MEMORANDUM

To: Kurt Triplett, City Manager
From: Michael Cogle, Interim Director, Department of Parks & Community Services
Leslie Miller, Human Services Coordinator
Date: February 10, 2016
Subject: Update: Siting of Permanent Eastside Women’s Shelter

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that City Council receives an update on efforts to site a permanent shelter for women experiencing homelessness on the Eastside.

BACKGROUND DISCUSSION:

In March of 2015 the City Council adopted Resolution R-5117 setting the City’s Work Program for 2015-2016. The Work Program includes the following:

*Partner with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH) and non-profit organizations to site a permanent Eastside women’s shelter in Kirkland to further the goals of Housing and Human Services.*

The Resolution also directed the City Manager to periodically update the Council regarding progress on these efforts.

**Recent History.** Emergency winter shelters have been a key component of the Eastside safety net over the past several years. Intended to supplement existing year-round shelter facilities during the life-threatening cold weather months, these emergency facilities have been located in temporary locations such as community centers, churches, and vacant buildings. The shelters receive funding support from the City of Kirkland, neighboring cities, King County and other funders.

Each year service providers, working closely with local cities, struggle to identify suitable locations for these vital facilities. For men, a shelter is operated by Congregations for the Homeless (CFH). For women and families with children, a shelter is operated by The Sophia Way, most recently in partnership with Catholic Community Services.

In 2014, the Eastside Human Services Forum, working in conjunction with ARCH, convened a meeting of local mayors and other community leaders to discuss the challenges of emergency winter shelters and the need for permanent locations. Background materials for that meeting are provided in Attachment A. In May 2015 the Kirkland and Bellevue city councils met jointly and discussed the winter shelter situation as a topic of common interest.
**Current Status.** In November of 2015 King County Executive Constantine and Seattle Mayor Murray declared a state of emergency over homelessness in our region. The annual One Night Count held in January 2016 identified a dramatic increase in homeless in King County, particularly on the Eastside.

This year the Eastside Winter Shelters continue to be heavily utilized by people in crisis. The men’s winter shelter is located at the former International Paper site in Bellevue, now owned by Sound Transit. Due to extraordinary need, the women/family winter shelter recently split into two locations serving the different populations. Single women are served at Bellevue First Congregational Church until March 1, moving to Redwood Family Church (Redmond) thereafter. Families with children are currently served at St. Peter’s United Methodist Church (Bellevue), but will soon be moving to Overlake Park Presbyterian (Bellevue).

Locations for all Eastside winter shelters have yet to be secured for the winter of 2016-2017.

**Permanent Siting.** The City of Bellevue has taken the lead on working with CFH and ARCH on seeking a permanent shelter site for single men. A site selection process is underway but a proposed site has yet to be announced.

City of Kirkland staff have been meeting with service providers on siting options for a permanent shelter site for single women. The Sophia Way and Catholic Community Services have expressed interest in co-locating shelter facilities for single women and for families with children on one site. Initially we explored partnering with Imagine Housing on a project adjacent to Francis Village in the Totem Lake area, but Imagine Housing was unable to commit to a joint venture. Staff and service providers continue to seek a suitable site in Kirkland.

A sheet outlining the tasks involved in the shelter siting process, including site analysis and funding strategy, has been provided by ARCH Program Manager Arthur Sullivan and is included as **Attachment B**.

**Funding.** ARCH, The Sophia Way, and Catholic Community Services have recently prepared a funding request to the State’s Capital Budget Chair, Representative Tharinger, in the amount of approximately $1.1 million for an East King County Emergency Women/Family Shelter. The project is being sponsored by Representative McBride. The Mayor has provided a letter of support on behalf of the City.

Other potential funders for the permanent shelter include the ARCH Housing Trust Fund, King County Housing Finance Program, King County Homeless Funding, United Way, and other possible public and private funders.

Staff and Mr. Sullivan will attend the Council retreat on February 24 and will be available to answer questions about the Eastside’s emergency winter shelters and the siting process.
WINTER SHELTER IN EAST KING COUNTY

Vision Statement
Every person in East King County has the opportunity to live in a safe, affordable, healthy home.

Value Statement
Homelessness is an experience that individuals and families may face for a variety of reasons. The reality of homelessness is extremely challenging for those experiencing it, and it can also present challenges for the community at large. Therefore, we must work together as a whole community--across sectors and geographic boundaries--to find solutions that are effective for those experiencing homelessness and that allow our communities to continue to thrive.

Specific Goal for Winter Shelter
As long as the capacity to shelter/house all who seek it is insufficient, the goal of providing additional shelter beds during the winter months is to ensure the health and safety of those who may otherwise have no option but to sleep outdoors. In addition, emergency shelter has been recognized as one point of entry on the path to housing.

Principles for Winter Shelter
Providing shelter is a shared responsibility of jurisdictions and community organizations throughout the Eastside.

Shelters are places for engagement and access to services and provide pathways to housing.

East King County needs a local shelter system that avoids ongoing siting.

Shelters need to be in central locations with full transit service and access to daytime services.

To be successful, the shelter siting process must engage and be sensitive to the concerns of the surrounding community.

A complete shelter strategy needs to include some level of outreach and daytime services to assist persons with accessing services and resources.

While shelter needs are more pronounced during winter months, ‘low barrier’ shelter is needed year round.
WINTER SHELTER IN EAST KING COUNTY

LONG-TERM SOLUTION

The purpose of this overview is to provide Councils with the necessary background information related to finding a long-term solution for winter shelter in East King County, including challenges and opportunities in moving forward to find a permanent winter shelter solution.

Background

Since 2009, a core workgroup of Eastside cities and partners has been instrumental in ensuring that homeless individuals have a safe place to sleep in the winter. The Eastside winter shelter has evolved from a weather-activated shelter with limited operations to an established Eastside program, serving more than 200 unduplicated clients each year. Recognizing the need to keep this critical service available to all members of the community, the workgroup has spent considerable time and effort trying to find a host site each year. Previous host sites have included churches located in residential neighborhoods, community centers, and most recently a vacant building in a semi-industrial part of Bellevue.
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Need and Capacity

The Eastside is not immune to the reality of homelessness. In fact, nearly 1000 men, women, youth and children from the Eastside were served in local shelter or transitional housing programs last year. The 2013 One Night Count of unsheltered individuals sleeping outside on the Eastside was 197 and the 2014 One Night Count was 178.

The winter shelters have been a key part of the Eastside safety net, serving residents from Bellevue (34%), Redmond (11%), Issaquah and Kirkland (6% each) as well as other areas. Individuals who are turned away or remain on a waitlist for other programmatic shelter programs provided by Friends of Youth, Hopelink, and Lifewire are able to access the winter shelter. Winter shelters have been at or near capacity each year. During the current winter season, the men’s shelter has ranged from 50 – 75 men per night, and the women’s shelter has ranged from 30 – 40 persons per night.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011-2012</th>
<th>2012-2013</th>
<th>2013-2014 (through Feb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightly capacity</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unduplicated individuals</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bednights</td>
<td>4540</td>
<td>4425</td>
<td>5718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number served/night</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women and Children</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>40-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightly capacity</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unduplicated individuals</td>
<td>93 women</td>
<td>106 women</td>
<td>119 women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bednights</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>2287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number served/night</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19 (avg is 25 for Jan. and Feb.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lessons Learned

Efforts toward a sustainable and effective long-term shelter solution can be informed by our experiences operating shelters over the past 5 years. These are detailed in the attached report. Some key lessons include:

- Shelters need to be in central locations with full transit service and access to daytime services. More ideal locations would be in non-residential areas.
- While shelter needs are more pronounced during winter months, ‘low barrier’ shelter is needed throughout the year.
- Clients are served best in a dedicated, non-shared space.
- Regulatory requirements - (fire and life safety, zoning, e.g.) leave limited siting options. Cities may need to consider appropriate changes.

1 Appendix A: Systems Map
2 Appendix B: Lessons Learned
Leveraging Key Partners

The long-term solution workgroup recognizes that this work requires strategic and systematic approaches. We need to continue to engage with our regional partners and funders – The Committee to End Homelessness (CEH), King County, United Way of King County (UWKC), and the faith community.

- **CEH / King County** - Winter shelter has been recognized as one component of an overall system to address various needs of homeless single adult, young adults, and families. And more recently, the Governing Board of CEH acknowledged the need to include shelter strategies as part of the effort to end homelessness in the County, including increasing shelter capacity outside of Seattle and increase nightly winter weather shelter.

- **Faith Communities** - Faith communities have supported shelters on the Eastside in a number of crucial ways. The Eastside Interfaith Social Concerns Council (EISCC) founded both Congregations for the Homeless (CFH) and The Sophia Way. Faith communities have stepped up to host shelters, provide financial resources, and to offer volunteers.

- **United Way** – United Way is an active partner in CEH and allocates a significant amount of resources related to chronic homelessness and emergency shelter across the county. It will be important to engage key staff as we work to establish a long term solution to winter shelter in East King County.

---

**Staff Recommendation: Create Dedicated Winter Shelters on the Eastside**

Based on the lessons learned over the past several years and the Winter Shelter Vision Statement and Principles, the staff work group recommends creating two dedicated location shelters, with a combined capacity up to 100 persons. Ideally, there would be one location for men and one for women/children, and an ability to accommodate daytime services. An initial plan could be for shelters to be open a minimum of four months per year, and we can explore opportunities to expand operation based on level of community wide support and available funding. Dedicated shelters with day time services would contribute to a more comprehensive approach to addressing homelessness in East King County by providing:

- Outreach
- Shelter
- Drop in access
- Case Management focused on permanent housing solutions

(See Appendix D for the role shelter plays in ending homelessness and a graphic that outlines shelter operating models.)
Next Steps

Cities to explore several issues:

- Affirmation of the proposal and local roles (including ARCH – see below)
- Determine what type of outreach to the broader community is appropriate.
- Potential modifications to land use regulations that limit location of shelters in potentially appropriate locations.
- Potential of any existing publicly owned properties that could be used to site a facility.
- Input on determining the long term ownership and operating structure.
- Level of support –
  - ongoing operating support through city human service funding
  - Capital support through ARCH.

Potential ARCH Role. One role ARCH has played in the past is to assist cities to be a catalyst for specific affordable projects, typically located on surplus public properties. ARCH has assisted by helping to coordinate local discussions to formulate a plan, bringing together partners to implement the plan, and initial development activity including addressing land use regulatory requirements and capital funding. For this particular project potential roles ARCH could assist with include:

- Help identify key players for the different steps of the program, including long term ownership structure.
- Assist member cities with evaluating and defining their role in the program.
- Assist with evaluating a capital funding strategy for the project, and possibly assisting with public funding applications.
- Monitor progress and provide progress reports back to cities throughout the development process.

Discussion Questions

1. Assuming you are supportive of proceeding with next more work on this, how do we advance this discussion with your respective Councils?

2. The memo describes several potential next steps for cities to consider. Do these seem appropriate?

3. Is additional information needed?
APPENDICES
APPENDIX A: SYSTEMS MAP - Winter Shelter Within a Larger System

CRISIS RESPONSE / INTERVENTION
- Food
- Hygiene
- Storage
- Day Centers
- Drop-in Centers

OUTREACH & ENGAGEMENT
- Mobile Medical
- HOST REACH

EMERGENCY SHELTER
- Shelter (overnight, congregate)
- Shelter (24 hour apartment style)
- Motel / Hotel Vouchers
- Winter Shelters (seasonal)
- Severe Weather Shelter
  (intermittent, summer, or winter)
- Medical Respite

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING
- Shared Living
- Facility Based
- Scattered Site

MAINSTREAM CRISIS RESPONSE
- Jail / Juvenile Justice
- Emergency Room / Hospital
- Crisis Diversion
- Sobering Center
- Psychiatric Inpatient

REGIONAL COORDINATION
- Safe Harbors HHS
- One Night Count

INTAKE / SCREENING
- 211
- Family Housing Connection
- Youth and Young Adult
  Coordinated Engagement
- Client Care Coordination
- Day One (Domestic Violence)

WORKING DRAFT

STABILITY SUPPORTS

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES
- Housing Search Assistance
- Voicemail
- Case Management
- Homeless Employment

PERMANENT HOUSING
WITH SUPPORTS
- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Service Enriched Housing
- Graduation Housing

RENTAL ASSISTANCE
- Short term
- Medium term
- Long term

PUBLICLY FUNDED
AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- Public Housing
- Tax Credit Buildings
- Tenant Based Section 8
- Project Based Section 8
- Local Capital Funding

MAINSTREAM
SYSTEMS
- Treatment Services
- Health Services
- Childcare
- Food Banks / Meal programs
- Foster care
- DSHS
- Schools
- Community Centers
- Senior Services
- Transportation
- WorkSource
- Legal Aid

DRAFT
APPENDIX B: Winter Shelter - What We’ve Learned

The evolution in the shelter model, moving from weather activated to nightly operated, has created many challenges, as well as opportunities.

- **Siting**: Since shifting to the winter model, there have been more challenges than expected with finding siting.
  - Dedicated space: Clients are served best in a devoted space. Dual use locations (i.e. community center activities during day, shelter at night) have challenges.
    - Community Centers experienced large loss of revenue due to lack of space rentals.
    - Minimal screening criteria make it hard to find facilities, even churches, willing to host the shelter.
    - Utilizing dual use space requires additional storage requirements.
  - Regulatory requirements: Finding a space that meets various regulatory requirements (fire life and safety code, zoning requirements) has limited options.
  - Non-residential area: More ideal locations would be in a non-residential area.

- **Winter shelter model (open nightly)**: Opening on consecutive nights rather than based on weather criteria brought many benefits:
  - Engage Homeless Individuals: Winter shelter can be used as a point of engagement to move into year-round shelter programs and as a pathway to permanent housing.
  - Other weather conditions: Participants were spared severe weather conditions that rain and wind bring.
  - Manage daily activities: Ability for clients to navigate transportation and daytime care, work schedules, etc.
  - Positive Outcomes: About 60 men and 25 women have transitioned from the winter shelter to the year-round program shelter. Of the 40+ men who have completed the shelter program, over 30 of them have moved into stable, on-going housing.
  - Outreach: Having effective outreach services in place helps engage homeless individuals who wouldn’t otherwise seek out shelter.

“We have had many police over the years express that they are grateful to have a place to bring the homeless that are wandering the streets while it is so cold and the weather causes significant risk to life safety.”

*David Johns-Bowling, CFH Director of Shelter Services*
• Community Engagement:

  o **Broad community engagement and new partnerships**: Providers were able to effectively engage and partner with neighborhoods, businesses, churches, libraries, police, and other community stakeholders.

  o **Resource for public facilities**: Shelter providers receive many calls from community centers, libraries, churches, social services agencies, mini-city hall, and individual community members who have encountered the homeless and are trying to assist with finding safe shelter.

  o **Responsive shelter providers**: Providers have found that many people, businesses, organizations, and departments feel the impact of trying to help the homeless or the impact of them using community places. By setting up on-going communication with community stakeholders, issues can usually be mitigated.

  o **Engaged clients**: Many of the homeless care about their community, and they want to be good community members. For example, clients have initiated and organized a community trash pickup day as well as a cleanup day for St. Peter’s United Methodist Church, the host of the men’s shelter for the last two years.

  o **Role of Faith Community**: Faith communities have supported shelters on the Eastside in a number of crucial ways. The Eastside Interfaith Social Concerns Council (EISCC), created both Congregations for the Homeless (CFH) (1994) and The Sophia Way (2008). EISCC supported hosting the shelters, supplying meals for the clients, and contributing other supportive services. Congregations are major financial contributors to CFH and Sophia Way and many sponsor their annual fund raising events. CFH’s year round shelter has been housed in congregations for 20 years. The Sophia Way’s year round shelter is housed at St Luke’s Lutheran Church.

“A couple months ago I received a call from a Bellevue detective who had just heard about our Day Center program. I told him about the services that were offered and also about the EWS. He was so happy to hear of a place men could go during the evenings and also a place during the day they could rest and receive services. About a month later he called again and said some of the issues of loitering at the bus stops had completely gone away. He said he believed this was solely because there is now a place the men can go during the day time and the evening.”

*David Johns-Bowling, CFH Director of Shelter Services*
APPENDIX C: DEDICATED WINTER SHELTER PROPOSAL

Description
Two separate shelters (one for men, second for women and children) at a dedicated location that operate for 4 months per year. The day center could be incorporated into a shelter without needing any substantial additional space other than potentially some separate office space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Features</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dining/Tables</td>
<td>Optional (could be done within sleeping area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>500 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath/Laundry</td>
<td>400 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping</td>
<td>4,000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Office minimum plus extra space such as case management, computer lab. One office dedicated for day services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>500 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,600 - 7,300 sq ft</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Development Cost
There are two primary costs associated with creating each shelter facility. First is the cost associated with securing real estate, and second is the cost of making necessary improvements and associated costs. Securing control of property could be a significant component of overall facility costs. Therefore if a suitable public site can be identified for one or both shelters it would have a significant impact on the amount of other funding that would be required. There are a variety of public and private funding sources that could be used for the acquisition and development of a shelter facility. The following table summarizes potential funding sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARCH</td>
<td>ARCH includes homeless housing as one of goal areas and uses CEH priorities for funding guidance. In 2013 CEH added homeless shelters outside Seattle as a priority for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County Housing Program</td>
<td>Also uses CEH priorities to guide investment for homeless housing. Often match or exceed ARCH contribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Housing Trust Fund</td>
<td>Includes homeless housing on list of eligible uses. Often match or exceed ARCH contribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Could include funds raised through various private sources such as foundations, churches and individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Kind</td>
<td>Examples could be furniture donations, reduced labor costs. Could also include site donation / fee relief from a City.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Operations Description

The winter shelters are open to those in need from 8:30 pm to 7:30 am, seven days a week. Because the shelters serve as life-saving shelters they are low barrier shelters which means only those who are sexual offenders are screened out initially. To ensure the health and safety of the shelter community, guests are required to follow procedures. The seasons run from November through March or longer as funding allows. The shelters are run by paid staff who stay alert at all times. At least two staff are on duty at all times. Guests sleep on mats on the floor and are provided blankets. Volunteers from congregations, local businesses and individuals bring meals and sometimes stay to serve them. Guests are provided bus tickets every day. The shelters partner with local agencies to provide access to addiction and mental health treatment, dental and medical services.

Operation Financing

Currently two winter shelters, one for men and one for women and children, are operated by Congregations for the Homeless (CFH) and The Sophia Way respectively. In addition CFH in the past year has been operating a day center for men. The Sophia Way will begin operating the day center for women on April 1st. There has been a history of public and private support to operate two shelters for approximately four months and a part time day center (see table). If a dedicated space were able to be created with no lease or mortgage payments, there would not be a significant increase in operating costs over the current program. Expansion of the shelters to twelve months and increasing hours for the day center significantly increase annual operating expenses. Expansion of these programs could be achieved a variety of ways including:

- Fundraising from the local broader community.
- Increased funding from local governments – either through increases from existing city contributions, and/or broadening support from more East King County jurisdictions.
- Securing funds from a regional source
- Using incremental increases in funding support to increase period of operation (e.g. expanding shelter to 6 months per year, day center 10 hours per day).

### TABLE 3: Existing Operating Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CFH 50 Bed</th>
<th>Sophia Way 50 Bed</th>
<th>SW Day Center</th>
<th>CFH Day Center</th>
<th>2014 Pilot (Annualized)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Month</td>
<td>4 Month</td>
<td>12 month</td>
<td>12 month</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue</td>
<td></td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>$38,800</td>
<td>$24,900</td>
<td>$15,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redmond</td>
<td>Includes CDBG</td>
<td>$10,200</td>
<td>$13,400</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$25,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issaquah</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkland</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,333</td>
<td>$9,750</td>
<td>$13,150</td>
<td>$9,500</td>
<td>$3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammamish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Gospel</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Way</td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Faith / civic / ind.</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$106,033</td>
<td>$78,450</td>
<td>$51,350</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
<td>$42,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Kind Meals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$136,033</td>
<td>$108,450</td>
<td>$51,350</td>
<td>$99,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

Eastside Winter Shelter

Roles of shelters in effort to end homelessness

Shelter is a part of a safe, healthy, and civil community
Shelter provides basic services to increase safety and help people survive
Shelters provide pathways to housing
Shelters are places for engagement and access to services

Shelter Operating Models

Nightly Winter Shelter in Temporary Space
Currently Model

Emergency Management Response
Re-Housing Response

Resources required >>>
Severe Weather Overnight Shelter

Year-Round Shelter
(with capacity for peak winter needs)
SHELTER SITING PROCESS

I. SITE SEARCH AND PREFERRED SITE ANALYSIS

A. Program Identification - Site Search
   - Identify partners
     - Potential interest from CCS (Catholic Community Services) and Sophia Way to co-operate.
       - These agencies have been operating the existing women/family shelter in East King Count

   - Basic program goals
     - Co-located shelter for women and family.
     - Separate spaces for women and family
     - Shelter include features such as kitchen, bath and laundry and storage.
     - Incorporate day services.

   - Preliminary evaluation of potential sites.
   - Initial selection of site for detailed analysis.

B. Preferred Site Analysis
   - Review city zoning provisions – e.g. setbacks, parking, open space.
   - Site conditions that impact design – e.g. traffic, soils, hydrology, adjacent uses, existing buildings, hazardous materials.
   - Develop basic design concepts

C. Financial Analysis
   - Acquisition/transfer of property: terms and costs
   - Site development costs
   - Overall development costs and potential funding sources
   - Project schedule

D. Community outreach
   - Develop site specific stakeholders/public outreach plan for each site
   - Identify who to engage in conversations

II. FUNDING STRATEGY (Modeled after men shelter approach)

A. Predevelopment Costs
   - ARCH – Opportunity reserve loan ($25,000+) – Initial preferred site analysis. (Repaid from
     - Third party predevelopment loan (e.g. Impact Capital) – more detailed design documents, land costs, etc)

B. Permanent Financing
   - ARCH Trust Fund
   - King County Housing program
   - State Housing Trust Fund (Capital Budget request)
   - Sponsor community capital campaign