



# KIRKLAND PARK BOARD

Date: February 11, 2015

Time: 7:00 p.m.

Place: Council Chambers, City Hall

*The mission of the Park Board shall be to provide policy advice and assistance to the Department of Parks and Community Services and City Council in order to ensure the effective provision of Parks and Community Services programs and facilities to the residents of the City of Kirkland.*

## AGENDA

1. **CALL TO ORDER**
2. **ROLL CALL**
3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**  
January Park Board Meeting Minutes *5 minutes*
4. **ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE** *5 minutes*
5. **REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS**  
City Council acceptance of property donation *5 minutes*
6. **PRESENTATIONS**  
Waterfront Demand Assessment *30 minutes*
7. **COMMUNICATIONS** *10 minutes*
  - a. Correspondence
  - b. Staff Reports – February update
  - c. Committee Reports
  - d. Comments from the Chair
8. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**
  - a. **Off-leash Committee Report** *40 minutes*  
Topic: Proposal for trial period to allow designated off-leash areas  
Action: Review and comment on proposal
  - b. **Aquatics, Recreation and Community (ARC) Center Project Update** *20 minutes*  
Topic: Receive update on ARC Center Project  
Action: Discussion only

**9. NEW BUSINESS**

- a. 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Program *20 minutes*  
Topic: Review timing and process for update of six-year CIP  
Action: Review and approve scoring criteria for parks projects

**10. GOOD OF THE ORDER**

*5 minutes*

**11. ADJOURNMENT**

*Estimated meeting completion: 9:20 p.m.*

Next meetings:  
March 11, 2015  
March 18, 2015  
April 8, 2015

**Alternate Formats:** Persons with disabilities may request materials in alternative formats. Persons with hearing impairments may access the Washington State Telecommunications Relay Service at 711.

**Title VI:** Kirkland's policy is to fully comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its programs and activities. Any person who believes his/her Title VI protection has been violated, may file a complaint with the City. To request an alternate format, file a complaint or for questions about Kirkland's Title VI Program, contact the Title VI Coordinator at 425-587-3011 or [titlevicoordinator@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:titlevicoordinator@kirklandwa.gov).

The City of Kirkland strives to accommodate people with disabilities. Please contact the City Clerk's Office at 425.587.3190, or for TTY Services call 425.587.3111 (by noon the work day prior to the meeting) if we can be of assistance. If you should experience difficulty hearing the proceedings, please bring this to the attention of the Chairperson by raising your hand.

# **KIRKLAND PARK BOARD**

## **Minutes of Regular Meeting**

### **January 14, 2015**

#### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

The January Park Board regular meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chair Adam White.

#### **2. ROLL CALL**

Members present: Chair Adam White, Vice Chair Kevin Quille, Sue Contreras, Ted Marx, Rick Ockerman, Jim Popolow and Rosalie Wessels.

Sue Keller was excused.

Staff present: Michael Cogle, Jason Filan and Jennifer Schroder.

Recording Secretary: Cheryl Harmon

#### **3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The minutes of the December 10<sup>th</sup> meeting were reviewed and corrections were noted. Mr. Quille moved to approve the minutes as amended. Mr. Marx seconded. Motion carried (7-0).

#### **4. ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE**

No items.

#### **5. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS**

No items.

#### **6. PRESENTATIONS**

Representatives from the development partners for Kirkland Parkplace, Bill Leedom of Talon Private Capital and Pat Logan of CollinsWoerman, presented proposed updates to redevelopment of the site.

#### **7. COMMUNICATIONS**

##### **a. Correspondence**

No items.

##### **b. Staff Reports**

Ms. Schroder reported on Seattle Tilth, Recreation revenue totals, Snowflake Ball, Heritage Hall remodel, donation to Peter Kirk Community Center, Youth Council's

Respect Booklet project, field allocations, Green Kirkland Partnership, and a public open house regarding use of Bastyr University.

Questions were asked regarding Taylor Fields, Recreation revenues and correspondence addressed to the Planning Commission.

Follow-up was requested regarding the Kirkland Parks Foundation who will be invited to a future meeting.

c. Committee Reports

Mr. Ockerman reported on the off-leash committee meetings.

Ms. Contreras reported on the South Rose Hill/Bridle Trails neighborhood meeting.

d. Comments from the Chair

Mr. White commented on the Central Houghton neighborhood meeting.

**8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

a. Edith Moulton Park Master Plan

Mr. Cogle presented a proposed phasing plan for renovation of Edith Moulton Park.

Mr. Ockerman moved to approve the phasing plan as presented. Mr. Quille seconded. Motion carried (7-0).

b. Aquatics, Recreation and Community Center Project Update

Ms. Schroder shared an update on the ARC Center project; reported on a neighborhood meeting; and announced upcoming meetings and open house events with various community groups.

Next steps include a virtual open house, a telephone survey and continuing to identify potential privately-owned sites.

c. 2015-2016 Park Board Work Plan

Mr. Cogle presented an updated 2015-2016 Park Board Work Plan incorporating a requested addition from the January meeting for consideration of adoption.

Mr. Quille moved to approve the work plan as written. Ms. Wessels seconded. Motion carried (7-0).

**9. NEW BUSINESS**

a. Green Kirkland Partnership Update

Ms. Schroder introduced Sharon Rodman, Green Kirkland Partnership Supervisor, who provided an update on the work of the division.

Ms. Rodman introduced Ina Penberthy, Environmental Outreach Specialist, who reported on the update of the 20-year Forest Restoration Plan and the Forterra US Forest Service Grant received by the City.

b. Donation of Property

Ms. Schroder shared details of a proposed donation of property located near Everest Park.

Mr. Marx moved to recommend to City Council to accept donation of the land and to name the property after the family as "Neal-Landguth Wetland Park." Mr. Ockerman seconded. Motion carried (7-0).

c. Meeting Considerations for 2015

Ms. Schroder shared a need for a second meeting in March as well as a need to reschedule the November meeting due to a holiday conflict. Board members will be polled for availability.

**10. GOOD OF THE ORDER**

Clarifications were made regarding term expiration dates.

Questions were asked about Cross Kirkland Corridor, King Conservation District, Plaza of Champions, Open Government Training and Kirkland Parkplace.

**11. ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Ockerman moved to adjourn. Ms. Wessels seconded. Motion carried (7-0). Meeting was adjourned at 9:07 p.m.

---

Jennifer Schroder, Director  
Parks and Community Services

---

Adam White, Chair  
Park Board



**CITY OF KIRKLAND**  
City Manager's Office  
123 Fifth Avenue, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3001  
www.kirklandwa.gov

---

## MEMORANDUM

**To:** Park Board

**From:** Ellen Miller-Wolfe, Economic Development Manager  
Philly Hoshko, Special Projects Coordinator

**Date:** January 30, 2015

**Subject:** Kirkland Waterfront Demand Assessment

Staff and consultant, Paul Sorensen, would like to share the findings of a study commissioned by the Tourism Development Committee with the Park Board and get its comments on the results of the study.

A branding study prepared by Northstar for the City of Kirkland Tourism Committee in 2009 concluded what the community has always known; that the Kirkland waterfront is emblematic of the City, a treasured community asset, and also, the City's most well-known tourism attraction. Further study has determined that improvements to waterfront moorage and operations can make the waterfront more attractive to visitors and the community alike.

In its efforts to bring more visitors to Kirkland to increase hotel stays and to augment entertainment, shopping and restaurant markets, the Tourism Development Committee (aka Lodging tax Advisory Committee) commissioned a Waterfront Demand Study. Among many insightful findings the study determined that over eighty percent (80%) of boaters using Kirkland moorage are visiting from outside of Kirkland. And, with improvements to the operations and infrastructure of current moorage facilities, the Marina and Juanita Beach parks have the potential of attracting more visitors to Kirkland. Further, increased visitation could translate into substantial revenues for the downtown and Juanita business districts, with an estimated \$150 per boat spent at these and other Kirkland business districts.

## BACKGROUND

In 2014, the Tourism Development Committee requested that its staff study ways that the waterfront might be optimized. Staff responded by researching waterfront optimization in comparable cities and also conducting interviews and focus groups with local and regional vendors and organizations that operate waterfront programs. Along with gathering useful information on waterfront activities, the outreach succeeded in attracting a new stand-up paddleboard race to Kirkland that has been successful on

Mercer Island. A subsequent Business Roundtable gathered more information about what younger businesses think about downtown activation including improvements to the marina. Staff reported its findings to the Tourism Development Committee and the decision was made to retain a consultant to provide information about the status of the regional boating market, to analyze current demand for waterfront moorage in downtown and Juanita, and to determine whether there is rationale to expand usage at these locations.

BST, represented by Paul Sorenson, was selected to research the current regional market for recreational and commercial boating, to prepare a financial review of public moorage operations in Kirkland, and to make recommendations about optimizing Kirkland facilities. BST will present findings to the City Council for review and comment; however a few highlights of the presentation follow that demonstrate the potential for improving/expanding Kirkland waterfront facilities.

- Boat sales in this region took a severe hit during the recession but the market is slowly recovering with sales steadily increased since 2009.
- Currently there are over 25,000 boats registered within 10 miles of Lake Washington.
- There are 237 public transient moorages in Lake Washington. With 90 slips, Kirkland accounts for 64% of that inventory.
- 82% of moorage users at Marina Park arrive from outside of Kirkland, 13% of moorage users arrive by land and use the boat launch, 5% come from other moorage facilities in Kirkland.
- Cruise boat operators Argosy and Waterways are interested in separate docks that are protected from seasonal winds and weather with the hope that they also can keep boats here overnight.
- A survey asking questions about Kirkland facilities was sent to 971 boaters and garnered 115 responses. Key findings include:
  - An average of 4.1 people are on board boats visiting Kirkland
  - Across all boat sizes, there is an average expenditure of \$150 on shore
  - Five percent (5%) of these visitors use overnight accommodations.
  - Eighty-seven percent (87%) said they would use transient moorage in Kirkland if more were provided.
  - Several said that a reservation system (smart phone app with real time moorage information) would encourage them to come to Kirkland because of the added certainty they would get a slip

- Other suggestions for improvements included amenities such as power, restrooms, showers and a concierge service to help people get on and off boats safely
- Infrastructure suggestions ranged from the low cost - better spacing of docks to improve circulation and provide space for more boats, renting of floats for seasonal moorage, buoys (at Juanita Beach) to high cost - separating and fortifying cruise boat docks, and installing a breakwater

The study also found that there is room to raise moorage rates. Present rates for recreational boaters are comparable to other public moorages with one exception; Kirkland provides 3 hours of free moorage. Charging for what is now free or raising rates turns on whether the City Council is interested in cost recovery for improved promotion and operations. Higher priced infrastructure improvements require state funds, capital improvement funding or some other type of financing. (The Parks Department is currently seeking State funding for dock repair).

## **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Following a presentation of the study at its January meeting, the Tourism Development Committee approved the expenditure of up to \$20,000 of lodging tax funds on a reservation system and other operational and promotional upgrades.

Staff is requesting that the Park Board consider support for an additional City investment of up to \$20,000 to fund the feasibility, both from engineering and permitting perspectives, of expanding the moorage availability at the Downtown marina and Juanita Beach parks. The plan is to take that request to Council at the earliest available meeting time.

Also, staff is interested in the Park Board's opinion regarding raising rates to partly cover operational upgrades and any other thoughts the Board might have on improving moorage for commercial, recreational boater and other users.



**CITY OF KIRKLAND**  
 Department of Parks & Community Services  
 505 Market Street, Suite A, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3300  
 www.kirklandwa.gov

**To:** Park Board  
**From:** Jennifer Schroder, CPRP, Director  
**Date:** February 6, 2015  
**Subject:** February Staff Update

## RECREATION DIVISION

- Recreation Division staff attended a seasonal hiring retreat put on by Human Resources in preparation for bringing on nearly 150 seasonal staff to operate summer programs.
- Below is the month-to-month revenue report. The January numbers for 2015 are significantly higher than in 2014 mostly due to a church rental payment for the entire year at Peter Kirk Community Center

	JAN
2014	\$46,858
2015	\$79,882
variance	\$33,024

### North Kirkland Community Center

- Spring has already arrived at the North Kirkland Community Center. Flowering plants added to the deck and driveway planters have solicited appreciated comments from participants and renters alike. The intense fragrance of the many flowering sarcococca plants in the garden bed provokes the question daily "what smells so good"?
- Language immersion classes at the center are becoming more popular. In addition to weekday Spanish, and Mandarin Chinese classes for ages 1-5, there is also the option of Saturday Spanish and French for ages 5-9, and French for adults.
- Love is in the air and so is glitter from Valentine classes for toddlers through youth. From a handprint Valentine Tree, to lovingly decorated Valentine boxes, heartwarming classes are full with waiting lists.

### Youth Sports & Aquatics

- Preparations are underway for 2015 Aquatics season. Applications are currently being accepted for a variety of aquatic positions to staff the Peter Kirk Pool, Houghton, Waverly and Juanita beaches. Around 75 seasonal staff will be hired. The pool will open June 8<sup>th</sup> and beach lifeguards will be on duty beginning July 1<sup>st</sup>.
- Winter session of Pee Wee Basketball began at Finn Hill Middle School on January 24<sup>th</sup> with over 110 participants aged 2½ to 6 years old. Additional coaches were hired this session to accommodate the growing waitlist for this program. Coaches will be teaching the fundamentals of the sport and players learn and practice basketball-related skills such as dribbling, passing and teamwork. This program, which takes place on Saturdays for six weeks, could be the first time these preschoolers take part in a team sport.

- Youth Basketball League Games began January 10<sup>th</sup> at Kirkland and Kamiakin Middle School. Each of the 43 teams practice twice a week and play one game each weekend, until the final game on March 7<sup>th</sup>.

**Sports and Fitness**

- The City's popular volleyball league is in full swing. The current winter season has only four regular season matches left and is going well. Registration opened for the spring season on January 5<sup>th</sup> and four out of the five divisions are currently full.
- The spring season of our pee wee soccer league opened February 2<sup>nd</sup>. A total of 90 children were already registered within the first few hours of opening. The season will start in late April and will operate through June. Staff is expecting approximately 320 children to participate this season.
- The sports section is continuing talks with the school district to offer a weeknight open gym program at Kirkland Middle School to replace the defunct dodgeball league.

**Peter Kirk Community Center**

- PKCC is happy to report that the Northshore Senior Center has been operating the senior daily transportation program for four years now. In 2014 this program provided 5,151 rides for Kirkland Seniors including rides to and from the Center, grocery shopping, medical appointments and van trips. The program has been very successful and staff are happy to be continuing this partnership for the next year. Transportation is offered Monday-Friday to City residents, new riders must be ACCESS eligible.
- Summer Day Camp staff recruitment is underway; staff will soon begin hiring for both the Peter Kirk Day Camp and the North Kirkland Junior Camp. Interviews will be held in the middle of March for two directors and eight camp counselors.

**COMMUNITY SERVICES DIVISION**

**Special events**

- The Parks Special Projects Coordinator leads the Special Events Team in reviewing applications and permitting Special Events. The team meets the first Thursday of each month.
- The 2015 Events Calendar is essentially set for the year. In addition to returning annual events, several new events will be held in Kirkland this summer. Waaalk for Our Champs will be held at Marina Park, Life Care Center of Kirkland Car Show in the Juanita Neighborhood, Kirkland SUP Cup, FroYo 5k, Rave Green Run and the 4th Dimension Half Marathon will all be at Juanita Beach Park.

CITY OF KIRKLAND 2015 EVENT CALENDAR		
MARCH	APRIL	MAY
14 - Kirkland Shamrock Run (MA)	3 - Good Friday Devotional Walk (JP/JN) 3 - Ecumenical GF Procession (CBD/MA) 4 - Waaalk For Our Champs (MA)	10 - Mother's Day Half Marathon (JP/CKC) 25 - 7 Hills of Kirkland (MA)
JUNE	JULY	AUGUST
3, 10, 17, 24 - Wednesday Market (MA) 5, 12, 19, 26 - Juanita Friday Market (JP) 6 - NAMI Walk (MA) 20 - Kirkland SUP Cup (JP)	1, 8, 15, 22, 29 - Wednesday Market (MA) 3, 10, 17, 24, 31 - Juanita Friday Mkt (JP) 4 - CK! Parade & Fireworks (CBD/MA) 7, 14, 21, 28 - Summer Concerts Kids (JP) 9, 16, 23, 30 - Summer Concerts Eve (MA) 17, 18, 19 - Kirkland Uncorked (MA) 25 - FroYo 5k (JP) 25, 26 - Classic Car Show (CBD/MA)	1-8 - Junior Softball World Series (EV) 4, 11, 18 - Summer Concerts Kids (JP) 5, 12, 19, 26 - Wednesday Market (MA) 6, 13, 20 - Summer Concerts Eve (MA) 7, 8, 9 - Summerfest (CBD/MA/PK) 7, 14, 21, 28 - Juanita Friday Market (JP) 9 - Rave Green Run (JP) 22 - Life Care Center Car Show (JN) 23 - Park to Park Swim (OO)

SEPTEMBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER
2, 9, 16, 23 - Wednesday Market (MA) 4, 11, 18, 25 - Juanita Friday Market (JP) 19 - Seattle 3 Day (MP, HP, JP, 132) 20 - Kirkland Triathlon (JP) 25, 26, 27 - Oktoberfest (MA)	21 - 4th Dimension Kirkland Half (JP/CKC) 22 - Hopelink Turkey Trot (CBD/MA) Date TBD - Winterfest (CBD/MA)	20 - 12K's of Christmas (HP/CKC)
LEGEND		
CBD - Central Business District	FHN - Finn Hill Neighborhood	MP - Marsh Park
CKC - Cross Kirkland Corridor	HP - Heritage Park	OO - OO Denny
CW - Crestwoods Park	JN - Juanita Neighborhood	PK - Peter Kirk Park
EHN - Evergreen Hill Neighborhood	JP - Juanita Beach Park	RHN - Rose Hill Neighborhood
EM - Edith Moulton Park	MA - Marina Park	TLN - Totem Lake Neighborhood
EV - Everest Park	MN - Market Neighborhood	132 - 132 <sup>nd</sup> Square Park

- Tina Lathia has returned to oversee Juanita Friday Market operations. The market will be open from 3 p.m. to 7 p.m., June 5th through September 25th. Applications are now being accepted for vendors, performers, community booth space and special events.
- Notable Events
  - Kirkland Downtown Association (KDA) Seahawks Rally, January 31<sup>st</sup> - The 12<sup>th</sup> Man showed up in force at Marina Park last month to cheer on the home team! Special guests included KIRO 7's Michelle Millman and King County Councilmember Jane Hague, just to name a few. Rally clips appeared on KIRO 7 and KING 5.



**Youth Services**

- Teen Traffic Court continues to be held the first Friday of every month at the Kirkland Justice Center.
- Members of the Kirkland Youth Council held a food drive at the Red Apple Market January 24-25. Totals collected - \$316 in cash and 1,478 pounds of goods.
- The winter cycle of mini grants were awarded in January. The following seven program were funded:
  - Emerson High Prom
  - Environmental and Adventure School for the Healthy Choices Fair
  - Storage Racks at Finn Hill Middle
  - International Community School Mock Trial Competition
  - Juanita High's State DECA Competition
  - Kamiakin's Homework Club
  - Watch D.O.G.S. program at Kamiakin Middle
- Filming for the next installment of the We've Got Issues video will start later this month. The focus will be "High School Survival".
- Members of the Youth Council are working with KTUB to host the 2015 Bluefish Festival in conjunction with the opening of the Juanita Farmers Market on June 5<sup>th</sup>.

**MAINTENANCE DIVISION**

**Notes from the Field**

- Team projects are the focus of the month with staff visiting several locations throughout the system. The primary goal of these work parties is to prepare the park sites for summer. Juanita Beach Park received weeding, cleaning and 85 yards of mulch to help suppress the weeds this next summer. The front entry to the cemetery received some new plants and 9 yards of mulch, Heritage Park’s garden area also received a thorough clean and spruce in anticipation of the rental season.
- January 14<sup>th</sup>’s Field Allocations meeting was a success and the spring/early summer athletic field allocation process is almost complete. Leagues are working on fulfilling their application requirements and once those are received, permits will be issued. Use will begin Monday, March 2<sup>nd</sup>. 13 different leagues/organizations applied for use with an estimated 2,300 participants within. Programs include softball, baseball, t-ball, soccer, track and lacrosse with players from age 4 and up.
- Crestwood Park received some new basketball hoops compliments of the resources provided by the 2012 Parks Levy. The existing metal moon hoops were so outdated that their use was limited. The new acrylic backboard hoops are much more usable and will provide patrons a pleasurable shooting experience. A great job done by Parks staff installing the new amenities.
- Everest Park’s third base bleachers and storage area received electrical conduit and power outlets this month. The area is used by Kirkland American Little League for various functions including umpire’s rest station and storage of materials and supplies. The electrical outlets will be appreciated this next season.
- University of Washington’s School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences will be conducting research at Juanita Beach this month. Students from the school have a Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) and a Washington State Scientific Collection Permit to survey fish in Juanita Creek. Staff looks forward to seeing the fruits of the students’ labor. It is always interesting learning about the kind and number of fish species in the creek.

**GREEN KIRKLAND PARTNERSHIP**

- The Green Kirkland Partnership has been actively working to restore the health of Kirkland’s natural parkland areas since 2005. The Partnership now has 10 years of restoration data, which includes over \$1 million in the value of volunteer hours, and almost 60 acres enrolled in restoration. The GKP is drafting reports to show its achievements. The following table summarizes some of the Partnerships’ accomplishments in 2014, and since its initiation in 2005.

**Green Kirkland Partnership Summary of Achievements 2005-2014**

Year	Number of Volunteers*	Volunteer Hours	Volunteer Work Parties**	New Acres in Restoration	Active Volunteer Stewards	Total Native Plants Planted, incl. Trees	\$ Value of Volunteer Hours
2005	334	1,066	7	2.0	0	0	\$19,230.64
2006	237	651	11	1.3	0	0	\$12,219.27
2007	1,101	3,227	38	7.4	0	729	\$59,474.62
2008	1,439	3,406	55	6.5	0	1,611	\$66,519.18
2009	1,973	5,821	45	8.3	9	2,898	\$121,367.85
2010	2,228	10,220	123	4.6	17	3,326	\$214,722.20

2011	1,786	8,408	150	8.0	16	7,346	\$176,652.08
2012	2,164	9,401	168	3.0	22	5,566	\$197,515.01
2013	2,124	8,980	189	7.5	22	4,649	\$203,767.09
2014	2,365	8,900	227	9.4	26	4,801	\$201,948.94
<b>Total to end 2014</b>	<b>15,751</b>	<b>60,080</b>	<b>1,013</b>	<b>57.9</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>30,926</b>	<b>\$1,273,416.88</b>

\*Number of Volunteers = number of event participations + the number of ongoing volunteers

\*\*Cumulative total of public, private, and ongoing events and group activities scheduled and/or authorized by Green Kirkland Partnership

Please Note:

2007 - First dedicated Green Kirkland Partnership employee hired

2013 – Using Park Levy funding, the Green Kirkland Division was established in the Parks & Community Services Department, and three dedicated staff members were hired.

- On January 19<sup>th</sup>, Martin Luther King Jr. Day of Service, over 140 volunteers spent the day participating in forest restoration activities in Crestwoods, Everest and Watershed parks. Volunteer activities at Everest were kicked off with opening remarks from Kirkland Councilmember Shelley Kloba and Congresswoman Suzan DelBene. Volunteers were led by Green Kirkland staff and stewards and accomplished an amazing amount of on-the-ground restoration. Photos of the day can be viewed on the Green Kirkland Facebook page ([www.facebook.com/GreenKirkPartnership](http://www.facebook.com/GreenKirkPartnership)).
- In addition to MLK Jr. Day volunteer events, the Green Kirkland Partnership hosted January volunteer events led by stewards at Juanita Bay and Crestwoods parks. Both events had good turnout and the stewards were able to continue invasive removal, mulching, and planting in their parks.
- The following table summarizes Green Kirkland Partnership events and other activities conducted by volunteers in December. It includes volunteer information from events led by stewards, staff, contractors, and ongoing volunteering.

November Event Type	Number of Events	Number of Volunteers	Volunteer Hours	Dollar Equivalent <sup>1</sup>
Steward Led Events	4	54	152	\$3,488.88
Staff Led Events	0	0	0	\$0
Contractor Led Events	0	0	0	\$0
Ongoing Volunteering	N/A	13	79.8	\$1,810.66
<b>All November Volunteering</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>\$5,229.54</b>
<b>Groups Engaged:</b> YMCA Earth Service Corps, Sigma Chi Fraternity, LWHS National Honors Society				

<sup>1</sup> Dollar Equivalent = Hours x \$22.69

### **Restoration Highlight**

- A Washington Conservation Corps (WCC) crew worked at Watershed Park for 10 days in January as part of Department of Natural Resources [Washington State Urban and Community Forestry Program](#). The crew removed invasive plants and planted 1,100 native trees and shrubs along the park boundary adjacent to the I-405 corridor. 200 of the trees planted were donated through [Forterra's Evergreen Carbon Capture](#) Program.
- The WCC crew also spent four days in January at Juanita Beach Park maintaining riparian areas along Juanita Creek.



Washington Conservation Corps crew members taking a well-deserved break from their hard work at Watershed Park in January.

### **In the Field Highlight**

- On January 29<sup>th</sup>, Green Kirkland staff and a Juanita Bay Steward met with the Forbes Creek Bridge Retrofit Team to talk about the project plan and its impact to adjacent restoration areas. Green Kirkland is working closely with the project team to ensure that the restoration area remains healthy and filled with native plants, and that existing native plants are salvaged prior to construction work.

### **Other Notable Items**

- On January 13<sup>th</sup>, Green Kirkland staff members Sharon and Katie attended the first City of Kirkland Environmental Group Meeting, which is comprised of representatives of other "green" programs to address how our different programs can collaborate and promote each other's work when conducting education and outreach with community members.

- On January 15<sup>th</sup>, staff members Sharon and Katie attended the K4C King County Roundtable discussion on how different climate action programs “put a price” on carbon use, and details about the current climate action legislative proposal in Olympia. There is the potential for funding forest restoration to mitigate carbon impacts.
- On January 15<sup>th</sup>, staff members attended a meeting with Seattle Tilth to talk about its future work and potential collaboration with natural areas restoration efforts at McAuliffe Park.

### **What’s Coming up**

- Save the Date: Join us for the **Green Kirkland Partnership Open House, Tuesday March 24<sup>th</sup>, 6-8 PM, Heritage Hall**, 203 Market Street, Kirkland 98033. Celebrate Green Kirkland Partnership accomplishments and offer input as staff looks ahead to the next 20 years.
- Upcoming February Events
  - Wednesdays, January 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> at Cotton Hill, 9:30am-11:30am. Contact Karen Story [karen@nwnative.us](mailto:karen@nwnative.us)
  - Saturday, February 7<sup>th</sup>, North Juanita Open Space 10am-1pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Wednesday, February 11th, Crestwoods Park, 2pm-4pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Saturday, February 14<sup>th</sup>, Juanita Beach Park, 10am-noon register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Sunday, February 15<sup>th</sup>, Everest Park, 10am-2pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Saturday, February 21<sup>st</sup>, Everest Park, 10am-2pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Saturday, February 28<sup>th</sup>, Everest Park, 10am-2pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
  - Saturday, February 28<sup>th</sup>, Juanita Bay Park, 10am-1pm register at [www.greenkirkland.org](http://www.greenkirkland.org)
- Photos of recent volunteer events can be viewed at [www.facebook.com/GreenKirkPartnership](http://www.facebook.com/GreenKirkPartnership)



## CITY OF KIRKLAND

### Department of Parks & Community Services

505 Market Street, Suite A, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3300

[www.kirklandwa.gov](http://www.kirklandwa.gov)

---

**To:** Park Board

**From:** Michael Cogle, Deputy Director

**Date:** February 6, 2015

**Subject:** Proposal for Trial Period to Allow Designated Off-Leash Areas in Kirkland Parks

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Board review and comment upon a proposal from the Off-Leash Committee for allowing limited off leash dog use in certain Kirkland parks on a trial basis. The Board is asked to review and comment upon:

- Proposed locations
- Proposed hours of use
- Proposed rules & regulations
- Proposed public engagement strategy
- Proposed trial period

Approval of off-leash dog activity in Kirkland Parks is subject to City Council approval.

#### **BACKGROUND DISCUSSION:**

At the Park Board's August, 2014 meeting, Traci Doering, Past President of Kirkland Dog Off-Leash Group (KDOG), presented her organization's proposal to allow off-leash dog activity in select parks during specific times of the day. A copy of the KDOG presentation was included in the Board's August meeting packet and is [available online](#).

In October of 2014, the Board agreed to form a working committee comprised of Board members, KDOG members, and staff. The committee was comprised of:

#### Park Board Reps

Ted Marx  
Rick Ockerman  
Rosalie Wessels

#### KDOG Reps

Joy Aurand  
Traci Doering  
Kathy Miller

#### Staff Reps

Jason Filan  
Michael Cogle

Between November 2014 and January 2015 the committee met four times to develop the draft proposal attached for review. Staff will present the proposal at the Board's February meeting, and committee members will be available to answer any questions.

Attachment



**CITY OF KIRKLAND**  
 Department of Parks & Community Services  
 505 Market Street, Suite A, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3300  
 www.kirklandwa.gov

---

## MEMORANDUM

**To:** Park Board

**From:** Jennifer Schroder, Director  
 Linda Murphy, Recreation Manager

**Date:** February 5, 2015

**Subject:** ARC Center Project Update

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Park Board receives an update on the work plan for the Aquatics, Recreation and Community (ARC) Center Project.

## BACKGROUND DISCUSSION

Staff will provide the Park Board a status update on the current phase of the ARC Center planning project, including the [Virtual Open House](#) (available until March 31<sup>st</sup>), upcoming public outreach opportunities and recent community feedback received.

Attached are project-related emails received through the morning of February 6, 2015. The Board will continue to receive project emails on a daily basis. All emails and feedback received during this phase will be compiled into a report to be presented in March.

Board members are invited to participate in the upcoming open house events listed below. To ensure adequate coverage, while preventing violation of the Open Public Meeting Act, please contact Linda to sign up to participate.

Date	Time	Location
Saturday, February 21 <sup>st</sup>	10:00 am – 3:00 pm	Kirkland Middle School Gym
Monday, February 23 <sup>rd</sup>	6:30 pm – 8:30 pm	Emerson High School Cafeteria
Saturday, March 7 <sup>th</sup>	10:00 am – 3:00 pm	Kamiakin Middle School Gym

## Aquatics, Recreation and Community Center

Emails received February 1, 2015 – February 5, 2015

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Kazaras [mailto:mnlkaz@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 9:49 AM

To: Jennifer Schroder

Subject: The ARC questionnaire doesn't allow for comments?

I tried to fill out the comment section in the ARC information just sent by the City of Kirkland. It allows for Name and Address but not for comments..I tried several times.

Please advise as I want my comments and others to be recorded as intended? Thank you.

Lisa Kazaras

Resident of the Juanita neighborhood for 25 years, and strongly opposed to the Juanita Site for many, many reasons!

Sent from Lisa on the go

---

**From:** Brad Bullock [mailto:brad@chameleontechinc.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 10:40 AM

**To:** Jennifer Schroder

**Subject:** The Kirkland ARC Center plan

Hi Jennifer,

In looking over The Kirkland ARC Center plan, I can't find a place to provide feedback or input. Anyway I can't help but wonder if anyone put thought (enough thought) into the fact that North of Juanita Beach Park is a terrible idea. Access and parking is next to nothing. With all the growth being crammed into this area it will just be a bottle neck of traffic and headache to access which will deter people from utilizing it. I honestly think it would be millions wasted on a building that will set and decay from lack of use.

Additionally if this facility is to be used for Local School swimming events it needs easy access for school busses etc. I've mentioned this before, but have seen no discussion about it; I think the obvious and best location would be the decaying Totem Lake Mall area. There is more than adequate space and easy access right off the freeway. Yes there are ideas once again to completely revamp the mall, but we've heard this before and why can't the ARC and a new mall development be incorporated together. I suspect both together would drive traffic and support each.

If the video provided is anything remotely close to what's being proposed, north of Juanita Beach Park is not adequate enough space and the only people who will have the privilege to enjoy will be the ones living next to it.

I've lived here since 1980 and year after year, after year I watch city development fall 10 to 15 years behind what it should be. Our city planners consistently fall short of planning for the future and always build the Band-Aid which is constantly too little too late.

I sincerely hope more serious thought will be put into this as I logically think the current building site would be a dismal failure. Just my 2 cents.

Carpe Diem,  
Brad Bullock  
Sr. Technical Recruiter

*"No matter what people tell you, words and ideas can change the world." - Robin Williams*

*In the words of Jerry Maguire - "Help me - Help you!" We will pay you up to a \$1,000 for a referral. Do you know someone?*

Chameleon Technologies, Inc.  
[brad@chameleontechinc.com](mailto:brad@chameleontechinc.com)  
o: 425.827.1173/f: 425.827.1174  
[www.chameleontechinc.com](http://www.chameleontechinc.com)



 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

“The amazing thing about software engineering is that these days it really is a meritocracy if you can prove you’re worth paying attention to.” - Chris Clouten self-taught software eng

---

**DISCLAIMER:**

This communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, (i) please do not read or disclose to others, (ii) please notify the sender by reply mail, and (iii) please delete this communication from your system. Failure to follow this process may be unlawful. Thank you for your cooperation.

---

**From:** Julie Soedel [mailto:jsoedel@microsoft.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 6:23 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** ARC

Really nice job on the Virtual Open House! I will be sharing this site often.

Julie Soedel  
Sr. Finance Manager  
MSC Finance  
Microsoft Corporation  
425-421-4054 (d)  
206-228-2931 (c)

---

**From:** marc [mailto:marceb@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 10:09 AM

**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** ARC

I would encourage the city to pursue purchasing property in the Totem Lake area, in order to build the Kirkland ARC. It seems more centrally located being along the I-405 corridor than the other city owned property options.

Marc

Sent from Windows Mail

---

**From:** Crosterback@gmail.com [mailto:crosterback@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 11:23 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** ARC

I have lived on Goat Hill since 1980 which is located very close to this project. My biggest concern is re: traffic.

Today we have challenges taking a left turn onto 116/Juanita Drive. What plans will be in place for us to access the rest of Kirkland if this is built at Juanita beach site? This traffic area must be studied prior to making any decisions.

Thank you for considering my request.

Carita Osterback

Sent from my iPad

---

**From:** Chris Schlechty [mailto:cschlechty@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 10:29 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** Kirkland ARC questions

Hello Jennifer,  
I was looking at the rough plans for the Kirkland ARC and couldn't find any information about accessibility. Do you have details about the planned accessibility features of the ARC?

Thanks,  
Chris Schlechty

---

**From:** wendy moose [mailto:moosewendy@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 3:36 PM

To: Jennifer Schroder  
Subject: Village at Juanita Beach Apartments

Hi! Thank you for the e-mail regarding the ARC project which I am VERY for! What a fabulous thing to plan for our society! Thank you!

What I would like to know is if this apartment complex is up for sale to accommodate your ARC project. Late last year different individuals came out to check this apartment because it has been for sale as are the condominiums next to it. Is this a part of your plan? I am a resident here at this apartment and would like to know this.

Sincerely<

Wendy

Moose

e-mail address:

moosewendy@gmail.com

---

**From:** Dee Roe [mailto:deeraekes@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 9:55 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** FW: Aquatics Recreation Community Center (ARC)

Jennifer,  
Our family LOVES the proposed ARC center and the proposed site at Juanita Beach!!  
I filled out the questionnaire also!

This would be an incredible asset to City of Kirkland and its residents!

Thank you!  
Diane Roe  
206-604-0060

---

**From:** agak@frontier.com [mailto:agak@frontier.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 9:41 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** ARC Survey -- small typo

Hello,

I just finished my ARC survey and am so happy to hear of all the planning for it and for the whole perspective of having this wonderful Aquatics facility soon in Kirkland.

One little typo I noticed on the Survey. In the options to choose a neighborhood of residence -- the Finn Hill is misspelled "Fill Hill."

It's not a biggie but I thought I'd bring it to someone's attention,

Thanks,  
Agnes Kwiecinski

---

From: [mmtravers@hotmail.com](mailto:mmtravers@hotmail.com)  
To: [jscrhoder@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:jscrhoder@kirklandwa.gov)  
Subject: ARC Project  
Date: Thu, 5 Feb 2015 14:49:31 -0500  
Good Day,

There are numerous reasons to not build the ARC in the Juanita Beach Park.  
My vote is for a different location. I strongly urge the ARC to not be built there for the health and well being of the entire community.

Thank you!  
Dr. Mark M. Travers

<http://www.cdc.gov/healthyplaces/healthtopics/airpollution.htm>

Several years ago, researchers took advantage of a natural experiment to learn about the impact on pediatric asthma of decreased traffic levels and improved air quality. During the 1996 Summer Olympics Games in Atlanta, when peak morning traffic decreased 23% and peak ozone levels decreased 28%, emergency visits for asthma events in children decreased 42%. At the same time, children's emergency room visits for causes other than asthma did not change. These results suggest that efforts to reduce traffic congestion and improve air quality can also help improve the respiratory health of a community.

<https://www.planning.org/cityparks/briefingpapers/physicalactivity.htm>

## Executive Summary

People value the time they spend in city parks, whether walking a dog, playing basketball, or having a picnic. Along with these expected leisure amenities, parks can also provide measurable health benefits, from providing direct contact with nature and a cleaner environment, to opportunities for physical activity and social interaction. A telephone survey conducted for the American Public Health Association found that 75 percent of adults believe parks and recreation must play an important role in addressing America's obesity crisis. Because of the different ways people experience parks, cities need to provide all types, from neighborhood facilities to large natural areas. In fact, many of the health benefits described below can be best achieved through small-scale, readily accessible sites. A full reckoning of the benefits of parks will better inform public policy about parks and provide a useful public health tool.

---

**From:** Carry Porter [mailto:[carry@nwoutdoorgrl.com](mailto:carry@nwoutdoorgrl.com)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 3:28 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder  
**Subject:** Kirkland ARC comment

Ms. Schroder,

I am emailing in support of the development of the proposed Kirkland ARC at Juanita Beach.

At Juanita Beach, there is currently only two ball fields and two tennis courts. Combined, these can serve probably 50-80 people at any one time. Rental fees from the ball fields is fairly low - probably too low even to break even with the maintenance of the fields. When not in use for their intended purpose, it's "wasted space" (i.e. it's not really good for anything else).

I'm not saying there isn't value in having ball fields, it just seems like an indoor pool and recreation center would be in use much more frequently and serve far more people in the City of Kirkland.

The rendering of the proposed facility at Juanita Beach is attractive. Parking is behind the building, not visible from the street. The site layout suggests that there would still be open grass space for people to hang out and play in along 124th. It also includes additional vegetation in the riparian area around Juanita Creek, which would support wildlife and fisheries.

I have heard complaints that a three story building will not be appropriate for a residential neighborhood. Three stories doesn't seem all that high though, considering all of the new condo developments around it are 10 stories tall. Three stories seems actually pretty short if you think of it in relative terms.

In the end, I think an aquatic center would compliment the redeveloped beach area and create a wonderful destination in the Juanita Bay area.

I am concerned about the traffic and parking in the area, however, and hope that this will be a consideration if the site is chosen for development. Currently, the roads are not sufficient to allow traffic to flow smoothly through there when events are happening. There is also insufficient parking. Before settling on a design and a location, I hope the city completes a comprehensive traffic and parking analysis and plans for additional demand in these areas that would be caused by the new center.

I also hope that access via public transportation is an important factor in your consideration of location. Ensuring that people can get to the Kirkland ARC via bus is important part of creating an accessible community center for everyone.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. I would welcome the opportunity to provide additional feedback.

Kind regards,  
Cary Porter

13024 NE 112th St.  
Kirkland, WA 98033  
c: 425-260-5145

---

**From:** Kevin Ochsner [[mailto:kevin\\_ochsner@hotmail.com](mailto:kevin_ochsner@hotmail.com)]

**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:40 AM

**To:** [andrew@emcresearch.com](mailto:andrew@emcresearch.com); City Council

**Subject:** RE: Suggestions for ARC sites: Rite Aid/Albertsons parcels and Totem Lake Malls (was RE: Totem Lake)

Hello City of Kirkland Council and Andrew W,

I am active the Finn Hill and Kirkland community. Many people feel that more sites need to be explored, besides the ones described in the recent report (<http://kirklandarc.publicmeeting.info/>).

I have questions:

1. I would like to inquire about the land on the corner of 132nd and 100th, where Goodwill is planning to move into, and Rite Aid is situated. Has there been any detailed analysis on why both plots can't be purchased by the city? The last that I heard, the purchase of both plots was one consideration for the fire station. I believe this to be the ideal place for the ARC.
2. What is the process for suggesting more sites? Specifically, many people, including myself, feel that the Juanita Beach area will be too busy with traffic to put a center there. Also, this will eliminate precious green space.
3. There is some interest in using the former pool at St. Edwards for this purpose. Can Kirkland work with Kenmore on this, and has this already been explored?
4. If the current rec center (on 124th) is chosen for the new location, will another rec center be relocated elsewhere?
5. If the current rec center (on 124th) is not chosen for the new location, will this rec center still be operated by the city?
6. Has anyone considered waiting for Totem Lake mall's legal issues to resolve -- which I believe are changing soon -- in order to build near that part of the city?

Thank you very much. I look forward to your response and to work with the city on this great opportunity.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Ochsner

---

From: [kevin\\_ochsner@hotmail.com](mailto:kevin_ochsner@hotmail.com)  
To: [emiller-wolfe@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:emiller-wolfe@kirklandwa.gov)  
CC: [jmcbride@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:jmcbride@kirklandwa.gov); [awalen@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:awalen@kirklandwa.gov); [jjonson@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:jjonson@kirklandwa.gov)  
Subject: RE: Suggestions for ARC sites: Rite Aid/Albertsons parcels and Totem Lake Malls (was RE: Totem Lake)

Date: Mon, 29 Dec 2014 14:56:29 -0800

Allow me to clarify: I see the ARC Plan from Oct. 25th, 2014 makes references to an unidentified site in Totem Lake and also the Albertsons site. The document asserts that these sites have been eliminated from the process. Since the city is considering purchasing the Church or the Rite Aid/Albertson's site for the fire department, have they also considered do so for the ARC?

I urge the city to pursue a plan in either of these locations, and present these plans to residents. Even if there could be additional delays, I personally believe that many residents would be in favor of either site. Both sites are centrally located, and wouldn't require removal of forest land. Developing either site would have a positive impact on the beauty of our community. They are both currently some of the

largest, most highly-visible, severely under-utilized sites, which when improved, would be a magnet for adjacent businesses. It would not only help the community, but improve the appearance of Kirkland as a whole, by improving the Juanita / Totem Lake areas.

Thank you,  
Kevin

---

From: [kevin\\_ochsner@hotmail.com](mailto:kevin_ochsner@hotmail.com)  
To: [emiller-wolfe@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:emiller-wolfe@kirklandwa.gov)  
CC: [jmcbride@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:jmcbride@kirklandwa.gov); [awalen@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:awalen@kirklandwa.gov); [jjonson@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:jjonson@kirklandwa.gov)  
Subject: Suggestions for ARC sites: Rite Aid/Albertsons parcels and Totem Lake Malls (was RE: Totem Lake)  
Date: Mon, 29 Dec 2014 14:31:55 -0800  
Hi Ellen Miller-Wolfe,

Thank you for the detailed information! It's been a few years since I first inquired about the mall. In light of the city's planning for the new Recreation center (ARC), has City Of Kirkland considered buying part of all of the mall property for this purpose? Is this site being explored as a possibility? There would be plenty of parking, freeway and bus access.

I'd also like to know if these other sites have been considered: The Old Albertson's / Rite aid sites on 132nd and 100th. This seems like a similar, but more spacious area, compared to the NE 124th St potential location. I noticed that City Of Kirkland is in the process of considering part of this area, or the church property nearby, for a new fire department. Could any of these be used for the ARC, instead, if they are not used for a fire department?

In regards to the Totem Lake lawsuit, I saw the following article from earlier last year, suggesting that the lawsuit is resolving. I was curious if City of Kirkland was aware of this, and are there are updates?

<http://www.law360.com/articles/434392/ddr-escapes-most-of-coventry-s-500m-mall-suit>

Thank you very much, and happy new year!  
Kevin

---

From: [EMiller-Wolfe@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:EMiller-Wolfe@kirklandwa.gov)  
To: [kevin\\_ochsner@hotmail.com](mailto:kevin_ochsner@hotmail.com)  
CC: [JMcBride@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:JMcBride@kirklandwa.gov); [AWalen@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:AWalen@kirklandwa.gov); [JJonson@kirklandwa.gov](mailto:JJonson@kirklandwa.gov)  
Subject: RE: Totem Lake  
Date: Tue, 7 Aug 2012 23:09:05 +0000

Thanks for your interest. The two owners of the mall, DDR and Coventry, are currently in a law suit and unfortunately until it is resolved it is unlikely that we will see anything but temporary reclaiming of spaces in the mall. Because this is a private matter, the City has no control over the timing of resumption of redevelopment.

We do have a stake in the new development. If the developers perform and build the mixed use project called for in the masterplan the City will commit up to 15 million in public infrastructure improvements.

In the last two years, the City has made some major efforts to make other parts of Totem Lake work better. We have made vast improvements to the drainage system so that parts of Totem Lake are not experience the severe flooding that had occurred. We are adding streets (120<sup>th</sup> extension) to complete the grid and improve circulation. Last, we are promoting Totem Lake as a district that is repopulating with cool businesses including wineries, children's indoor recreation venues and also aerospace companies.

Mayor McBride and Councilmember Walen are cc'd on this message. Their plan is to hold another conversation in late September. I am adding you to a list of people that we will invite to a symposium on Totem Lake this coming October. Glad you checked in to see the action plan. Call with any further questions and thanks for your interest, e

**From:** Kevin Ochsner [[mailto:kevin\\_ochsner@hotmail.com](mailto:kevin_ochsner@hotmail.com)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 07, 2012 12:48 PM  
**To:** Ellen Miller-Wolfe  
**Subject:** Totem Lake

Hello Ellen Miller-Wolfe,

Are there any updates for the public on the results of the Totem Lake Conversations? I'm just a Kirkland resident, not a business owner. I'm just curious about the future of Totem Lake area.

I'm concerned that there aren't enough businesses there, and I'm concerned that I don't see this mall listed on the DDR and Coventry web sites. Let alone, their own web site, which is down. So, I don't know if any company is taking leadership on the mall.

<http://www.kirklandwa.gov/depart/CMO/TotemLakeActionPlan.htm>

Thanks,  
Kevin Ochsner

---

**From:** Jennifer A. McWethy [<mailto:jennifer@mcwethy.com>] **On Behalf Of** neighbors@juanitabaytownhomes.net  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:14 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Schroder; City Council; Kambiz Malekpour; tcjohnpls@aol.com  
**Subject:** Save Juanita Beach Park from ARC

Hi Jenny,

It is nice to see a site for ARC. However, I am dis-heartened that JBP is shown most predominately, implying it is the favored site with its own tab and drawings to boot.

What I did not see is a traffic impact study. However convenient and relatively inexpensive the Parks Department and the Council feel JBP is for ARC, they are failing to address critical issues and share such information with the public. With 600+ new apartments and one of the worst LOC traffic ratings in Kirkland, residents around JBP are tired of the increasingly excessive crunch. In addition, the streets accessing JBP are only 2 lanes and street parking is already a problem. Many people are opposed to the loss of open space, destruction of a historical landmark, increased traffic and impact on local

residents. Unlike the high-school students lobbying for JBP, the residents who vote will be here long after those students have graduated and left.

In addition, this project seems to be ever expanding in size and cost. What started as a need for a pool has become a behemoth project, just like a bill passing through Congress where everyone adds their own desires as it grows from a minnow to a whale.

I beg the Council and the Parks Department do not make the same folly and alienate thousands of local taxpayers and voters in the process.

*Jennifer McWethy*

*Don't save what is left after spending; spend what is left after saving.* - Warren Buffett

(425) 821-3205  
FAX (425) 821-3078

**THIS IS A CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION.** This transmission belongs to the sender and is legally privileged and bound by Federal Law 42CFR and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability ACT (HIPPA). It is intended only for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.





## CITY OF KIRKLAND

Department of Parks & Community Services

505 Market Street, Suite A, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3300

[www.kirklandwa.gov](http://www.kirklandwa.gov)

---

**To:** Park Board

**From:** Michael Cogle, Deputy Director

**Date:** February 6, 2015

**Subject:** 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

1. That the Park Board review the timing and process for the six-year CIP update.
2. That the Park Board review and approve the scoring criteria for park projects eligible for the CIP.

### **BACKGROUND DISCUSSION:**

At the Board's March meeting staff will provide a briefing regarding the process and timeline for adopting the 2016-2021 CIP.

### **Timeline**

February	Review process and adopt scoring criteria
March	Review project scoring and project rankings; Consider preliminary CIP
April	Finalize CIP Recommendation
May	City Council CIP Study Session

**Funding.** The Parks CIP is anticipated to be funded over the next six-year cycle in the average annual amount of \$750,000 from dedicated Real Estate Excise Tax (REET 1) revenue, about \$1.2 million from dedicated Park Levy revenue, and an undetermined amount from the collection of Park Impact Fees assessed on new residential development.

**Scoring Criteria.** The attached sheet lists the ten criteria and the scoring system that have been used in the past to help rank projects. Staff recommends that the criteria and scoring system be adopted without change for use in evaluating possible projects for the 2016 - 2021 CIP.

Keep in mind that ranking parks CIP projects using the adopted criteria is simply one additional tool to use in our decision-making process, and should not by itself dictate which projects are included in the six-year Capital Improvement Program.

For your March meeting, staff will score and rank capital park projects for the Board's review. Projects identified in the recently completed PROS Plan will be evaluated.

Attachments:

A – Current CIP for Parks: 2013-2018

B – Parks CIP Scoring Criteria

## City of Kirkland 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program

### PARK PROJECTS

**Funded Projects:**

Project Number	Project Title	Prior Year(s)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2013-2018 Total	Funding Source		
										Current Revenue	Reserve	External Source
PK 0049	Open Space, PK Land & Trail Acq Grant Match Program		100,000						100,000		100,000	
PK 0066*	Park Play Area Enhancements				50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	200,000	200,000		
<b><i>PK 0087 100#</i></b>	<b><i>Waverly Beach Park Renovation</i></b>			<b><i>500,000</i></b>					<b><i>500,000</i></b>	<b><i>500,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0095 200</i></b>	<b><i>Heritage Park - Heritage Hall Renovations</i></b>		<b><i>50,000</i></b>						<b><i>50,000</i></b>	<b><i>50,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0113 100</i></b>	<b><i>Spinney Homestead Park Renovation</i></b>		<b><i>443,000</i></b>						443,000	443,000		
<b><i>PK 0114 101</i></b>	<b><i>Mark Twain Park Renovation (Design)</i></b>						75,000		75,000	75,000		
PK 0115*	Terrace Park Renovation		75,000	440,000					515,000	515,000		
<b><i>PK 0116 100</i></b>	<b><i>Lee Johnson Field Lighting Replacements</i></b>			<b><i>150,000</i></b>					<b><i>150,000</i></b>	<b><i>150,000</i></b>		
PK 0119*	Juanita Beach Park Development Phase 2	3,450,000					100,000	1,207,000	1,307,000	807,000		500,000
<b><i>PK 0119 100#</i></b>	<b><i>Juanita Beach Bathhouse Replacement &amp; Shelter</i></b>				<b><i>200,000</i></b>	<b><i>1,000,000</i></b>			<b><i>1,200,000</i></b>	<b><i>1,200,000</i></b>		
PK 0121*	Green Kirkland Forest Restoration Program		75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	450,000	450,000		
PK 0131*^^	Park and Open Space Acquisition Program						508,000		508,000	508,000		
<b><i>PK 0133 100#</i></b>	<b><i>Dock &amp; Shoreline Renovations</i></b>		<b><i>150,000</i></b>	<b><i>150,000</i></b>	<b><i>250,000</i></b>	<b><i>250,000</i></b>			<b><i>800,000</i></b>	<b><i>800,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0133 200#</i></b>	<b><i>City-School Playfield Partnership</i></b>						<b><i>500,000</i></b>	<b><i>500,000</i></b>	<b><i>1,000,000</i></b>	<b><i>1,000,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0133 300#</i></b>	<b><i>Neighborhood Park Land Acquisition</i></b>		<b><i>475,000</i></b>	<b><i>375,000</i></b>			<b><i>750,000</i></b>	<b><i>750,000</i></b>	<b><i>2,350,000</i></b>	<b><i>2,350,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0133 400#</i></b>	<b><i>Edith Moulton Park Renovation</i></b>		<b><i>100,000</i></b>	<b><i>100,000</i></b>	<b><i>800,000</i></b>				<b><i>1,000,000</i></b>	<b><i>1,000,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0134</i></b>	<b><i>132nd Park Playfields Renovation</i></b>		<b><i>75,000</i></b>		<b><i>637,000</i></b>				<b><i>712,000</i></b>	<b><i>712,000</i></b>		
<b><i>PK 0138</i></b>	<b><i>Everest Park Restroom/Storage Building Replacement</i></b>			<b><i>75,000</i></b>		<b><i>660,000</i></b>			735,000	735,000		
<b>Total Funded Park Projects</b>		<b>3,450,000</b>	<b>1,543,000</b>	<b>1,865,000</b>	<b>2,012,000</b>	<b>2,035,000</b>	<b>2,058,000</b>	<b>2,582,000</b>	<b>12,095,000</b>	<b>11,495,000</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>500,000</b>

Notes

\* = Modification in timing and/or cost (see Project Modification Schedule for greater detail)

+ = Moved from unfunded status to funded status

" = Moved from funded status to unfunded status

Shaded year(s) = Previous timing

***Bold italics = New projects***

*Italics = Repurposed projects*

**# = Park Levy Candidates**

**^^2013-2014 Funding moved to previously authorized expenditures in NM 0070 Cross Kirkland Corridor Acquisition**

**Prior Year(s) Funding (Budget to Actuals):**

Project Number	Project Title	Budget	Actual	Balance
PK 0119*	Juanita Beach Park Development Phase 2	3,450,000	3,447,711	2,289
<b>Total Prior Year(s) Funding (Budget to Actuals):</b>		<b>3,450,000</b>	<b>3,447,711</b>	<b>2,289</b>

ATTACHMENT B

**CRITERIA FOR RANKING PARKS CIP PROJECTS**

	Criteria	None 0 Points	Low 1 Point	Moderate 2 Points	High 3 Points
1	<b>Responds to an Urgent Need or Opportunity, Conforms to Legal, Contractual or Government Mandate</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No need or urgency</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suspected need with no substantiation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suspected need based upon visual inspection, public comment</li> <li>Suspected threat of development</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report or other documentation has been prepared</li> <li>Confirmed threat of development</li> <li>Fills important gap in park system</li> <li>Significant public comment: survey, petition, public hearing</li> <li>Legal, contractual, gov't mandate</li> </ul>
2	<b>Health and Safety Issues</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No known issues</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suspected health or safety issue with no substantiation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suspected need based upon visual inspection, or public comment</li> <li>visible deterioration</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documented evidence of unsanitary condition, health and safety code violations, injury</li> </ul>
3	<b>Fiscal Values</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leveraging of funds through partnerships, grants, bonds or volunteers is unlikely</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leveraging of funds somewhat likely through partnerships, grants, bonds and volunteers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leveraging of at <i>least</i> 1/2 project funding available from other sources;</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leveraging of <i>more</i> than 50 percent of project costs from other sources</li> </ul>
4	<b>Conforms to PROS Plan or Other Adopted Plan</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not in any plan document</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identified in Comprehensive or Functional plan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Helps meet level of service objectives</li> </ul>
5	<b>Feasibility, including Public Support and Project Readiness</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project simply an idea</li> <li>No public input</li> <li>No other supporting information</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some public involvement such as letters, workshops</li> <li>Professional report</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Schematic or conceptual level approval</li> <li>Property identified</li> <li>High public support</li> <li>Completed appraisal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction documents complete</li> <li>Option or right of first refusal, willing seller</li> </ul>
6	<b>Implications of Deferring Project</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No impact</li> <li>No imminent threat of development;</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Temporary repair measures available without significant liability or added future cost</li> <li>Indications of possible development</li> <li>Program quality limited or reduced</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence of possible structural failure</li> <li>Confirmed private development sale possible</li> <li>Program participation limited or reduced</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Imminent possible structural failure, facility closure, or other similar factor</li> <li>Program cancellation</li> <li>Unable to meet level of service</li> <li>Imminent sale for private development</li> </ul>
7	<b>Non-Recreation Benefit such as Economic, Environmental, Health and Well-Being, or Community Character and Identity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No identified non-recreation benefit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimal non-recreation benefit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Moderate non-recreation benefit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant non-recreation benefit</li> </ul>
8	<b>Number of City Residents Served</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No residents served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only one neighborhood served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More than one City neighborhood served</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project will serve a City-wide population</li> </ul>
9	<b>Maintenance and Operations Impact</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires substantial new M &amp; O, no current budgetary commitment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resources/capacity available without additional budget commitment</li> <li>Requires new resources which are available or likely available in budget</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has minimal or no impact on existing M &amp; O resources</li> <li>Resources already allocated or planned for project in budget</li> <li>M &amp; O requirements absorbed with existing resources</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Substantial reduction in M &amp; O.</li> </ul>
10	<b>Geographic Distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Duplicates service, significant number of resources available in area, level of service overlap</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequate number of Parks are nearby, minimal level of service overlap</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parks nearby, no level of service overlap, and gaps in service identified</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Underserved area. No facilities within service area.</li> </ul>