

Juanita Drive Corridor Master Plan

Report Outline

Section	Comments/Graphics
1- Executive Summary	
2- Study Purpose and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Guiding Principles b. Outreach c. Process 	Schedule showing outreach
3- Corridor Profile <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Historical Perspective b. Character <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Urban Sections ii. Rural Sections c. Land Use d. Physical Conditions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Cross - Sections ii. Roadway Geometrics iii. Access iv. Stormwater v. Illumination e. Transportation Operations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Traffic Flow ii. Safety iii. Speed iv. Bicycles and Pedestrians v. Transit vi. Parking f. Issues and Opportunities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Summary of key issues and opportunities in corridor 	<p>Map showing changing character of corridor</p> <p>Existing and Future Land Use Maps showing various physical conditions (some in appendix)</p> <p>Maps showing transportation operations (some in appendix)</p>
4- Recommended Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Corridor Vision <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Describe by corridor section and mode b. Phasing of Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Project priorities ii. Interim projects 	<p>Corridor strip map showing long range vision; specific projects</p> <p>Table of projects by phase; costs</p> <p>Map showing phasing</p>
Appendix <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A- Corridor Profile details 	Maps and data from corridor profile
B- Project Fact Sheets	One page fact sheets for each project or grouping of projects
C- Corridor Alternatives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Draft corridor concepts- physical & operational 	Maps showing concepts

Prioritization Criteria

Use to prioritize corridor projects

Combination of quantitative and qualitative criteria

Build from Guiding Principles

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- Address safety needs for all travel modes.
- Maintain corridor unique identity and natural landscape.
- Engage community in shared vision for future improvements.
- Protect the extraordinary natural environment.
- Provide financially feasible, strategic and realistic priorities for the corridor.

Criterion	Description	Rating		
		<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>
Safety	Addresses existing corridor safety problem	<i>Limited or no effect</i>	<i>Direct safety benefit</i>	<i>Improves High collision location</i>
Accessibility	Provides access to activities within the corridor	<i>Limited or no effect</i>	<i>Improves single mode</i>	<i>Improves multiple modes</i>
Identity	Consistency with corridor identity and surrounding land uses	<i>Diminishes identity</i>	<i>Neutral effect</i>	<i>Enhances identity</i>
Environment	Protection of natural environment	<i>Degrades environment</i>	<i>Neutral effect</i>	<i>Enhances environment</i>
Financial	Cost	<i>High Cost</i>	<i>Medium Cost</i>	<i>Low Cost</i>
Fundable	Available funding sources	<i>Low likelihood of funding</i>	<i>Likely to compete for city funds</i>	<i>Good potential for grant/ other funding</i>
Phasing	Ability to phase project	<i>Minimal ability to phase</i>	<i>Some phasing potential</i>	<i>High ability to phase; interim options available</i>
Plan Consistency	Consistency with plans adopted by city and other jurisdictions	<i>Not consistent</i>	<i>Generally consistent</i>	<i>Highly consistent</i>
Public Support	Identified public support	<i>Minimal support</i>	<i>Mixed support</i>	<i>Strong support</i>