



CITY OF KIRKLAND
City Manager's Office
123 Fifth Avenue, Kirkland, WA 98033 425.587.3001
www.kirklandwa.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Kurt Triplett, City Manager
From: Lorrie McKay, Intergovernmental Relations Manager
Date: October 24, 2014
Subject: SCA OPEN SEATS AND APPOINTMENTS TO REGIONAL BOARDS & COMMITTEES - 2015

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Council discusses the various open seats and strategically identifies which members are interested in continuing with their SCA committee appointments, who is term-limited off, and who wants to seek new opportunities. Councilmembers are encouraged to consider, research and identify their desired appointments prior to the November 18th Council meeting.

Nominations for 2015 appointments are due no later than November 20, 2014. All interested members (including those currently serving on boards and committees) must complete the nomination form (Attachment A) and submit it along with a statement of interest detailing relevant background and experience in order to be considered for appointment.

BACKGROUND DISCUSSION:

SCA is anticipating some turnover on SCA appointed Boards and Committees in 2014. While the SCA appoints members to some 28 Regional Boards and Committees, there will be open seats on 21 Regional Boards and Committees due to member retirements and term limited positions.

At its 2012 Annual Meeting, SCA Membership voted to change the Bylaws, putting term limits on appointments of 6 years for member seats. There are no Kirkland members who will reach the 6 year term limit this year. (Term limits do not apply during service as an alternate)

SCA Appointed Seats Currently Held by Kirkland City Councilmembers

Currently six Kirkland City Councilmembers serve on ten regional boards or committees to which SCA appoints. Kirkland City Council participation on the boards or committees count toward term limits. Terms for seven of these regional boards or committees expire at the end of this year.

1. Puget Sound Regional Council Transportation Policy Board - Amy Walen (expires 12/31/14)
2. Economic Development Council of Seattle and King County (EDC) - Amy Walen (expires 12/31/14)
3. Regional Policy Committee (RPC) – Amy Walen (expires 12/31/14)
4. Regional Transit Committee (RTC) – Dave Asher (expires 12/31/14)
5. Regional Law, Safety & Justice (RLSJ) – Toby Nixon (expires 12/31/14)
6. Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) - Penny Sweet, Alternate (expires 12/31/14)
7. Committee to End Homelessness - Doreen Marchione (expires 12/31/15)
8. Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Oversight Committee (MIDD) - Dave Asher (expires 12/31/15)
9. Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force (DVI) – Shelley Kloba (term expires 12/31/14)
10. Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) - Penny Sweet (expires 12/31/15)

The SCA's 83 page 2014 Regional Board and Committee Appointment booklet (Attachment B) lists all of the boards and committees to which SCA makes appointments. The booklet also includes the roles and responsibilities of each committee; the dates, times, and location of committee meetings; the SCA staff person responsible for each committee; the 2014 representatives to each committee; and the term expiration date for each appointment. The booklet can also be accessed at the following link <http://soundcities.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/2014-appointments-booklet.pdf>

City of Kirkland Seats on Regional Boards or Committees

With the annexation of the northern neighborhoods in 2011, the City of Kirkland's population increased from 49,000 to over 81,000 making it eligible for its own seat on some boards or committees. The City has its own seat on the Puget Sound Regional Council's Executive Board and the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Advisory Task Force. Because Kirkland has its own seats on these bodies, a Kirkland Councilmember would not be eligible for an SCA seat on either of these committees.

Benchmarks for 2015 Board and Committee Appointments:

- Deadline for nominations to 2014 boards and committees – November 20, 2014
- PIC Nominating Committee forwards recommended slate of appointees to PIC – December 3, 2014
- PIC makes a recommendation on the slate of appointees to the Board of Directors – December 10, 2014
- SCA Board of Directors finalizes 2015 board and committee appointments – December 17, 2014
- Board and Committee Appointee Orientation – January 28, 2015

SUMMARY

Councilmembers should determine their interest in continuing with their current SCA committee appointments and who wants to seek new opportunities prior to the November 18th Council meeting to avoid duplication of applications from Kirkland if possible. Nominations for 2015 board and committee appointments are due November 20, 2014.

Attachments: A. 2015 Boards and Committees Nomination Form
B. 2014 Regional Board and Committee Appointment booklet (83 pages)



2015 CALL FOR NOMINATIONS

Appointments to Regional Boards and Committees

Sound Cities Association (SCA) makes appointments or recommends for appointment to 28 regional boards and committees. For 2015, there will be open seats on 21 boards and committees.

For detailed information about each committee, please refer to:

<http://soundcities.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/2014-appointments-booklet.pdf>

This guide contains helpful information about each committee, including: the roles and responsibilities of each committee; the dates, times, and location of committee meetings; the SCA staff person responsible for each committee; the 2014 representatives to each committee; and the term expiration date for each appointment.

Nominations for 2015 board and committee appointments are due **November 20, 2014**. All interested members (including those currently serving on boards and committees) must submit a nomination form in order to be considered for appointment.

Applications for boards and committees are reviewed by the PIC Nominating Committee, which is comprised of one representative from each SCA Caucus (South, North, South Valley, and Snoqualmie Valley). The PIC Nominating Committee considers a variety of factors in making appointments. Some boards and committees have specific requirements for appointments. The committee also strives to maintain balanced geographic distribution, and a balance of membership from large and small cities. The background and interest level of applicants is considered, as is the applicant's past service on boards and committees. The committee also looks to balance the need for institutional knowledge and expertise with a desire to obtain fresh perspectives and new voices. SCA values diversity, and strives to create an inclusive environment. All SCA members are encouraged to apply for boards and committees. The Nominating Committee recommends a slate of appointments to the SCA Public Issues Committee (PIC), which in turn submits recommendations for appointments to the SCA Board of Directors for approval.

Deadline for nominations to 2015 boards and committees	November 20, 2014
PIC Nominating Committee forwards recommended slate of appointees to PIC	December 3, 2014
PIC makes a recommendation on the slate of appointees to the SCA Board of Directors	December 10, 2014
SCA Board of Directors finalizes 2015 board and committee appointments	December 17, 2014
Board and Committee Appointee Orientation	January 28, 2015

To apply, please fill out this form, and submit a statement of interest detailing your relevant background and experience for each position via email to sca@soundcities.org.

Board/Committee Name	# of Seats M = Member A = Alternate	Nominee's Name	City	Preference 1 = first choice, 2 = second choice, etc.
Board of Health (BoH)	2M / 1A			
Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) Governing Board *	1M			
Domestic Violence Initiative (DVI)	4M / 4M			
Economic Development Council (EDC) (formerly enterpriseSeattle) – City must be EDC Investor	8M			
Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) (elected or staff) *	1M / 1A			
Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC)	6M / 4A			
Interagency Advisory Council to End Homelessness in King County (IAC) (staff) *	1M			
King Conservation District (KCD) Advisory Committee	3M / 3A			
King County Consortium Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) for CDBG	4M			
Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 1 (LEOFF1) Disability Retirement Board	1M			
Local Hazardous Waste Management Program Coordinating Committee (LHWMP)	1M			
PSRC Executive Board	3M / 3A			
PSRC Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)	3M / 3A			
PSRC Operations Committee	1M / 1A			
PSRC Transportation Policy Board (TPB)	3M / 3A			
Regional Law, Safety, and Justice Committee (RLSJ)	6M			
Regional Policy Committee (RPC)	4M / 2A			
Regional Transit Committee (RTC)	8M / 4A			
Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC)	4M / 2A			
Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) *	2M			
South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG)	2M			

* Indicates that this appointment is for a multi-year term. Two-year terms: LEOFF1, CEH and MIDD. Three-year terms: EMAC, SWAC and IAC.



SOUND **CITIES** ASSOCIATION

2014
REGIONAL COMMITTEE AND
BOARD APPOINTMENTS

Table of Contents

Sound Cities Association 2014 Board of Directors	2
Sound Cities Association Staff	3
Committees and Boards	
Board of Health (BOH) King County	4
Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) King County	6
Domestic Violence Initiative (DVI) Regional Task Force King County	8
Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County (EDC).....	10
Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) King County	12
Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) King County	15
Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) for CDBG King County	19
King Conservation District (KCD) Advisory Committee.....	21
King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee (KCFCDAC)	24
LEOFF 1 Disability Board (LEOFF1) King County	27
Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) King County	28
Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) Oversight Committee King County	30
Puget Sound Regional Council Economic Development District Board (EDDB)	32
Puget Sound Regional Council Executive Board	34
Puget Sound Regional Council Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)	37
Puget Sound Regional Council Operations Committee	40
Puget Sound Regional Council Transportation Policy Board (TPB).....	41
Regional Law, Safety and Justice Committee (RLSJ) King County.....	46
Regional Policy Committee (RPC) King County	48
Regional Transit Committee (RTC) King County.....	51
Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) King County.....	60
Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) King County	65
South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) Puget Sound Partnership	67
Staff Committees	
Interagency Advisory Council (IAC) Committee to End Homelessness	70
Regional AFIS Advisory Committee (AFIS) King County	72
Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC) Puget Sound Regional Council	73
2013 Miscellaneous SCA Policy Positions	74
SCA Board Policies regarding Appointments to Regional Committees and Boards	75

Sound Cities Association 2014 Board of Directors



President
John Marchione
Redmond
North Caucus



Vice President
Matt Larson
Snoqualmie
Snoqualmie Valley
Caucus



Treasurer
Don Gerend
Sammamish
North Caucus



Director-at-Large
Dave Hill
Algona
South Valley
Caucus



Immediate Past
President
Denis Law
Renton



Bill Allison
Maple Valley
South Caucus



Nancy Backus
Auburn
South Caucus



David Baker
Kenmore
North Caucus



Chris Eggen
Shoreline
North Caucus



Jim Haggerton
Tukwila
South Caucus



Dennis Higgins
Kent
South Caucus



Bob Harrison
Issaquah
City Manager/
Administrator
Representative



Bernie Talmas
Woodinville
SCA Public Issues
Committee (PIC) Chair

Sound Cities Association Staff



Deanna Dawson



Lyset Cadena



Doreen Booth



Kristy Burwell

Deanna Dawson – Executive Director
deanna@soundcities.org
206-433-7170

Lyset Cadena - Senior Policy Analyst
lyset@soundcities.org
206-433-7169

Doreen Booth – Policy Analyst
doreen@soundcities.org
206-433-7147

Kristy Burwell – Administrative Services Manager
kristy@soundcities.org
206-433-7168

Board of Health (BOH) King County



David Baker



Largo Wales



Shari Winstead

2014 SCA Board of Health Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/14
Largo Wales	Auburn	lwales@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Shari Winstead	Shoreline	swinstead@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Board of Health Meeting Times and Location

The BOH meets on the third Thursday of each month from 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM. Caucus meetings are held ahead of the BOH meeting from 12:30 PM—1:30 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/BOH.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The King County Council established the King County Board of Health (BOH) in accordance with Washington State law (RCW 70.05.035). The functions of the BOH are to set countywide public health policy, enact and enforce local public health regulations, and carry out other duties of local boards of health specified in state law.

Board of Health Make Up/Voting Rights:

The BOH is constituted as a federated body, with 10 voting members and 1 nonvoting member. Eight of the 10 voting members are elected officials - 3 from the King County Council (whose votes are weighted as two votes each), 3 from the Seattle City Council, and 2 from suburban cities. The 2 remaining voting members are health professionals that are selected by members of the Board. These health professionals serve as citizen public health experts, assisting the Board with complex, often technical public health issues. A third health professional serves as the nonvoting member.

Issues that will likely be considered by the BOH in 2014

- Health system transformation including implementation of the Affordable Care Act and other activities that will impact the health of King County residents.
- Sustainable, long term public health financing.
- Address emerging issues.

Selected SCA Board of Health Policy Position Statements

Pharmaceutical Products

SCA supports a King County product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products. (October 31, 2012)

SCA supports a product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products. (July 14, 2010)

Public Health Funding

Suburban Cities Association recognizes that stable and dedicated public health funding is needed to protect our community. It further acknowledges that it must be a funding source that does not run counter to the interests of cities, such as a county-wide utility tax. (January 16, 2009)

Volunteer Health Care Worker liability in emergency situations

SCA supports amendments to RCW 4.24.300 and HB 1703 concerning volunteer health care worker liability in emergency situations and asks AWC for assistance with the following:

1. The elimination of gross negligence from the immunity exception because this is a gray area.
2. Increasing the standard of proof from a simple preponderance of the evidence to clear, cogent and convincing evidence.
3. Indemnification of licensed volunteer health professionals by the state against any expenses (including attorneys fees and disbursements), judgments, fines and costs, actually and reasonably incurred in defending the action, suit or proceeding giving rise thereto. (January 18, 2008)

Funding Public Health

Suburban Cities' members agree that additional funding for public health is needed. To that end, the Suburban Cities Association supports the request of the Joint Selection Committee on Public Health Funding for \$50 million state funds annually in new monies for public health needs throughout Washington State. Our membership believes public health services are a function of the state and county governments, and therefore requests that a distribution be made at the county level proportionate to the need to increase the counties' existing efforts toward effective outcomes. (March 28, 2007)

Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) Governing Board—King County



Doreen Marchione



Greg Taylor

2014 SCA Committee to End Homelessness Governing Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Doreen Marchione	Kirkland	dmarchione@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/15
Greg Taylor	Renton	gtaylor@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14

Staff

Staff	Email Address
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org

Committee to End Homelessness Governing Board Meeting Times and Location

The CEH Governing Board meets on the fourth Wednesday of the quarter at Seattle City Hall, Bertha Knight Landes Room, 600 Fourth Avenue, Seattle. Meetings are held from 8:30 AM to 10:30 AM. Caucus meetings will be held ahead of the CEH meetings at a time to be determined. The 2014 meetings will be held on January 22, April 23, July 23, and October 22.

Website: www.cehkc.org

A Brief History/Role of the Committee to End Homelessness

In 2000-2001 St. Mark’s Cathedral convened a community dialogue focused on the crisis of homelessness in our community. A feasibility committee was established to investigate the possibility of creating a region-wide response to homelessness. Through these efforts, the Committee to End Homelessness in King County was formed.

In 2002, eight organizations, coalitions, and local governments came together in a unified effort to provide the vision and leadership required to develop and implement a plan to end homelessness in King County, Washington. Committee members and stakeholders in the planning process include homeless or formerly homeless youth and adults, representatives from faith communities, philanthropy, businesses, local governments, non-profit human service providers, non-profit housing developers, and advocates.

King County’s Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness (approved in 2005) is an expression of our collective commitment to actively seek long-term and sustainable solutions to the issue, rather than continuing to simply manage episodes of homelessness as they occur. It is a commitment to ensure that there is an appropriate, affordable roof over everyone living in King County whether young or old, living alone or with families, sick or well.

In 2005, a Governing Board was charged with building political will and overseeing plan implementation. The Governing Board convened a Consumer Advisory Council to assist them in formulating policy and monitoring plan implementation. SCA appoints 2 members to the Governing Board.

An Interagency Council comprising representatives of multiple system works to set priorities, develop detailed action plans, and coordinate activities. SCA appoints 3 staff members to the IAC. See page 67.

In the fall of 2008, the Governing Board called for the creation of a “Fundors Group” of the major homelessness funders. This group consists of department directors and executive directors from King County, City of Seattle, King County Housing Authority, Seattle Housing Authority, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Building Changes, United Way of King County, a Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH) and SCA representatives.

Working together, the Fundors Group members establish joint priorities, deliverables and time lines that are reviewed and commented upon by the Governing Board. The Fundors Group members coordinate funding through a Joint Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) that includes 7 different funders and 17 different funding sources. This results in a funding process that allows the system to jointly select projects, ensuring that the projects include all needed elements, capital, operating, services, mental health, etc., so as to simplify the application process and greatly speed up the process of bring a project to completion.

Committee to End Homelessness Make Up/Voting Rights:

The Governing Board provides high-level oversight to the Committee to End Homelessness. It is made up of more than 20 community leaders and 2 individuals who have experienced homelessness. The Governing Board helps sustain the vision and leadership of the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness, guides planning, coordinates current funding, and works to create additional resources.

Issues that will likely be considered by the CEH Governing Board in 2014

- Crisis response system improvements.
- Changes to board and committee policies to ensure consistency with federal policies.
- Implementation of the CEH youth/young adult and family homelessness initiatives.
- Transforming single adult shelter to be a pathway to housing.

Domestic Violence Initiative (DVI) Regional Task Force



Susan Honda



Shelley Kloba



Doris McConnell



Debbie Ranniger

Photo
not
available



Yolanda Trout

Dawn Dofelmire

2014 SCA Domestic Violence Initiative Appointments			
Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Susan Honda	Federal Way	susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/14
Shelley Kloba	Kirkland	skloba@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Doris McConnell	Shoreline	dmccconnell@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Debbie Ranniger	Kent	dranniger@kentwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dawn Dofelmire	Algona	dawnd@algonawa.gov	12/31/14
Yolanda Trout	Auburn	ytrout@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff	Email Address		
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org		

Domestic Violence Initiative Meeting Times and Location

The DVI Regional Task Force meets quarterly at rotating sites around King County. Caucus meetings will be scheduled in advance of DVI meetings.

DVI Information: www.kingcounty.gov/~media/exec/PSB/documents/RLSJC/2010/Materials/Jan2010DVIMemo.ashx.

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The goals of the Domestic Violence Initiative (DVI) are to deliver practical improvements to our region's legal response to domestic violence and to develop practical steps to improve operations, streamline communication, raise standards, and minimize barriers that interfere with victim safety and offender accountability. The Domestic Violence Initiative was formed by the King County Prosecuting Attorney and the King County Coalition Against Domestic Violence. This committee focuses on improving the effectiveness of our region's legal response to domestic violence.

Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force Make Up/Voting Rights:

The DVI Regional Task Force is comprised of leaders of organizations involved in our region's legal response to domestic violence, including public health, community based organizations, and civil and criminal justice agencies. Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the DVI in 2014

- Finding a constructive response to victims of domestic violence who do not have immigration status.
- Risk assessment in domestic violence.
- Coordination of domestic violence responses in a time of diminishing resources.

Selected SCA Domestic Violence Initiative Policy Position Statements

Domestic Violence Checklist

SCA supports the voluntary use of domestic violence protection order checklists designed for and intended to be used by court and data centers, judges and participants, police departments, and patrol officers to provide uniformity, reduce costs and improve accuracy. (June 16, 2010)

Economic Development Council of Seattle and King County (EDC)



Layne Barnes



Jeanne Burbidge



John Holman



Tom Odell



Ed Prince



John Stilin



Allan Van Ness



Amy Walen

SCA Member Cities - Appointments to Economic Development Council

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Layne Barnes	Maple Valley	layne.barnes@maplevalleywa.gov	12/31/14
Jeanne Burbidge	Federal Way	jeanne.burbidge@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/14
John Holman	Auburn	jholman@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Tom Odell	Sammamish	todell@sammamish.us	12/31/14
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14
John Stilin	Redmond	jcstilin@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Allan Van Ness	Kenmore	avanness@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/14
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14

Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County Board Meeting Time and Location

Meetings are held quarterly; the first meeting of 2014 will be held on February 20 at 4 PM, location TBD. For more information, contact Cathy Callow at (206) 389-8661. The Economic Development Council of Seattle and King County's office is located at 1301 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2500, Seattle.

Website: <http://edc-seaking.org/>

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The Economic Development Council of Seattle and King County (EDC), (formerly enterpriseSeattle), is a public-private economic development partnership that was founded in 1971. EDC's mission is to be a "difference-maker" in the community by growing the jobs and tax base in King County, its 39 cities, and the greater Puget Sound region. EDC provides confidential, free-of-charge, information and assistance to decision makers and consultants working with companies seeking office, distribution, manufacturing and research and development facilities in King County and its 39 cities. The EDC serves as the first point of contact for site selection consultants and company analysts looking to expand or relocate businesses in the greater Seattle area. Innovative, knowledge-based industries drive our economy. EDC is committed to their continued success to accelerate regional economic development and ensure sustained prosperity.

EDC Municipal Partners - SCA Member Cities in 2013:

Auburn
Federal Way
Issaquah
Kenmore
Kirkland
Maple Valley
Redmond
Renton
Sammamish
Shoreline
Tukwila

SCA is a member of the EDC, and is represented on the EDC Board by its Executive Director.

Other Economic Development Committees

The PSRC Economic Development District Board addresses regional economic policy. See page 29. SCA also has an Economic Development Subcommittee.

Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) King County



Gail Harris



Don Persson



Penny Sweet



Pam Fernald

Photo
not
available

Mark Hagreen



Sean Kelly

2014 SCA Emergency Management Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Gail Harris	Shoreline	gharris@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Don Persson	Renton	dpersson@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
Penny Sweet	Kirkland	psweet@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/15
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Pam Fernald	SeaTac	pfernald@ci.seatac.wa.us	12/31/14
Mark Hagreen	Redmond	mhagreen@redmond.gov	12/31/15
Sean Kelly	Maple Valley	sean.kelly@maplevalleywa.gov	12/31/16
Staff	Email Address		
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org		

Individual SCA Member Jurisdiction Seats (Cities in King County with populations over 100,000)

Member	City	Email Address
Dominic Marzano	Kent	dmarzano@kentwa.gov

Emergency Management Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

EMAC meets on the second Tuesday of each month from 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the EMAC meeting from 12 PM - 1:00 PM in a conference room inside the Emergency Operations Center. Meetings are held at the Regional Communications and Emergency Coordination Center (RCECC), 3511 NE 2nd Street, Renton.

Website: http://www.kingcounty.gov/safety/prepare/EmergencyManagementProfessionals/Plans/HomelandSecurity/EMAC_Region6HomelandSecurityCouncil.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) and its subcommittees advise, assist, review, and comment on emergency management and homeland security issues, regional planning and policies. EMAC is an advisory body to the King County Executive, the King County Council, and the Office of Emergency Management on emergency management issues in order to facilitate coordination of regional emergency planning in King County.

Emergency Management Advisory Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

There are 28 seats on the committee. Members represent cities, fire service, law enforcement, hospitals, the Port of Seattle, government, special purpose districts, tribes, utilities, non-profit agencies, churches, and the private sector. SCA appoints three members and three alternates on the committee. Members may be elected officials or staff. In addition, Kent, Bellevue and Seattle have their own seats.

Issues that will likely be considered by the EMAC in 2014

- Projects in support of regional public safety law enforcement and fire emergency Response.
- Regional Disaster and Logistics Planning.
- Support of the Region 6 Type III Incident Management Team.
- Annual grant submission proposals for Federal Homeland Security funds.
- Regional Planning Initiatives: debris management, resource management and logistics, mass evacuation strategy and public education.
- Shelter Capacity: continuation of the regional shelter capability building.

Selected SCA Emergency Management Policy Position Statements

WAC 118-09-040 – Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG)

SCA opposes a Rule Change to WAC 118-09-040 without additional stakeholder review and input, including but not limited to, an open and transparent process for stakeholder input (including an opportunity for input from the elected officials representing affected jurisdictions), and a thorough review of the impact of such a Rule Change on the emergency management capabilities of the cities in the Puget Sound region. (May 16, 2012)

King County Emergency Management Ordinance

SCA supports the amendments to the King County Emergency Management Ordinance to clarify that:

- The mission of the Department of Emergency Management is to serve as the coordinating entity for cities, county governmental departments and the private sector and coordinate with other appropriate agencies during incidents and events of regional significance; and
- The Department foster cooperative planning at all levels to enable a uniform and rational approach to the coordination of multi-agency and multi-jurisdictional actions for all regional mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery efforts.

(December 15, 2010)

Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) King County



Layne Barnes



Chris Eggen



Tola Marts



Tom Odell



Ed Prince



Jeff Wagner



Debbie Bertlin



Tamie Deady



Leanne Guier



John Stilin

2014 SCA Growth Management Planning Council Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Layne Barnes	Maple Valley	layne.barnes@maplevalleywa.gov	12/31/14
Chris Eggen	Shoreline	ceggen@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Tola Marts	Issaquah	tolam@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/14
Tom Odell	Sammamish	todell@sammamish.us	12/31/14
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14
Jeff Wagner	Covington	jwagner@covingtonwa.gov	12/31/14

2014 SCA Growth Management Planning Council Appointments (continued)

Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Debbie Bertlin	Mercer Island	debbie.bertlin@mercergov.org	12/31/14
Tamie Deady	Black Diamond	tdeady@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us	12/31/14
Leanne Guier	Pacific	lguier@ci.pacific.wa.us	12/31/14
John Stilin	Redmond	jcstilin@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Growth Management Planning Council Meeting Times and Location

GMPC meetings in 2014 will be held on February 26, May 21, July 23, September 24, and December 17. Meetings are from 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held from 3:00 PM - 4:00 PM in advance of the GMPC meeting. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/property/permits/codes/growth/GMPC.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Council

The Growth Management Act (GMA), passed by the State Legislature in 1990, requires that counties and cities develop a collaborative set of framework policies to guide development of each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan. The Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) is a formal body created by interlocal agreement, currently consisting of elected officials from King County, Seattle, Bellevue, other cities and towns in King County, and special purpose districts. Realization of a countywide vision involves collaboration, trade-offs and difficult choices about the appropriate level of growth, its location, the type of growth to be encouraged, public spending, governance decisions, environmental protection, and the quality of life in King County. Through the GMPC, jurisdictions within King County are working together to plan for economic and population growth in King County. The GMPC policies must be approved by the King County Council and ratified by King County cities.

The GMPC is staffed by an Interjurisdictional Team (IJT). The IJT meets twice a month to develop materials for the GMPC. Staff members are from the cities of Tukwila, Renton, Redmond, Kirkland, Seattle, and Bellevue and from King County, special districts (water and sewer), Public Health of Seattle-King County, and Sound Cities Association. The IJT reaches out to planning directors throughout the county as needed (i.e. Affordable Housing Targets).

Growth Management Planning Council Make Up/Voting Rights:

Seattle	3 representatives	3 votes (2 Councilmembers/Mayor)
King County	6 representatives	6 votes (5 Councilmembers/Executive)
SCA	6 representatives	3 votes
Bellevue	1 representatives	½ vote

Special districts have two ex-officio seats on the committee; one seat is held by a sewer/water district representative and one by a school district representative.

Issues that will likely be considered by the GMPC in 2014

- Implementing 2012 CPP Amendments, including climate change and healthy communities policies.
- Schools and sewers policy work.
- Affordable Housing Target Work Program oversight.

Selected SCA Growth Management Planning Council Policy Position Statements

CPPs and the Extension of Sewer Lines to Schools outside UGA

SCA supports countywide planning policies that protect the rural and resource lands in the county by focusing urban growth and land uses within the UGA, and SCA supports strict limits on locating urban public facilities and infrastructure outside the UGA, and SCA supports amending the CPPs to prohibit the extension of sewer lines into the rural area, including to schools, on the condition that such prohibition not extend to rural area properties currently owned by public school districts and intended to be developed as schools. If the school district sells its property outside of the UGA to a third party, the prohibition of the extension of sewer lines will be applied. SCA would also support a position that the affected school districts collectively, King County, and affected municipalities through their SCA representation agreed to, if that position was consistent with Vision 2040 policies and the Growth Management Act. (September 15, 2011; June 9, 2011)

SCA supports the following guiding principles for updating the Countywide Planning Policies:

Roles and responsibilities. The CPP update should be specific about the expected roles and responsibilities of the GMPC, King County, cities, other governmental agencies in implementing the regional vision, as set forth in Vision 2040. The CPPs should recognize the major role that cities will play as the predominant form of urban area governance, and support the full range of investments and tools cities will need to achieve the regional vision.

Local discretion. The CPPs should recognize explicitly the importance of local discretion in implementing the countywide vision for growth and the substantive goals and objectives contained in the policies. Rather than impose new requirements, especially mandates that may impose a cost on local governments, the CPP update should emphasize incentives, substantive guidance and promotion of best practices, and regional dialogue and coordination.

Coordination. The CPPs should provide a framework for improved coordination of land use, transportation, and economic development planning and plan implementation in the county, to include emerging issues, such as climate change.

Consistency with current vision. The CPPs should continue to support a pattern of regional growth that is focused within existing Urban Growth Areas and reinforces the Urban Centers strategy.

Promotion of best practices. The CPPs should promote a range of proven and innovative programs intended to foster environmental sustainability, economic vitality, and an overall high quality of life throughout the county, with policies that encourage and support existing and future local efforts.

Healthy communities. SCA supports the concept of healthy communities and the important role the CPPs should play in providing guidance in this area of community planning. However, the updated CPPs should recognize local discretion in planning for land uses and infrastructure improvements that are associated with increased physical activity and other actions that promote public health benefits.

Infrastructure. Successful implementation of the countywide growth vision contained in the CPPs is dependent on the timely provision of key infrastructure to serve that growth—including transportation and a range of urban services and utilities. GMPC should consider ways in which the updated CPPs can provide a framework for coordinated infrastructure and service planning that addresses investment priorities as well as the local cost implications of growth policies. The GMPC should also consider steps the county and cities could take to secure needed funding.

Transportation outcomes. The CPPs should promote transit-supportive land uses as well as regional and local infrastructure improvements that connect centers of population and employment throughout the county, reduce dependency on the single-occupancy vehicle, reduce air and water pollution, use energy efficiently, and reduce congestion.

Concurrency. The CPPs should promote best practices and regional coordination on transportation concurrency, while also respecting the discretion of cities in establishing local levels-of-service and concurrency methods and standards. (October 14, 2010)

CPPs and overlapping PAAs

SCA supports an amendment to the Countywide Planning Policies (CPP) that would allow for annexation of overlapping Potential Annexation Areas in the North Highline urban unincorporated area, provided such an amendment is 1) limited in effect to the North Highline and the cities of Seattle, Burien, SeaTac and Tukwila and 2) approved by all affected SCA member cities. SCA does not support any amendment to the CPPs that alters current countywide policy prohibiting PAA overlaps elsewhere in the county. (June 25, 2008)

Donut Hole Issue

Cities are the proper provider of urban services and planning for urban growth within their boundaries. SCA therefore urges King County to enter into negotiations with all cities that have “donut” holes – urban or rural – and work toward quick annexation of these anomalies. As the Maple Valley “donut hole” is rural today, another urban island should not be created. SCA urges King County to negotiate with Maple Valley to ensure that annexation of the donut hole occurs as soon as possible upon urban designation and that permitting of any development of this property occurs under city jurisdiction. (July 25, 2007)

Healthy Communities

SCA supports the concept of healthy communities but believes that each city must make decisions on linkages, if any, between land use, transportation policies, and public health based on the specific needs and policies of their own communities. Therefore: SCA opposes any new amendments to either Countywide or Multi-county Planning Policies that link land use and transportation policies with public health. (May 26, 2005)

Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) King County Consortium



Pam Fernald



Ken Hearing



Jerry Robison



Paul Winterstein

2014 SCA Joint Recommendations Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Pam Fernald	SeaTac	pferald@ci.seatac.wa.us	12/31/14
Ken Hearing	North Bend	khearing@northbendwa.gov	12/31/14
Jerry Robison	Burien	jerryr@burienwa.gov	12/31/14
Paul Winterstein	Issaquah	paulw@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Other Representatives from SCA Member Cities

Member	City	Email Address
Rob Beem	Shoreline	rbeem@shorelinewa.gov
Jay Bennett	Federal Way	jay.bennett@cityoffederalway.com
Michael Hursh	Auburn	mhursh@auburnwa.gov
Rob Odle	Redmond	rodle@redmond.gov
Alternate	City	Email Address
Terry Higashiyama	Renton	thigashiyama@rentonwa.gov
Colleen Kelly	Redmond	ckelly@redmond.gov
2nd Alternate		
Katherin Johnson	Kent	kjohnson@kentwa.gov

Joint Recommendations Committee Meeting Times and Location

JRC meets the fourth Thursday of the month from 9:30 AM – 11:30 AM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the JRC meeting from 8:30 AM— 9:30 AM. The JRC meets at the King County Airport/Boeing Field, Main Terminal Building Meeting Room, 7277 Perimeter Road South, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/socialservices/housing/consortium/consortiumstructure/

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) develops policy on a wide range of housing and community development issues. It was created through the interlocal cooperation agreements that formed the King County Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Consortium and the King County HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Consortium. King County receives about \$10-\$12 million of these federal funds each year. The JRC makes recommendations on this funding to the County Executive.

JRC Make Up/Voting Rights:

The JRC is made up of 12 representatives: 3 from the County (appointed by the County Executive), 4 representing the 29 cities that are parties to the CDBG Consortium Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (appointed by SCA), 2 representing “Joint Agreement cities” (appointed by the 3 Joint Agreement cities), 2 representing “HOME only” cities (appointed by the 4 HOME only cities), and 1 representing the City of Seattle.

Voting is dependent on the type of membership in the consortium. Each member has 1 vote but not all members can vote on all issues. The 4 SCA appointees can vote on all issues. King County has negotiated a three-year Regular CDBG Consortium Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with 29 cities in King County. SCA’s 4 appointees represent those 29 cities.

Three cities (Shoreline, Renton and Redmond) are “Joint Agreement cities” – King County administers their CDBG funds on behalf of the cities. Joint Agreement cities have 2 representatives on the JRC. These cities participate in some but not all JRC funding programs. Four cities, called “HOME only cities” (Bellevue, Kent, Auburn and Federal Way) receive their own CDBG funds directly. HOME only cities have 2 representatives on the JRC. These cities participate in some but not all JRC funding programs. Seattle participates on the JRC for some limited funding programs. Normandy Park is not currently a member of the JRC consortium. Milton participates with Pierce County.

Issues that will likely be considered by the JRC in 2014

- Administer HOME (a federal fund for housing development) and the Regional Affordable Housing Program (a program created by the state legislature for low-income housing) with their respective consortia.
- Recommend project awards.
- Discussion and adoption of the 2015-2017 Consolidated Plan.
- Adopt the updated Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice.
- Adopt the updates to the regional Affordable Housing Program Guidelines.
- Updating Interlocal Agreements for CDBG and HOME programs.

Selected SCA Joint Recommendations Committee Policy Position Statements

Housing and Community Development Program Risk Analysis

SCA supports that the Housing and Community Development Program analyze capital project pre-applications for any site specific risks that are identifiable prior to a phase one environmental review. Pre-applications may be requires to provide evidence that the project can secure appropriate insurance at the level required by King County Risk Management. The cost of insurance will be reviewed as part of the feasibility analysis for projects. If evidence of ability to secure insurance cannot be provided, the project will not be invited to submit a full application. (September 13, 2010)

King Conservation District (KCD) Advisory Committee

2014 SCA Appointments



Jim Berger



Kate Kruller



Mary Lou Pauly



Brenda Fincher



Mary Jane Goss



Nancy Tosta

2014 SCA King Conservation District Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Jim Berger	Carnation	jimb@carnationwa.gov	12/31/14
Kate Kruller	Tukwila	kate.kruller@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14
Mary Lou Pauly	Issaquah	maryloup@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City		
Brenda Fincher	Kent	bfincher@kentwa.gov	12/31/14
Mary Jane Goss	Lake Forest Park	mgoss@ci.lake-forest-park.wa.us	12/31/14
Nancy Tosta	Burien	nancyt@burienwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Lyset Cadena		lyset@soundcities.org	

King Conservation District Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

The KCD Advisory Committee meets on the 3rd Wednesday of the month from 4:00-6:00pm at rotating sites. Additionally, the KCD Executive Advisory Committee meets the 1st Wednesday of the month from 1:00-2:30pm via conference call.

Website: www.kingcd.org

A Brief History/Role of the King Conservation District Advisory Committee

The King Conservation District (KCD) was established in 1949 by the Washington Conservation Commission to provide landowners with assistance to protect and enhance natural resources. KCD serves 35 jurisdictions (34 cities and King County) with a combined population of 1.8 million. The District's mission is "to promote the sustainable uses of natural resources through responsible stewardship."

More than 60 years after it was formed, increased urbanization, endangered salmon, loss of forest cover, threats to the health of Puget Sound, increase challenges from stormwater and flooding, and the need for a resilient, sustainable, and equitable food system make the King Conservation District's programs and services essential.

KCD collaborates with private landowners, member jurisdictions and nonprofit organizations to provide stewardship services. Because it is an independent, non-regulatory agency, KCD is seen by many landowners as a trusted mentor and partner, providing education, technical assistance, and financial incentives to help people implement measures to improve the sustainability and productivity of their land.

In 2014, KCD convened a new Advisory Committee as part of its implementation of the Task Force/Conservation Panel recommendations. Throughout the spring and summer, the Advisory Committee guided KCD in developing this Program of Work for 2015. KCD is grateful to the members of the Advisory Committee for their dedication and commitment in addressing our region's most urgent natural resource challenges:

- Small Lot Rural Forestry and Urban Tree Canopy
- Sustainable Regional Food System
- Rural Agriculture
- Urban Agriculture
- Shoreline and Riparian Habitat
- Landowner Incentive Program

SCA has appointing authority for three representatives and three alternates. Only cities that are members of the KCD are eligible for appointment. Enumclaw, Federal Way, Milton, Pacific, and Skykomish are not members of the KCD.

Selected SCA King Conservation District Policy Position Statements

King Conservation District 2015 Program of Work

Sound Cities Association (SCA) supports the 2015 King Conservation District (KCD) Program of Work as recommended by the KCD Advisory Committee, and supports adoption of a budget to support the Program of Work in its entirety. (July 16, 2014)

King Conservation District (KCD)

1. SCA recognizes the value of the King Conservation District (KCD), and supports continued funding of the KCD, provided that;
2. SCA supports the continuation of WRIA funding through the KCD in 2013, and the future;
3. Given the current economic climate and the heavy tax burdens on property owners in our communities, SCA supports a revenue neutral direction for the KCD at this time. Should future funding for programs currently funded through KCD come through an alternative source, SCA would support a proportional decrease in revenues collected by KCD. For example, if the King County Flood Control District were to fund the WRIAs in the future, SCA would support a corresponding decrease in revenue collection for the KCD;
4. SCA supports continued funding of the KCD Jurisdictional Grant Program. The current processes for grant application and compliance may place unduly onerous burdens on cities. SCA supports reducing these administrative burdens in order to maximize the value of grants to cities;
5. SCA supports the creation of a formal advisory committee to provide direction to the KCD in the future. Such a body would ensure that KCD expenditures provide value to taxpayers throughout the County- from rural, urban, and suburban communities alike. Representation on this advisory committee should include proportional representation from elected officials in the Suburban Cities. (August 15, 2012)

King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee (KCFCDAC)

2014 SCA Appointments



Mike Cero



Mary Jane Goss



Susan Honda



Marlla Mhoon

Photo
not
available



Dawn Dofelmire



Leanne Guier



Erika Morgan



Bernie Talmas

Individual SCA Member Jurisdictions with Permanent Seats



Nancy Backus
Auburn



Jim Berger
Carnation



Suzette Cooke
Kent



Jim Haggerton
Tukwila



Ken Hearing
North Bend



Matt Larson
Snoqualmie



Denis Law
Renton

2014 SCA King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Mike Cero	Mercer Island	mike.cero@mercergov.org	12/31/15
Mary Jane Goss	Lake Forest Park	mgoss@ci.lake-forest-park.wa.us	12/31/15
Susan Honda	Federal Way	susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/15
Marlla Mhoon	Covington	mmhoon@covingtonwa.gov	12/31/15
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Dawn Dofelmire	Algona	dawnd@algonawa.gov	12/31/15
Leanne Guier	Pacific	lguier@ci.pacific.wa.us	12/31/15
Erika Morgan	Black Diamond		12/31/15
Bernie Talmas	Woodinville	btalmas@ci.woodinville.wa.us	12/31/15
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Individual SCA Member Jurisdictions with Permanent Seats

Member	City	Email Address
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov
Jim Berger	Carnation	jimb@carnationwa.gov
Suzette Cooke	Kent	scooke@kentwa.gov
Jim Haggerton	Tukwila	jim.haggerton@tukwilawa.gov
Ken Hearing	North Bend	khearing@northbendwa.gov
Matt Larson	Snoqualmie	mayor@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us
Denis Law	Renton	dlaw@rentonwa.gov

King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

The KCFCDAC meets monthly from 1:00 PM - 3:30 PM between February and August and then as policy issues arise. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the KCFCDAC from 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM. Meetings are located at rotating sites around King County.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/environment/waterandland/flooding/flood-control-zone-district/governance/advisory-committee.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee

The King County Council governs the Flood District as a “District Board of Supervisors”. The King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee (KCFCDAC) is charged with providing the King County Flood Control District Board of Supervisors with expert policy advice on regional flood protection issues. The committee reviews and recommends an annual work program and budget for the district, including capital improvement program projects and funding levels, subject to approval or approval and modification by the District Board of Supervisors.

King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Make Up/Voting Rights: Each seat on the advisory committee has one vote. The KCFCDAC is composed of both permanent and rotating (two-year) members. The 10 permanent seats on the committee are held by each mayor, or designated councilmember alternate of Tukwila, Auburn, Kent, Renton, Snoqualmie, North Bend, Carnation, Seattle and Bellevue. The King County Executive is the tenth permanent member of the committee. Four of the rotating seats are held by mayors or city council members nominated by the Sound Cities Association (SCA). SCA also recommends 4 alternates. This is a two-year appointment.

Issues that will likely be considered by the KCFCDAC in 2014

- 2014-2019 budget and financing options.
- Policy direction to guide district oversight, capital improvement priorities, and financial planning.
- Flood Preparedness, Regional Flood Warning Center and post flood recovery

Selected SCA King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Policy Position Statements

King County Flood Control District – Seattle Seawall

SCA supports partial funding, up to the additional \$24.5 million requested, for the Seattle seawall replacement project from funds available through the King County Flood Control District as part of its 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program. Support for such expenditure is contingent on 1) not creating a precedent that establishes FCD support for coastal zone projects as a general policy, and 2) not jeopardizing other significant flood control projects funded through the CIP. (June 9, 2011)

King County Flood Control District Exempt from \$5.90 Levy Rate Cap

SCA should seek legislative changes that would make Flood Control Districts exempt from the \$5.90 levy rate cap. (September 13, 2010)

King County Flood Control District’s Budget Reallocation for 2010

SCA supports the King County Flood Control District’s proposed 2010 Budget Reallocation with concern that the reallocation will cause the fund balance at the end of the 6-year window to be reduced to \$1M and will no longer meet the \$2.5M Emergency Reserve Target for 2015. (April 21, 2010)

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 1 (LEOFF1) Disability Retirement Board King County



Verna Seal

2014 SCA Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Disability Retirement Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Verna Seal	Tukwila	verna.seal@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Disability Retirement Board Meeting Times and Location

Meetings are held monthly on the last Wednesday of each month from 9 AM—12 PM in Conference Room 118 on the first floor of the King County Chinook Building, 401 Fifth Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/employees/LEOFF1/Meetings.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The King County Disability Retirement Board for Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 1 (LEOFF1) reviews and rules on claims for reimbursement of medical expenses and applications for disability leave and retirement benefits mandated under Washington State LEOFF retirement Plan 1.

The Board represents 14 fire districts and 12 public safety departments in unincorporated King County as well as the King County Sheriff's Office. The Board's jurisdiction covers a membership of approximately 633 active-duty and retired LEOFF-1 fire fighters and police officers.

LEOFF 1 Disability Board Oversight Make Up/Voting Rights: There are five voting members (a Firefighter representative, Police representative, Sound Cities Association representative, King County Council representative, and a Citizen representative), a medical consultant, a mental health consultant, a legal consultant and an administrator.

Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) Management Coordinating Committee (MCC)



David Baker

2014 SCA Local Hazardous Waste Management Program Management Coordination Committee Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/14
Staff	Email Address		
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org		

Local Hazardous Waste Management Program Management Coordination Committee Meeting Times and Location

The LHWMP’s Management Coordination Committee (MCC) meets on the third Tuesday of every month from 10:15 AM – 12:00 PM. The MCC meets in the King/Chinook Conference Rooms, 6th Floor of the King Street Center, 201 S. Jackson, Seattle.

Website: www.lhwmp.org/home/AboutUs/mcc.aspx

A Brief History/Role of LHWMP and the Management Coordination Committee

The Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) in King County is a multi-jurisdictional program whose mission is to protect and enhance public health and environmental quality throughout King County by reducing the threat posed by the production, use, storage and disposal of hazardous materials. The Program is implemented through a multi-jurisdictional Management Coordination Committee (MCC). The MCC was enabled by the Seattle City Council (SMC 10.76) and the King County Board of Health (BOH Code 2.08.) It is also recognized as the Program governing entity by the Washington State Department of Ecology. The MCC sets the Program’s strategic direction and implementation of policies and oversees the Program’s operations including development of annual budgets and work plans.

LHWMP’s Management Coordination Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

The MCC is made up of 5 members and each member has 1 vote.

One representative from each of the following:

- King County Department of Natural Resources (DNR) – Solid Waste Division;
- King County DNR – Water and Land Resources Division;
- Seattle Public Utilities;
- Sound Cities Association;
- Seattle-King County Public Health.

Issues that will likely be considered by LHWMP’s MCC in 2014

- Development of the Program’s 2014 intergovernmental budget package.
- Approval of the Program’s 2014 State & Federal Legislative Agendas.
- Additional work on hazardous product take-back legislation.
- Revisions to the Program’s utility surcharge rate structure.

Selected SCA Local Hazardous Waste Committee Policy Position Statements

Product Stewardship

The Sound Cities Association supports product stewardship approaches that enhance our existing reuse, recycling and waste management systems by requiring product manufacturers to be responsible for their products that contain toxic and hazardous materials. (October 16, 2013)

SCA supports a King County product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products. (October 31, 2012)

Local Hazardous Waste Management Plan 2012 Rate Increase

SCA supports the proposed Local Hazardous Waste Management Plan 2012 Rate Increase Proposal. The total increase from the 2006 rates to the proposed 2012 rates is 35% overall or 4.4% annualized from 2006 through the end of the rate period of 2014. (December 15, 2010)

Pharmaceutical Products

SCA supports a product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products. (July 14, 2010)

Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) Oversight Committee King County



Dave Asher



Carol Benson

2014 SCA Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Oversight Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Asher	Kirkland	dasher@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/15
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Carol Benson	Black Diamond	cbenson@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us	12/31/15
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Oversight Committee Meeting Times and Location

2014 meetings will be held on February 27, March 27, April 24, June 26, August 28, October 23, and December 11 at the King County Chinook Building, 401 Fifth Avenue, Seattle. Meetings are held from 12:15 PM - 1:45 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the MIDD from 11:15 AM - 12:15 PM.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/MHSA/MIDDPlan/MIDDCommittees.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The MIDD Oversight Committee is an advisory body to the King County Executive and Council. Its purpose is to ensure that the implementation and evaluation of the strategies and programs funded by the MIDD sales tax revenue are transparent, accountable, collaborative and effective.

The MIDD Oversight Committee is a unique partnership of representatives from the health and human services and criminal justice communities. Recognizing that King County is the countywide provider of mental health and substance abuse services, the committee will work to ensure that access to mental health and chemical dependency services is available to those who are most in need throughout the county, regardless of geographic location.

Issues that will likely be considered by the MIDD in 2014

- Creation and implementation of work plan for MIDD funding reauthorization
- Evaluation of MIDD-funded programs

Selected SCA Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Policy Position Statements

MIDD Prioritization Rating Tool for future funding

SCA supports the use of MIDD prioritization rating tool as a primary method to evaluate the future funding prioritization of MIDD strategies in the context of reduced MIDD revenues and the use of MIDD revenues to supplant basic human/mental health services provided by King County. SCA strongly recommends that the County implement savings in the MIDD programs by requiring program reductions when such cuts do not reduce the effectiveness of the program. This may reduce the number of clients served or delay the expansion of the scope of the program. Such savings method should be used prior to implementation of the prioritization. (September 10, 2009)

Non-supplantation Language on existing and/or voter approved levies

SCA opposes changes in non-supplantation language on existing and/or voter approved levies. And, that SCA not oppose a King County request to the State legislature to amend the enabling language for country mental illness and drug dependency (MIDD) funding to allow a small change in the tax to provide funding for existing Mental Health and Drug Courts. (February 25, 2009)

Puget Sound Regional Council Economic Development District Board (EDDB)



Catherine Stanford



John Stilin



Jim Berrios



Jeanne Burbidge

2014 SCA PSRC Economic Development District Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Catherine Stanford	Lake Forest Park	cstanford@cityofflp.com	12/31/15
John Stilin	Redmond	jcstilin@redmond.gov	12/31/15
Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Jim Berrios	Kent	jberrios@kentwa.gov	12/31/15
Jeanne Burbidge	Federal Way	jeanne.burbidge@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/15
Staff	Email Address		
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org		

PSRC Economic Development District Board Meeting Times and Location

The EDDB meets quarterly on the first Wednesday from 1:00 PM - 3:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the EDDB from 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM. In 2014 meetings will be held on March 5, June 4, September 3, and December 3. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/edd

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The regional Economic Development District (EDD) is the federally designated economic development district for the central Puget Sound region covering King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish counties. Its members include representatives from private business, local governments, tribes and trade organizations. The EDD entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (2003) with PSRC whereby the two agencies have consolidated staff services, both to increase government efficiency and to further integrate the District's economic development work with the regional growth management and transportation planning of the Regional Council.

Economic Development District Board Make Up:

Currently there are 36 members on the board of directors. The majority of seats on the board of directors must be filled by those representing general purpose local governments.

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	1
Other cities and towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	2
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Pierce County	1
Other cities and towns in Pierce County	1
Tacoma	1
Snohomish County	1
Other cities and towns in Snohomish County	1
Everett	1
Kitsap County	1
Other cities and towns in Kitsap County	1
Bremerton	1

The requirements for the remainder of the seats are: 1-7 seats for federally recognized Tribes; seats for each of 4 port districts; DOE; 4 Economic Development Councils; 4 Workforce Development Councils; Labor; Chamber; at least 25% seats not elected officials or staff appointed by local government; and other appointments to ensure adequate representation.

Other Economic Development Committees

The Economic Development Council of Seattle and King County’s mission is to be a “difference-maker” in the community by growing the jobs and tax base in King County, its 39 cities, and the greater Puget Sound region. See page 10 of the handbook for more information. SCA also has an Economic Development Subcommittee; to find out more, go to www.soundcities.org.

Issues that will likely be considered by the EDDB in 2014

- Implementation of the Regional Economic Strategy.

Selected SCA PSRC Economic Development District Board Policy Position Statements

Tourism Promotion Areas

SCA will work with AWC to promote legislation that would allow two or more cities or towns located in a county with a population greater than one million that have entered into an inter-local agreement, to select one of the cities or towns to be the legislative authority for the purpose of establishing a tourism promotion area. (October 22, 2008)

Funding and tools

- a) SCA supports identification of State funding/tools for effective economic development; and,
- b) SCA supports state and federal funding and funding mechanisms to foster and support economic development and revitalization efforts in cities. (July 28, 2005)

Puget Sound Regional Council Executive Board

2014 SCA Appointments



Dave Hill



John Marchione



Marlla Mhoon



Don Gerend



Mia Gregerson



Will Ibershof



Nancy Backus

SCA Member Cities with Individual Seats (Cities in King County with populations over 80,000)



Suzette Cooke
Kent



Jim Ferrell
Federal Way



Denis Law
Renton



Amy Walen
Kirkland

2014 SCA PSRC Executive Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Hill	Algona	mayor@algonawa.gov	12/31/14
John Marchione	Redmond	jmarchione@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Marlla Mhoon	Covington	mmhoon@covingtonwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Don Gerend	Sammamish	dgerend@sammamish.us	12/31/14
Mia Gregerson	SeaTac	mgregerson@ci.seatac.wa.us	12/31/14
Will Ibershof	Duvall	will.ibershof@duvallwa.gov	12/31/14
2nd Alternate			
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
	Lyset Cadena	lyset@soundcities.org	

SCA Member Cities with Individual Seats (Cities in King County with populations over 80,000)

Member	City	Email Address
Suzette Cooke	Kent	scooke@kentwa.gov
Jim Ferrell	Federal Way	jim.ferrell@cityoffederalway.com
Denis Law	Renton	dlaw@rentonwa.gov
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov

PSRC Executive Board Meeting Times and Location

Meeting times and place: The PSRC Executive Board typically meets once a month on the fourth Thursday from 10:00 AM – 11:30 AM at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, 98104. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the Executive Board in the East Meeting Room from 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/exec

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The Executive Board, chaired by the Regional Council President, meets monthly, and carries out delegated powers and responsibilities between meetings of the General Assembly.

Executive Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights: Each county, and each city of 80,000 + population are permanently assigned positions on the Executive Board pursuant to RCW.47.80.060. For the remaining member cities and towns in each county, the method of appointment is at the discretion of the member jurisdictions. In King County, SCA appoints representatives on behalf of Other Cities & Towns.

Total votes for all city and county jurisdictions within each county are proportional to each county's share of the regional population. County government is entitled to fifty percent (50%) of their respective county's total vote. City and town votes are based on their respective share of the total incorporated population of their county. A simple majority of members of the Executive Board constitutes a quorum.

Jurisdiction	Members	Weighted Votes
King County	2	260.18
Seattle	4	96.06
Bellevue	1	19.41
Federal Way	1	13.94
Kent	1	18.56
Kirkland	1	12.70
Renton	1	14.63
Other Cities & Towns (SCA Appointees)	3	84.88
Kitsap County	1	34.39
Bremerton	1	16.26
Other Cities & Towns	1	18.13
Pierce County	2	108.89
Tacoma	1	50.56
Other Cities & Towns	1	58.33
Snohomish County	2	96.54
Everett	1	24.56
Other Cities & Towns	2	71.98
Total Member Jurisdictions	26	1,000

A two-thirds (2/3) majority vote may be called for if the board members representing a county, the largest city within that county, and the other cities and towns within that county, unanimously call for a two-thirds vote. When a simple majority is required on a vote, it shall be one-half (1/2) plus one of those present and voting. When a two-thirds (2/3) majority is required, it shall be a two-thirds (2/3) majority of those present and voting.

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC Executive Board in 2014

- Transportation 2040 Plan update.
- Continue to implement the Regional Economic Strategy.
- Continue the work on policies and implementation of Vision 2040.
- Approve Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ), and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) fund distributions.

Puget Sound Regional Council Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)



Terri Briere



Hank Margeson



Bernie Talmas



John Holman



Chris Roberts



Jason Walker

2014 SCA PSRC Growth Management Policy Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Terri Briere	Renton	tbriere@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14
Hank Margeson	Redmond	hmargeson@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Bernie Talmas	Woodinville	btalmas@ci.woodinville.wa.us	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
John Holman	Auburn	jholman@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Chris Roberts	Shoreline	croberts@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Jason Walker	Duvall	jason.walker@duvallwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

PSRC Growth Management Policy Board Meeting Times and Location

GMPB meets every month on the first Thursday from 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the GMPB meeting from 9:00 AM— 10:00 AM. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/gmpb

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The purpose of the Growth Management Policy Board is to advise the Executive Board of the Puget Sound Regional Council on regional aspects of growth management issues pursuant to (a) state legislation (Multicounty Planning Policies, State Environmental Policy Act and Regional Transportation Planning Organizations) and (b) the 1993 Regional Council Interlocal Agreement. Per adopted procedures, the Board reviews local plans and countywide planning policies and makes recommendations to the Executive Board regarding compatibility with the Growth Management Act and consistency with the adopted regional growth and transportation strategies.

Growth Management Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

There are 31 members of the GMPB, 20 are voting members.

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Other cities and towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	3
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Pierce County	1
Other cities and towns in Pierce County Tacoma	1
Snohomish County	1
Other cities and towns in Snohomish County Everett	1
Kitsap County	1
Other cities and towns in Kitsap County Bremerton	1

There are seats for 1 Port and 2 Tribal representatives. Voting members have 1 vote each and actions and recommendations shall be approved by a simple majority of the voting members present. Voting members may move and second any motion for discussion. Non-voting members may move or second a motion which may move forward for discussion only if a voting member makes the other required part of a motion (e.g., “second” or “move”). In cases where a substantial minority opinion is held by at least 3 members, this opinion is transmitted to the Board together with the GMPB action.

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC GMPC in 2014

- Policy framework for PSRC’s federal funds.
- Action on Regional Industrial Lands Inventory.
- Growth targets under Vision 2040.
- Provide oversight of the “Growing Transit Communities” program.
- Stormwater and regional alliances.
- Action on Regional Centers Report.

Selected SCA Growth Management Policy Board Policy Position Statements

Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization

SCA supports Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization in accordance with the following guiding principles. T2040 Prioritization should include:

- A. Separate investment categories that prioritize like investments against like investments (i.e. bicycle/pedestrian/complete streets is its own category);
- B. Overarching measures that are used within each category to assess a project's likelihood of furthering a desired outcome (i.e. jobs – this measure considers the extent to which projects support businesses and job creation);
- C. Addressing maintenance, preservation and operations beginning with an inventory of the existing conditions of the Regional Transportation Network;
- D. That the Prioritization process not move forward, to the General Assembly, until there is agreement on the criteria to prioritize projects;
- E. Any crosscheck with regional growth trends must use updated population and employment forecasts rather than aspirational targets used in 2040 work plans.

(November 2, 2011)

Procedures and Criteria for new Regional Growth Centers

SCA supports the update of the Procedures and Criteria for new Regional Growth Centers, including criteria for existing densities of 18 Activity Units per gross acre and planned growth levels of 45 Activity Units per gross acre as proposed by PSRC staff conditioned on the addition of criteria to the current proposal to require applicants for new centers designation to demonstrate the regional significance of the proposed center and a commitment to accommodate housing and jobs at densities sufficient to support high-capacity transit through long-term growth and development over the 20-year comprehensive planning period and beyond. Future phases of PSRC work to implement Vision 2040 through a regional centers strategy should consider, as needed, additional amendments to criteria for new and existing centers to promote the success of that strategy through focused public and private investments. (June 9, 2011)

Projects Connecting Urban Centers

SCA supports more explicit policy language in the PSRC Federal Funds Regional Competition that gives equal treatment to projects that connect two urban centers and to projects that connect to only one center. This acknowledges that getting to an urban center is just as critical as connecting two urban centers.

SCA supports adding new criteria for non-motorized projects such as trail projects where priority will be given to projects connecting regional trail routes or connecting to regional trail routes, and for sidewalk projects, where priority will be given to pedestrian routes providing access to school bus stops, schools and parks. (May 21, 2008)

Healthy Communities

SCA supports the concept of healthy communities but believes that each city must make decisions on linkages, if any, between land use, transportation policies, and public health based on the specific needs and policies of their own communities. Therefore: SCA opposes any new amendments to either Countywide or Multi-county Planning Policies that link land use and transportation policies with public health. (May 26, 2005)

Puget Sound Regional Council Operations Committee



John Marchione

Denis Law

2014 SCA PSRC Operations Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
John Marchione	Redmond	jmarchione@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Denis Law	Renton	dlaw@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14

PSRC Operations Committee Meeting Time and Location

The PSRC Operations Committee meets on the 4th Thursday of each month at 9:00 AM at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/ops

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Operations Committee is composed of Executive Board Members and chaired by the Regional Council Vice President. The Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Executive Board on the budget and work program, and on contracts and other financial and personnel issues. Operations Committee make-up:

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Other cities and towns in King County (SCA Appointee)	1
Seattle	1
Pierce County	1
Other cities and towns in Pierce County	1
Snohomish County	1
Other cities and towns in Snohomish County	1
Other cities and towns in Kitsap County	1
Statutory Member	1

Puget Sound Regional Council Transportation Policy Board (TPB)



Don Gerend



Dave Hill



Amy Walen



Chris Eggen



Kate Kruller



Dana Ralph

2014 SCA PSRC Transportation Policy Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Don Gerend	Sammamish	dgerend@sammamish.us	12/31/14
Dave Hill	Algona	mayor@algonawa.gov	12/31/14
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Chris Eggen	Shoreline	ceggen@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Kate Kruller	Tukwila	kate.kruller@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14
Dana Ralph	Kent	dralph@kentwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff	Email Address		
Lyset Cadena	lyset@soundcities.org		

PSRC Transportation Policy Board Meeting Times and Location

The Transportation Policy Board meets on the second Thursday of the month, from 9:30 AM - 11:30 AM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the TPB from 8:30 AM - 9:30 AM. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/tpb

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The Transportation Policy Board includes representatives of the PSRC’s member jurisdictions, regional business, labor, civic and environmental groups. The Transportation Policy Board meets monthly to make recommendations on key transportation issues to the Executive Board.

Transportation Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

All actions and recommendations of the Board are approved by a simple majority of the voting members present. Voting members may move and second any motion for discussion. Non-voting and ex officio members may move or second a motion which may move forward for discussion only if a voting member makes the other required part of a motion (e.g., “second” or “move”). In cases where a substantial minority opinion is held by at least three voting members of the Board, this opinion shall be transmitted to the Executive Board together with the Board action. If at least 3 or more non-voting and/or ex officio members wish to record a substantial dissenting opinion to a given action, the Chair should acknowledge such minority opinion and ask that the expressed opinion be included with the Policy Board action when it is forwarded to the Executive Board. A quorum consists of half of the voting members, except that State legislative voting members will not be counted towards fulfilling the quorum requirement.

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Other cities and towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	3
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Pierce County	1
Other cities and towns in Pierce County	1
Tacoma	1
Snohomish County	1
Other cities and towns in Snohomish County	1
Everett	1
Kitsap County	1
Other cities and towns in Kitsap County	1
Bremerton	1
Federally Recognized Tribes	2
State Legislative Transportation Committee	4
Statutory Members	3

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC TPB in 2014

- Transportation 2040 Plan update
- Monitor and comment on federal transportation reauthorization.
- Make recommendations to the 2014 Legislature on actions needed to implement Transportation 2040.

Selected SCA Transportation Policy Board Policy Position Statements

Balancing the T2040 Financial Strategy

In order to balance the PSRC T2040 financial strategy, SCA supports adopting a hybrid approach rather than basing decisions solely on the PSRC prioritization scorecard. While this scorecard is a valuable source of information, it was not designed for or intended to be used as the sole tool for evaluating projects. (December 18, 2013)

Comprehensive Approach to Regional Tolling

The Sound Cities Association supports a more comprehensive approach to regional tolling implementation, through the formation of a special task force convened by the Puget Sound Regional Council that would advance the review of a system-wide approach to tolling our region's major highway facilities as an alternative to the current path of implementing tolling on a corridor by corridor basis. This comprehensive review should include consideration of the following components:

- Ability to demonstrate the value to toll payers and the region at large;
- Equity for toll payers across the region and a fair distribution of costs and benefits;
- Analysis of the direct and external costs and benefits of relatively recent tolling on Tacoma Narrows Bridge, SR 520 and SR 167, and future facilities, including an assessment of overall system performance across modes, greenhouse gas emissions, vehicle miles travelled, traffic diversion and potential mitigation measures, and experiences of the travelling public;
- Review the impact of tolling by income quintile, based on household car ownership and use;
- Review of the technology available to achieve a regional solution, the implementation challenges, and a proposed phasing plan with greater definition than that provided in the region's Transportation 2040 Plan;
- A robust discussion of the uses of both near and long-term revenues for transit to maximize the efficiency and equity of the tolled corridors and the system as a whole;
- Timing and staging of tolling implementation in tandem with the availability of choices, such as transit, that provide alternatives to paying tolls to address inequitable impacts as well as system operations; and
- Review and comparison of alternative finance options including Road Usage Charges (VMT).

(May 29, 2013)

Regional Tolling

SCA supports mitigation from the State of Washington to address impacts from the current regional tolling of SR 520, as well as the potential regional tolling of I-90 and other future regional tolling. (April 17, 2013)

Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization

SCA supports Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization in accordance with the following guiding principles. T2040 Prioritization should include:

- A. Separate investment categories that prioritize like investments against like investments (i.e. bicycle/pedestrian/complete streets is its own category);
- B. Overarching measures that are used within each category to assess a project's likelihood of furthering a desired outcome (i.e. jobs – this measure considers the extent to which projects support businesses and job creation);
- C. Addressing maintenance, preservation and operations beginning with an inventory of the existing conditions of the Regional Transportation Network;
- D. That the Prioritization process not move forward, to the General Assembly, until there is agreement on the criteria to prioritize projects;
- E. Any crosscheck with regional growth trends must use updated population and employment forecasts rather than aspirational targets used in 2040 work plans.

(November 2, 2011)

Transportation 2040 Plan

SCA supports the Draft Transportation 2040 plan with the following recommendations:

- SCA recommends that adaptive management be incorporated into the plan in its entirety including as adaptive management relates to climate change.
- SCA recommends a detailed process for moving the unprogrammed investments and policies to the constrained portion of the plan.
- SCA recommends there should be a methodology which encourages incorporating new concepts and technology into the plan.
- SCA recommends that because of the \$65 Billion funding gap (between current law revenue and the constrained plan), all funding options should be given serious consideration
- SCA recommends that any plan must be a hybrid that supports transit options and highway and arterial capacity improvement to serve urban fringe communities.

(March 10, 2010)

PSRC Preliminary Preferred Alternative to the Transportation 2040 Update

That SCA support PSRC's Preliminary Preferred Alternative to the Transportation 2040 Update.

(November 5, 2009)

Regarding Transportation 2040

SCA supports the following guiding principles in updating Transportation 2040:

- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to reduce congestion on facilities for all types of freight and personal travel.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants by emphasizing conservation, new technologies and the linking of land use and transportation policies more tightly.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to support the development of sustainable transportation funding.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to consolidate all transit agencies in the PSRC region into one regional transit agency.

(September 10, 2009)

Projects Connecting Urban Centers

- SCA supports more explicit policy language in the PSRC Federal Funds Regional Competition that gives equal treatment to projects that connect two urban centers and to projects that connect to only one center. This acknowledges that getting to an urban center is just as critical as connecting two urban centers.
- SCA supports adding new criteria for non-motorized projects such as trail projects where priority will be given to projects connecting regional trail routes or connecting to regional trail routes, and for sidewalk projects, where priority will be given to pedestrian routes providing access to school bus stops, schools and parks.

(May 21, 2008)

Transportation Funding

- A. SCA will continue to support the needs of all suburban cities for funding for local, arterial and regional transportation.
- A. SCA will support transportation funding, especially local option revenues for major arterial projects.
- A. SCA will support local transportation funding options such as a gas tax, MVET, weight fees, and a regional transportation funding structure that is fair and equitable.
- B. SCA will support additional local funding options for transportation. Examples include a local sales tax, gas tax and more liberal TIF (traffic impact fee) calculations.
- C. SCA will support funding to complete urban corridors. (July 28, 2005)

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee (RLSJ) King County



Dave Carson



Kate Kruller



Joseph Cimaomo, Jr.



Toby Nixon



Dana Ralph



Jesse Salomon

2014 SCA Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Carson	Redmond	dcarson@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Kate Kruller	Tukwila	kate.kruller@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14
Joseph Cimaomo, Jr.	Covington	jcimaomojr@covingtonwa.gov	12/31/14
Toby Nixon	Kirkland	tnixon@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Dana Ralph	Kent	dralph@kentwa.gov	12/31/14
Jesse Salomon	Shoreline	jsalomon@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14

Staff

Email Address

Lyset Cadena

lyset@soundcities.org

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Law, Safety, and Justice Committee meets the last Thursday of the month from 7:30 AM – 9:00 AM from January to March and every other month thereafter. Generally, the meetings are held in the Bertha Knight Landes Room in Seattle City Hall, 600 Fourth Ave.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/operations/Budget/RLSJC.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

RLSJ was created by state law to share and coordinate criminal justice information and programs, to address important criminal justice issues in the region and plan future needs. The chairmanship of the committee rotates annually between SCA, the City of Seattle, and King County. For 2014, Seattle City Attorney Pete Holmes will be chair. SCA caucus meetings are scheduled as needed. The SCA Caucus Chair and Vice-Chair are also expected to attend meetings of the RLSJ Steering Committee, which meets on the Wednesday following the main meeting from 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM in a location set by the chair. The Steering Committee is responsible for determining what issues will come before the Committee, and setting meeting agendas.

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Make Up/Voting Rights: Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RLSJ in 2014

- Ongoing revenue challenges and the impact of fiscal constraints on public safety.
- The integration of new alternatives to incarceration and inmate programs.
- Mental health illness, chemical dependency, and the criminal justice system.
- I-502 implementation.
- Health and Human Services.
- Court and jail issues, including policies regarding prosecution of misdemeanors.

Selected SCA Regional Law Safety and Justice Policy Position Statements

Domestic Violence Checklist

SCA supports the voluntary use of domestic violence protection order checklists designed for and intended to be used by court and data centers, judges and participants, police departments, and patrol officers to provide uniformity, reduce costs and improve accuracy. (June 16, 2010)

Strategies

- A. SCA will continue to serve the suburban cities in developing a suburban city strategy for dealing with misdemeanor and criminal justice needs; and,
- B. SCA supports working with King County to place a high priority on working with the cities to develop long term strategies dealing with the incarceration of misdemeanor inmates; and,
- C. SCA supports the development of county-wide services within the Sheriff's office to support the suburban cities such as air support. (July 28, 2005)

Flexibility in contracting

SCA supports the flexibility for cities in the provision of municipal court services including the authorization of cities to contract with other cities for municipal court services, contract with the county for court services and to appoint judges. (May 26, 2005)

Regional Policy Committee (RPC) King County



Dini Duclos



John Stokes



Bernie Talmas



Amy Walen



Hank Margeson



Bill Peloza

2014 SCA Regional Policy Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term
Dini Duclos	Federal Way	dini.duclos@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/14
John Stokes	Bellevue	jstokes@bellevuewa.gov	12/31/14
Bernie Talmas	Woodinville	btalmas@ci.woodinville.wa.us	12/31/14
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Hank Margeson	Redmond	hmargeson@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Bill Peloza	Auburn	bpeloza@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Lyset Cadena		lyset@soundcities.org	

Regional Policy Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Policy Committee meets the second Wednesday of each month from 3:00 PM – 5:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in the Blue Conference Room in advance of the RPC from 2:00 PM - 3:00 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th Floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_policy.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Policy Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Policy Committee (RPC) is responsible for addressing countywide issues including human services, public health, housing, open space, solid waste management, regional services financial policies, criminal justice, jails and district court services, and the siting of regional facilities. The RPC reviews and recommends regional policies and plans (other than transit and water quality) for consideration by the King County Council.

Regional Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights: Each regional committee consists of 9 voting members. Representation on the RPC includes 3 county councilmembers and 6 local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as 2 votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle appoints 2 representatives (each with one vote). SCA appoints 4 representatives (each with 1 vote). Members representing 6 ½ votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the county council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county

Issues that will likely be considered by the RPC in 2014

Countywide plans and policies included in the committee's 2014 work program, as defined by the committee including:

- Solid Waste Transfer and Management and Comprehensive Plan Update.
- Public Safe Answering Points (PSAP) Plan and possible consolidation and Emergency Radio Replacement.
- Review and approve the 2013 Annual Veteran's and Human Service Levy Report.
- Review and approve Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Action Plan 2013 Annual Report.
- Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness including emphasis on a countywide strategy to address Homeless Youth aged 18 – 24.
- Review of the King County Health and Human Services Transformation Plan.

Selected SCA Regional Policy Committee Policy Position Statements

Solid Waste – Energy Waste Technology

Sound Cities Association supports the Solid Waste Division conducting a full review of options for waste disposal, including waste-to-energy, as part of the upcoming Sustainable Solid Waste System Study and through the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan process.

(July 17, 2013)

2006 Solid Waste Transfer & Waste Management Plan Updates

SCA requests that the Metropolitan Solid Waste Advisory Committee (MSWAC) and the King County Solid Waste Division review and recommend any appropriate updates to the 2006 Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Management Plan. (April 17, 2013)

Service Improvement Plan of the Veterans Services Levy

SCA supports continuity of services pursuant to adoption of the Service Improvement Plan (SIP) to guide the goals and investments of the Veterans and Human Services Levy for the next six years. (November 2, 2011)

Veterans and Human Services Levy

SCA supports the renewal of the King County Veterans and Human Services Levy in 2011 at the current level of five cents per \$1,000 of assessed value and with the continued 50-50% split between funding for services targeted specifically for veterans and their families and services for other King County residents.

(February 14, 2011)

Regional Transit Committee (RTC) King County



Kimberly Allen



Bruce Bassett



Dennis Higgins



Dave Hill



Wayne Osborne



Marcie Palmer



Tom Vance



John Wright



Bill Allison



Dave Asher



Kathy Hougardy



Matt Larson

2014 SCA Regional Transit Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Kimberly Allen	Redmond	kallen@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Bruce Bassett	Mercer Island	bruce.bassett@mercergov.org	12/31/14
Dennis Higgins	Kent	dhiggins@kentwa.gov	12/31/14
Dave Hill	Algona	mayor@algonawa.gov	12/31/14
Wayne Osborne	Auburn	wosborne@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
Marcie Palmer	Renton	mpalmer@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14
Tom Vance	Sammamish	tvance@sammamish.us	12/31/14
John Wright	Lake Forest Park	jwright@cityofflp.com	12/31/14

2014 SCA Regional Transit Committee Appointments (continued)

Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Bill Allison	Maple Valley	bill.allison@maplevalleywa.gov	12/31/14
Dave Asher	Kirkland	dasher@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Kathy Hougardy	Tukwila	kathy.hougardy@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14
Matt Larson	Snoqualmie	mayor@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us	12/31/14

Staff	Email Address
Lyset Cadena	lyset@soundcities.org

Regional Transit Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Transit Committee meets the third Wednesday of each month from 3:00 PM – 5:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the RTC in the Horiuchi Conference Room from 2:00 PM – 3:00 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th Floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_transit.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Transit Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Transit Committee (RTC) reviews and makes recommendations to the King County Council on policies and plans for the public transportation services operated by King County. These policies govern the long and short range planning and delivery of bus service; establish the structure for transit fares; the purchase of new buses; and the construction of bus shelters, park and ride lots, and other transit facilities.

Regional Transit Committee Make Up/Voting Rights: Each regional committee consists of 9 voting members. Representation on the RTC includes three 3 county councilmembers and 6 local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as 2 votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle currently appoints 2 representatives (each with one vote). SCA currently appoints 8 representatives (each with fractional (1/2 vote). Members representing 6 ½ votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the County council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county councilmembers.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RTC in 2014

- Implementation of the Strategic Plan for Public Transportation and the Metro Transit Service Guidelines.
- Monitor Five-year implementation plan for alternatives to traditional transit service delivery.
- 2014/2015 Outlook for Transit.
- Monitor Transit Access Study.
- Proposed System-wide Transit Cuts.

Selected SCA Regional Transit Committee Policy Position Statements

Guiding Principles to Inform King County Metro Cuts

SCA supports the following guiding principles to inform Metro's Transit Service Cut Proposal:

1. Public Process Highlighted by Public Education and Engagement:
 - Clear and transparent process.
 - Public engagement, including major transit stakeholders, that seeks input on specific impacts resulting from Metro's proposed service cuts and ideas to lessen these impacts, while still reducing service hours in these areas and routes.
 - Robust public communications, including but not limited to: (1) Explanation of Metro's current financial situation including the latest revenue estimate, revenue shortfall, and the number of service hour cuts that would be required to balance updated costs and revenue, in a way that is clearly understandable to stakeholders, including the average commuter; (2) explaining the need for service cuts and the methodology used to develop service cuts so that the public can understand why specific cuts are being proposed, (3) reporting on public engagement, including the effect of public input on proposed service cuts and (4) the effective use of technology to inform and involve the public on the service reduction process and proposed service changes and cuts.
 - Timing that makes sense given the speed of the decision-making process.
2. Service Cut Proposal and Sequencing/Phasing of Service Cuts:
 - Implement the Service Guidelines to the fullest extent practicable.
 - Transmit one service cut package to the King County Council for the for the full amount of service cuts that are necessary, recognizing that service cuts may be implemented in 2014 and 2015 (during service changes in September 2014 and February, June and September 2015). The County's development, review and adoption of one service cut package will promote public awareness of the magnitude of upcoming service cuts.
 - Service Cuts should be done in a manner that is directly tied to changing revenue conditions. The amount of service hours cut should only be the amount necessary to balance revenues and costs.
 - Ensure that service cuts throughout the county are done in a fair manner and clearly demonstrate geographic and social equity throughout the entire county. Considerations of geographic equity are of particular importance to cities and we request that Metro provide data on the distribution of transit service hours and proposed service hour cuts by sub-area.

- Make service changes by restructuring service within and across jurisdictions, not solely by cutting existing routes. Service changes across large geographies or that cross multiple jurisdictions should provide for an interconnected transit network.
- Consider partnerships with regional organizations, tribes, local jurisdictions, and the private sector that are willing to fully or partially fund transit service to lessen the impacts of cuts, including alternatives to traditional service. (December 18, 2013)

King County Metro Long Range Planning

The Sound Cities Association supports the development of a King County Metro Long Range Plan that incorporates transit service needs identified in city comprehensive plans. (May 29, 2013)

King County Transit Metro Strategic Plan

SCA supports the King County Metro Transit Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 subject to the following conditions:

- a. That robust policies and strategies that achieve administrative, overhead, and other system-wide efficiencies and cost are included in the Strategic Plan update, and that the plan require that Metro periodically report on actions taken and outcomes related to efficiency, especially prior to considering any significant service changes.
- b. That the plan represents balanced prioritization of productivity, geographic value, and social equity in the guidelines for providing transit service fairly throughout the county. Factors for the reduction of services related to productivity, geographic value, and social equity shall be clearly stated in the strategic plan. Factors for the addition of services related to productivity, geographic value, and social equity shall be clearly stated in the strategic plan.
- c. That the plan includes performance measures and service guidelines that reflect the land use, growth, and travel patterns that characterize suburban and rural areas of King County, rapid growth and changing demographics of suburban and rural communities as evidenced in the most recent Census data, and system design elements that effectively link suburban and rural city residents to bus transit, such as park-n-ride facilities.
- d. That measures that will ensure geographic balance in the distribution of transit service are included in the Strategic Plan update. Specifically, at a minimum, SCA supports several current proposed plan elements, including:
 - Prioritizing service to urban and activity centers that are located in communities throughout urban King County
 - Tailoring productivity measures to different categories of routes, such as frequent all-day service vs. peak service and corridors serving central Seattle and University of Washington locations vs. corridors for travel exclusively between suburban locations

- e. That adoption of the plan includes a requirement to develop and market expanded “alternative” transit services in areas with relatively low productivity that may face significant cuts under the proposed guidelines.
- f. That adoption of the plan includes a requirement that Metro will initiate a collaborative process to identify concerns about the service guidelines as they pertain to additions to the system with a report and recommendations transmitted to the RTC along and with timely opportunity to consider and recommend amendments to the plan and guidelines as needed, preferably prior to seeking council or public approval for long-term funding for transit in the county.
- g. That the plan includes social equity guidelines that focus on transit dependent populations, specifically low-income people, seniors, students, and disabled individuals without access to automobiles or otherwise dependent on bus transportation to meet daily needs.
- h. That the plan includes explicit feedback procedures and opportunities to evaluate within a stated period of time whether service guidelines are performing as intended and, if needed, amend the service guidelines based on performance over time.
- i. That Metro provides to policy makers sufficient information on scenarios for system cuts, system growth, and system restructures for the purposes of understanding, prior to plan adoption, the potential impacts of the proposed service guidelines on transit service levels to communities and subareas of King County. (June 9, 2011)

Regional Transit Task Force (RTTF) Guiding Principles

SCA recommends the following guiding principles for Regional Transit Task Force:

SCA Guiding Principles for King County Transit Services

The primary objective of the King County Regional Transit Task Force is to recommend to the King County Executive and County Council a policy framework that reflects the prioritization of key system design factors and to make recommendations about transit system design and function. These frameworks will be derived from an exploration of the transit system and its integration with the region’s public transportation and overall transportation system.

The following proposed draft guiding principles are organized in accordance with the policy framework and transit system design factors called out in the Regional Task Force Scope of Work.

Concurrence with, or Proposed Changes to, the Vision and Mission of King County Metro

SCA concurs with the current Metro mission statement to: “Provide the best possible public transit services that get people on the bus and improve regional mobility and quality of life in King County.”

Criteria for Systematically Growing the Transit System to Achieve the Vision Social Equity and Environmental Justice

- Metro should provide services and facilities that benefit all socioeconomic groups. Metro should take measures to ensure that the environmental impacts of its services and facilities do not disproportionately negatively impact any socioeconomic group.
- In particular, Metro should provide access to transit services to transit-dependent populations, such as low-income households, the elderly, disabled, and other

households without personal automobiles.

Geographic Equity

- Metro should strive for an equitable distribution of transit service throughout the county, with consideration for locations of actual population and employment growth, planned population and employment growth, transit-supportive land uses, and locations of revenue generation.
- Metro should strive to balance county-wide coverage and access to transit in all communities in King County with providing more intensive services to areas of the county with higher demonstrated transit ridership demand.
- In striving for geographic equity, Metro should take into consideration investments from all sources -- including Metro ferries, van pools, street cars, and trolleys, Sound Transit, private transit service, and any other transit services provided within King County.

Land Use

- Transit service should serve existing land uses and support countywide growth management objectives.
- New transit service should be prioritized to locations where existing uses and recent growth have resulted in demand for transit, particularly suburban locations, that have seen the majority of the county's growth in the past 20 years. Special consideration should be given to areas of the county that lack any transit service or have low levels of service relative to service demand.
- Transit service should support the regional growth strategy and adopted countywide growth targets, which call for the majority of new growth to occur in communities with urban centers, including Seattle and many suburban cities, as well as substantial growth in smaller and more outlying communities. Transit service should support local plans that call for a mix and density of residential and commercial uses that promote transit ridership.

Financial Sustainability

- Current sources of transit funding are insufficient and unreliable. Additional funding and funding tools that would provide predictable, sustainable revenues for transit will be needed.
- Additional local funding options should be evaluated for areas desiring additional service levels.
- Metro should develop a system to monitor its financial stability on an ongoing basis and publish data on operating revenues and capital and operating costs in a timely manner.

Economic Development

Recognizing that convenient access to transit can spur economic development, transit facilities should be located in areas where local land use plans and zoning support economic development. Growth in the transit system should occur concurrently with employment growth to facilitate commuter access to key employment and service centers.

Productivity and Efficiency

See guiding principles for productivity and efficiency under Strategies for Increasing the Efficiency of King County Metro below.

State and Federal Legislative Agenda Issues to Achieve the Vision

Additional funding and funding tools that would provide sustainable revenues for transit will be needed.

Strategies for Increasing the Efficiency of King County Metro

- To minimize the potential for reductions in transit service, Metro should continue to take measures to increase its efficiency and reduce operating costs. These include the measures recommended in the 2009 Transit Performance Audit, as well as any measures recommended in the 2010 audit of Metro's bus procurement program.
- Metro should coordinate services with Sound Transit and other providers to reduce duplication of services.
- Metro should strive to maximize ridership while at the same time recognizing that growth in ridership is a gradual process that requires safe, frequent, and reliable service and time to develop, particularly in newly urbanizing locations of the county.

Criteria for Systematically Reducing the Transit System should Revenues not be Available to Sustain It

Reductions in the transit system should not be considered until Metro has implemented all feasible measures to increase its productivity and efficiency (see above).

Any system-wide reduction in transit service due to insufficient operating revenues shall strive to maintain a minimum level of transit service, transit security, and customer service in all geographic areas of the county, and to preserve the voter-approved Transit Now programs within the collection of Transit Now revenues.

Reductions must be in proportion to each subarea's share of the total service investment, at each major service change, tailored to the needs of each sub-area, including the need to serve transit-dependent populations and implemented within each subarea in communication and consultation with appropriate King County Subarea Boards.

(May 12, 2010)

Transit Service Restoration

SCA supports the following policy for transit service restoration:

- a. SCA supports no change to the existing 40/40/20 new service allocation policy at this time.
- b. The 2010 work program for the King County Regional Transit Committee shall focus on restoration of transit service cuts, if any. (October 15, 2009)

Tax Neutral Shift of Excess Property Tax

SCA supports the King County Executive's proposal for a tax neutral shift of excess property tax revenues from AFIS and the Ferry District to reduce the anticipated Metro budget shortfall and to fund six RapidRide routes and the new ST 520 "urban partnership" service, subject to compliance restrictions on the revenues. (October 15, 2009)

Budget Shortfall for Transit Services

To address the potential budget shortfall for Transit services, SCA supports fare increases before reductions in services are implemented. SCA supports an increase in Transit's target for fare box recovery to a minimum of 30 percent of operating expense (OE) from operating revenues (OR) for bus services. Vanpool and other general public passenger services will have their own operating revenue to operating expense ratio. The OR/OE is one of many factors to be considered in evaluating fare proposals. Achieving a specified OR/OE by itself is neither a sufficient reason for a fare increase nor for any particular level of increase.

The Transit Program consists of three subfunds: Transit Operating, Revenue Fleet Replacement, and Transit Capital. SCA supports Transit's development of a plan for reducing the size of the Revenue Fleet Replacement Fund balance before cutting service hours. The Revenue Fleet Replacement Sub-Fund shall maintain a balance sufficient to fund replacement of the vehicle fleet; reserves in excess of cash flow requirements may be invested at inter-fund borrowing rates in Council approved transit capital projects. Investment earnings attributable to reserves in each of these subfunds will be credited to that subfund. (September 10, 2009)

To address the potential budget shortfall for Transit services, SCA supports fare increases before reductions in services are implemented. (September 5, 2009)

Guiding Principles for Reducing Metro Transit Service Hours

- Any reduction in service should strive to maintain at least a minimum level of transit service, providing transit access to all geographic areas of the county.
- Any reduction in service should strive to preserve the voter-approved Transit Now programs to the fullest extent possible within the collection of Transit Now revenues.
- Any reduction in service should strive to provide better coordination to avoid duplication in service between Sound Transit and Metro where feasible.
- Any system wide reductions in service shall be in proportion to each subarea's share of the total service investment, at each major service change.
- Any reduction in service should tailor the type of service and service levels to the needs of each sub-area
- Any reduction in service must be implemented within each subarea in communication and consultation with appropriate King County Subarea Boards. (June 17, 2009)

Reaffirmation of service investment distribution

SCA supports the Six Year Transit Development Plan Strategy IM-3: Any system wide reduction in service investment shall be distributed among the subareas in proportion to each subarea's share of the total service investment. (April 15, 2009)

King County Legislative Proposal regarding Statutory Authority to levy MVET

Suburban Cities Association opposes King County's legislative proposal to gain statutory authority to levy a Motor Vehicle Excise Tax to fund transit services in part to help mitigate impacts of the replacement of the Alaskan Way Viaduct, as well as to fund Transit Now projects beyond 2009 and additional new service as funds permit. If King County gains this statutory authority, SCA supports a voter-approved approach to levy the MVET with the

distribution of new service hours based on the 40/40/20 subarea allocation formula.
(March 16, 2009)

Shortfall funding for Metro Transit

SCA supports a fifty cent fare increase to help close a serious shortfall in Metro Transit's current 2008-2009 biennial budget. (November 12, 2008)

Transit Now

SCA supports the proposal to increase the sales and use tax by 0.1 percent to make the needed enhancements to Metro Transit/s capital and maintenance programs and operations that will allow expansion of Metro bus service throughout King County. (October 13, 2006)

Parking Fees at Park and Ride Lots

SCA supports the continuation of offering park and ride lots at no cost to users.
(May 17, 2006)

Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) King County



Ed Prince



Doris McConnell



Wayne Osborne



John Wright



Penny Sweet



Kevin Wallace

2014 SCA Regional Water Quality Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/14
Doris McConnell	Shoreline	dmccconnell@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Wayne Osborne	Auburn	wosborne@auburnwa.gov	12/31/14
John Wright	Lake Forest Park	jrwright@cityofflp.com	12/31/14
Alternate	City	Email Address	
Penny Sweet	Kirkland	psweet@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/14
Kevin Wallace	Bellevue	krwallace@bellevuewa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Regional Water Quality Committee Meeting Times and Location

RWQC meets the first Wednesday of the month from 3:00 PM – 5:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the RWQC from 2:00 PM - 3:00 pm. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th Floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_water_quality.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Water Quality Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) develops, reviews and recommends countywide policies and plans regarding water quality and sewer services issues, long range capital facilities plans, rate policies and facilities siting to guide King County's regional water quality responsibilities for consideration by King County Council.

Regional Water Quality Committee Make Up/Voting Rights: Each regional committee consists of 9 voting members. Representation on the RWQC includes 3 county councilmembers, 2 sewer district appointees, and 4 local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as 2 votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle appoints 2 members (each with 1 full vote). SCA appoints 4 representatives (each with fractional (1/2) vote). The Council may by ordinance authorize the appointment of additional, nonvoting members representing entities outside of the County that receive sewerage treatment services from the county. Members representing 6 ½ votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the county council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county councilmembers.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RWQC in 2014

- Regional Wastewater Services Plan (RWSP) Financial Policies.
- Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Program/Plan Update.
- Regional Wastewater Service Plan Update (Strategy).
- Major Capital and Asset Management Projects.
- Water quality issues.
- Capacity charge policies.

Selected SCA Regional Water Quality Committee Policy Position Statements

King County Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study

SCA generally supports the current scope of work for the proposed Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study, but has concerns about the wide range of estimated costs for each element and the high ends of the estimated cost ranges. SCA supports approval of the Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study scope of work with the following caveats:

- The primary focus of the scope of work shall be to address items required as part of the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) program review, plan update, and program implementation;
- Discretionary items including: the “Synthesis Report” (Element 3) and “Scientific and Technical Review Team” (Element 4) should be included in the scope of work if the anticipated outcomes will produce long term cost savings for King County ratepayers;
- The need for an Executive Advisory Panel (as set forth in Element 5) has not been clearly established. Until and unless the need for a Panel is clearly demonstrated to RWQC and the County Council, the up to \$450,000 budgeted for this line item should not be expended;
- SCA requests that the Wastewater Treatment Division provide an annual report to the RWQC, which shall include detail regarding the costs expended and benefits received as a result of the expenditures.
- SCA supports the addition of a cost benefit analysis as a separate study to provide data and evaluation of the best investments of \$1 billion to achieve acceptable water quality standards by 2030. (August 21, 2013)

Reclaimed Water Comprehensive Plan – Strategies

That SCA supports moving forward from Step 3 to Step 4 on the Reclaimed Water Comprehensive Plan and request inclusion of a cost-benefit analysis of the existing system. (March 24, 2011)

Reclaimed Water Planning Process

SCA supports the following criteria for the reclaimed water planning process:

Regional wastewater system planning. The purpose of this criterion is to evaluate how serving potential uses for reclaimed water fits into future improvements and operations of the regional wastewater system. This criterion will gauge the following:

- Ability to maintain the efficient and safe operations of the regional wastewater system.
- Ability to cost-effectively incorporate a reclaimed water strategy into future King County regional wastewater treatment and/or conveyance improvements.
- Ability to meet regulatory requirements, including those reasonably anticipated.
- Ability to obtain funding from benefited parties.

Creating, resources from wastewater. The purpose of this criterion is to evaluate how serving potential uses for reclaimed water meets WTD's vision of creating resources from wastewater. This criterion will gauge the following:

- The volume of treated effluent that can be beneficially reused as reclaimed water.
- The sustainability of uses of reclaimed water with a cost benefits analysis. Institutional barriers, such as existing laws, policies, or agreements that may constrain ability to serve uses.
- The extent to which surface water or wetlands or groundwater conditions and habitat could be improved by the use of reclaimed water, such as improved low- flow conditions in streams, lower stream temperatures, or other enhancements.

Protecting water quality in Puget Sound. The purpose of this criterion is to evaluate how serving potential uses for reclaimed water reduces reliance on Puget Sound for the discharge of effluent. This criterion will gauge the following:

- The extent to which pollutants from the county's regional wastewater System are reduced through production and use of reclaimed water.
- The extent to which a higher level of treatment reduces pollutant headings in Puget Sound. (March 10, 2010)

Reused/Reclaimed Water

SCA supports the proposed Reclaimed Water planning process for future expansion. (October 15, 2009)

County Sewer Rates/Capacity Charges

SCA supports the proposed two-year County Sewer Rate increase to \$31.90.

SCA supports the proposed 2009 increase in King County Capacity Charge Rate for new hook-ups of \$47.64, a 3% increase over the current rate. (June 25, 2008)

Reused/Reclaimed Water

SCA supports the policy change as submitted to the RWQC and overall, SCA supports reuse/ reclaimed water, but continues to require the County to perform the necessary business case studies needed to evaluate the timing, effectiveness, and desirability of reuse/reclaimed water. (September 27, 2006)

Financing Regional Water Quality (Culver Funding) (RWQC)

SCA supports the position that while Culver funding is important to this region, the County, with its regional partners, needs to look towards alternative funding sources for the Culver Program. (July 19, 2006)

Capacity Charge Methodology regarding CSO Costs

SCA supports the revision of the capacity charge methodology to revise CSO costs from the shared customer category to the existing customer category. (July 19, 2006)

Capacity Charges vs. Rate to Pay for Growth (RWQC)

SCA supports the policy of growth pays for growth and encourage that language be added to our contracts to support that policy, but to recommend against applying a specific formula for calculation of the capacity charge within our Component Agency contracts. (July 19, 2006)

Summaries/representation/I-I Control Program/Hook Up Charges

- A. SCA supports the RWQC and County Council requirement of regular executive summaries (monthly) of the costs to monitor “rate creep” in an attempt to avoid increases in Sewer Rate/Capacity Charge (sewer hookups) in the short and long term.
- B. SCA supports the status quo representation from suburban cities. Suggested changes to committee structure—12 members and 4 alternates distributed as follows: KC Council (4 members); SCA (4 members w/1/2 vote each & 2 alternates); Seattle Council (2 members & 1 alternate); and Sewer Districts (2 members & 1 alternate). For purposes of voting, this change may require a weighted or one/1/2 vote system.
- C. SCA encourages municipalities to continue to monitor the development of an I/I Control Program initiated by KC. Follow the MWPAAC technical guidance/ recommendation on this issue.
- D. SCA supports full disclosure of new hook up charges at point of sale. This would allow the home buyer options on how to pay the more than \$4,100 current capacity charge. (May 26, 2005)

Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) King County



David Baker

Stacia Jenkins

2014 SCA Solid Waste Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	9/30/14
Stacia Jenkins	Normandy Park	stacia.jenkins@ci.normandy-park.wa.us	9/30/14

Staff	Email Address
Doreen	doreen@soundcities.org

King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

SWAC meetings are held on the third Friday of each month from 9:30 AM – 11:30 AM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of SWAC from 8:30 AM— 9:30 AM. SWAC meets in the 8th Floor Conference Room of the King Street Center, 201 S. Jackson, Seattle.

Website: <http://your.kingcounty.gov/solidwaste/about/advisory-committees.asp>

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) is a citizens’ advisory body that provides input on solid waste management issues and decisions affecting county residents and the services they receive. SWAC is established under state law. The committee is balanced geographically and includes those who receive solid waste services, public interest groups, labor, recycling businesses, solid waste collection companies and local elected officials. SWAC reviews and advises on policy issues, including the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan and the annual budget. This is a three year appointment.

King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

The SWAC is composed of at least 9 and no more than 20 members representing a balance of interests among the following groups: citizens, public interest groups, labor, business, the waste management industry, local elected public officials, the recycling industry, manufacturers locating in King County, and marketing and education interests. Members shall provide ongoing public input, coordination, and information exchange between the SWAC and the groups that they represent.

Recommendations to the Solid Waste Division, Executive, County Council, or any other entity in the name of the SWAC shall be approved by a majority vote of the SWAC members present. Minority opinions may also be forwarded with the majority recommendation. Subcommittee recommendations shall not be considered recommendations of the SWAC unless the full SWAC has acted to approve them.

Issues that will likely be considered by the SWAC in 2014

- Product Stewardship Initiatives.
- Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Management Plan Update.
- Sustainable Solid Waste Management Study.

Selected SCA Solid Waste Advisory Committee Policy Position Statements

Pharmaceutical Products

SCA supports a product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products. (July 14, 2010)

MSWMAC as Solid Waste Forum

SCA supports the proposal that Metropolitan SW Management Advisory Committee (MSWMAC) replace TPC as the Solid Waste Interlocal Forum, while recognizing RPC's role as the policy review body for solid waste and other regional issues. (March 16, 2009)

Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Export Plan

SCA supports the adoption of the Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Export System Plan. (September 19, 2007)

Proposed Solid Waste Rate Increase

The proposed basic tip fee of \$95.00 per ton for 2008 through 2010 is driven by inflation, the debt service needed to fund capital projects and a desire for the financial stability of a three-year rate. The Solid Waste Division has not had a rate increase since 1999 and despite implementing operating efficiencies, costs and inflationary pressures have caught up and capital investment is necessary at this time. SCA supports the proposed increase in the tip fee for Solid Waste from \$82.50 to \$95.00. (April 18, 2007)

Puget Sound Partnership South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG)



Chris Eggen



Jim Haggerton

2014 SCA South Central Action Area Caucus Group Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Chris Eggen	Shoreline	ceggen@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/14
Jim Haggerton	Tukwila	jim.haggerton@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/14
Staff		Email Address	
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

South Central Action Area Caucus Group Meeting Times and Location

The SCAACG meets quarterly, typically from 12:00 PM - 2:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held ahead of the SCAACG from 11:00 AM—12 PM. Meetings are held at Renton City Hall, 7th floor, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton; however, the location and time is subject to change. 2014 meetings will be held on February 19, May 5, August 18, and November 10.

Website: www.govlink.org/sc-puget-sound-action-area/Index.htm

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The goal and charge of the Puget Sound Partnership is to recover the health and function of Puget Sound ecosystems. Integrating and implementing the Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan and the Action Agenda are the basis for achieving Puget Sound recovery. The Partnership is working with local communities in action areas across Puget Sound to identify local Puget Sound recovery priorities and coordinate efforts to implement actions called for in the Action Agenda, which guides the effort to recover Puget Sound by 2020. The purpose of the South Central Action Area Caucus Group is to help refine and confirm local action area priorities using input from constituents, and to help identify opportunities to become more efficient and effective through coordination and integration of Puget Sound recovery efforts.

Caucus group membership includes elected officials and staff from key implementer groups, including local jurisdictions, watershed groups, tribes, business, and non-governmental organizations. SCA appoints 2 members to the Committee. Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the SCAACG in 2014

- Continue to build and cultivate strong community support for Puget Sound recovery.
- Seek input from constituents and represent interests of constituents on implementation of the Action Agenda.
- Work collaboratively to advance strategic local priorities for implementing the Action Agenda for Puget Sound recovery, including advising the Partnership on legislative priorities, funding needs, and direction and allocation of resources.
- Identify opportunities to coordinate and integrate local efforts to advance Puget Sound recovery.

Selected SCA South Central Action Area Caucus Group Policy Position Statements

South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) – Guiding Principles

SCA supports the following guidelines to be used in reviewing the Action Area Briefs:

- The SCAACG may encourage or recommend local government to make certain regulatory or policy additions, revisions, and updates to support Puget Sound recovery efforts; however, the SCAAC should not put into place plans or policies that require local governments to adopt more stringent regulations/plans/policies than currently exist.
- The SCAACG may collect existing information/data/studies from local governments. Governments will make available existing information/data/studies in a timely manner, as resources are available.
- The SCAACG may encourage local governments to prioritize actions consistent with the Action Agenda and SCAAC priorities. Local governments should consider such prioritization requests if they are consistent with local policies, regulations, and work plans.
- The SCAACG may encourage local governments to work collaboratively with other organizations on achieving Action Area goals.
- The SCAACG may lead a communication effort among members in an effort to meet Action Agenda priorities in a more consistent manner. Local governments should consider participation, as resources are available. (July 20, 2011)

Staff Appointments

Interagency Advisory Council (IAC) - Committee to End Homelessness (CEH)
Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Council - King County
Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC) - Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC)

Interagency Advisory Council (IAC) Committee to End Homelessness (CEH)

2014 SCA Interagency Advisory Council Staff Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Jennifer Henning	Renton	jhenning@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
Michael Hursh	Auburn	mhursh@auburnwa.gov	12/31/15
Colleen Kelly	Redmond	ckelly@redmond.gov	12/31/14
Staff	Email Address		
Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org		

Interagency Advisory Council Meeting Times and Location

Interagency Council Meetings are scheduled for the first Monday of the month from 2 PM - 4 PM.

Meeting locations rotate among Bellevue City Hall, Renton City Hall, and St. Mark’s Episcopal Cathedral locations.

Website: <http://cehkc.org/committees/committeelC.aspx>

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Interagency Advisory Council (IAC) is the body of the Committee to End Homelessness (CEH) that works to sponsor changes to current programs and systems; coordinate data collection, analysis and reporting; recommend policy direction to the Governing Board; and create ways to better serve people experiencing homelessness.

Members of the IAC are typically directors of large organizations and systems that are critical to ending homelessness, and include King County; human service directors and city managers; the directors of county corrections and public health; faith leaders; housing developers; service providers; and other community leaders. Two individuals who have experienced homelessness also serving on the Interagency Council.

These agencies, institutions, and individuals are vital to creating changes in current programs and moving local institutions forward in support of the ten-year plan.

The Interagency Advisory Council was created as one piece of a three-part governance structure approved by the Committee to End Homelessness King County and charged with a key leadership role in the implementation of the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness. Other bodies include the CEH Governing Board and the CEH Consumer Advisory Council.

Role of the Interagency Advisory Council

- Develop and recommend policy to the Governing Board that will further the goals and objectives of the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness.
- Upon direction from the Governing Board, incorporate selected policies and strategies within departments, programs and service areas over which the IAC member may have jurisdiction to assure the successful implementation of the Ten-Year Plan.
- Educate and influence policy makers and advocate for system reform and increased resources at the local, state and federal levels in support the Ten-Year Plan.
- Identify opportunities to coordinate countywide strategies and funding to end homelessness, especially linkages between housing and services, and collaborate on grant opportunities as appropriate.
- Encourage and sustain partnerships through incentives and targeted funding opportunities and review grant application and funding recommendations for consistency with the Ten-Year Plan.
- Oversee coordination and development of annual work plans for the Governing Board's approval.
- Establish subcommittees to assist with implementing the IAC's responsibilities and review and approve work program for each subcommittee.
- Coordinate data collection, analysis and reporting.

Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee King County

2014 SCA Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Bob Harrison	Issaquah	bobh@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16

Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

AFIS meetings are held quarterly on the third Thursday of the month from 9:30 AM -11:30 AM in the Executive Conference Room in the King County Chinook Building, 401 Fifth Avenue, Seattle.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/afis

Role & Mission of the Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee

Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee provides oversight on the operation and funding of AFIS services in King County. Since AFIS operations affect all law enforcement and jail agencies in King County, its involvement in recommending policy, setting expectations and protocols for each jurisdiction and recommending budget proposals, will ensure that the operation remains responsive to the needs of the region. SCA appoints a City Manager/City Administrator representative to the AFIS Advisory Board.

Mission

The Regional AFIS Program promotes public safety and contributes to crime reduction by providing expert fingerprint identification services to criminal justice agencies throughout King County. Through support of King County voters, the Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) provides criminal identification services that officers, investigators, prosecutors, and corrections staff have all come to rely upon. The program is recognized for its high standards in quality, accuracy, and service. Its existence aids in solving crimes throughout King County, and contributes to the safety of both officers and citizens.

Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC) Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC)

2014 SCA Regional Project Evaluation Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Maiya Andrews	Burien	maiya@burienwa.gov	12/31/15
Don Cairns	Redmond	dcairns@redmond.gov	12/31/15
Daniel Marcinko	Snoqualmie	dmarcinko@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us	12/31/15
Kirk McKinley	Shoreline	kmckinley@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/15
Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Gary Costa	Issaquah	garyc@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/15
Ingrid Gaub	Auburn	igaub@auburnwa.gov	12/31/15
David Godfrey	Kirkland	dgodfrey@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/15
Jim Seitz	Renton	jseitz@rentonwa.gov	12/31/15
Staff	Email Address		
Lyset Cadena	SCA Staff	lyset@soundcities.org	

Regional Project Evaluation Committee Meeting Times and Location

The RPEC meets on the 4th Friday of the month, from 9:30 AM – 11:30 AM at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: <http://www.psrc.org/about/advisory/rpec/>

Role of the Committee

The Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC) makes recommendations on criteria and specific projects for federal funding and addresses related transportation planning issues. RPEC representation is based on current population. SCA appoints 4 members and 4 alternates. SCA typically appoints Public Works Directors or other high profile staff that are responsible for applying for and managing federal transportation grants within their jurisdiction.

2013 Miscellaneous SCA Policy Positions

Shoreline Light Rail Station – Preferred Location

The Sound Cities Association urges the Sound Transit Board to support the City of Shoreline's preferred alternative for Lynwood Link Extension light rail station locations at NE 145th Street and NE 185th Street. NE 145th Street provides better connections throughout the region, is more closely aligned with Shoreline's long term planning goals, and would prevent negative traffic impacts on Shoreline residents. (September 18, 2013)

Marketplace Fairness Act

The Sound Cities Association supports the Marketplace Fairness Act. (May 29, 2013)

Flexible use of Lodging Tax Revenues by local jurisdictions

SCA supports the flexible use of lodging tax revenues by local jurisdictions for the operations and marketing of special events and festivals beyond June 30, 2013, and supports passage of Substitute House Bill 1253 and companion bill Substitute Senate Bill 5262. (March 8, 2013)

Watershed Investment Authorities

SCA supports the formation of a stakeholder group by the Washington State Legislature, as the means to reach consensus on bill language regarding watershed investment authorities, to be introduced in the 2014 legislative session. (February 20, 2013)

Public Records Act

SCA is committed to open and transparent government and to upholding the intent of the Public Records Act. SCA supports the legislative efforts of the Association of Washington Cities (AWC) and others to help relieve the onerous cost burdens associated with Public Records Requests that are harassing, frivolous, or overly burdensome. (February 20, 2013)

SCA Board Policies Regarding Appointments to Regional Committees and Boards

701 APPOINTMENT POLICY

A member representing the Sound Cities Association is expected to reflect policy which has been developed by the Sound Cities Association when sitting as a member of any regional committee, board or task force. (8/16/1995)

701.1 Exercise of SCA Appointment Authority

- a) Sound Cities Association (hereafter, SCA) exercises appointment authority on behalf of its member cities for those regional forums in which the municipalities of King County, other than Seattle, share representation and where, by charter, bylaw or interlocal contract, the appointment authority is exercised by the affected jurisdictions.
- b) SCA's appointment authority for shared representation is valid for so long as SCA's membership comprises over 50% of the suburban municipalities containing over 50% of the suburban population.
- c) SCA may be asked to recommend appointments to regional forums where appointment authority resides in others (state or county executives or legislative bodies). SCA is not responsible for the appointment subsequently made, staffing scheduling or information distribution.
- d) While SCA attempts to meet the representational requirements of regional bodies, it is the policy of SCA's board of directors to promote consolidation of regional issues in such a way as to provide for effective decision-making through efficient use of our staff and elected officials' time. This policy may be evidenced through the creation of the SCA Public Issues Committee. (9-19-2007)
- e) SCA shall retain discretion in accepting or exercising appointment authority for new forums, based on the perceived value of the forum proposed, availability of elected officials or staff with prerequisite knowledge, and the availability of alternate forums for exercise of the same function. The Board shall be the final arbiter of acceptance of responsibility for new forums.
- f) Board appoints staff representatives. (10-29-99, 7/28/05, 9/19/07)

701.2. Identification of Appointees

Regional Committee Appointments shall be recommended to the Board of Directors by December 1st each year. (Bylaws 11/17/04, 9/19/07)

- a) SCA shall, in the fall of each year, issue a call for nominations from the cities of King County for all open seats for King County regional boards, committees and task forces.
- b) SCA shall provide for notice to the membership of:
 - all regional appointments currently being reviewed,
 - the process and timeline for approval of appointments,

- any requirements or criteria for consideration
 - appointments which are available due to retirement or resignation
- c) The Public Issues Committee through its Nominating Committee shall review all pertinent information and determine a draft list of appointments for the coming year with regard to the following criteria:
- geographic distribution
 - size distribution
 - governance distribution
 - ability to serve, interest in serving, past participation
 - knowledge of the subject matter
- d) Appointments may be continued from year to year, upon recommendation of the Public Issues Committee and approval of the Board of Directors, based on:
- past participation and continued interest in serving,
 - successful representation of membership interests in past efforts,
 - information from the caucus chair, if any,
 - comparative need for organizational continuity in any appointment.
- e) Appointments are valid for one year unless specified by the governing authority. (9/19/07)
- f) Alternates will be appointed for boards, committees and task forces, according to the same criteria as full members. (10/29/1999, 7/28/2005)
- g) For vacancies and new appointment opportunities, SCA shall issue a call for nominations from the cities of King County and follow the procedure as outlined in b) through f) above. Any vacancies occurring after August 1st shall be filled at the discretion of the Board of Directors. (7/19/2006)
- h) Appointment of electeds for terms less than 6 months or interim workgroups and task forces shall be made directly by the Board of Directors. (7/19/2006)

701.3 Roles and Responsibilities of Appointees

- a) Appointees and alternates to regional forums are expected to attend meetings of the forum and of the SCA caucus. At the beginning of each appointment year, caucus chairs, in coordination with the executive director, shall establish steps to be taken in confirming attendance, notifying of absences or other procedural matters concerning the forum. (9/19/2007)
- b) Appointees and alternates should be knowledgeable of the subject matter of the forum, reviewing materials and participating in discussion on behalf of the interests of the affected jurisdictions. Appointees shall observe any protocols or procedures of the forum in which they participate.
- c) Alternates should participate in all caucus meetings and in materials distribution on a par with full members, including the discussion of any caucus consensus position.
- d) Alternates will be considered by the Board to succeed to full participation in any forum on the resignation or illness of the principal appointee, for the duration of the current term. (9/19/2007)
- e) Appointees and alternates should regularly report activities and pending issues of their

forum to SCA's membership, through attendance and participation in SCA meetings of the Public Issues Committee and/or membership meetings, written reports or electronic communication, as is appropriate.

- f) Appointees and alternates shall, in all matters for which they are a delegate of SCA, represent the common interests of all member cities. Appointees shall notify the caucus lead and the Executive Director of SCA in the event that the interests of the city for which they are an elected official prevents them from or interferes with their representation of the interests of all member cities.
- g) A representative designated as caucus chair by SCA's appointees shall be individually responsible for reporting forum issues and activities to the Public Issues Committee, and for working with and being available to any assigned staff in support of that forum.
(9/19/2007)
- h) The caucus members should be responsible for identification of cross-forum issues and the caucus chair should be responsible for communicating cross-forum or conflicting issues to the Board.
(Board 10/29/1999, 7/28/2005, 9/19/2007)

701.4. ANNUAL PREPARATION FOR APPOINTMENTS PROCESS

- a) SCA will provide for a joint seminar to provide training and orientation for regional appointees, alternates and any affected staff in January. This training will be scheduled to provide an orientation vehicle for newly elected officials. Newly elected officials will also be invited to attend.
 - Caucus chairs and vice-chairs will be selected with consideration being given to
 - geographic balance. (9/19/2007, 10/19/11)
 - Process for action will be identified
 - Member staff will be assigned and duties identified
- b) SCA, in consultation with caucus chairs for the various forums, will provide for an annual review of existing forums, which may include external or internal assessments of the forum's utility to the member cities, effectiveness of the forum in meeting the needs of regional decision making, and potential future value to the member cities. In consultation with the Board, SCA's president will provide for dissemination or communication of the annual review to member cities and regional governments.
(Board 10/29/99, 7/28/05, 9/19/07)

701.5 SCA Caucuses – Manner of Acting

- a) Caucus Chair Responsibilities
 - Determine if caucus meeting is needed
 - Set SCA Caucus meeting agenda with the assistance of lead staff
 - Have identified technical staff to provide technical briefing, as necessary
 - Attend and chair SCA Caucus meeting.
 - Confirm attendance of an SCA Caucus alternate in case of an absence.
 - Absent an SCA position, poll Caucus and develop a majority position of those present or available prior to regional forum meeting. Absent a majority position, ask for a delay in action.
(9/19/2007)

- Attend regional forum meetings.
 - Seventy-five percent attendance at both caucus and regional committee meetings is expected.
 - Serve as liaison to SCA Public Issues Committee; communicate SCA Board positions on issues.
 - Brief Public Issues Committee and solicit ideas and discussion when appropriate.
 - Present agreed-to motions to regional forum or designate this responsibility to other Caucus member.
 - Coordinate political and policy issues with other regional forum members from Seattle and King County as appropriate.
 - After an SCA appointee misses 30% of the caucus and/or committee meetings SCA shall inquire about the intent of the appointee to fulfill his/her responsibilities. At 50% absence, the Board of Directors may ask for the resignation of the appointee. (7/22/09)
 - Make every attempt to generate a caucus recommendation to SCA for the development of a timely position statement. (10/20/10)
- b) Caucus Member/Alternate Responsibilities
- Represent SCA Board position on issues.
 - Review material in advance of meetings; participate in discussion and help develop Caucus consensus.
 - Attend Caucus meetings and briefings.
 - Attend regional committee meetings.
 - Seventy-five percent attendance at both caucus and regional committee meeting is expected.
 - Alternates participate as members in all activities except voting. (7/28/2005)
 - Absent an SCA position, the caucus chair shall poll Caucus and develop a majority position of those present or available prior to regional forum meeting. Absent a majority position, the caucus chair shall ask for a delay in action. Absent a delay in action, delegates represent their perception of the position of the membership. In the event of the latter, SCA appointees may not further lobby their individual position as a representative of SCA. Absent an SCA position or consensus by the caucus, appointees may lobby as a representative of their city unless the issue has been identified as a divisive issue by the SCA Board of Directors. If the issue is a divisive issue the appointees shall abstain from voting. (10/20/10)
 - After an SCA appointee misses 30% of the caucus and/or committee meetings SCA shall inquire about the intent of the appointee to fulfill his/her responsibilities. At 50% absence, the Board of Directors may ask for the resignation of the appointee. (7/22/09)
- c) Caucus Staff Lead
- Caucus staff will be appointed by the Executive Director when possible and appropriate. (6/16/2010)
 - Caucus staff should represent balanced geographic distribution
 - The purpose of the caucus staff lead is to:

1. Support the public policy positions of SCA and the work of the electeds assigned to the board/committee;
2. Support the caucus chair in planning agendas for SCA caucus meetings;
3. Advise the caucus on issues and concerns of the member jurisdictions, to assist in drafting the background and recommended policy positions for the SCA Public Issues Committee; and
4. Assist in drafting the background and recommended policy positions for the SCA Public Issues Committee. (9/19/2007)

702 REGIONAL PROJECT EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR PSRC

702.1 Background

The Regional Project Evaluation Committee is a standing committee of the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), established for the purpose of ranking projects consistent with the policy framework adopted by the PSRC's Executive Board. The Committee is made up of staff members from participating general governments and agencies, currently identified and authorized by a process administered by the Sound Cities Association.

Staff who are designated as representing 'other cities and towns' in all four participating counties are the only staff who must represent the interests of more than one unit of general government. Thus, it is important that these staff are mindful of their role and responsibilities to 'other cities and towns'. (4-1-2004, 7-28-2005)

702.2 Process and administration

SCA's process for identifying and naming staff shall be designed to

- distribute representation from all geographic regions represented by these SCA cities as equally as possible, by communicating with all cities in a geographic region on the occasion of a vacancy;
- provide for notice to all SCA cities through the mayor or chief executive officer, when a vacancy occurs on the committee due to resignation or retirement of an existing staff member;
- ensure that no city or individual staff person shall serve more than three funding cycles (six years, the current 'life' of federal transportation enabling statutes) as a voting member of the RPEC, without other cities in the geographic region having an opportunity to identify a staff member to serve on the committee;
- open a call for nominations at the conclusion of each funding cycle (typically 3 years), to ensure appropriate participation; (7-19-2006)
- provide staff members to serve as alternates to the full members of the RPEC. The alternates shall be afforded preference in appointment when a member vacancy occurs;
- ensure that the King County-wide forum chair is a member of the RPEC, if that forum chair is an employee of a city which is classified as one of the 'other cities and towns' of King County, within the meaning of the Puget Sound Regional Council's representational scheme.

The Board of SCA shall have final authority on appointments to the RPEC.

(4-1-2004, 7-28-2005)

702.3 Roles and responsibilities

Staff members serving on behalf of 'other cities and towns' in King County shall endeavor to:

- exercise judgment in the application of project ranking criteria in a manner that represents 'other cities and towns' in King County equally, without undue preference to projects within their city;
- arrange regular communication with the group of cities represented on matters coming before the RPEC, through electronic written reports or meetings;
- make themselves known to and available for consultation with elected officials serving on the Transportation Policy Board or the Executive Board of Puget Sound Regional Council, to ensure appropriate linkage among all parties representing 'other cities and towns' at the Puget Sound Regional Council. (4-1-2004)



SOUND CITIES ASSOCIATION

6300 Southcenter Blvd. Suite 206
Tukwila, WA 98188
Phone: (206) 433-7168
Email: sca@soundcities.org
Website: www.soundcities.org