

# Figure 2-Summary of Workshop Responses

**South Rose Hill**  
**124<sup>th</sup> Ave. Park Site**  
Visioning Workshop Comments  
May 22, 2006

The following comments were recorded exactly as they were received:

## **Group 1**

---

**Vision for the Park:** Maintain native plants – retaining wetlands and meadows and add family friendly, pedestrian friendly (trail/walkways)

### **Wish List of Program Elements:**

- Kids biking area
- Perimeter walking trail w/ distance markers
- Mutt-mitt dispensers
- Benches
- Meadow
- Picnic tables
- Water feature (sound masking)
- Creek access
- Toddler play area
- Swings
- Bathroom open all year
- Covered picnic shelter/pavilion away from neighboring homes
- Nice flowers/rhododendrons / rose gardens
- Pedestrian access from 126<sup>th</sup>
- Path lighting (low level)
- Sculpture/art
- Limited on-site parking
- Bike rack
- Environmental education about creek and watershed
- Save large Cedar and some of the fruit trees

### **Elements They Do Not Want:**

- No ice skating pond
- No skate park
- No Sports Court
- No amphitheater
- Do not keep house as a community facility
- No Frisbee golf posts
- No BBQ grill (may attract groups from other neighborhoods)
- No sand volleyball
- No fire pit
- No active sports

### **Fears:**

- Crime
- Vandalism
- Noise
- Lack of buffer for neighbors

**South Rose Hill**  
**124<sup>th</sup> Ave. Park Site**  
Visioning Workshop Comments  
May 22, 2006

**Group 2**

---

**Vision for the Park:** Natural focus

**Wish List of Program Elements:**

- Include bike racks (2)
- Native plants
- Formal planting area
- Quiet walking, picnicking, birds
- Need restroom-close to road/open
- Picnic shelter in meadow
- Trail w/ boardwalk across from wetland w/ interpretive signs
- Keep old Cedar near sidewalk & larger evergreens, rhododendrons
- Rose garden (native) as a wetlands buffer

**Elements They Do Not Want:**

- No Off-Leash!!
- Don't keep Newbom house as community center (budget concerns, maintenance)
- No play structures

**South Rose Hill**  
**124<sup>th</sup> Ave. Park Site**  
Second Workshop Comments  
October 11, 2006

The following comments from the entire group were recorded exactly as they were received:

**Group Comments**

---

- Prefers Alternate B because of the proposed picnic shelter. Wants a picnic shelter at the park for neighborhood association picnics and gatherings.
- Prefers Alternate B because the path alignment in the upper meadow works better with the existing tree locations.
- Prefers Alternate A because there is no picnic shelter that might bring groups of people, and more noise, to the park (new park neighbor).
- Do Kirkland residents get first choice when reserving the picnic shelters? Response: Kirkland does not currently give a preference to Kirkland residents for reserving facilities.
- How often is the larger picnic shelter at Woodlands Park reserved by groups? Response: Not too often yet, but the shelter is new, and has only been open for a year. The lack of available water, power, restrooms and parking make the shelter location less desirable for group reservations.
- Likes the pedestrian connection to the Safeway parking area in both alternative plans.
- Wants bench and table locations distributed around the paths throughout the park. Future donated benches or tables could be accommodated by installing paved pads now, even if there isn't budget for the furniture.
- Prefers a narrow paved path within the park rather than a wide path. Less paving is better.
- We need good visual access to the stream day lighting through bridge railings and physical access to the water course if possible.
- Park needs lots of trash receptacles because it is close to Safeway and people will be bringing food into the park.
- The size of the picnic shelter is important. A large shelter may attract large groups. A very small shelter may not meet the needs of the neighborhood.
- Shelter should be located close to the outside edge of the lower meadow to leave more space in the meadow for Frisbee.

END OF COMMENTS