

# MOSS BAY NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

## *DRAFT for Public Hearing*

*Note: The Moss Bay Neighborhood Plan had its last major update in 1987. Therefore, references in this chapter to goals, policies, or specific pages in other chapters may be inaccurate if the other chapters have since been updated.<sup>1</sup>*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In terms of land use, the Moss Bay Neighborhood is Kirkland's most complex area. Situated on the shores of Lake Washington, the area contains a wide variety of land uses, including Downtown retail businesses, ~~a freeway interchange~~, industrial activities, offices, well established single-family areas, large-scale multifamily development, a ~~marina~~, a baseball facility, a post office, and ~~a railroad~~ the Cross Kirkland Corridor.

***Moss Bay Neighborhood boundaries are illustrated in Figure MB-1.***


While the neighborhood is dominated by the commercial activities associated with Kirkland's downtown, there are considerable opportunities for residential development. A major policy emphasis for the Moss Bay Neighborhood is to encourage commercial activities in the Downtown, and to expand "close-in" housing opportunities by encouraging medium to high density residential uses in the perimeter of the Downtown (Figure MB-~~12~~). A mix of residential densities exists in the remainder of the Moss Bay Neighborhood, generally stepping down with increased distance from commercial activities.

*As discussed in the Land Use Element, based on planned housing and employment projections, the City should evaluate the area in and around Downtown Kirkland for potential designation as an Urban Center.*


For properties within the shoreline jurisdiction, the policies in the Shoreline Area chapter and shoreline management regulations in the Kirkland Zoning Code should be observed.

---

*1. — The name of this neighborhood was changed from Central to Moss Bay in December 2001.*



**Figure MB-1: Moss Bay Area Boundaries**



## 2. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

*The functional integrity of watercourses should be maintained or improved.*

Open streams exist within the eastern portion of the Moss Bay Neighborhood (Figure MB-3). These streams should be maintained or restored, when feasible, in a natural condition and should allow for natural drainage.




Figure MB-3 Moss Bay Wetlands, Streams, and Lakes

***Flood insurance is required in identified flood hazard zones.***

~~Portions of the Downtown area and lands to the east have been designated as flood hazard zones by the Federal Insurance Administration. Federal law requires that flood insurance be obtained before any federally insured lending institution may approve a loan for development within an identified flood hazard zone.~~

***Possible drainage problems exist in the eastern portion of Moss Bay Neighborhood.***

In the eastern portion of the Moss Bay Neighborhood, the water table is at, or very near, the surface. In this area, the topsoil is wet and soggy and there could be drainage problems associated with development. Future proposals for development in this area must take these hydrologic conditions into consideration.

***Potentially unstable slopes are discussed. Slope stability analysis should be required, and development should be regulated accordingly.***

Potentially unstable slopes exist in portions of the Moss Bay Neighborhood ([Figure MB-4](#)). Due to the possibility of landslides, excessive erosion, or other problems associated with development on slopes, a slope stability analysis should be required prior to development on these potentially unstable slopes. The type, design, and/or density of land use should be restricted where landslide or drainage problems are likely to occur. Existing vegetation in these areas should be preserved to the greatest extent feasible to help stabilize the slope and maintain drainage patterns.



Figure MB-4: Moss Bay Geologically Hazardous Areas

### 3. DOWNTOWN PLAN

#### A. VISION STATEMENT

Downtown Kirkland provides a strong sense of community identity for all of Kirkland. This identity is derived from Downtown's physical setting along the lakefront, its distinctive topography, and the human scale of existing development. This identity is reinforced in the minds of Kirklanders residents by Downtown's historic role as the cultural and civic heart of the community. Downtown Kirkland is also a vibrant, walkable community where many choose to live and work.

Future growth and development of the Downtown must recognize its unique identity, complement ongoing civic activities, clarify Downtown's natural physical setting, enhance the open space network, and add pedestrian amenities. These qualities will be encouraged by attracting economic development that emphasizes diversity and quality within a hometown setting of human scale.

#### B. LAND USE

*A critical mass of retail uses and services is essential to the economic vitality of the Downtown area.*

The Downtown area is appropriate for a wide variety of permitted uses. The area's economic vitality and identity as a commercial center will depend upon its ability to establish and retain a critical mass of retail uses and services, primarily located west of 3rd Street. If this objective is not reached, it relegates the Downtown to a weaker and narrower commercial focus (i.e., restaurants and offices only) and lessens the opportunities and reasons for Kirklanders residents and employees to frequent the Downtown.

The enhancement of the area for retail and service businesses will best be served by concentrating such uses in the pedestrian core and shoreline districts and by encouraging a substantial increase in the amount of housing and office floor area either within or adjacent to the core. In implementing this land use concept as a part of Downtown's vision, care must be taken to respect and enhance the existing features, patterns, and opportunities discussed in the following plan sections on urban design, public facilities, and circulation.

*Land use districts in the Downtown area are identified in Figure MB-35.*

Figure MB-53 identifies five land use districts within the Downtown area. The districts are structured according to natural constraints such as topographical change, the appropriateness of pedestrian and/or automobile-oriented uses within the district, and linkages with nearby residential neighborhoods and other commercial activity centers.




Figure MB-5: Downtown Land Use Districts

## *CORE AREA*

***Pedestrian activity in the core area is to be enhanced.***

The core area should be enhanced as the pedestrian heart of Downtown Kirkland. Land uses should be oriented to the pedestrian, both in terms of design and activity type. Appropriate uses include retail, restaurant, office, residential, cultural, and recreational.

Restaurants, delicatessens, and specialty retail shops, including fine apparel, gift shops, art galleries, import shops, and the like constitute the use mix and image contemplated in the Vision for Downtown. These uses provide visual interest and stimulate foot traffic and thereby provide opportunities for leisure time strolling along Downtown walkways for Kirklanders residents, employees and visitors ~~alike~~.

***Drive-through facilities and ground-floor offices are prohibited.***

The desired pedestrian character and vitality of the core area requires the relatively intensive use of land and continuous compact retail frontage. Therefore, automobile drive-through facilities should be prohibited. Similarly, office uses should not be allowed to locate on the ground level. These uses generally lack visual interest, generate little foot traffic, and diminish prime ground floor opportunities for the retail uses that are crucial to the ambiance and economic success of the core area.

The attractiveness of the core area for pedestrian activity should be maintained and enhanced. Public and private efforts toward beautification of the area should be promoted. Mitigation measures should be undertaken where land uses may threaten the quality of the pedestrian environment. ~~For example, in areas where take-out eating facilities are permitted, a litter surcharge on business licenses should be considered as a means to pay for additional trash receptacles or cleaning crews.~~

***The creation and enhancement of public open spaces is discussed.***

Public open spaces are an important component of the pedestrian environment. They provide focal points for outdoor activity, provide refuge from automobiles, and stimulate foot traffic which in turn helps the retail trade. The establishment and use of public spaces should be promoted. Surface parking lots should be eliminated in favor of structured parking. In the interim, their presence should be mitigated ~~role as one form of open area in the Downtown should be improved~~ with landscaped buffers adjacent to rights-of-way and between properties. Landscaping should also be installed where rear sides of buildings and service areas are exposed to pedestrians.

~~A high priority policy objective should be for developers to include only enough parking stalls in their projects within the core area to meet the immediate need and to locate the majority of their parking in the core frame. This approach would reserve the majority of core land area for pedestrian movement and uses and yet recognize that the adjacent core frame is within a very short walk.~~

The City should generally avoid vacating alleys and streets in the core area. The existing network of street and alleys provides a fine-grained texture to the blocks which allows service access and pedestrian shortcuts. The small blocks also preclude consolidation of properties which might allow larger developments with less pedestrian scale. Vacations may be considered when they will not result in increased building mass and there is a substantial public benefit. Examples of public benefit might include superior pedestrian or vehicular linkages, or superior public open space.

### ***NORTHWEST CORE FRAME***

***Office and office/multifamily mixed-use projects are appropriate in the Northwest Core Frame.***

The Northwest Core Frame includes the area south of City Hall and north of the core area. This area should develop with office, or office/multifamily mixed-use projects, whose occupants will help to support the commercial establishments contained in the core. Retail and restaurant uses are desirable; provided, that they have primary access from Central Way.

This area presents an excellent opportunity for the development of perimeter parking for the core area and is so shown in the Downtown Master Plan (Figure MB-4). Developers should be encouraged to include surplus public parking in their projects, or to incorporate private parking “transferred” from projects in the core or funded by the fee-in-lieu or other municipal source. ~~While pedestrian pathways are not as critical in this area as they are in the core, To maintain the pedestrian character of this area,~~ drive-through facilities should ~~be prohibited nevertheless be encouraged to locate elsewhere, to the east of 3rd Street.~~

### ***NORTHEAST CORE FRAME***

***A broad range of commercial uses should be encouraged in the Northeast Core Frame.***

~~The Northeast Core Frame currently contains the bulk of the Downtown area’s automobile-oriented uses.~~ Redevelopment or new development in this area should be encouraged to represent a broader range of commercial uses with an increased emphasis on pedestrian character.

Future development should set the bulk of structures back from the street while providing low, one-story retail shops at the edge of the sidewalk. ~~Development should also underground utilities, and incorporate parking lot landscaping and a reduction in lot coverage in site design.~~ This will present an open, green face to Central Way and, in conjunction with Peter Kirk Park on the south side of the street, create a tree-lined boulevard effect as one approaches the core area from the east.

## **EAST CORE FRAME**

***Development in the East Core Frame should be in large, intensively developed mixed-use projects.***

The East Core Frame is located east of Peter Kirk Park, extending from Kirkland Way northerly to 7th Avenue. The area includes the Kirkland Parkplace shopping center as well as several large office buildings and large residential complexes. South of Central Way, the area is largely commercial and provides significant opportunities for redevelopment. Because this area provides the best opportunities in the Downtown for creating a strong employment base, redevelopment for office use should be emphasized. Within the Parkplace Center site, however, retail uses should be a significant component of a mixed-use complex.

~~Limited~~ Residential use should be allowed as a complementary use.

The north side of Central Way, within the East Core Frame, has been redeveloped to nearly its full potential with high density residential uses.

## **SOUTH CORE FRAME**

***Retail, office, and office/multifamily mixed-use projects are suitable for the South Core Frame.***

The South Core Frame immediately abuts the southern boundary of the core area. This area is suitable for retail, office, and office/multifamily mixed-use projects.

***Public parking may be provided in the South Core Frame.***

The South Core Frame, like the Northwest Core Frame, presents an excellent opportunity for the development of close-in public parking. Developers should be allowed to include surplus public parking in their projects in this area or to accommodate private parking transferred from the core or funded by fee-in-lieu or other municipal source.

The western half of the South Core Frame should develop more intensively than the eastern half of this area, due to its proximity to the Downtown core. ~~The vacation of 1st Avenue South, west of 2nd Street South, and 1st Street South should be considered as a means of concentrating more intensive development to the west.~~

~~***Mitigation measures to reduce impacts on single-family residences may be required.***~~

~~As this area lies just north of an established single family neighborhood, mitigation measures may be required to minimize the impacts of any new nonresidential development on these single family~~

~~homes. These measures may include the restriction of vehicle access to projects within the South Core Frame to nonresidential streets. Public improvements, such as physical barriers to restrict traffic flow in these areas, may be considered. The architectural massing of projects in this area should be modulated both horizontally and vertically to reduce their visual bulk and to reflect the topography which presently exists.~~

## C. URBAN DESIGN

The urban design of Downtown Kirkland consists of many disparate elements which, together, define its identity and “sense of place.” This document provides policy guidelines for the design of private development and a master plan for the development of the public framework of streets, pedestrian pathways, public facilities, parks, public buildings, and other public improvements (see Figure MB-46).

The following discussion is organized into three sections:

- A. Downtown Design Guidelines and Design Review;
- B. Building Height and Design Districts; and
- C. The Image of the City: Urban Design Assets.




Figure MB-6: Moss Bay Urban Design Features Downtown Master Plan

## **DOWNTOWN DESIGN GUIDELINES AND DESIGN REVIEW**

*Mechanics of Design Review are described.*


The ~~booklet entitled~~ “Design Guidelines for Pedestrian-Oriented Business Districts,” which ~~is~~ are adopted in Chapter 3.30 of the Kirkland Municipal Code, contains policy guidelines and concepts for private development in Downtown Kirkland. ~~The booklet includes an explanation of the mechanics of the Design Review process to be used for all new development and major renovations in the Downtown area.~~ The ~~booklet entitled~~ “Master Plan and Design Guidelines for Kirkland Parkplace” contains guidelines for the master planned development of the Kirkland Parkplace site (Design District 5A). Discretion to deny or condition a design proposal is based on specific Design Guidelines or a master plan adopted by the City Council and administered by the Design Review Board and Planning Department. Design Review enables the City to apply the Guidelines in a consistent, predictable, and effective manner.

The Guidelines are intended to balance the desired diversity of project architecture with the equally desired overall coherence of the Downtown’s visual and historic character. This is to be achieved by injecting into each project’s creative design process a recognition and respect of design principles and methods which incorporate new development into Downtown’s overall pattern. ~~The Guidelines would be applied to any specific site in conjunction with the policy guidance provided by the Downtown Master Plan and the following text regarding Design Districts.~~

The Design Review Process enables the City to require new development to implement the ~~policy guidance contained in the~~ Guidelines, ~~the Master Plan for Downtown,~~ and to protect and enhance the area’s urban design assets. ~~A more complete description of how Design Review should operate is found in the Zoning Code.~~

### **BUILDING HEIGHT AND DESIGN DISTRICTS**

Figure MB-~~57~~ identifies eight height and design districts within Downtown Kirkland. The boundaries of these districts are determined primarily by the topographical characteristics of the land and the area’s proximity to other noncommercial uses.



\*\*\*Draft\*\*\*

**Design District 1**

**Maximum building height in Design District 1 is between two and five stories, depending on location and use.**

This district is bordered by Lake Street, Central Way, 3rd Street, and generally 1st Avenue South. When combined with District 2, this area corresponds to the eCore Area as shown in Figure MB-35.

The maximum building height in this area should be between two and five stories with no minimum setback from property lines. Stories above the second story should be set back from the street. ~~To preserve the existing human scale of this area, development over two stories requires review and approval by the Design Review Board based on the priorities set forth in this plan.~~

Buildings should be limited to two stories along all of Lake Street South to reflect the scale of development in Design District 2. Along Park Lane west of Main Street, Third Street, and along Kirkland Avenue, a maximum height of two stories along street frontages will protect the existing human scale and pedestrian orientation. Buildings up to three stories in height may be appropriate along Central Way to reflect the scale of development in Design District 8 and as an intermediate height where adequately set back from the street. A continuous three-story street wall should be avoided by incorporating vertical and horizontal modulations into the design of buildings.

The portions of Design District 1 designated as 1A in Figure MB-57 should be limited to a maximum height of three stories. As an incentive to encourage residential use of upper floors and to strengthen the retail fabric of the Core Area, a fourth story of height may be allowed. This additional story may be considered by the Design Review Board for projects where at least two of the upper stories are residential, the total height is not more than four feet taller than the height that would result from an office project with two stories of office over ground floor retail, stories above the second story are set back significantly from the street and the building form is stepped back at the third and fourth stories to mitigate the additional building mass, and the project provides superior retail space at the street level. Rooftop appurtenances and related screening should not exceed the total allowed height, and should be integrated into the height and design of any peaked roofs or parapets.

The portions of Design District 1 designated as 1B in Figure MB-57 provide the best opportunities for new development that could contribute to the pedestrian fabric of the Downtown. Much of the existing development in these areas consists of older auto-oriented uses defined by surface parking lots and poor pedestrian orientation. To provide incentive for redevelopment and because these larger sites have more flexibility to accommodate additional height, a mix of two to four stories in height is appropriate. East of Main Street, development should combine modulations in building heights with modulations of facade widths to break large buildings into the appearance of multiple smaller buildings. South of Kirkland Avenue, building forms should step up from the north and west with the tallest portions at the base of the hillside to help moderate the mass of large buildings on top of the bluff. Buildings over two stories in height should generally reduce the building mass above the second story.

As with Design District 1A, an additional story of height may be appropriate in 1B to encourage residential use of the upper floors and to strengthen the retail fabric in the Core Area. This additional story may be considered by the Design Review Board for projects where at least three of the upper stories are residential, the total height is not more than one foot taller than the height that would result from an office project with three stories of office over ground floor retail, stories above the second story are set back significantly from the street and the building form is stepped back at the third, fourth, and fifth stories to mitigate the additional building mass, and the project provides superior retail space at the street level. Rooftop appurtenances and related screening should not exceed the total allowed height, and should be integrated into the height and design of any peaked roofs or parapets.

Design considerations of particular importance in this area are those related to pedestrian scale and orientation. Building design at the street wall should contribute to a lively, attractive, and safe pedestrian streetscape. This should be achieved by the judicious placement of windows, multiple entrances, canopies, awnings, courtyards, arcades, and other pedestrian amenities. Service areas, surface parking, and blank facades should be located away from the street frontage.

### *Design District 2*

***One to three stories in building height above Central Way or Lake Street are appropriate in Design District 2, depending on location.***

This area is bordered by the shoreline, Central Way, Lake Street, and 3rd Avenue South. This area serves as the link between Downtown and the lake and helps define the traditional pedestrian-

oriented retail environment. In addition, the existing low development allows public views of the Lake from many vantages around the Downtown and allows evening sun into the Downtown core. To emphasize this link and the traditional role, building heights in this area should remain low. Two stories above the street are appropriate along Central Way and south of Kirkland Avenue. Along Lake Street South between Kirkland Avenue and Central Way, buildings should be limited to one story above the street. Two stories in height may be allowed in this area where the impacts of the additional height are offset by substantial public benefits, such as through-block public pedestrian access or view corridors. Buildings over one story in this area should be reviewed by the Design Review Board for both design and public benefit considerations. These benefits could also be provided with the development of the Lakeshore Plaza project identified in the Downtown Master Plan (see Figure MB-46). Building occurring in conjunction with that project or thereafter should be reviewed in relation to the new context to determine whether two stories are appropriate. South of Second Avenue South, buildings up to three stories above Lake Street South are appropriate. Buildings over two stories should be reviewed by the Design Review Board to ensure an effective transition along the street and properties to the south.

As in District 1, pedestrian orientation is an equally important design consideration in District 2. In addition, improvements related to the visual or physical linkage between building in this area and the lake to the west should be incorporated in building design.

The public parking lot located near Marina Park at the base of Market Street is well suited for a parking structure of several levels, due to its topography. Incentives should be developed to encourage the use of this site for additional public parking.

#### *Design Districts 3 and 7*

***Maximum building height is three stories in Design Districts 3 and 7.***

These districts are east of 3rd Street, north of Central Way, and south of Peter Kirk Park. Maximum building height should be three stories, with a minimum front yard setback of 20 feet and maximum lot coverage of 80 percent. Lower portions of projects with a pedestrian orientation should be allowed to encroach into the setbacks to stimulate pedestrian activity and links to eastern portions of the Downtown. Street trees and ground cover are appropriate along Kirkland Avenue and Central Way. By keeping structures in this area relatively low-rise and set back from the street, views from upland residences can be preserved and the openness around Peter Kirk Park enhanced.

In Design District 3, the restriction of access points to nonresidential streets may be necessary in order to prevent a negative impact of development in this area on the single-family enclave which exists to the south.

#### *Design District 4*

***Maximum building height to be four stories.***

This district is located south of 1st Avenue South, east of 1st Street South. Land in this area is appropriate for developments of four stories in height.

The method for calculating building height should be modified for this area as described in the discussion of height calculation for structures in District 8. The opportunity to take advantage of substantial grade changes with terraced building forms also exists in the western half of District 4.

Vehicular circulation will be an important consideration in project design in this area. The restriction of access points to nonresidential streets in order to prevent a negative impact of development in this area on the single-family enclave which exists to the south may be necessary.

### *Design District 5*

***Building heights of two to ~~five-six~~ stories are appropriate in Design District 5.***

This district lies at the east side of Downtown between Design District 5A and Kirkland Way. Maximum building height should be between three and ~~five-six~~ stories. The existing mix of building heights and arrangement of structures within the district preserves a sense of openness within the district and around the perimeter. Placement, size, and orientation of new structures in this district should be carefully considered to preserve this sense of openness. Buildings over two stories in height should be reviewed by the Design Review Board for consistency with applicable policies and criteria. Within the district, massing should generally be lower toward the perimeter and step up toward the center. Portions of buildings facing Kirkland Way and Peter Kirk Park should be limited to between two and three stories, with taller portions of the building stepped back significantly. Buildings over three stories in height should generally reduce building mass above the third story.

Buildings fronting Peter Kirk Park and the Performance Center should be well modulated, both vertically and horizontally, to ease the transition to this important public space. Buildings should not turn their backs onto the park with service access or blank walls. Landscaping and pedestrian linkages should be used to create an effective transition.

Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. Within the district, a north-south vehicular access between Central Way and Kirkland Way should be preserved and enhanced with pedestrian improvements.

### *Design District 5A*

***Building heights of three to eight stories are appropriate in Design District 5A.***

This district lies at the east side of Downtown between Central Way and Design District 5 and is commonly known as Parkplace. This property is distinguished from the remainder of Design District 5 by the following factors: it is a large parcel under common ownership; it is topographically distinct based on previous excavation to a level that is generally lower than Central Way and abutting properties to the south and east; it has frontage on Central Way; and it contains a mix of uses not found on other office or residential only properties in District 5. Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. Within the district a north-south vehicular access between Central Way and Kirkland Way should be preserved and enhanced with pedestrian improvements.

Redevelopment of this area should be governed by the Kirkland Parkplace Master Plan and Design Guidelines as set forth in the Kirkland Municipal Code. Heights of up to eight stories are appropriate as an incentive to create a network of public open spaces around which is organized a dynamic retail destination. Development under the Master Plan and Design Guidelines should guide the transformation of this district from an auto-oriented center surrounded by surface parking into a pedestrian-oriented center integrated into the community by placing parking underground; activating the streets with retail uses; and creating generous pedestrian paths, public spaces and gathering places. Pedestrian connections to adjoining streets, Peter Kirk Park, and adjoining developments should be incorporated to facilitate the integration of the district into the neighborhood. Residential development could be designed to integrate into both the office/retail character of the zone and the active urban nature of Peter Kirk Park. Special attention to building design, size, and location should be provided at three key locations: at the intersection of Central Way and Sixth Street to define and enhance this important downtown gateway; along Central Way to respond to the context along the north side of the street; and facing Peter Kirk Park to provide a transition in scale to Downtown's central greenspace.

Because of the intensity of land use in 5A, the design of the buildings and site should incorporate aggressive sustainability measures, including low impact development measures, deconstruction, green buildings, and transportation demand management.

### *Design District 6*

***Maximum building heights of two to four stories are appropriate for Design District 6.***

~~This large block of land located between 5th Street and 6th Street, north of Central Way, and south of 7th Avenue, is identified as a major opportunity site for redevelopment elsewhere in this document.~~ Figure MB-8 contains a schematic diagram of design and circulation considerations that should be incorporated in the redevelopment of this district. Development of this district should be relatively intensive and should be physically integrated through pedestrian access routes, design considerations, and intensive landscaping.

~~Safe, convenient, and attractive pedestrian connections across the district should be provided. This path should be designed under a covered enclosure or arcade along the storefronts in this area. Visual interest and pedestrian scale of these storefronts will contribute to the appeal of this walkway to the pedestrian. A connection of this pathway to Central Way should be made, with a continuation of the overhead enclosure to unify this pedestrian route.~~

Design considerations related to vehicular and pedestrian access, landscaping, and open space are particularly important in this area. The intersection of 6th Street and Central Way is a prominent gateway to the Downtown. ~~New d~~Development in this area should have a positive impact on the image of Kirkland and should be designed to enhance this entry.

~~A substantial building setback or mitigating design such as the site configuration on the south side of Central Way is necessary in order to preserve openness at this important gateway site. The northeast and southeast corners of this block should be set aside and landscaped to provide public open spaces or miniparks at these gateways. Side yard setbacks, however, should be minimal to reduce the appearance of a building surrounded by a parking area.~~

The northern portion of this district should be developed in uses that are residential both in function and scale. Access to this portion of the site may be either from 7th Avenue or from one of the adjacent side streets. Some of the significant trees along 7th Avenue should be incorporated into the site design as a means of softening the apparent mass of any new structures and to provide additional elements of continuity facing the single-family residences along 7th Avenue. In addition, building mass should step down toward 7th Avenue and design consideration should be given to the massing and form of single-family homes to the north.




Figure MB-8: Design Districts 5 & 6 - Circulation and Gateways

***Design District 8***

***Building heights of two to four stories are appropriate, depending on location.***

This district is located north of Central Way and south of 4th Avenue, between Market Street and 3rd Street. Maximum building height should be three stories abutting Central Way and two stories at 3rd and 4th Avenues. Structures which do not abut either of these streets should be allowed to rise up to four stories.

***Building height calculation should require terracing of building forms on sloped sites.***

Where dramatic elevation changes exist in this district, an innovative method of calculating height is appropriate. In order to encourage the terracing of building forms on the hillside, building height should be calculated relative to the ground elevation above which the individual planes of the structure lie. Additional bulk controls should apply to restrict the height within 100 feet of noncommercial neighborhoods to the same height allowed in the adjacent zone. Heights on the north side should step down to ease the transition to the core area and moderate the mass on top of the hillside.

Vehicular circulation to nonresidential portions of projects within this area should not occur on primarily residential streets. In addition, design elements should be incorporated into developments in this area which provide a transition to the residential area to the north.

***THE IMAGE OF THE CITY: URBAN DESIGN ASSETS***

Many of Downtown's urban design assets are mapped on the Master Plan (Figure MB-64) or are discussed explicitly in the text of the Height and Design Districts or the Downtown Design Guidelines. The following text should read as an explanation and amplification of references made in those two parts of the Downtown Plan.

***Visual Landmarks***

***Lake Washington is a major landmark in Downtown Kirkland.***

The most vivid landmark in Downtown Kirkland is Lake Washington. The lake provides a sense of openness and orientation and is a prominent feature from two of the three main approaches to the Downtown. Many residents and visitors to Kirkland form their impressions of the community from these important vantage points. The preservation and enhancement of views from the eastern (NE 85th Street) and northern (Market Street) approaches is a high-priority policy objective.

Despite the prominence from these vantage points, the core area is not well oriented to capitalize on its waterfront setting. The existing activity centers of the retail core and the lake are separated by large surface parking lots. The City and property owners around Marina Park should aggressively pursue opportunities to correct this deficiency by structuring the existing surface

parking below a public plaza. This open space amenity could redefine the Downtown and become the focal point of the community.

Other outstanding visual landmarks include the large green expanse of Peter Kirk Park, which provides an open space relief to the densely developed Downtown core to the west. The Peter Kirk Park civic and cultural facilities (Library, Municipal Garage, Peter Kirk Pool, Kirkland Performance Center, Peter Kirk Community Center, Teen Union Building) located at the south edge of Peter Kirk Park, as well as the METRO transit center at the western boundary of the park, are also well-known local landmarks.

The City Hall facility provides an important visual and civic landmark on the northern slope above the Downtown. Marina Park and the pavilion structure situated there are also symbolic reference points of community, recreational, and cultural activities.

There are a number of features in and nearby the Downtown area with historic significance which add to its visual character and historic flavor. These landmarks include the historic buildings on Market Street and the old ferry clock on Lake Street at Kirkland Avenue. These structures should be recognized for their community and historic value, and their preservation and enhancement should have a high priority. In contrast to the bland architecture of many of the buildings in the Downtown constructed since the 1940s, some of the older structures help define the character of the Downtown. The City will consider preserving this character through a process of inventorying these structures and adopting historic protection regulations. New regulations could range from protecting the character of designated historic buildings to protecting the actual structure. Some form of preservation would provide continuity between the Downtown vision and its unique past.

### *Public Views*

***Important Downtown views are from the northern, southern, and eastern gateways.***

A number of dramatic views exist in the Downtown and its immediate vicinity due to the hills, the valley, and the sloping land areas which form the bowl-like topography characterizing the City's center. One of the views most often associated with Downtown Kirkland is from NE 85th Street just west of Interstate 405. From this vantage point, the hills north and south of the core area form a frame for a sweeping view of Lake Washington in the distance and the Olympic mountain range beyond.

Another striking view, identified in Figure MB-46, is from the Market Street entry into Downtown. This approach is met with a view of the lake, Marina Park and its pavilion, and the City's shoreline. This view could be enhanced with redevelopment of the [GTE-telecommunications](#) site, where the existing massive building substantially diminishes this broad territorial view.

Where the Kirkland Avenue and 2nd Avenue South rights-of-way cross Lake Street and continue to Lake Washington, an unobstructed view of open water is visible to pedestrians and people traveling in vehicles. These views are very valuable in maintaining the visual connection and perception of public accessibility to the lake. These views should be kept free of obstruction.

### *Gateways*

***Topographic changes define gateways into the Downtown area.***

The gateways into Downtown Kirkland are very clear and convey a distinct sense of entry. Two of the Downtown's three major gateways make use of a change in topography to provide a visual entry into the area.

At the eastern boundary of the Downtown area, Central Way drops toward the lake, and the core area comes clearly into view. This gateway could be enhanced by an entry sign, similar to one located farther up the hill to the east, or some other distinctive structure or landscaping feature.

A second major gateway is the Downtown's northern entrance where Market Street slopes gradually down toward Marina Park. The historic buildings at 7th Avenue begin to form the visual impression of Downtown's character and identity, and the landscaped median adds to the boulevard feeling of this entryway. Some type of sign or other feature could be incorporated into the improvements to ~~the Waverly site~~Heritage Park.

At the Downtown's southern border, the curve of Lake Street at about 3rd Avenue South provides a very clear gateway into the commercial core. It is at this point that the transition from residential to retail uses is distinctly felt. Here, also, is an opportunity to enhance this sense of entry by creation of literal gateposts, signs, or landscape materials.

***Pathways***

***An extensive network of pedestrian pathways covers the Downtown area.***

The size and scale of Downtown Kirkland make walking a convenient and attractive activity. An extensive network of pedestrian pathways covers the Downtown area, linking residential, recreational, and commercial areas. Downtown Kirkland is a pedestrian precinct unlike virtually any other in the region. It is almost European in its scale and quality.

The core of the shopping district, with its compact land uses, is particularly conducive to pedestrian traffic. Both sides of Lake Street, Park Lane, Central Way, and Kirkland Avenue are major pedestrian routes. Many residents and visitors also traverse the land west of Lake Street to view and participate in water-oriented activities available there.

The Downtown area's major east/west pedestrian route links the lake with Peter Kirk Park, the Kirkland Parkplace shopping center, the Cross Kirkland Corridor, and areas to the east. For the most part, this route is a visually clear pathway, with diversity and nearby destinations contributing to its appeal to the pedestrian. Enhancement and improved definition of this important east-west pedestrian corridor would help link the Cross Kirkland Corridor with the Downtown and Lake Washington. It would also help integrate Parkplace with the rest of the shopping district. East/west pedestrian routes along Central Way and Kirkland Way should continue to be improved with a strong pedestrian emphasis as new development and street improvements occur.

Minor pedestrian routes link the residential areas north of Central Way and south of Kirkland Avenue. These linkages need to be strengthened in order to accommodate the residential and office

populations walking from the Norkirk Neighborhood and core frames, respectively. Additional improvements, such as brick paver crosswalks, pedestrian safety islands, and signalization, are methods to strengthen these north-south linkages.

***Enhancement of Downtown pedestrian routes should be a high-priority objective.***

Enhancement of the Downtown area's pedestrian routes should be a high-priority policy and design objective. For example, minor architectural features and attractive and informative signs should be used to identify public pathways. Public and private efforts to make pedestrian walkways more interesting, functional, convenient, and safe, should be strongly supported. Figure MB-46 highlights a number of projects proposed for this purpose. These projects are discussed in detail elsewhere in this text.

## **D. PUBLIC FACILITIES**

### **OPEN SPACE/PARKS**

Four major park sites are critical to the Downtown's feeling of openness and greenery. These parks weave a noncommercial leisure-time thread into the fabric of the area and provide a valuable amenity, enhancing Downtown's appeal as a destination. Each of the major approaches to the Downtown is met with a park, with ~~the Waverly site~~ Heritage Park and Marina Park enhancing the northern entry, Marina Park enhancing both the northern entry and western entry via Lake Washington, and Peter Kirk Park and Dave Brink Park augmenting the eastern and southern approaches. Physical improvements in and near these parks should strengthen their visual prominence and prevent view obstruction.

Marina Park and Peter Kirk Park in particular are well-used by families and recreational groups. Public facilities at these parks should continue to expand opportunities for residents, such as the installation of permanent street furniture, ~~and play equipment for children at Marina Park.~~

***Pedestrian improvements should be made to improve connections between parks and nearby facilities.***

~~Downtown projects which are not directly related to the parks should continue to locate adjacent to the parks, and in some cases, should share access or parking.~~ Impacts from projects, such as the tour boat dock at Marina Park and the METRO transit center at Peter Kirk Park, should be minimized. Efforts to provide continuity between these facilities and the parks through the use of consistent walkway materials, landscaping, and other pedestrian amenities will help to reduce the appearance of a separation of uses at these locations.

The boat launch ramp ~~which exists~~ at Marina Park is an important amenity in the community. It should be retained until another more suitable location is found.

### **OTHER PUBLIC FACILITIES**

City Hall and the Peter Kirk Park civic and cultural center add to the community atmosphere and civic presence in the Downtown area. The plan for Downtown developed in 1977 recommended that the City Hall facility be moved from its previous location in the core area to its present site overlooking the Downtown from the northern slope. ~~Relocated in 1982 in its new location,~~ City Hall is close enough to Downtown to contribute workers to the retail and restaurant trade, as well as to provide a visually prominent and symbolic landmark when viewed from the Downtown.

***Public efforts to assist the Downtown business district should be continued.***

The City should help to foster economic vitality in the Downtown by working with the private sector and by encouraging independent efforts toward economic development by the private sector. Such assistance to the business community might include supporting efforts to establish local improvement or business improvement districts. This could take the form of seed money for preliminary studies and the dissemination of information.

Other public efforts to strengthen the Downtown business climate should include the continued promotion of public projects such as the tour boat dock, in addition to continued support for public and/or private projects such as ~~the~~ Lakeshore Plaza at Marina Park Boardwalk, which would help to implement public policy goals.

## E. CIRCULATION

### PEDESTRIAN

Pedestrian routes should have equal priority to vehicular routes in Downtown circulation.

Pedestrian amenities and routes should continue to be improved, and should be given equal priority with that of vehicular routes for circulation within the Downtown. Modifications to the street network and traffic patterns should not be allowed to disrupt Downtown pedestrian activity and circulation.

To be a truly successful walking environment, the core area of the Downtown must be safe, convenient, and pleasant for ~~the~~ pedestrians of all ages. Pedestrian safety should continue to be a high priority in the placement and design of intersections, crosswalks, and sidewalks. ~~would be increased greatly by reducing opportunities for conflicts with cars. The reprogramming of crosswalk signals to favor the pedestrian would discourage jaywalking and allow sufficient time for slower walkers to cross the street.~~

Convenience to the pedestrian will be enhanced by improving the directness and ease of pedestrian routes. “Shortcuts” between streets, or even between buildings, can link pedestrian routes over large distances where vehicles cannot circulate. Coordinated public directory signs and maps of walkways should be developed to clearly identify public pathways for the pedestrian.

***A system of overhead coverings should be considered to improve the quality of pedestrian walkways year-round.***

The pleasures of walking in the Downtown area would be enhanced by the installation of minor public improvements, such as street furniture (benches, planters, fountains, sculptures, special paving treatments), flower baskets, and coordinated banners and public art. The creation of a system of overhead coverings such as awnings, arcades, and marquees would provide protection to the pedestrian during inclement weather, allowing for pedestrian activity year-round. All of these features would add visual interest and vitality to the pedestrian environment.

~~Brick crosswalks have been installed at 3rd Street and Park Lane in conjunction with the METRO transit center facility. The expansion of the use of brick for crosswalks throughout the Downtown should be considered. In any case, additional restriping of crosswalks in the Downtown area should be actively pursued.~~

The establishment and improvement of pedestrian pathways between activity centers should be a high-priority policy objective. Major pedestrian routes within the Downtown area are identified in Figure MB-46. Major pathways include the extensive east-west “spine” or “Park Walk Promenade,” which links the lake with points east of 6th Street and the shoreline public access trail.

The Downtown Master Plan also identifies other important pedestrian routes which provide north-south pedestrian access. Improvements to these pathways should be promoted, particularly at the intersection of 6th Street and Central Way. ~~Elevated crosswalks should be considered among the alternatives reviewed for pedestrian access across Central Way. Disadvantages to elevated crosswalks which should be considered are potential view blockage and the loss of on street pedestrian traffic.~~

~~The portion of the Park Walk Promenade spanning Peter Kirk Park was installed by the City during renovation of the park facilities. The walk serves the Peter Kirk Park civic and cultural center, as well as commercial areas to the east and west. This walkway should be expanded upon when the remaining land south of Kirkland Parkplace develops.~~

The Park Walk Promenade identified on the Downtown Master Plan should consist of a series of minor structures placed at prominent locations along the walkway in order to clearly identify the pathway throughout its length, as well as to provide some protection during wet weather. The walk serves the Peter Kirk Park civic and cultural center, as well as commercial areas to the east and west. This walkway should be expanded upon when the remaining land south of Kirkland Parkplace develops to complete a connection between Central Way and Kirkland Avenue. The plexiglas and metal “space frames” used at Mercer Island’s Luther Burbank Park and at the Seattle Center are possible design options for protective structures. The concrete and metal gateway feature where Parkplace abuts Peter Kirk Park is a good model for visual markers along the east-west pedestrian spine.

Figure MB-46 illustrates pedestrian system improvements for the two major routes which are intended to serve several purposes. These projects would improve the safety, convenience, and attractiveness of foot traffic in the Downtown, provide shelter from the weather, and create a unifying element highlighting the presence of a pedestrian linkage.

***A large public plaza should be constructed west of buildings on Lake Street to enhance the Downtown’s lakefront setting (See Figure MB-46).***

The Lakeshore Plaza shown on the Downtown Master Plan envisions a large public plaza constructed over structured parking. Ideally, the plaza would be developed through public/private partnerships to provide a seamless connection between the Downtown and the lake. The plaza would be at the same grade as Lake Street and would provide visual and pedestrian access from a series of at-grade pedestrian connections from Central Way and Lake Street.

~~The Park Walk Promenade identified on the Downtown Master Plan should consist of a series of minor structures placed at prominent locations along the walkway in order to clearly identify the pathway throughout its length, as well as to provide some protection during wet weather. The plexiglas and metal “space frames” used at Mercer Island’s Luther Burbank Park and at the Seattle Center are possible design options for protective structures. The concrete and metal gateway feature where Parkplace abuts Peter Kirk Park is a good model for visual markers along the east-west pedestrian spine.~~

### VEHICULAR

Automobiles and public transit are still the modes of transportation which move most people in and out of the Downtown, ~~and often between the core area and the frame. Within the Downtown, pedestrian circulation should be given equal priority with vehicular circulation.~~ A primary circulation goal should be to ~~emphasize pedestrian circulation within the Downtown, while facilitating~~ vehicle and transit access into and out of the Downtown, while emphasizing pedestrian circulation and supporting alternative transportation choices into and around the Downtown.

***Alternate traffic routes should be considered.***

Lake Street should be designated to function as a major pedestrian pathway. The objectives for land use and pedestrian circulation should be seriously considered during any plans for traffic and roadway improvements on Lake Washington Boulevard. The goal to discourage commuter traffic on the boulevard should not be viewed independently from the need to retain vehicle access for tourists, shoppers, and employees to the Downtown.

State Street should continue to serve as a major vehicular route, bringing shoppers and workers into the Downtown area. Sixth Street should be developed to accommodate additional vehicles. ~~Future plans for Lake Street and Lake Washington Boulevard may include the diversion of cars from the Downtown area, and 6th Street would provide the most appropriate north/south alternative route. The existence of commercial development on this street renders it more appropriate than State Street to handle substantial commuter traffic.~~

***The use of public transportation to the Downtown should be encouraged.***

Third Street has been designed for the pedestrian and public transit user, with the METRO transit center located on this street. The use of public transportation as an alternative for people who work or shop in the Downtown should be encouraged. Increased use of this mode of transportation would help to reduce traffic congestion and parking problems in the core area.

The number of vehicular curb cuts in the Downtown area should be limited. Both traffic flow in the streets and pedestrian flow on the sidewalks are disrupted where driveways occur. In the core

frame in particular, the placement of driveways should not encourage vehicles moving to and from commercial areas to travel through residential districts.

### **PARKING**

The core area is a pedestrian-oriented district, and the maintenance and enhancement of this quality should be a high priority. Nevertheless, it should be recognized that many pedestrians most often arrive in the core via an automobile which must be parked within easy walking distance of shops and services. To this end, as discussed elsewhere in this chapter, private projects which include a substantial amount of surplus parking stalls in their projects should be encouraged to locate these parking stalls in the core frame.

The Downtown area contains a variety of parking opportunities. ~~Four~~Three public parking lots exist in the Downtown area (~~at the west side of Peter Kirk Park, the street-end of Market Street at Marina Park, in Lakeshore Plaza, and at the intersection of Central Way and Lake Street~~) and one public parking structure (the Library garage). These ~~lots~~ facilities are shown on the Downtown Master Plan (Figure MB-46).

***Public parking to be a permitted use on private properties ~~north and south of the core area.~~***

~~Other sites that would be appropriate for public parking include the north and south slope of the Downtown as shown in Figure MB-4. Public parking in these areas would help to serve core-area businesses, while but should not detracting from the dense pattern of development critical to the pedestrian environment there.~~

More intensive development of existing parking areas should be considered as a way to provide more close-in public parking. Certain sites, such as the Market Street-End lot and the Peter Kirk lot, would adapt well to structured parking due to the topography in the immediate vicinity ~~of these lots~~. Structuring parking below Lakeshore Plaza could make more efficient use of the available space and result in a dramatic increase in the number of stalls available.

The fee-in-lieu of parking alternative allows developers in the core area to contribute to a fund instead of providing required parking on site. The City's authority to spend the monies in this fund should ~~be expanded to~~ include the use of the funds on private property in conjunction with parking facilities being provided by private developers.

~~Another option for off site parking should be considered which would allow developers to provide the parking required for their projects elsewhere in the core area or core frame. This alternative should include the construction of parking stalls in conjunction with another developer, if it can be shown that the alternative parking location will be clearly available to the public and is easily accessible to the core area.~~

The City's parking management and enforcement program should be maintained. The program should be evaluated periodically to assess its effectiveness, with revisions made when necessary.

## **4. PERIMETER AREAS**

## **A. LIVING ENVIRONMENT LAND USE**

### **Residential**

The Moss Bay Neighborhood contains a wide variety of housing types, including many single-family residences and multifamily units. It is the intent of the Comprehensive Plan to provide a range of housing opportunities, and a continued broad range is planned for the Moss Bay Neighborhood (Figure MB-12).

***Considerations for low-density residential development are discussed.***

The various residential densities designated for land in the Moss Bay Neighborhood, and particularly for the areas lying south of Kirkland Avenue, will be compatible if certain concerns are addressed. For example, a low-density designation is appropriate in any area developed predominantly in single-family homes, if the likelihood exists that these structures will be maintained for the lifetime of this Plan. Similarly, an area should remain committed to low-density uses if a higher-density development in the area could not be adequately buffered from single-family houses.

***Considerations for medium- and high-density residential development are discussed.***

A medium-density designation is appropriate for areas where sufficient land area is available to separate such development from adjacent single-family uses. In addition, medium-density residential development should not be allowed where it would significantly increase traffic volumes on streets where single-family housing is the predominant land use. Other considerations include the overall compatibility of medium-density development with adjacent single-family uses, with respect to height, setbacks, landscaping, and parking areas. If special precautions are taken to reduce adverse impacts on existing single-family homes, higher densities may be allowed. Within the Moss Bay Neighborhood, land surrounding the Downtown is generally most appropriate for these higher-density developments.

***Medium-density residential development permitted in block between Kirkland Avenue and Kirkland Way, along 6th Street South, as well as south and west of Planned Area 6.***

The block of land lying east of 6th Street, between Kirkland Way and Kirkland Avenue, is largely developed in a mix of single-family and multifamily uses. Medium-density residential development at a density of 12 dwelling units per acre is appropriate for this area [as indicated on the Land Use Map](#), to serve as a transition between high-density development to the north and low-to medium-density development to the south.

Several small offices have developed near the intersection of Kirkland Avenue and Kirkland Way, west of 6th Street. Multifamily residential development is also permitted in this area as shown on the Land Use Map at a density of 18 dwelling units per acre. This area lies both north and south of land with the potential for high-density residential development.

Land is designated for a density of 12 dwelling units per acre between Planned Area 6D and 6th Street South as shown on the Land Use Map. Here, in-fill housing opportunities exist close to the Downtown. Redevelopment should blend in with small lot single-family development to the west along 3rd Avenue South. To ensure compatibility with the existing single-family character of the area, ~~to protect the Everest creek and ravine~~, and to provide a transition between the existing single-family development to the north along 6th Street South and the industrial uses to the south, the following standards should apply:

- (1) ~~Single-family d~~Detached units, rather than attached or stacked, should be developed.
- ~~(2) Peaked (pitched) roofs are desired design elements.~~
- ~~(3) The ravine and stream should be protected in perpetuity with greenbelt easements.~~
- ~~(4) Development should follow the recommendations of a geotechnical engineer approved by the City with regard to building setbacks from the ravine on the north side of these lots.~~
- (25) No vehicular connection should be established between State Street and 5th Place South or 6th Street South from 2nd or 3rd Avenue South.
- (36) No vehicular connection should be established between 2nd and 3rd Avenue South.
- (47) Pedestrian connection should be provided in lieu of vehicular connection.
- ~~(8) A maximum Floor Area Ratio of 65 percent should be allowed in order to encourage smaller and presumably less expensive homes.~~

A density of 12 dwelling units per acre is also designated for properties along State Street, south of Planned Area 6 (see Figure MB-2). This designation is consistent with densities of existing development as well as with densities permitted along State Street to the north and south. Lands on the east side of Lake Washington Boulevard, south of 7th Avenue South and west of the midblock between First and Second Streets South (see Figure MB-2), are also appropriate for multifamily uses at a density of 12 dwelling units per acre. This designation is consistent with permitted densities to the north and south along Lake Washington Boulevard.

The area situated east of the midblock between First and Second Streets South, west of the midblock between State Street and Second Place South, and south of 7th Avenue South (see Figure MB-2), contains a well-established enclave of single-family homes. Existing development in this area should be preserved.

***Development along the shoreline is discussed.***

As specified in the Shoreline Area Chapter of this Plan, new residential structures constructed waterward of the high water line are not permitted. Density and additional standards governing new multifamily development can be found in the Shoreline Area Chapter of this Plan and in the shoreline management regulations in the Kirkland Zoning Code.

## **B. ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES**

### **Commercial and Mixed Use**

Economic Activities in the Moss Bay Neighborhood occur primarily in the Downtown area, and in Planned Areas 5 and 6. The boundaries of these three major activity areas are shown in Figure MB-2.

***Economic Activities in Planned Area 5 are discussed.***

While Planned Area 5 has been developed largely in multifamily uses, several offices – including the United States Post Office – serving the Greater Kirkland area, are located in this planned area. Land use in Planned Area 5 is discussed in greater detail in the Residential section of this chapter.

***Limited economic activities presently exist in State Street area.***

Although the character of Planned Area 6 is predominantly residential, several economic activities are presently located in the area. Small offices and some commercial uses exist along Lake Street South and along State Street, and industrial development has occurred near the [Cross Kirkland Corridor](#) ~~railroad~~. The [Living Environment Residential](#) Section of this chapter contains a more in-depth discussion of land use in Planned Area 6.

***Land on the east side of Lake Street South is generally not suitable for commercial development.***

Most of the land on the east side of Lake Street South appears to be unsuitable for commercial use because of steep slope conditions, as well as problems concerning vehicular ingress and egress. The southeast quadrant of the 10<sup>th</sup> [Avenue](#) ~~Street~~ South and Lake Street intersection, however, is developed with a market which serves as a convenience to the surrounding residences. Limited commercial use of this location ([see Figure MB-2](#)), therefore, should be allowed to remain.

To mitigate impacts to the adjoining residential area, new development should be subject to the following standards:

- (1) Commercial uses should be oriented to serving the neighborhood. Uses should not include vehicle service stations, drive-in or drive-through businesses, auto service and sales, or storage facilities.
- (2) As part of mixed-use development, upper floors should be limited to residential uses rather than office uses and residential should be limited to a density of 48 units per acre.
- (3) Design review should be used to address scale, context, and pedestrian orientation of new development.

***Industrial activities east of the ~~railroad tracks~~ [Cross Kirkland Corridor](#) described.***

The strip of land located east of the ~~railroad tracks~~ [Cross Kirkland Corridor](#), south of Central Way and west of Kirkland Way, contains an existing [office and](#) light industrial use. While the area's proximity to I-405 and NE 85th Street makes it attractive for commercial development, the area is

also near residential uses, and should be subject to greater restrictions than other industrial areas. Buildings should be well screened by a landscaped buffer, and loading and outdoor storage areas should be located away from residential areas. ~~In addition, the number and size of signs should be strictly limited, with only wall and ground-mounted signs permitted. Pole signs, such as the one currently located in this gateway area, are inappropriate. Development along the Cross Kirkland Corridor should include an attractive orientation to the Corridor in terms of well modulated buildings and avoidance of blank walls.~~ Finally, it is noted in the Everest Neighborhood Plan that there is a major territorial view at the intersection of NE 85th Street and Kirkland Way. This view of Lake Washington, Seattle, the Olympic Mountains and Downtown Kirkland falls over property in this area.

## **CB. PLANNED AREA 5**

### ***High-density residential and office uses permitted in Planned Area 5.***

The eastern portion of the Moss Bay Neighborhood has been designated as Planned Area 5. Due to topographic conditions and circulation patterns, land in Planned Area 5 is relatively secluded. The area has been designated for high-density residential and office uses because of the ability to buffer such high-density development from other uses in the area. The area is developed primarily in high-density residential development while limited office uses exist in the northwestern portion of the area. This planned area is divided into five subareas, based on the unique conditions for development within each area.

### ***Central A Subarea***

The Central A subarea of PLA 5 should be permitted to develop with high-density residential uses (up to 24 dwellings/acre).

### ***West B Subarea***

The southern portion of Subarea B is adjacent to 6th Street and the entire subarea is south of 4th Avenue. Subarea B is heavily impacted by traffic, as well as existing and future commercial uses and offices to the west. The noise and traffic make this area inappropriate for single-family use, while its ease of access and proximity to the Downtown makes it appropriate for both offices and multifamily uses at a density of up to 24 dwelling units per acre. New development in this subarea should minimize access points directly onto 6th Street. Access for offices, however, should be provided exclusively from 6th Street or 4th Avenue and precluded from Kirkland Way. Structures should be limited to three stories in height.

### ***North C Subarea***

Subarea C, located north of Subareas B and A, and north and west of Subarea D, contains office development and the U.S. Post Office facility serving Greater Kirkland. Remaining land should develop as professional office or multifamily residential with no designated density

limit. Structures up to five or six stories in height are appropriate in the area north of Subareas B and A for developments containing at least one acre. The adjacent steep hillside limits potential view obstruction from tall buildings. At the same time, taller than normal structures could themselves take advantage of views to the west while maintaining greater open area on site and enhancing the greenbelt spine. Structures up to four stories in height are appropriate in the eastern portion near Subarea 5D for developments containing at least one acre, if additional building setbacks are provided from residential development to the east in Subarea 5D.

### ***East D Subarea***

The easternmost third of PLA 5 is identified as Subarea D. This area has developed in high-density multifamily uses. Any future development should be multifamily residential at a density of up to 24 dwelling units per acre.

### ***South E Subarea***

The most southerly subarea is the smallest and is somewhat isolated from the other subareas. Lying between 2nd Avenue and Kirkland Way, this area could develop with high-density multifamily residential (up to 24 units per acre). Due to sight distance problems on Kirkland Way, access to and from this area should be restricted to 2nd Avenue.

## **DC. PLANNED AREA 6**

### ***Concept of “Subareas” discussed.***

The bulk of the land south of Kirkland Avenue is contained in Planned Area 6 (Figure MB-2). Within this planned area, land is divided into a number of subareas, based on unique conditions including use conflicts, various parcel ownerships, traffic problems, lack of utilities, and other factors which may influence future development of the land. Due to its location, this planned area also has a special relationship with the Downtown.

### ***Land use in Subarea A discussed.***

Land contained in Subarea A lies south of the Downtown area, east of Lake Street, and west of State Street. This land is designated for high-density development due to its nearness to the shops, services, and transportation choices available in the Downtown ~~and adjacency to Lake Street~~.

### ***Land use in Subarea B discussed.***

The lands along State Street are designated as Subarea B. Much of this land is already developed with office uses making future office development also appropriate. Multifamily development should also be allowed due to its compatibility with offices and adjacent residential uses. Such multifamily development should occur at a density of 12 dwelling units per acre.

***Standards for future professional office development along State Street are listed.***

Future professional offices along State Street should locate only north of 7th Avenue South, in order to encourage a compact office corridor. The standards pertaining to office development should be as follows:

- (1) The hours of operation should be limited if noise or other adverse conditions would impact nearby residential uses.
- (2) ~~Structures should generally be limited to one story in height. Building massing should be modulated in order to preserve to respond to the visual character of the~~ this residential neighborhood. ~~Two-story structures may be permitted if their overall bulk is limited.~~
- (3) Parking should be visually screened from adjacent residential uses. Driveways are not to be located adjacent to residential uses.
- (4) Appropriate landscaping should be required to visually integrate office buildings with the residential character of the surrounding area.
- (5) Free-standing signs should not be allowed.

***Land use in Subarea C discussed.***

~~Subarea C located west of State Street and south of the Downtown contains a pocket of single-family homes which should be maintained as low density residential. This will help preserve the housing stock of dwelling units close to the Downtown for low- and fixed-income people.~~

***High-density residential uses to be permitted in Subarea D with improvements to public facilities.***

Subarea D is roughly bounded on the west by properties fronting on State Street, on the east by the ~~railroad~~ **Cross Kirkland Corridor**, on the north by the Downtown, and on the south by the midblock between 6th Avenue and 5th Avenue South (see Figure MB-2). The subarea is a residential area between a mixture of commercial and residential uses to the west and industrial activities to the east. There are single-family and multifamily units of varied densities intermingled. The area has been long designated for multifamily use and has been going through a period of transition.

Subarea D is designated for medium-density residential (up to 12 dwelling units per acre). ~~The future development potential for Subarea D is considerable, given the amount of vacant or undeveloped land, particularly in the northern third of the subarea.~~ Because of its close proximity to existing high-density residential development, residential densities up to 24 dwelling units per acre may be appropriate. ~~The area, however, now lacks adequate public facilities, such as sewers, water, sidewalks, and streets.~~ ~~To support these higher densities, streets and sidewalks will need to be upgraded at the time of development. Until these facilities are adequately upgraded, development should be limited to medium density (12 dwelling units per acre).~~ In addition, multifamily development should be regulated to ensure compatibility with existing single-family homes within and bordering this area.

***Natural constraints exist in northeast corner of Subarea D.***

Natural constraints including potentially unstable slopes and the presence of Everest Creek may also require the limitation of development potential in the northeast corner of Subarea D, although an increase to higher density may be feasible if these constraints are adequately addressed.

***Subarea E to be limited to single-family residential.***

Subarea E, located north of 7th Avenue South and south of Subarea D is developed almost exclusively with detached single-family homes. Although this area is surrounded by higher-density development, it remains viable for single-family development. Consequently, future development should be limited to single-family residential.

***Subarea F is appropriate for medium-density (12 dwelling units per acre) residential development.***

Subarea F is developed in medium- to high-density residential development. Due to the nearness of this area to single-family units in Subarea E, additional residential development should be at a density no greater than 12 units per acre.

***Subarea G-1 to develop in light industrial uses.***

Subarea G-1 should be reserved for light industrial and office uses. The presence of the existing industrial and office uses as well as the railroad tracks Cross Kirkland Corridor and other industrial uses to the east make a light industrial designation appropriate for this subarea.

***Buffering of industrial development in Subarea G-1 from nearby residences is discussed.***

While the railroad tracks Cross Kirkland Corridor borders Subarea G-1 to the east, residential developments lie to the west and north. Existing industrial uses are not adequately buffered from adjoining residential uses. Prior to any expansion of industrial development in this area, landscaped buffers and acoustical barriers should be installed where necessary with particular attention to mitigating noise and lighting impacts.

~~*Subarea G 2 to develop with light industrial and office uses, or if developed in its entirety, Subarea G 2 is appropriate for medium-density (12 dwelling units per acre) residential development.*~~

~~Subarea G 2, south of 7th Avenue South, is appropriate for light industrial and stand-alone office development. Office use here would be compatible with the existing light industrial use in PLA 6 G 2. Special precautions should be taken to buffer new light industrial or office uses from adjoining residential uses. Existing light industrial development is not adequately buffered from adjoining residential uses. Therefore, prior to any expansion, landscaped buffers and acoustical barriers should be installed where necessary with particular attention to mitigating noise and lighting impacts.~~

~~Medium density residential development at 12 dwelling units per acre is appropriate within Subarea G 2 if the entire subarea is developed at one time, thereby eliminating any chance for incompatible uses or impacts to remain. Multifamily development should be regulated to ensure compatibility with nearby single family development, Lakeview Elementary School, as well as light industrial uses.~~

- ~~(1) Traffic from residential uses should gain access from the west, to avoid light industrial traffic along 5th Place South.~~
- ~~(2) Pedestrian access should be developed to the elementary school and available for public use.~~

~~*Existing industrial traffic from uses in Subareas G 1 and 2 to be limited to 7th Avenue South in Subarea E should be routed to the east.*~~

~~Single family development in Subarea E is also significantly affected by traffic generated in neighboring Subarea G. Truck traffic traveling from the industrial area to State Street should be restricted within Subarea E, in order to minimize its impact on single family uses. Industrial and office traffic should not be permitted on 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 6<sup>th</sup>, or 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue South, since thus, existing industrial traffic should be limited to 7th Avenue South. Even at present levels, however, this traffic is not compatible with single-family homes in the area. If possible, therefore, existing industrial and office traffic should be routed to the east on 5<sup>th</sup> Place South. In addition, pedestrian and bicycle enhancements, including sidewalks, curbs, gutters, and crosswalks should be developed along 7th Avenue South to provide safe access to and from Lakeview Elementary School.~~

~~*Access concerns for expansion of industrial development along the railroad Cross Kirkland Corridor are discussed.*~~

~~Fifth Place South, adjacent to the Cross Kirkland Corridor, has been improved along the railroad Cross Kirkland Corridor, from 7th Avenue South to 6th Street South. It was opened in part to alleviate incompatible traffic impacts generated from light industrial uses onto residential uses to the west. Access directly across the railroad tracks from 7th Avenue South should also be considered, upon redevelopment of those properties located east of the tracks. Measures should be~~

taken to prevent 7th Avenue South from becoming a through route between State Street and 6th Street South.

***Standards for industrial and office activities in Subarea G-1 and 2 are listed.***

In addition, industrial or office activities in Subarea G-1 and 2 must conform with the following standards:

- (1) The height of structures associated with industrial or office activity should not exceed 25 feet near the perimeter of the development. Taller structures may be permitted if there is additional setback to compensate for the added height and bulk and if mountain views from 6th Street South, 5th Avenue South, and 9th Avenue South are not blocked.
- (2) Hours of operation should be restricted to normal daytime working hours. Industrial or office activities during evening or weekend hours may be permitted on a case-by-case basis, if they ~~are not noticeable from~~ **do not disrupt** nearby residential areas.
- (3) Industrial and office uses should not create excessive noise, glare, light, dust, fumes, and other adverse conditions which disrupt the residential character of the surrounding area.
- (4) Adequate fencing, landscaping, and/or other visual screening should be required between residential uses and adjacent industrial and office developments and their related parking.
- (5) New industrial and office uses or tenants should receive all access from the east, on 6th Street South, to mitigate traffic impacts on residential uses along 7th Avenue South.

***Land use in Subarea H discussed.***

A transition of density, building, and other special design considerations are appropriate where Subarea H adjoins the established single-family enclave lying along 7th Avenue South, 1st Street South, and 2nd Street South. The density of development in this southernmost portion of the subarea should be no greater than nine dwelling units per acre. A higher density (12 units per acre) may be appropriate, provided that such development observes substantial setbacks from the neighboring single-family units. The maintenance of existing vegetation and additional screening in the setback buffer also should be required. In any case, development within 100 feet of 7th Avenue South should be restricted to detached, single-family homes.

***Land use in Subarea I discussed.***

The property in Subarea I which lies between Subarea H (9 units per acre) and Subarea A (24 units per acre) is appropriate for up to 18 units per acre (see Figure MB-2). This would represent an intermediate density between the lands to the north and the south.

***Special considerations for development in Subareas H and I are discussed.***

Due to the steep hillside which rises above Lake Street South, the potential exists for a taller building in Subareas H and I. In such case, the buildings should be designed to step back into the hill and maintain a scale which is both compatible with surrounding buildings, and does not have a massive appearance from Lake Street. Obstruction of views from the east and the preservation

of trees should be among the issues considered for development in Subareas H and I. In addition, in order to protect the pocket of single-family homes to the south from excessive traffic impacts, development other than single-family homes in the southern 100 feet of this area should not be allowed to gain from 7th Avenue South.

***Special considerations for development in Subarea J discussed.***

Subarea J is located east of State Street and north of Second Avenue South. This land is designated for high-density development (up to 24 dwelling units per acre) due to its nearness to the Downtown area and access directly off Kirkland Avenue, an arterial. Within Subarea J, land lying directly south of the intersection of Kirkland Way and Kirkland Avenue may accommodate commercial uses as well as high-density uses. Such commercial development should be limited to the northern half of the site and to access only from Kirkland Avenue.

**ED. OPEN SPACE/PARKS**

***Marina Park and Peter Kirk Park are to be preserved.***

The Moss Bay Neighborhood contains two parks of communitywide and perhaps regional significance. These facilities are Marina Park and Peter Kirk Park. These parks should be maintained not only because of their importance in terms of recreation, but also because of their contribution to open space in the Downtown area. In addition, Lakeview Elementary School helps meet some of the recreational needs of residents in the southern portion of the neighborhood. ~~Lake Street Landing Park and a small waterfront pocket park at the end of 5th Avenue~~ Street End Park, David Brink Park, and Settlers Landing Park also provide further recreational opportunities as well as a sense of openness along Lake Street South.

~~South of Kirkland Avenue in the Moss Bay Neighborhood, there should be at least one aggregation of dedicated open space between Lake Street South and State Street for the development of a neighborhood park. The open space sites may be private use areas contained within private developments; or these sites could include public use as a result of land dedications, outright public purchase, or some combination of these methods.~~

***Major pedestrian and bicycle pathways considered.***

Pedestrian and bicycle pathways are also part of the park and open space system, in addition to providing a transportation function. Major pathways in the Moss Bay Neighborhood should be established according to the designations in Figures MB-10 and MB-117.

## **FE. PUBLIC SERVICES/FACILITIES**

***Adequate water, sewer, and drainage facilities are to be provided prior to occupancy of new development.***

-  
Sewer and water service is not adequate to support full development of the Moss Bay Neighborhood according to land use designations in Figure MB-2. Isolated problems have also arisen with regard to storm drainage in the Moss Bay Neighborhood. These system deficiencies should not necessarily prohibit additional development in the area. However, prior to the occupancy of new development, the water, sewer, and drainage facilities should be extended and/or upgraded as necessary to meet the requirements of designated land use for the area.

-  
***Water, sewer, and drainage facilities discussed.***

-  
One area in which sanitary sewer service is inadequate is located in Planned Area 6, on the east side of State Street. In some parts of this area, sanitary sewers do not exist. In other cases, existing sewer lines are old and will need to be replaced. Similarly, water service is absent or provides insufficient fire flow throughout much of the area. As discussed in the Living Environment Section of this chapter, the water, sewer, and drainage lines must be upgraded and/or extended as necessary to meet the requirements for development at the maximum potential density for this area and not just the parcel being developed.

***Undergrounding of utilities is to be actively encouraged.***

In order to contribute to a more amenable and safe living environment, as well as to enhance views and a sense of community identity, the undergrounding of utilities should be actively encouraged.

***Vehicular circulation patterns described, and the following provisions are recommended:***

Vehicular circulation patterns are fairly well established in the Moss Bay Neighborhood area (see Figure MB-79). There is a relatively large flow of traffic through the area, in addition to traffic generated by activities within the Downtown. The major north/south traffic corridors include Lake Street, State Street, 3rd Street, and ~~108th Avenue NE~~ (6th Street South). The major east/west corridors include Central Way, Kirkland Avenue/Kirkland Way, and NE 68th Street. Future modifications to circulation patterns in the Moss Bay Neighborhood include the following provisions:

- (1) Dead-end streets between State Street and ~~railroad~~ the Cross Kirkland Corridor should be improved.  
The dead-end streets between State Street and the ~~railroad tracks~~ Cross Kirkland Corridor are very narrow and, in some cases, are in need of resurfacing. In order to enhance access for

residents and emergency vehicles, appropriate improvements to these streets should be made as new development occurs in the area. In some cases, developments should establish a vehicular connection between these narrow streets, provided this connection does not significantly increase traffic volumes on streets where predominantly single-family homes exist.

- (2) Industrial traffic in residential areas to be minimized. Industrial access should occur along ~~the railroad~~5th Place South; and

~~In order to minimize the impact of industrial traffic in residential areas, access to industrial uses should follow the routes so designated in Figure MB-7. If industrial access along the west side of the railroad is extended to 6th Street South, then 7th Avenue South should be closed to industrial traffic. As discussed in the Living Environment Section of this chapter, no expansion of industrial uses in this area should be permitted unless access to the east is provided.~~

- (3) Major pedestrian and bicycle pathways ~~are shown in~~ should be enhanced according to Figures MB-7, 10 and MB-11 and should continue to be enhanced.

~~Major pedestrian and bicycle pathways should be enhanced throughout the Moss Bay Neighborhood according to the designations shown in Figure MB-7. The proposed pathway along presently unopened segments of 4th Street South should be designed in such a way that access would be possible for emergency vehicles, while at the same time precluding other motor vehicles from using the pathways. Pedestrian and bicycle connections from the Cross Kirkland Corridor to the Downtown and other activity nodes in the neighborhood should be completed.~~ Bicycle lanes should be established along Lake Street South and along State Street. Pedestrian and bicycle access across Lake Street South should also be improved. Such improvements would facilitate safer access to the waterfront and could allow for some waterfront-related parking east of Lake Street South.

Sidewalks have not been installed in many of the residential areas in the Moss Bay Neighborhood. Sidewalks are particularly needed in the multifamily areas surrounding the Downtown, to provide residents with safe and convenient pedestrian access to shops and activities.




Figure MB-9: Moss Bay Street Classifications




Figure MB-10: Moss Bay Pedestrian System




Figure MB-11: Moss Bay Bicycle System