan	dan.ryan@gmail.com , 493 2nd Ave S, Kirkland, WA 98033, (425) 260-9441	3/12/2014	x			
Supports MRM proposal, promotes live and work, will support nearby retail. Does not Kirkland to be like Bellevue, car centric with towers.	Kris Nichols	kristopher.nichols@gmail.com, (206) 790-9927	3/12/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic and pollution, aesthetic concerns, potential changes to theme and style	Sophie Chang	<a href="mailto:popoasia@gmail.com">popoasia@gmail.com</a>	3/12/2014	x			
Opposed: desires low rise downtown and community feel, large building would adversely affect city,	Lois and Harsha Ramalingam	<a href="mailto:lois.harsha@cosmicoclean.net">lois.harsha@cosmicoclean.net</a>	3/12/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic impacts and charm lost, does not want Redmond	June Real	<a href="mailto:junie.boots@hotmail.com">junie.boots@hotmail.com</a>	3/12/2014	x			
Opposed: believes transitions need to occur gradually, does not want large building to block out sun, does not want to lose small town feel.	Dana V. Adams	<a href="mailto:danavadams@windermere.com">danavadams@windermere.com</a>	3/11/2014	x			
Opposed: traffic impacts, change to city character, does not want Bellevue, wants city to stick to current regulations	Cindy Springer	<a href="mailto:springer.cindy@gmail.com">springer.cindy@gmail.com</a>	3/11/2014	x			
Supports MRM proposal, Downtown Kirkland is underutilized and needs more development, this will add to that.	Hobart Hani	110 2nd St S, Kirkland, WA 98033, exstuff@comcast.net	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want another Bellevue, does not want loss of small town feel including safety and community, negative traffic impacts including parking.	Meredith Goldstein	<a href="mailto:meredithgoldstein@hotmail.com">meredithgoldstein@hotmail.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: loss of small town feel. Does not like Touchstone project to be used as precedent, cites problems with Touchstone.	Ronald Knight	<a href="mailto:ronknight35@mac.com">ronknight35@mac.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: height too much, wants more business in downtown--not condos and apartment.	Teresa Hopkins	<a href="mailto:tehop@comcast.net">tehop@comcast.net</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, increased traffic and parking	Santo Criscuolo	<a href="mailto:santoc1968@hotmail.com">santoc1968@hotmail.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Does not like piecemeal development, desires an ambitious and comprehensive long term plan which includes citizen input.	Shawn Etchevers	<a href="mailto:setchev@comcast.net">setchev@comcast.net</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: believes MRM can work with five stories, does not want City to stray from balance between residential and business, increased traffic congestion	Jan Olson	<a href="mailto:janmarols@gmail.com">janmarols@gmail.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: too many apartments, not enough room for businesses to grow	Luciellen Camelia	<a href="mailto:lucuellenc@yahoo.com">lucuellenc@yahoo.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, does not want congestion, wants balance between living and working space	Sara Taniguchi	<a href="mailto:sara@taniguchi.com">sara@taniguchi.com</a>	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: wants more small business not apartments	Dorothy Coreigon	14 Main St S, Kirkland, WA 98033	3/10/2014	x			
Opposed: out of scale, does not follow provisions of Comprehensive Plan.	Robert L. Holtzclaw	<a href="mailto:rlhdmh@hotmail.com">rlhdmh@hotmail.com</a>	3/9/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want tall buildings, would increase traffic congestion, density, parking, and pollution problems	Dave Garland	<a href="mailto:dgarland@comcast.net">dgarland@comcast.net</a>	3/9/2014	x			
In favor: wants increased tax base, rather have them live [there] and support local businesses rather than leave.	John F. Sherwood Jr	<a href="mailto:jsherwoodjr@prklaw.com">jsherwoodjr@prklaw.com</a>	3/9/2014	x			
Supports MRM proposal, it would fit well into the city, provide more housing for local employees. Eight stories is fine with appropriate setbacks.	Greg LaCombe	538 11th Ave W, Kirkland, WA 98033, (425) 828-6480	3/8/2014		x		
Supports MRM proposal, glad to see new development.	Peter Lang,	<a href="mailto:peterl@trellisintegration.com">peterl@trellisintegration.com</a>	3/7/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, traffic congestion, does not believe people will only have one car and ride the bus.	Trudy Goldkamp	<a href="mailto:goldkamptrudy@hotmail.com">goldkamptrudy@hotmail.com</a>	3/7/2014	x			
Opposed: height too much, likes low cityscape	Sofia Celic-Li	<a href="mailto:sofiacelic@gmail.com">sofiacelic@gmail.com</a>	3/7/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, feels development is better suited for Totem Lake,	Chuck Pilcher	<a href="mailto:chuck@bourlandweb.com">chuck@bourlandweb.com</a>	3/7/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Opposed: does not want Bellevue, appreciates village feel in Kirkland, feels development would open door in future	Pat and Givanni Ranieri	<a href="mailto:yourhome@patranieri.com">yourhome@patranieri.com</a>	3/7/2014	x				
Kirkland homeowner and small business owner supports proposal, states need for retail and residential tax base.	Patrice Heston	<a href="mailto:patrice@danceonin.com">patrice@danceonin.com</a>	3/4/2014	x				
Opposed: MRM site located in office and retail area, not residential, MRM not offering anything in return for additional three stories,	Connie and Greg Elliot	<a href="mailto:cdgelliot@frontier.com">cdgelliot@frontier.com</a>	3/4/2014	x				
Opposed: states overbuilding, traffic congestion, parking congestion, empty storefronts	Ron and Pat Knight	<a href="mailto:pknight312@me.com">pknight312@me.com</a>	3/4/2014	x				
Opposed: need to keep integrity of Comp Plan and leave zoning as is	Christy Monahan	<a href="mailto:christymonahan@gmail.com">christymonahan@gmail.com</a>	3/4/2014	x				
Opposed: traffic congestion and parking issues, does not want to lose character, development should be in Totem Lake,	Virginia Caunt	<a href="mailto:ycaunt@aol.com">ycaunt@aol.com</a>	3/4/2014	x				
Opposed: traffic impacts, does not need more people living downtown.	Erica McCaig	<a href="mailto:e.mccaig@outlook.com">e.mccaig@outlook.com</a>	3/3/2014	x				
Opposed: views taken away, does not want 8 story building on Lake Washington Blvd.	Margaret Flemming	1015 1st St, Kirkland, WA 98033	3/3/2014	x				
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, parking issues. Need to keep and save employment and business areas to retain balance.	Sharron Williams	<a href="mailto:sharronwilliams@msn.com">sharronwilliams@msn.com</a>	3/3/2014	x				
Opposed: no eight story apartment buildings in Downtown Kirkland	Bruce Aker	<a href="mailto:bruce.aker@frontier.com">bruce.aker@frontier.com</a>	3/3/2014	x				
Opposed: [we] need a strong business core not high rise living space	Frank Monahan	<a href="mailto:frank.c.monahan@gmail.com">frank.c.monahan@gmail.com</a>	3/2/2014	x				
Opposed: destroy small time charm, compromise water view	George H. Pro	120 6th Ct, Kirkland, WA 98033	3/1/2014	x				

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Dislikes mailing from Davidson, Serles and Associates, does not disclose some of their opposition from ownership of adjacent property. Wants Planning Commission to focus on supporting redevelopment of tired areas [Parkplace] rather than seemingly self-centered interests of adjacent property owners.	Linda Hendrickson	<a href="mailto:lindajsh@comcast.net">lindajsh@comcast.net</a>	2/28/2014	x			
Opposed: high rises will detract from City Culture, does not want barren office towers and isolating high rises, need to preserve vibrant small town character.	Vanessa Howell	<a href="mailto:vanessa@vanessalh.com">vanessa@vanessalh.com</a>	2/28/2014	x			
Opposed: view reduced, huge density, parking and traffic issues,	Bernice Jing Ye	<a href="mailto:bernice.ye@gmail.com">bernice.ye@gmail.com</a>	2/28/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue	Mary Ely	<a href="mailto:mjely@aol.com">mjely@aol.com</a>	2/27/2014	x			
Opposed: height too much, does not want Bellevue, traffic impacts. Opposed to any height variance such as Parkplace, thinks development is appropriate in Totem Lake	Michael and Sharon Cox	<a href="mailto:cox.sharonm@gmail.com">cox.sharonm@gmail.com</a>	2/27/2014	x			
Opposed: cites Potala development as bad decision	Stan Handaly, Pamela Goral	<a href="mailto:pamelagoral@comcast.net">pamelagoral@comcast.net</a>	2/27/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want Bellevue, does not want Parkplace to be used as precedent, five stories works well	Patrick Lofy	<a href="mailto:plofy@earthlink.net">plofy@earthlink.net</a>	2/27/2014	x			
Lawyer for adjacent property owner opposed to proposal states a) trading job capacity for housing capacity is inconsistent with Kirkland's needs, b) allowing 8-story buildings in the CBD-5 is inappropriate.	Brent Carson	719 2nd Ave, Ste 1150, Seattle, WA 98104	2/27/2014		x		
Nearby condo residents does not see why development is needed, supports existing Comp Plan goals.	Katharine and David Hough	<a href="mailto:katehough@kodah.com">katehough@kodah.com</a>	2/26/2014	x			
Opposed: increased traffic congestion, safety hazards due to cars, increased pollution, it will ruin Kirkland.	Marian Osborne	<a href="mailto:marianwo@att.net">marianwo@att.net</a>	2/26/2014	x			

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Opposed: Kirkland is too crowded, big buildings ruin character of small beach town, states need for more office and business to provide jobs	Sharon Zhou	<a href="mailto:zhous55@hotmail.com">zhous55@hotmail.com</a>	2/26/2014	x			
Support MRM proposal, denser housing is better for environment, and for supporting retail.	Josh McAdams	<a href="mailto:joshua.mcadams@gmail.com">joshua.mcadams@gmail.com</a>	2/25/2014	x			
Opposed: does not like design, finds it ugly.	Vera Taylor	<a href="mailto:verataylorassoc@comcast.net">verataylorassoc@comcast.net</a>	2/25/2014	x			
Opposed: Downtown Kirkland does not need 8-story apartment, sense of human scale and openness is what makes Kirkland great--no need to change that, Kirkland does not need height to accommodate growth, No good reason for converting commercial land to residential,	Antonio A Tapacio, Bernie Hardwick, Joan Tapacio, Pena Dunia, John N. Beck	13831 133rd Pl NE, Kirkland, 98033; 11002 122nd Ln NE, Kirkland;5014 112th Ave NE, Kirkland 98033, 6121 NE 175th St, Kenmore	2/21/2014	x			
Opposed: cites most redevelopment in favor of residential not commercial, businesses cluster--synergy of building center needs expansion, building heights are appropriate	Scott Shinstrom, Shinstrom and Normal Inc	PO Box 638, Kirkland, WA 98083	2/20/2014	x			
Opposed: accounting firm in Emerald Building (434 Kirkland Way) wants MRM site to be developed as office in order to attract more office.	HSA Hersman Serles Almond	520 Kirkland Way, Ste 300, Kirkland, WA 98083	2/19/2014	x			
Opposed: does not want "amendment creep" where prior developments are cited as precedent. Urges Planning Commission to reevaluate current height limits of 5 stories.	Debbie Ohman	<a href="mailto:debbieandjerry@yahoo.com">debbieandjerry@yahoo.com</a>	2/5/2014	x			
Opposed: five stories is enough, too much traffic already	Mike Mathers	<a href="mailto:mmathers@allenedmonds.com">mmathers@allenedmonds.com</a>	2/5/2014	x			
Lawyer for adjacent property owner opposed to proposal, cites Comprehensive Plan goals and existing development in downtown.	Brent Carson	719 2nd Ave, Ste 1150, Seattle, WA 98104	9/13/2013		x		
Supports MRM proposal, cites need for additional low income housing in Eastside, states how proposal can assist with that by adding housing units.	Eric C. Evans	2472 173rd Pl NE, Redmond, WA 98052, (425) 429- 8168	3/13/2013		x		

# COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE COMMENTS--MRM CAR COMMENTS

EXHIBIT 5

Opposed to MRM, states MRM has not satisfied burden of proof to have codes changed. Wants site protected from residential development, wants site to be used for class A office space.	Dan W. Kilpatric	Davidson and Kilpatric	3/3/2013				
Lawyer for adjacent property owner wants Planning Commission to carefully consider MRM proposal, uses city policy, Comprehensive Plan, Neighborhood Plan goals as justifications	Brent Carson	719 2nd Ave, Ste 1150, Seattle, WA 98104	2/20/2013		x		
Advocates for MRM proposal, cites lack of development in Downtown, development on fringes (not in downtown), wants development in Downtown.	Dan Ryan	dan.ryan@gmail.com , 493 2nd Ave S, Kirkland, WA 98033, (425) 260-9441			x		
Opposed: does not want city character to change, traffic impacts	Larry and Cindy Springer	<a href="mailto:larryspringer52@gmail.com">larryspringer52@gmail.com</a>			x		